

## A QUALITATIVE UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE FOR SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

MICHAEL COWLING, JOHN F. PRICE and ALLADI SITARAM

(Received 25 November 1986)

*Dedicated to Robert Edwards in recognition of  
25 years' distinguished contribution to mathematics in Australia,  
on the occasion of his retirement*

### Abstract

Recently M. Benedicks showed that if a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and its Fourier transform both have supports of finite measure, then  $f = 0$  almost everywhere. In this paper we give a version of this result for all noncompact semisimple connected Lie groups with finite centres.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): 43 A 30, 22 E 30.

### 0. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group equipped with left Haar measure  $dm$  and  $\hat{G}$  its unitary dual (that is, a maximal set of pairwise inequivalent unitary irreducible continuous representations of  $G$ ). For  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $\pi \in \hat{G}$ , define the operator  $\pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x) dm(x)$  (which acts on the underlying Hilbert space for  $\pi$ ). The assignment  $\pi \rightarrow \pi(f)$  can be thought of as the (group theoretic) analogue of the classical Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of an integrable function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . It has long been recognized that if  $f$  is ‘concentrated’ near a point, then  $\hat{f}$  has to be ‘spread out’ and vice versa. A quantitative expressions of this principle leads to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle—see for example [5].

---

Alladi Sitaram gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Australian Research Grants Scheme and the hospitality of the University of New South Wales during the preparation of this paper.

© 1988 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/88 \$A2.00 + 0.00

Another expression of this principle is the following result of Benedicks [2]: If  $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  with  $m(\text{supp } f) < \infty$  and  $m(\text{supp } \hat{f}) < \infty$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e. (If  $\text{supp } f$  is compact then the above result collapses to an easy exercise in introductory Fourier analysis. However with only the assumption  $m(\text{supp } f) < \infty$ , the result quoted above is more substantial.) In view of this a natural question to ask is whether the above principle can be formulated for a locally compact group  $G$ . In this paper we show that a principle very close to the one of Benedicks holds for all noncompact semisimple connected Lie groups with finite centres. Earlier this kind of principle had been established for a wide variety of groups including  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  ([7]). However, for general semisimple Lie groups rather severe restrictions had to be placed on the kind of  $L^1$  functions being dealt with. For quantitative versions of this principle for certain groups see [4], [8] and [9].

## 1. Notation and preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $G$  will denote a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite centre. (For unexplained terminology and results, see [11].) Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . Let  $\hat{G}$  denote the unitary dual of  $G$  and  $\hat{K}$  the unitary dual of  $K$ . Fix a Haar measure  $m$  on  $G$ —as is well known  $G$  is unimodular—and let  $\mu$  be the (corresponding) Plancherel measure on  $\hat{G}$ . In this section we describe the structure and representation theory of  $G$  that will be needed in the next section.

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  with Cartan involution  $\theta$ . Here  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{p}$  and let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

be a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into real root spaces for  $\mathfrak{a}$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $R$  is the set of nonzero real roots. Fix once and for all a set of positive roots  $R^+$ , and let  $S$  be the set of simple positive roots. We write  $\mathfrak{n}$  for  $\sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ . At the group level, we write  $K, A$  and  $N$  for the connected subgroups of  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$  respectively, and  $M$  for the centralizer of  $A$  in  $K$ . Then  $MAN$  is a so-called minimal parabolic subgroup, hereafter denoted  $P_0$ . (It is unique up to conjugation.)

The other “parabolic subgroups” of  $G$  (up to conjugation) all arise in the following way. Pick a subset  $S_i$  of  $S$  and let  $R_i$  be the set of roots which are linear combination of roots in  $S_i$ . There is a unique closed subgroup of  $G$ , denoted by  $P_i$  (known as a parabolic subgroup), which contains  $P_0$  and whose

Lie algebra is

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}.$$

This group has a Langlands decomposition  $P_i = M_i A_i N_i$ , where  $M_i$  is reductive,  $A_i$  is abelian and  $N_i$  is nilpotent. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  of  $M_i$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{m} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ;  $A_i$  and  $N_i$  are  $\exp(\mathfrak{a}_i)$  and  $\exp(\mathfrak{n}_i)$  respectively (exp denoting the exponential map), where  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  is the orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , relative to the inner product on  $\mathfrak{a}$  induced by the Killing form, and  $\mathfrak{n}_i = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}^+ \setminus \mathfrak{R}_i} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . If  $M_i$  contains a compact Cartan subgroup, then  $P_i$  is said to be cuspidal. We let  $\{P_j; j \in J\}$  be a maximal set of (nonconjugate) cuspidal parabolic subgroups constructed as above.

