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#### Abstract

We compute the cohomology of the Picard bundle on the desingularization $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ of the compactified Jacobian of an irreducible nodal curve $Y$. We use it to compute the cohomology classes of the Brill-Noether loci in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$.

We show that the moduli space $M$ of morphisms of a fixed degree from $Y$ to a projective space has a smooth compactification. As another application of the cohomology of the Picard bundle, we compute a top intersection number for the moduli space $M$ confirming the Vafa-Intriligator formulae in the nodal case.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $Y$ be an integral nodal curve of arithmetic genus $g$, with $m$ (ordinary) nodes as only singularities, defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . Let $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ denote the compactified Jacobian of $Y$ i.e., the space of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and degree $d$ on $Y$. The generalized Jacobian $J^{d}(Y) \subset \bar{J}^{d}(Y)$, the subset consisting of locally free sheaves, is the set of nonsingular points of $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$. There is a natural desingularization of $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ (Proposition 12.1, p. 64 of [9])

$$
h: \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \rightarrow \bar{J}^{d}(Y)
$$

Let $\tilde{\theta}$ denote the pullback of the theta divisor (or the theta line bundle) on $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ be the pullback to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$ of the Poincaré sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ on $\bar{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$. For $d \geq 2 g-1$, the direct image $E_{d}$ of the Poincaré sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is a vector bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ called the degree $d$ Picard bundle. Unlike in the case of a nonsingular curve, $E_{d}$ is neither $\tilde{\theta}$-stable nor ample [6]. However, as the following theorem shows, the Chern classes of this bundle are given by a formula exactly the same as that in the smooth case.

Theorem 1.1. The total Segre class $s\left(E_{d}\right)$ of the Picard bundle $E_{d}$ is

$$
s\left(E_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\theta}} \text { and hence } c\left(E_{\mathrm{d}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{\theta}}
$$

We give a few applications of this theorem. The Brill-Noether scheme $B_{Y}(1, d, r) \subset$ $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ is the scheme whose underlying set is the set of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and
degree $d$ on $Y$ with at least $r$ independent sections. The expected dimension of $B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is given by the Brill-Noether number

$$
\beta_{Y}(1, d, r)=g-r(r-d-1+g)
$$

Let $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r):=h^{-1} B_{Y}(1, d, r) \subset \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ be the Brill-Noether locus in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. Since $h$ is a finite surjective map, $B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is nonempty if and only if $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is nonempty. Using Theorem 1.1, we compute the fundamental class of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ and use it to give an effective proof of the nonemptiness of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.2. If $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is empty or if $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ has the expected dimension $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r)$, then the fundamental class $\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}$ of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ coincides with

$$
b_{1, d, r}=\prod_{\alpha=0}^{r-1} \frac{\alpha!}{g-d+r-1+\alpha} \tilde{\theta}^{r(g-d+r-1)}
$$

COROLLARY 1.3
$B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ and $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ are nonempty for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$.
For a fixed positive integer $r$, consider the direct sum of $r$ copies of $E_{d}$,

$$
\mathcal{E}=\oplus_{r} E_{d}
$$

For $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ with $h(\tilde{L})=L$, the fibre of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} H^{0}(Y, L)\right)$. A point in the fibre may be written as a class

$$
(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})=\left(\tilde{L}, \phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right),
$$

where

$$
\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y), \phi_{i} \in H^{0}(Y, L) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0) .
$$

Let $V_{\phi}$ be the subspace of $H^{0}(Y, L)$ generated by $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \mid L \text { locally free, } V_{\phi} \text { generates } L\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that $M$ can be regarded as the moduli space of morphisms

$$
Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

of degree $d$ for $d \geq 2 g-1, d \geq 0, r \geq 2$.

## Theorem 1.4.

(1) There exists a morphism $F_{M}$ from $M \times Y$ to a $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$-bundle over $M \times Y$ such that for any element $a \in M,\left.F_{M}\right|_{a \times Y}$ determines a morphism $f_{a}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree $d$.
(2) Given a scheme $S$ and a morphism $F_{S}: S \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ such that for any $s \in S$, the morphism $F_{S}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is of degree $d$, there is a morphism

$$
\alpha_{S}: S \rightarrow M
$$

such that the base change of $F_{M}$ by $\alpha_{S} \times i d$ gives $F_{S}$.

Thus $\bar{M}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ can be regarded as a compactification of the moduli space of morphisms $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree $d$.

