

# THE EVALUATION OF SOME PIEZO-ROTATORY COEFFICIENTS OF $\alpha$ -QUARTZ

G. S. RANGANATH AND S. RAMASESHAN

Materials Science Division, National Aeronautical Laboratory, Bangalore-17, India

**R**ECENTLY we have investigated the effect of pressure on the optical rotatory power of crystals.<sup>1</sup> The optical activity in crystals may be described by a symmetric second rank axial tensor ( $g$ ) which may be represented by the tensor surface

$$g_{11} x^2 + g_{22} y^2 + g_{33} z^2 = 1$$

when referred to its principal axes.<sup>2</sup> There are no *a priori* restrictions laid on the signs of  $g_{11}$ ,  $g_{22}$  and  $g_{33}$ .

When the crystal is stressed the surface represented by the tensor ( $g$ ) deforms. If one assumes that, in the first order theory, the changes in the components of ( $g$ ) are linear functions of stress  $X_{kl}$  or strain  $x_{kl}$  then

$$\Delta g_{ij} = -R_{ijkl} X_{kl}$$

$$\Delta g_{ij} = S_{ijkl} x_{kl}$$

where

$$\Delta g_{ij} = g_{ij} - g^0_{ij}$$

$g^0_{ij}$  and  $g_{ij}$  are the values of the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  component of ( $g$ ) before and after stress. Since ( $\Delta g$ ) is an axial tensor of 2nd rank and both  $X_{kl}$  and  $x_{kl}$  are polar tensors of 2nd rank, the piezo-rotatory tensors  $R_{ijkl}$  and  $S_{ijkl}$  should be 4th rank axial tensors. This is perhaps the first time such tensors are used to describe a physical property. The number of non-vanishing coefficients of this tensor for different point groups has been worked out by us using group theoretical methods<sup>3,4</sup> and the forms of the matrices for the point groups have also been determined.<sup>1</sup> Only non-centrosymmetric point groups have non-vanishing coefficients for the piezo-rotatory tensor. These crystals fall under three distinct classes.

**Class A.**—In the 11 enantiomorphic point groups which show optical activity the piezo-rotation tensor ( $R$ ) or ( $S$ ) and the piezo-refractive tensor ( $q$ ) or ( $p$ ) have the same form in the matrix representation. These groups with their number of non-vanishing coefficients (shown in parenthesis) are

1(36), 2(20), 222(12), 4(10), 422(7), 3(12), 32(8), 6(8), 622(6), 432(8) and 23(4)

**Class B.**—The 4 non-enantiomorphic optically active point groups for which piezo-rotatory and piezo-refractive matrices have different forms are

$m(16)$ ,  $mm2(8)$ ,  $\bar{4}(10)$ ,  $\bar{4}2m(5)$ .

**Class C.**—The 6 non-enantiomorphic optically inactive point groups show an interesting result in that the piezo-rotatory coefficients do not vanish, i.e., stress actually induces optical activity in them. These groups with their respective number of non-vanishing coefficients are

$4mm(3)$ ,  $3m(4)$ ,  $6mm(2)$ ,  $\bar{6}(4)$ ,  $\bar{6}m2(2)$ , and  $\bar{4}3m(1)$ .

$\alpha$ -quartz (point group 32) belongs to the class A, and has 8 independent coefficients. Its piezo-rotatory matrix is

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} R_{11} & R_{12} & R_{14} & R_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{12} & R_{11} & R_{13} & -R_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ R_{31} & R_{31} & R_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ R_{41} & -R_{41} & 0 & R_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{44} & 2R_{41} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & R_{14} & (R_{11}-R_{12}) \end{array}$$

One of the major impediments in the way of recovering all the piezo-rotatory coefficients is that it is rather difficult to measure the optical rotatory power in directions other than that of the optic axis. In the case of  $\alpha$ -quartz the magnitude of only some of the coefficients, viz.,  $R_{31}$  and  $R_{33}$  may be readily determined. The changes in rotatory power along the optic axis for an uniaxial stress  $X_0$  along the axis and for an hydrostatic stress  $X_h$  are given by

$$\Delta \rho_0 = -R_{33} X_0$$

$$\Delta \rho_h = -(2R_{31} + R_{33})X_h$$

and should therefore differ for the same stress, i.e.,  $X_0 = X_h$ .

Recently, Vedam and his collaborators<sup>5,6</sup> have measured the optical rotation of  $\alpha$ -quartz along the optic axis for hydrostatic and uniaxial stress. It was gratifying to note that the changes in rotation per unit stress were significantly different for these two types of stresses, as the theory predicts. The results of their experiment are shown in Table I.

TABLE I

|   | Pressure<br>in<br>K<br>bar | Percentage<br>strain<br>parallel<br>to<br>optic<br>axis | Percentage<br>strain<br>perpendi-<br>cular<br>to optic<br>axis | $\Delta \rho^0 /$<br>mm. |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| 1. Hydrostatic<br>pressure                | 1.366                      | -0.1  | -0.127   | -0.232                   |
| 2. Uniaxial stress<br>along optic<br>axis | 1.025                      | -0.1  | +0.013   | -0.170                   |

Now  $\Delta g_{ij} = S_{ijm} x_{kl}$  or  $\Delta g_k = S_{ij} x_j$   
in the one index form.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} -0.232 &= 2S_{31} (-0.127 \times 10^{-2}) \\ &\quad + S_{33} (-0.1 \times 10^{-2}) \\ -0.170 &= 2S_{31} (+0.013 \times 10^{-2}) \\ &\quad + S_{33} (-0.1 \times 10^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} S_{33} &= +1.75 \times 10^2 \text{ degrees/mm./unit strain} \\ S_{31} &= +0.2214 \times 10^2 \text{ degrees/mm./unit strain} \end{aligned}$$

elastic compliances of  $\alpha$ -quartz in units of  $10^{-12}$  cm.<sup>2</sup>/dyne are<sup>7</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11} &= 1.277, s_{12} = -0.179, s_{31} = -0.122, \\ s_{33} &= 0.96, s_{14} = -0.431, s_{44} = 2.004. \end{aligned}$$

Also since

$$R_{ij} = S_{ik} S_{kj}$$

$$\therefore R_{33} = 2S_{31} s_{31} + S_{33} s_{33}$$

$$R_{31} = S_{31} (s_{11} + s_{12}) + S_{33} s_{31}$$

substituting the values one gets

$$R_{33} = +0.16298 \text{ degree/mm./k bar}$$

$$R_{31} = +0.002959 \text{ degree/mm./k bar}$$

1. Ramaseshan, S. and Ranganath, G. S., "Piezo-optic phenomena" in *Physics of the Solid State—Bhagavantham Festschrift*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
2. Ramachandran, G. N. and Ramaseshan, S., *Crystal Optics (Handbuch der Physik, XXV/1)*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1961.
3. Bhagavantham, S., *Crystal Symmetry and Physical Properties*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
4. Lyubarskii, G. Ya., *Application of Group Theory to Physics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, 1960.
5. Myers, M. B. and Vedam, K., *J. Opt. Soc. Amer.*, 1966, **56**, 1741.
6. Vedam, K. and Davis, T. A., *Ibid.*, 1968, **58**, 1451.
7. Huntington, H. B., *Solid State Physics—Advances in Research and Applications*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1958 **7**, 214.