Harish-Chandra showed that sufficiently many irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  to decompose  $L^2(G)$  may be obtained by taking a cuspidal parabolic subgroup  $P_j$ , a discrete series representation  $\delta$  of  $M_j$  (that is,  $\delta \in (\hat{M}_j)_d$ ) and a character  $\chi_\lambda: \exp(H) \rightarrow \exp(i\lambda(H))$  of  $A_j$  (where  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_j^*$ , the real dual of  $\mathfrak{a}_j$ ), forming the unitary representation (denoted abusively)  $\delta \otimes \chi_\lambda \otimes 1$  of  $P_j$  (where  $\delta \otimes \chi_\lambda \otimes 1(man) = \delta(m)\chi_\lambda(a)$ ,  $m \in M_j$ ,  $a \in A_j$ ,  $n \in N_j$ ) and inducing unitarily to  $G$ . We write  $\pi_{\delta,\lambda}^{(j)} = \text{ind}_{P_j}^G \delta \otimes \chi_\lambda \otimes 1$ . The representations  $\pi_{\delta,\lambda}^{(j)}$  and  $\pi_{\varepsilon,\mu}^{(i)}$  can be equivalent only if  $P_i = P_j$ , and then if and only if  $(\delta, \lambda)$  and  $(\varepsilon, \mu)$  are conjugate under an appropriate (finite) Weyl group action. In [6] (see in particular Sections 25 and 36) Harish-Chandra calculated explicitly the Plancherel measure associated with the various series of representations of  $G$ . Except in the case when  $P_i = G$  (that is, when  $G$  is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup of itself),  $A_i$  is a nontrivial vector group, and then for fixed  $\delta$  in  $(\hat{M}_i)_d$ , the Plancherel measure  $\mu(i, \delta, \lambda)$  is a smooth function of  $\lambda$ , which actually extends to an analytic function in a tube containing  $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$  in  $(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$  (the complexification of  $\mathfrak{a}_i$ ) and is of polynomial growth in  $\lambda$  in almost all directions in  $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$ . An easy consequence of Harish-Chandra's calculation is  $\mu(i, \delta, \mathfrak{a}_i^*) = \infty$ .

Now we need to study the representations  $\pi_{\delta,\lambda}^{(i)}$  in more detail. We fix a proper parabolic subgroup  $P_i$  of  $G$  and  $\delta \in (\hat{M}_i)_d$ . Let  $H_\delta$  be the Hilbert space of  $\delta$ . Define  $H_\delta^{(i)}$  as the space of measurable  $H_\delta$ -valued functions  $v$  on  $K$  which satisfy the conditions

$$v(km) = \delta(m^{-1})v(k), \quad k \in K, m \in K \cap M_i,$$

and

$$\int_K |v(k)|^2 dk < \infty.$$

The induced representations  $\pi_{\delta,\lambda}^{(i)}$  may be considered to act unitarily on  $H_\delta^{(i)}$  by the formula

$$[\pi_{\delta,\lambda}^{(i)}(g)v](k) = \delta(m^{-1})v(k') \exp[(i\lambda + \rho_i)H_i(g^{-1}k)]$$

where

$$\rho_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+ \setminus R_i} (\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad g^{-1}k = k'm \exp(H_i(g^{-1}k))n,$$

with  $k' \in K$ ,  $m \in M_i \cap AN$ ,  $n \in N_i$  and  $H_i(g^{-1}k) \in \mathfrak{a}_i$ . (It should be noted that every element of  $G$  can be expressed uniquely in the form  $kman$  where  $k \in K$ ,  $m \in M_i \cap AN$ ,  $a \in A_i$  and  $n \in N_i$ . Indeed the ‘Iwasawa decomposition’ gives a unique decomposition of the form  $kan$  with  $k \in K$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $n \in N$ . Furthermore  $an$  then factorizes uniquely as  $a'n'a_i n_i$  with  $a'n' \in AN \cap M_i$  and  $a_i n_i \in A_i N_i$ .) We note that the action of  $K$  on  $H_\delta^{(i)}$  is just left translation and this is independent of  $\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$ .