Fixing a nonsingular point $t \in Y$, we may assume that the Poincare bundle is normalized so that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \mid \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times t$ is the trivial line bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. Then we see that the restriction of $F_{M}$ to $M \times t$ gives

$$
F_{t}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

defined by

$$
F_{t}(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})=\left(\phi_{1}(t), \ldots, \phi_{r}(t)\right)
$$

Fixing a hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, we get a Cartier divisor on $M$ with the underlying set

$$
X=X_{H}:=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \in M \mid F_{t}((\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})) \in H\right\}
$$

One shows that there exists a variety $Z \subset \bar{M}$ such that $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(1)\right)=Z$ and $Z \cap M=X$. We define the top intersection number $\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle$ of $X$ in $M$ as the top intersection number $Z^{n}[\bar{M}]$ of $Z, n$ being the dimension of $M$.

## Theorem 1.5.

$$
\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle=r^{g}
$$

In case $Y$ is smooth, a formula for the top intersection number was given by Vafa [10] and Intriligator (eq. (5.5) of [8]). The formula was verified to be true by Bertram and others (Theorem 5.11 of [3]). Theorem 1.5 shows that the intersection number is the same in the nodal case.

## 2. Cohomology of the Picard bundle

### 2.1 Notation

Let $Y$ be an integral nodal curve of arithmetic genus $g$ with $m$ (ordinary) nodes defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 . Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ be the nodes of $Y$. We denote by $X_{k}$ the curve with $k$ nodes obtained by blowing up the nodes $y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_{m}$, thus $Y=X_{m}$ and $X_{0}$ is the normalization of $Y$. Denote the normalization map by

$$
p: X_{0} \rightarrow Y
$$

Let $p^{-1}\left(y_{k}\right)=\left\{x_{k}, z_{k}\right\} \in X_{0}$ be the inverse image of the nodal point $y_{k}$ in $Y$. By abuse of notation, we denote by the same $x_{k}$ and $z_{k}$, the image of these points in $X_{j}$, for all $j<k$. Let $p_{k}: X_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k}$ be the natural morphism obtained by identifying $x_{k}$ and $z_{k}$ to the single node $y_{k}$.

Let us denote by $Y^{o}$ and $X_{0}^{o}$ the smooth irreducible open subsets $Y-\left\{\cup_{j=1}^{m} y_{j}\right\}$ and $X_{0}-$ $\cup_{j=1}^{m}\left\{x_{j}, z_{j}\right\}$ respectively. Then one has $Y^{o} \cong X_{0}^{o}$. Note that $X_{0}^{o}$ maps isomorphically onto an open subset $X_{k}^{o}$ of $X_{k}$ for each $k$. For $x \in X_{0}^{o}$, we use the same notation for $x$ and its image in $X_{k}^{o}$ for all $k$ (if no confusion is possible).

Once and for all, fix a (sufficiently general) point $t \in Y^{o}$.

### 2.2 The cycles $\tilde{W}_{d}$ and the nonsingular variety $B l^{d}$

Let $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ denote the compactified Jacobian i.e., the space of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and degree $d$ on $Y$. It is a seminormal variety. The generalized Jacobian $J^{d}(Y) \subset$ $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$, the subset consisting of locally free sheaves, is the set of nonsingular points of $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$. The compactified Jacobian $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ has a natural desingularization

$$
h: \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \rightarrow \bar{J}^{d}(Y)
$$

It is a $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle ( $m$-fold product) over $J^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ (Prop. 12.1, p. 64 of [9], [4]). Since $h$ is an isomorphism over $J^{d}(Y)$, the Jacobian $J^{d}(Y)$ is canonically embedded in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$.

We have the Abel-Jacobi map

$$
Y \rightarrow \bar{J}^{1}(Y)
$$

which is an embedding [1]. However, it does not extend to a morphism $S^{d}(Y) \rightarrow \bar{J}^{d}(Y)$, where $S^{d}(Y)$ is the symmetric $d$-th power of $Y$. The problem being that, unlike in the smooth case, the tensor products of non-locally free sheaves on $Y$ have torsion.

