

# ON THE SUFFICIENCY OF THE CONSISTENCY-EQUATIONS.

BY B. R. SETH.

(From the Department of Mathematics, Hindu College, Delhi.)

Received February 20, 1937.

WHEN there are no body forces we know that for any stress-system to be possible in an isotropic solid body the stress-components must satisfy besides the three body-stress equations

$$\frac{\widehat{\partial xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\widehat{\partial xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\widehat{\partial xz}}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1. 1)$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\partial xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\widehat{\partial yy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\widehat{\partial yz}}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1. 2)$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\partial xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\widehat{\partial yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\widehat{\partial zz}}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (1. 3)$$

the six consistency-equations given by

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{xx} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (2. 1)$$

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{yy} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad (2. 2)$$

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{zz} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad (2. 3)$$

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{yz} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y \partial z} = 0, \quad (2. 4)$$

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{zx} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z \partial x} = 0, \quad (2. 5)$$

$$(1 + \eta) \nabla^2 \widehat{xy} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x \partial y} = 0, \quad (2. 6)$$

where  $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$ ,  $\eta$  is the Poisson's ratio, and  $\theta = \widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz}$ .

In many treatises\* on Applied Mechanics a mistake has been made in obtaining a stress-system from the body-stress equations without reference to the consistency-equations. The stress-components thus determined do not correspond to any possible displacement in an isotropic body. In view

---

\* See Love, *Mathematical Theory of Elasticity*, p. 22 (4th Ed.), where references to such books by Grashof and others will be found.

of this mistake the proving of the sufficiency of the consistency-equations whose necessity is well known becomes a matter of some interest.

We shall shew that, if a stress-system satisfies the nine equations given by (1) and (2), the corresponding components of displacement can be uniquely determined but for a rigid body displacement which, as we know, does not affect the state of strain in the body.

Differentiating (1. 1) with respect to  $x$ , (1. 2) with respect to  $y$ , (1. 3) with respect to  $z$ , and subtracting the last from the sum of the first two results, we have

$$2 \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{zz}}{\partial z^2} - \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xx}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{yy}}{\partial y^2} \right] \quad (3. 1)$$

If  $E$  is the Young's modulus and  $\mu$  the rigidity, we can re-write (3. 1) as

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{1+\eta}{E} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1+\eta}{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xx}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{yy}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy}) \right],$$

which, if we notice that

$$\nabla^2 \theta = 0,$$

becomes on using (2. 1), (2. 2), and (2. 3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} &= \frac{1+\eta}{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{xx}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{yy}}{\partial x^2} \right] - \frac{\eta}{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left[ \widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz}) \right] + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ \widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3. 2)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{xy} &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int \left[ \widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz}) \right] dx + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \left[ \widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx}) \right] dy \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f_1(y, z)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f_2(z, x)}{\partial x}, \end{aligned} \quad (3. 3)$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are respectively functions of  $y, z$  and  $z, x$  only.

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{yz} &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int \left[ \widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx}) \right] dy + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int \left[ \widehat{zz} - \eta (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy}) \right] dz \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f_2'(z, x)}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f_3(x, y)}{\partial y}, \end{aligned} \quad (3. 4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{zx} &= \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int \left[ \widehat{zz} - \eta (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy}) \right] dz + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int \left[ \widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz}) \right] dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial f_3'(x, y)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f_1'(y, z)}{\partial z}, \end{aligned} \quad (3. 5)$$

where  $f_1', f_2', f_3'$  are functions which may be different from  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ .

Substituting the values of  $\widehat{xy}$ ,  $\widehat{yz}$ ,  $\widehat{zx}$  from (3. 3), (3. 4), (3. 5) in (1) and (2. 4), (2. 5), (2. 6), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_1}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_1'}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_2'}{\partial z^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_3}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f_3'}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (4. 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \nabla^2 f_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nabla^2 f_2 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla^2 f_2' + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \nabla^2 f_3 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nabla^2 f_3' + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \nabla^2 f_1' = 0 \quad (4. 2)$$