Fix a basis  $\{e_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  of  $H_\delta^{(i)}$  consisting of  $K$ -finite vectors. We have the following result:

**PROPOSITION.** *Fix a proper cuspidal parabolic subgroup  $P_i$  and choose  $\delta$  in  $(\hat{M}_i)_d$ . Given  $f \in L^1(G)$  and  $j, h \in \mathbf{N}$ , the function*

$$\lambda \rightarrow \int_G f(x) \langle \pi_{\sigma, \lambda}^{(i)}(x) e_j, e_h \rangle dx$$

*on  $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$  extends to a holomorphic function in a tube in  $(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$  which contains  $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$ .*

**PROOF.** Using the techniques of Cowling [3] and of Anker [1], it is straightforward to show that, if the imaginary part of  $\lambda$  in  $(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)_{\mathbb{C}}$  is not too big, then the representation  $\pi_{\delta, \lambda}^{(i)}$  of the analytic continuation acts isometrically on a mixed  $L^p$ -space which we denote  $L^p(K)$ . The basis vectors  $e_j$  and  $e_h$  being smooth lie in  $L^p(K)$  and its dual  $L^q(K)$  for all  $p$ . In fact

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \pi_{\delta, \lambda}^{(i)}(x) e_j, e_h \rangle| &\leq \| \pi_{\delta, \lambda}^{(i)}(x) e_j \|_p \| e_h \|_q = \| e_j \|_p \| e_j \|_q \leq \| e_j \|_\infty \| e_h \|_\infty \\ &= \sup_{k \in K} \| e_j(k) \|_{HS} \sup_{k \in K} \| e_h(k) \|_{HS} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows immediately.

## 2. The main results

We are now in a position to state and prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G, \hat{G}, K, \mu$  and  $m$  be as in the introduction. Let  $f \in L^1(G)$  and let  $A_f = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$  and  $B_f = \{\pi \in \hat{G} : \pi(f) \neq 0\}$ . If  $m(KA_fK) < \infty$  and  $\mu(B_f) < \infty$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e.*

PROOF. The statement that  $\mu\{\pi: \pi(f) \neq 0\} < \infty$  implies that the Plancherel measure of each set  $\{\tau \in \hat{A}_i: \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(f) \neq 0\}$  is finite. Since the Plancherel measure of  $\{\pi_{\sigma,\tau}\}_{\tau \in \hat{A}_i}$  for fixed  $\sigma$  and  $i$  is infinite, it follows that  $\{\tau \in \hat{A}_i: \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(f) = 0\}$  has positive Plancherel measure and hence has positive Lebesgue measure. This last statement follows from the fact that the Plancherel measure on the series  $\{\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}\}_{\tau \in \hat{A}_i}$ ,  $\sigma, i$  fixed, is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\hat{A}_i$ . Now one knows that (at least in the sense of distributions)  $f = \sum_{\nu \in \hat{K}} \sum_{\mu \in \hat{K}} d(\mu)d(\nu)\chi_\mu * f * \chi_\nu$ . (Here we are identifying the characters  $\chi_\mu$  and  $\chi_\nu$  of the representations  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  of the compact group  $K$  with the (singular) measures  $\chi_\mu dk$  and  $\chi_\nu dk$  on  $G$ . Also for each  $\mu \in \hat{K}$ ,  $d(\mu)$  is its dimension.) Fix  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \hat{K}$  and consider  $h = \chi_{\delta_1} * f * \chi_{\delta_2}$ . Let  $E_\sigma^{(i)} = \{\tau \in \hat{A}_i: \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(f) = 0\}$ . From what we said above  $E_\sigma^{(i)}$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Now notice that if  $\tau \in E_\sigma^{(i)}$ , then  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h)$  is also zero. Thus  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h)$  is zero on a set of positive Lebesgue measure in  $\hat{A}_i$ . Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be those basis vectors in  $H_\sigma^{(i)}$  which transform according to  $\delta_1$  for  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}|_K$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be those basis vectors in  $H_\sigma^{(i)}$  which transform according to  $\delta_2$  for  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}|_K$ . (Notice these are independent of  $\tau$  for  $\sigma$  and  $i$  fixed.) Since  $h$  satisfies  $h = d(\delta_1)d(\delta_2)\chi_{\delta_1} * h * \chi_{\delta_2}$ , it follows that  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h)$  is completely determined by the scalars  $\langle \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h)w_s, u_t \rangle$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$  and  $1 \leq t \leq m$ . However  $\langle \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h)w_i, u_t \rangle = \int_G \langle \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(x)w_i, u_t \rangle h(x) dx$ . By the proposition, as a function of  $\tau$  the above function is holomorphic in a strip containing  $\hat{A}_i$ . Thus the fact that this vanishes in a set of positive Lebesgue measure on  $\hat{A}_i$  forces it to be identically zero on  $\hat{A}_i$ . Hence for fixed  $i$  and fixed  $\sigma \in (\hat{M}_i)_d$ ,  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h) = 0$  for all  $\tau$ . Thus for all  $i$  and  $\sigma \in (\hat{M}_i)_d$ ,  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h) = 0$  for all  $\tau \in \hat{A}_i$ . This means that  $\pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(h) = 0$  unless  $P_i = G$  so that the Fourier transform of  $h$  is supported by the discrete series of  $G$ . From D. Vogan's theory of minimal  $K$ -types [10], it is clear that only finitely many discrete series representations of  $G$  when restricted to  $K$  can contain the representations  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ . On the other hand, it is routine to show that if  $\sigma \in \hat{G}_d$ , then  $\sigma(h) = 0$  unless  $\sigma|_K$  contains  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ . Consequently  $h$  is a finite linear combination of matrix elements of the discrete series of  $G$ , and is therefore real analytic on  $G$ . Now since  $h = \chi_{\delta_1} * f * \chi_{\delta_2}$ , if  $x \notin KA_fK$ ,  $h(x) = 0$ . But by our assumption  $m(KA_fK) < \infty$  and so  $m(KA_fK)^c > 0$ . Thus since  $G$  is connected and  $h$  is real analytic, this forces  $h \equiv 0$ . However  $f \sim \sum \sum d(\delta)d(\nu)\chi_\delta * f * \chi_\nu$  and we have just shown that each term on the right side is zero. Hence  $f = 0$  as a distribution, that is,  $f = 0$  a.e.