Certainly the restriction of the Abel-Jacobi map to $Y^{o}$ extends to $S^{d}\left(Y^{o}\right)$ giving a morphism

$$
f_{d}^{\prime}: S^{d}\left(Y^{o}\right) \rightarrow J^{d}(Y)
$$

defined by

$$
\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right] \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{d}\right) \in J^{d}(Y)
$$

Define cycles $\tilde{W}_{d} \subset J^{d}(Y) \subset \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ to be the closure of the image of $f_{d}^{\prime}$ in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ with the reduced scheme structure. In particular, $\tilde{W}_{g-1}$ is a divisor. Define the theta divisor $\tilde{\theta}$ on $\tilde{J}^{g-1}(Y)$ as $\tilde{W}_{g-1}$. We identify $\tilde{W}_{d}$ with its isomorphic image in $\tilde{J}^{0}(Y)$ under translation by $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-d t)$.

In Theorem 3.1 of [5], we have proved the following generalization of the Poincaré formula. For $1 \leq d \leq g$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}_{g-d}=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{d}}{d!} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as cycles in $\tilde{J}^{0}(Y)$ modulo numerical equivalence.
We also identified $\tilde{W}_{d}$ with the Brill-Noether locus $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, 1)$ whose underlying set is

$$
\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, 1):=\left\{N \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \mid h^{0}(Y, h(N)) \geq 1\right\} .
$$

We constructed in § 4.1 of [5] a nonsingular variety $B l^{d}$ and a morphism

$$
\psi: B l^{d} \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)
$$

with image $\tilde{W}_{d}$. The morphism $\psi$ is analogous to the natural morphism

$$
\psi_{0}: S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right) \rightarrow W_{d}\left(X_{0}\right) \subseteq J^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)
$$

where $W_{d}\left(X_{0}\right)=B_{X_{0}}(1, d, 1)$. We define $B l_{0}^{d}=S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$. The variety $B l^{d}:=B l_{m}^{d}$ was constructed from $B l_{0}^{d}$ by induction on the number of nodes. For $k=1, \ldots, m$, we have divisors $\Sigma_{x_{k}} \cong S^{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right) \times x_{k} \subset S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ and $\Sigma_{z_{k}} \cong S^{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right) \times z_{k} \subset S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right) . B l_{1}^{d}$ is obtained by blowing up $\Sigma_{x_{1}} \cap \Sigma_{z_{1}}$ in $B l_{0}^{d}$. Inductively $B l_{k}^{d}$ is obtained by blowing up $D\left(x_{k}\right) \cap D\left(z_{k}\right)$ in $B l_{k-1}^{d}$ where $D\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $D\left(z_{k}\right)$ are respectively the proper transforms of $\Sigma_{x_{k}}$ and $\Sigma_{z_{k}}$.

For $x \in Y^{o}$, let $\Sigma_{x} \subset S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ be the divisor isomorphic to $S^{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right) \times x$ and $D_{x}$ its proper transform in $B l^{d}$. Let $\left[D_{x}\right]$ denote the class of $D_{x}$. Note that for $d \leq g, \psi: B l^{d} \rightarrow$ $\tilde{W}_{d}$ is a surjective birational morphism. Therefore, for the cycle $\left[D_{x}\right]^{i}$ of codimension $i$ in $B l^{d}$, the cycle $\psi_{*}\left[D_{x}\right]^{i}$ is of codimension $i$ in $\tilde{W}_{d}$. Since $\tilde{W}_{d}$ is of codimension $g-d$ in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$, it follows that $\psi_{*}\left[D_{x}\right]^{i}$ is of codimension $g-d+i$ in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. In fact, we have the following explicit description of the latter cycle.

## PROPOSITION 2.1

$$
\psi_{*}\left[D_{x}\right]^{i}=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{g-d+i}}{(g-d+i)!} .
$$

Proof. We have a commutative diagram


The fibre of $\psi_{0}$ over $L_{0} \in J^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ is $F_{\psi_{0}} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}\right)\right)$, the space of 1-dimensional subspaces of $H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}\right)$. A point $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ corresponds to a tuple $\left(L_{0}, Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}\right)$ where $L_{0} \in J^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ and $Q_{j}$ are 1-dimensional quotients of $\left(L_{0}\right)_{x_{j}} \oplus\left(L_{0}\right)_{z_{j}}$. One has $h(\tilde{L}) \subset p_{*} L_{0}$ and hence $H^{0}(Y, h(\tilde{L})) \subset H^{0}\left(Y, p_{*} L_{0}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}\right)$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.3 of [5], it follows that the map $B l^{d} \rightarrow B l_{0}^{d}$ induces an injection of fibres $F_{\psi} \rightarrow F_{\psi_{0}}$. The fibre $F_{\psi}$ of $\psi$ over $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(Y, h(\tilde{L}))\right.$ (Proposition 4.3 of [5]) and the injection $F_{\psi} \rightarrow F_{\psi_{0}}$ is the canonical injection $H^{0}(Y, h(\tilde{L})) \subset$ $H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}\right)$.

