

NEW CONVERGENCE AND SUMMABILITY TESTS FOR FOURIER SERIES

BY K. S. K. IYENGAR

Received July 5, 1943

1. LET $f(x)$ be a periodic summable function and

$$\psi_{x_0}(t) = |f(x_0 + t) + f(x_0 - t) - 2f(x_0)|. \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem A of this note is a generalization of the FeJér-Lebesgue-Hardy theorem which (latter) is:—

$$\frac{1}{u} \int_0^u \psi_{x_0}(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0 \text{ implies the } (C, r) \cdot (r > 0) \cdot \text{convergence of } (1.2)$$

the Fourier series of $f(x)$ at x_0 to $f(x_0)$. [Zygmund¹, FeJér², Lebesgue³, Hardy⁴.] The subsidiary theorem B of this note discusses the relation between the various forms of the hypothesis of theorem A, and in particular their relation to the (F. L. H.) hypothesis in (1.2); and with the examples given below it in 3, establishes that the (F. L. H.) theorem is a particular case of theorem A.

Theorem C is a generalization of convergence test of the Fourier series of $f(x)$ at a point x_0 due to Hardy and Littlewood⁵ (1932), the latter test being as follows:

(1) $\psi_{x_0}(t) \log t \rightarrow 0$ $t \rightarrow 0$. (2) the Fourier coefficients of $f(x) \leq An^{-\delta}$ (1.3) ($A > 0$, $\delta > 0$). Hardy and Littlewood have established their test by using the Tauberian theorem associated with Valeron⁶ (1917) means. In a recent paper⁷ (1943) I have derived this test by proving

$$(a) \quad (1) \text{ of } (1.3) \text{ implies that } \frac{1}{\text{Log } n} \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{S_r(x_0)}{n+1-r} \rightarrow f(x_0) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) (2) of (1.3) is exactly the Tauberian condition associated with convergence by the means in (a). Proceeding along the lines of my paper,⁷ I have derived theorem C, from theorem A and a new Tauberian theorem in another paper⁸ of mine to be published shortly. It is noteworthy that the form of theorem A permits us to replace (1) in the Hardy-Littlewood

test by the slightly broader one (1)' $\frac{1}{u} \int_0^u \psi_{x_0}(t) \text{Log } t \, dt \rightarrow 0, u \rightarrow 0$

or the still broader one (1)'' $\frac{1}{\text{Log } u} \int_u^\delta \psi_{x_0}(t) \frac{\text{Log } t}{t} \, dt \rightarrow 0, u \rightarrow 0$

In 7 I have discussed particular cases of interest of A and C.

[Note.—In what follows “F.L.H. hypothesis” will stand for the condition in (1.2) namely $\frac{1}{u} \int_0^u \psi_{x_0}(t) \, dt \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and F.L.H. theorem, the theorem of (1.2).]

2. *Notations and Definitions.*—The $b_n, b_n', B_n, B_n', \psi_{x_0}(t), B_t, b_t, S_n(x_0)$, are defined as follows :

(1) $b_n > 0$, (2) $b_0 \geq b_1 \geq b_2 \dots \geq b_n$;

(3) $B_n = \sum_{r=0}^n b_r$ (and $\rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$). (4) $b_t = b_{[t]}, B_t = B_{[t]}, b_n', B_n'$, etc., being restricted by the same conditions;

(5) $\psi_{x_0}(t)$ defined as in (1.1); (6) $S_n(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_1^n (a_r \cos r x_0 + b_r \sin r x_0)$ a_r, b_r , being the Fourier coefficients of $f(x)$, $f(x)$ being as in (1.1).

3. *Statement of theorems A and B and remarks.*—

THEOREM A: If $\frac{1}{B_x} \cdot \int_0^x \psi_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \cdot \frac{B_t}{t} \, dt \rightarrow 0 \quad (a > 0)$ (3.1)

and $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{n_0}^N \frac{b_n}{B_n} \cdot B_n'$ is bounded for all N (3.2)

then $\frac{1}{B_n} \cdot \sum_0^n b_r' S_{n-r}(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (3.3)

Particular case of A of interest is as follows :

Let $\theta(t) = \psi_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \cdot \frac{B_t}{t b_t}$.

THEOREM A₁:

If (a) $\theta(t) \rightarrow 0$ or (b) $\frac{1}{t} \int_t^{\infty} \theta(u) \, du \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ then (3.3) will be true.