An examination of the proof shows we have actually proved the following stronger result.

COROLLARY (to proof of Theorem). *Let  $f \in L^1(G)$  with  $m(KA_fK)^c > 0$ . Assume for each fixed  $i, \sigma$  that the set  $\{\tau \in \hat{A}_i, \pi_{\sigma,\tau}^{(i)}(f) = 0\}$  has positive Lebesgue measure. Then  $f = 0$  a.e.*

REMARK. The property discussed in this paper (and in [2] and [7]) fails completely in many situations. For example, the Fourier transform of the characteristic function of a compact open subgroup of the  $p$ -adic numbers is another such characteristic function. Also the existence of supercuspidal representations for reductive  $p$ -adic groups gives rise to further counterexamples [7].

### References

- [1] J.-Ph. Anker, 'Applications de la  $p$ -induction en analyse harmonique', *Comment. Math. Helv.* **58** (1983), 622–645.
- [2] M. Benedicks, 'On Fourier transforms of functions supported on sets of finite Lebesgue measure', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **10** (1985), 180–183.
- [3] M. Cowling, 'The Kunze-Stein phenomenon', *Ann. of Math.* **107** (1978), 209–234.
- [4] M. G. Cowling and J. F. Price, 'Bandwidth versus time concentration: the Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl inequality', *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984), 151–165.
- [5] H. Dym and H. P. McKean, *Fourier Series and Integrals* (Academic Press, 1972).
- [6] Harish-Chandra, 'Harmonic analysis on real Lie groups III', *Ann. of Math.* **104** (1976), 117–201.
- [7] J. F. Price and A. Sitaram, 'Functions and their Fourier transforms with supports of finite measure for certain locally compact groups', *J. Funct. Anal.* (to appear).
- [8] J. F. Price and A. Sitaram, 'Local uncertainty inequalities for locally compact groups', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [9] J. F. Price and A. Sitaram, 'Local uncertainty inequalities for compact groups', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [10] D. A. Vogan, 'Representations of semisimple Lie groups I', *Ann. of Math.* **109** (1979), 1–60.
- [11] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups*, Vol. I (Springer-Verlag, 1972).

School of Mathematics  
University of New South Wales  
Kensington, N.S.W. 2033  
Australia

School of Mathematics  
University of New South Wales  
Kensington, N.S.W. 2033  
Australia

Stat-Math Unit  
Indian Statistical Institute  
Bangalore, 560059  
India