The equations in (4) are all consistent if  $f_1 = f_1'$ ,  $f_2 = f_2'$ ,  $f_3 = f_3'$ , and all the  $f$ 's are harmonic. Let us assume that the general value of  $f$ 's is given by

$$f_r' = f_r + \phi_r, \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

Substituting these values in (4) we see that all the  $f$ 's in (3. 3), (3. 4) and (3. 5) can be replaced by the corresponding  $f$ 's provided we add the term

$$A_1 x + A_0 \text{ and } B_1 y + B_0$$

to the right-hand side of (3. 4) and (3. 5),  $A$ 's and  $B$ 's being all constants. Putting

$$\psi_1 = f_1 + \frac{1}{2\mu} yz (B_1 - A_1) + \frac{1}{2\mu} B_0 z,$$

$$\psi_2 = f_2 + \frac{1}{2\mu} zx (A_1 - B_1) + \frac{1}{2\mu} A_0 z,$$

$$\psi_3 = f_3 + \frac{1}{2\mu} xy (A_1 + B_1) + \frac{1}{2\mu} (A_0 y + B_0 x),$$

we see that  $\widehat{xy}$ ,  $\widehat{yz}$ ,  $\widehat{zx}$  now take the form

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{xy} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int [\widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz})] dx + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int [\widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx})] dy + \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x}, \quad (5. 1)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{yz} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int [\widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx})] dy + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int [\widehat{zz} - \eta (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy})] dz + \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial y}, \quad (5. 2)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{xz} = \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int [\widehat{zz} - \eta (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy})] dz + \frac{1}{E} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int [\widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz})] dx + \frac{\partial \psi_3}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial z}, \quad (5. 3)$$

where  $\psi$ 's are all harmonic and are respectively functions of  $(y, z)$ ,  $(z, x)$  and  $(x, y)$ .†

† That harmonic values for  $\psi$ 's can be found we can see by operating (5) by  $\nabla^2$ . The resulting equations are merely those given in (2. 4), (2. 5) and (2. 6).

Now the strain-components are connected with the stress-components by relations of the type

$$S_x = \frac{1}{E} [\widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz})], \quad \sigma_{yz} = \frac{1}{\mu} \widehat{yz} \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) we see that quantities  $u, v, w$ , given by

$$u = \frac{1}{E} \int [\widehat{xx} - \eta (\widehat{yy} + \widehat{zz})] dx + \psi_1 (y, z), \quad (7.1)$$

$$v = \frac{1}{E} \int [\widehat{yy} - \eta (\widehat{zz} + \widehat{xx})] dy + \psi_2 (z, x), \quad (7.2)$$

$$w = \frac{1}{E} \int [\widehat{zz} - \eta (\widehat{xx} + \widehat{yy})] dz + \psi_3 (x, y) \quad (7.3)$$

can be determined which are connected with the strain-components by the well-known formulæ

$$S_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \dots, \quad \sigma_{yz} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}.$$

We shall now shew that, if  $\widehat{xx}, \widehat{yz}$ , etc., are all given, the  $\psi$ 's can be uniquely determined but for a rigid body displacement. If possible, let there be two solutions, one given by  $\psi$ 's and the other by  $\psi'$ 's. From (6) and (7) we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_1 - \psi_1') = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\psi_2 - \psi_2') = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\psi_3 - \psi_3') = 0, \quad (8.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\psi_3 - \psi_3') + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\psi_2 - \psi_2') = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\psi_1 - \psi_1') + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_3 - \psi_3') = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\psi_2 - \psi_2') + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\psi_1 - \psi_1') = 0 \quad (8.2)$$

If we differentiate the left-hand members of (8) with respect to  $x, y, z$ , we shall obtain eighteen linear equations connecting the eighteen second differential coefficients of  $(\psi_1 - \psi_1'), (\psi_2 - \psi_2'), (\psi_3 - \psi_3')$ , from which it follows that all these second differential coefficients vanish. Hence we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 - \psi_1' &= u_0 - w_3 y + w_2 z, & \psi_2 - \psi_2' &= v_0 - w_1 z + w_3 x, \\ & & \psi_3 - \psi_3' &= w_0 - w_2 x + w_1 y, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $u_0, v_0, w_0$  and  $w$ 's are all constants. From (9) we see that  $\psi$ 's and  $\psi'$ 's can only differ by a rigid body displacement, and hence, subject to this restriction, they can be uniquely determined.

The consistency-equations in stress-components are, therefore, both necessary and sufficient.