[It is to be noted that (b) of (3.4) could be given in another form completely equivalent to it namely $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \theta\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) du \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$; also it can be shewn that (3.4) (a) implies (3.4) b, and (3.4) b implies (3.1)].

Subsidiary theorem B.

If $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{n_0}^N \frac{b_r}{B_r} B_r'$ is bounded for all $N (\geq n_0)$ (i.e. \leq some positive k) (3.5)

then $\frac{1}{B_x} \int_a^x \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{B_t}{t} dt \rightarrow 0$ ($a > 0$) implies

$$\frac{1}{B_{x'}} \int_a^x \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot \frac{B_t'}{t} dt \rightarrow 0; \tag{3.6}$$

If (3.5) is not true (3.6) is not necessarily true. (3.7)

[Note 1.—It is to be noticed that (F. L. H.) hypothesis can be put in the equivalent form $\frac{1}{x} \int_a^x \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt \rightarrow 0$ $x \rightarrow \infty$; so that from conditions in

2 about b_n , it follows from theorem B that hypothesis $\frac{1}{B_x} \int_a^x \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot \frac{B_t}{t} dt \rightarrow 0$

implies the (F. L. H.) hypothesis and if $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{n_0}^N \frac{B_r}{r}$ is bounded for all $N \geq n_0$ the converse is also true; so that in this case (F. L. H.) hypothesis is equivalent to hypothesis (3.1). But the form of theorem A allows us to enlarge the field of (C, r) summability for the Fourier series of $f(x)$ at x_0 as the following example shews:—

Example 1.—Let $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2$ and $\rho_1 + \rho_2 < 1$ and $\psi(x) = \log \log \log x$. Let $F_n = n^{\rho_2 + \rho_1 \cos \psi(n)}$ and $F_n - F_{n-1} = b_n$ for sufficiently large n , say $n \geq n_0$. b_0, b_1, b_{n_0-1} being defined suitably to suit (1) and (2) of 2. then it can be proved that (a) b_n and $B_n = \sum_0^n b_r$ satisfy (1), (2), (3) of 2, and

(b) $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{n_0}^N \frac{B_r}{r}$ is bounded for all $N \geq n_0$. so that from theorem A it follows

$\frac{1}{B_x} \sum_0^n b_r S_{n-r}(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ if (F. L. H.) hypothesis is true. It is to be noted that $\frac{\text{Log } B_n}{\text{Log } n}$ in this case oscillates between $\rho_1 + \rho_2$ and $\rho_2 - \rho_1$.] (3.8)

[Note 2.—Hypothesis (3.2) namely boundedness of $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{m_0}^N \frac{b_r}{B_r} B_r'$ implies $\frac{\text{Log } B_n}{\text{Log } B_n'}$ is bounded above, in particular $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{r}^N \frac{B_r}{r}$ implies $\frac{\text{Log } B_n}{\text{Log } n}$ is bounded above for $n \geq 2$.] (3.9)

[Note 3.—The following example reveals the importance of condition (3.2) in theorem A.

Example 2.—Let $f(t)$ be an even periodic bounded summable function and let its Fourier coefficients be such that $\text{Lim sup } \frac{\bar{S}_n(0)}{\text{Log } n} > 0$

where $S_n(0) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_1^n a_r$.

$$\text{Let } \psi(t) = \frac{f(t)}{|\text{Log } |t||} \text{ in } 0 \leq |t| \leq \delta < 1 \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

In this case the Fe Jer theorem at $t = 0$ about $\psi(t)$ is true.

Taking $b_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$ it is easy to see that $\frac{1}{B_N} \sum_{r}^N \frac{B_r}{r}$ in this case $\rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{It can be established that } \frac{\sum_0^n \frac{S_{n-r}(0)}{1+r}}{\sum_0^n \frac{1}{1+r}} \text{ does not converge to } \psi(0) = 0,$$

$S_n(0) =$ the sum of the 1st $\overline{n+1}$ Fourier coefficients of $\psi(t)$. [Incidentally this example establishes (3.7) of B, via A.] (3.9)

[Note 4.—In view of theorem B, it is sufficient to establish theorem A in the following form:—

$$\bar{A}. \text{ Hypothesis (3.1) implies } \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_0^n b_r S_{n-r}(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0).] \quad (3.10)$$

4. Proof of theorem B.—

$$\text{Let } a_n = \int_n^{n+1} \psi_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt. \quad (4.1)$$

It can be easily established that

$$\frac{1}{B_n} \cdot \int_a^x \psi_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \frac{B_t}{t} dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \text{ is completely}$$

$$\text{equivalent to } \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{m_0}^n \frac{B_r a_r}{r} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly with regard to $\frac{1}{B_{x'}} \int_a^x \psi_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{B_t'}{t} dt \rightarrow 0$ (4.2)

so that we will have established theorem B if we prove the following:

\bar{B} . If $x_n = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{n_0}^n \frac{B_r a_r}{r}$, and $y_n = \frac{1}{B_n'} \sum_{n_0}^n \frac{B_r' a_r}{r}$, and $\frac{1}{B_n'} \sum_{n_0}^n \frac{b_r}{B_r} B_r'$ is bounded, then $x_n \rightarrow 0$ implies $y_n \rightarrow 0$. (4.3)

Without the boundedness condition it (4.3) is not necessarily true (4.4)

Proof of \bar{B} .—From definition of x_n in \bar{B} , we have

$$a_n = n(x_n - x_{n-1}) + \frac{n b_n}{B_n} x_{n-1}$$

and $y_n = \frac{1}{B_n'} \cdot \sum_{n_0}^n B_r' (x_r - x_{r-1}) + \frac{1}{B_n'} \cdot \sum_{n_0}^n \frac{b_r}{B_r} \cdot B_r' \cdot x_{r-1}$

$$= y_{n,1} + y_{n,2}. \tag{4.5}$$

From the boundedness of $\frac{1}{B_n'} \cdot \sum_0^n \frac{b_r}{B_r} B_r'$, and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and hypothesis in, 2, about B_n' , it follows that

$$y_{n,2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

From the fact that B_n' increases monotonically to ∞ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ it follows from Kroneker's theorem that

$$y_{n,1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.7}$$

thus (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) establish (4.3) of \bar{B} .

(4.4) is covered by example 2 in (3.9); thus establishing \bar{B} .

5. *Proof of \bar{A} .*—By the usual formula in Fourier series and Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma, we have

$$S_n(x_0) - f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\delta \{f(x_0 + t) + f(x_0 - t) - 2f(x_0)\} \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})t}{\sin t/2} dt + \epsilon(n, \delta) \tag{5.1}$$

where $0 < \delta < \pi$, δ to be suitably chosen and fixed and $\epsilon(n, \delta) \rightarrow 0$ $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $\left| \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_0^n b_r S_{n-r}(x_0) - f(x_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi B_n} \cdot \int_0^\delta \psi_{x_0}(t) \cdot \frac{|k_n(t)|}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt + \epsilon'(n, \delta)$ (5.2)

where $k_n(t) = \sum_0^n b_r \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2} - r\right)t$ and

$$\epsilon'(n, \delta) = \left| \sum_0^n \frac{b_r \epsilon(n-r, \delta)}{B_n} \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall here prove

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \frac{|k_n(t)|}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} \leq (2n+1) B_n \\ (b) \quad & \frac{|k_n(t)|}{B_{\frac{1}{t}}} \leq k_1 \text{ (some positive constant) in } \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \delta \end{aligned} \right\} (5.3)$$

(a) follows from $\left| \frac{\sin mz}{\sin z} \right| \leq m$ for integral m .

Proof of 5.3 (b).

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_0^n b_r \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2} - r\right)t \\ & = \text{Imaginary part of } \left\{ e^{-i\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)t} \cdot \sum_0^n b_r e^{i(r+1)t} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } \sum_{r=0}^n b_r e^{i(r+1)t} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]} + \sum_{\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]+1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} \leq t\right) \\ &= A_{n,1} + A_{n,2}. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis in 2 about b_n and Abel's inequality we have

$$|A_{n,2}| \leq \frac{k}{t} \cdot b_{\frac{1}{t}} \leq k' B_{1/t};$$

and

$$|A_{n,1}| \leq \cdot B_{1/t}.$$

Hence $|k_n(t)| \leq k_2 \cdot B_{1/t}$ in $\frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \delta$ thus establishing (5.3) b.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \frac{1}{2\pi B_n} \int_0^\delta \psi_{x_0}(t) \frac{|k_n(t)|}{\sin \frac{t}{2}} dt &= \frac{1}{2\pi B_n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \frac{1}{2\pi B_n} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\delta \\ &= E_n + F_n \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{By (5.3) a, } E_n \leq \frac{2n+1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi_{x_0}(t) dt.$$

and by Note 1, under B, hypothesis of \bar{A} or A, namely (3.1), implies

$$n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \psi_{x_0}(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $E_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (5.5)

$$F_n \leq \frac{k_3}{2\pi B_n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \psi_{x_0}(t) \cdot \frac{B_{1/t}}{t} dt \text{ by 5.3 (b)}$$

$$\leq \frac{k_3}{2\pi B_n} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{\delta}}^n \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{B_t}{t} dt \text{ which by hypothesis of A}$$

converges to 0 with $n \rightarrow \infty$. (5.6)

Hence (5.2), (5.5) and (5.6) establish \bar{A} (and with B, A also).

6. THEOREM C: If $f(x)$ be a periodic summable function and

$$(a) \frac{1}{B_x} \int_a^x \theta(t) \cdot b_t dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } (a') \frac{1}{x} \int_a^x \theta(t) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ (a'') } \theta(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\theta(t) = \psi_{x_0}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot \frac{B_t}{t \cdot b_t}$ (6.1)

and (b) the Fourier coefficients of $f(x) \leq \frac{A}{p_n}$, ($A > 0$). (6.2)

then the Fourier series of $f(x)$ converges at x_0 to $f(x_0)$;

the terms $b_t, B_t, p_n, \psi_{x_0}(t)$ being as follows:—

- (1) $b_0 > 0$;
- (2) $\frac{b_0}{b_1} \geq \frac{b_1}{b_2} \geq \dots \geq \frac{b_n}{b_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (3) $\sum_0^n b_r = B_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (4) $b_t = b_{[t]}, B_t = B_{[t]}$;
- (5) p_n is defined by $\frac{B_{[p_n]}}{B_n} \leq \delta < \frac{B_{[p_n+1]}}{B_n}$, $0 < \delta < 1$, (δ being fixed);
- (6) $\psi_{x_0}(t)$ as in 1.1]. (6.3)

Conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) of (6.3) imply that b_n 's and B_n 's satisfy (1) and (2), (3) and (4) of 2.

Hence by theorems A and A_1 , (6.1) implies that

$$\frac{1}{B_{n_0}} \sum^n b_r S_{n-r}(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (6.4)$$

In a paper⁸ to be shortly published, I have established the following Tauberian Theorem:—"Under conditions (1) to (5) about b_n , if a sequence S_n is such that

$$(a) \frac{1}{B_n} \sum^n b_r S_{n-r} \rightarrow S \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } (b) S_n - S_{n-1} \leq \frac{A}{p_n} \quad (A > 0)$$

then S_n converges to S in the ordinary sense. (6.5)

In view of this, conditions (6.2) and (6.4) establish theorem C.

7. *Special Cases of A and C.*—In these special cases we shall consider, the coefficients satisfy (6.3) thence the conditions of 2, so that we can consider the special cases of A and C, together.

(1) $b_n \sim \frac{1}{n^\sigma}$ $0 \leq \sigma < 1$. Theorem $\bar{A} \equiv$ (F. L. H.) theorem, and the Tauberian part of C = Cesaro-Tauber theorem for (C, r) $0 < r \leq 1$.

(2) $b_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$. Theorem A for this case is discussed in my paper⁷ Theorem C in this case is the Hardy-Littlewood⁵ (1932) test with slightly broader conditions.

(3) Corresponding to $b_n \sim \frac{1}{n \log n}, \frac{1}{n \log n \log \log n}$, etc., the point condition of A or A_1 gets stiffer and stiffer, and the Tauberian condition in C gets broader and broader, for example in the case $b_n \sim \frac{1}{n \log n}$, the point condition is in its simplest form is $\psi_{x_0}(t) \cdot \log t \cdot \log |\log t| \rightarrow 0$ $t \rightarrow 0$.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Zygmund | .. <i>Trigonometric Series</i> , 48-49. |
| 2. Fe Jer | .. <i>M.A.</i> , 1904, 58, 501-69. |
| 3. Lebesgue | .. <i>Ibid.</i> , 1905, 61, 251-80. |
| 4. Hardy | .. <i>P. L. M. S.</i> , 1913, 12, 365-72. |
| 5. ——— and Littlewood | .. <i>J. L. M. S.</i> , 1932, 7, 252-56. |
| 6. Valeron | .. <i>Rend di Palermo</i> , 1917, 42, 267-84. |
| 7. K. S. K. Iyengar | .. "A Tauberian theorem and its application to convergence of Fourier series," this issue of <i>Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.</i> , pp. 81-87. |
| 8. ——— | .. "Mercerian and Tauberian theorems for a class of Norlund means" (to appear shortly). |