The elements of $S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ can be identified with divisors on $X_{0}$. For $x \in X_{0}^{o}$,

$$
\Sigma_{x}=\left\{D \in S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right) \mid D=x+D^{\prime}, D^{\prime} \in S^{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

Equivalently, $\Sigma_{x}=\left\{D \in S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right) \mid D-x \geq 0\right\}$. Thus

$$
\psi_{0}\left(\Sigma_{x}\right)=\left\{L_{0} \in J^{d}\left(X_{0}\right) \mid L_{0}(-x) \in W_{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

is a translate of $W_{d-1}\left(X_{0}\right)$.
One has $F_{\psi_{0}} \cap \Sigma_{x} \cong H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}(-x)\right)$ [3]. Hence

$$
D_{x} \cap F_{\psi}=H^{0}\left(X_{0}, L_{0}(-x)\right) \cap H^{0}(Y, h(\tilde{L}))=H^{0}(Y, h(\tilde{L})(-x))
$$

It follows that $\psi\left(D_{x}\right)$ is an $x$-translate of $\tilde{W}_{d-1} \cong \tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, 1)$. More generally, if $x_{1}, \ldots x_{i}$ are general elements of $Y^{o}$, then one has $\psi\left(D_{x_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap D_{x_{i}}\right)$ is an $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{i} x_{j}\right)$ translate of $\tilde{W}_{d-i}$. By generalized Poincaré formula on $Y$ (equation (2.1), Theorem 3.8 of [5]), we have

$$
\left[\tilde{W}_{d-i}\right]=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{g-d+i}}{(g-d+i)!} .
$$

Thus $\psi_{*}\left[D_{x_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap D_{x_{i}}\right]=\tilde{\theta}^{g-d+i} /(g-d+i)$ ! and hence

$$
\psi_{*}\left[D_{x}\right]^{i}=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{g-d+i}}{(g-d+i)!}
$$

for all $x \in Y^{o}$.

### 2.3 The Picard bundle

Recall that we have fixed a point $t \in Y^{o}$. There exists a Poincaré sheaf $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{d}(Y) \times$ $Y$ normalized by the condition that $\mathcal{P} \mid \bar{J}^{d}(Y) \times t$ is the trivial line bundle on $\bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ (see [7]). Let

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y
$$

be the pullback of $\mathcal{P}$ to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is a family of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and degree $d$ on $Y$ parametrized by $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \mid \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times t$ is the trivial line bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. Let $v$ (respectively, $p_{Y}$ ) denote the projections from $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$ to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ (respectively, $Y$ ).

For $d \geq 2 g-1$, the direct image $E_{d}$ of the Poincaré sheaf $\tilde{P}$ on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$ is a vector bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ called the degree $d$ Picard bundle. It is a vector bundle of rank $d+1-g$.

## PROPOSITION 2.2

For $d \geq 2 g, B l^{d}$ is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d}\right)$.
Proof. We prove the result by induction on the number $k$ of nodes. Recall that $X_{k}$ denotes the curve with $k$ nodes $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$. Let $g\left(X_{k}\right)$ be the genus of $X_{k}$. For each $k$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $J^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$ be the Jacobian and $\bar{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$ the compactified Jacobian of degree $d$ on $X_{k}$. We have a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle

$$
\pi_{k}: \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k-1}\right) .
$$

We identify $\bar{J}^{0}\left(X_{k}\right)$ with $\bar{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$ by the morphism $L \mapsto L(d t)$ for $L \in \bar{J}^{0}\left(X_{k}\right)$ for all $k$. This also gives an identification of $\tilde{J}^{0}\left(X_{k}\right)$ with $\tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Let $E_{d, k}$ be the Picard bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Let $B l_{k}^{d}$ be the variety $B l^{d}$ corresponding to $X_{k}$.

For $k=0$, set $B l_{0}^{d}=S^{d}\left(X_{0}\right)$ and it is well-known that this symmetric product is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d, 0}\right)$ for $d \geq 2 g\left(X_{0}\right)$. Now, by induction, we may assume that for $k \geq 1$, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d, k-1}\right) \cong B l_{k-1}^{d}$. Hence

$$
\pi_{k}^{*} \mathbb{P}\left(E_{d, k-1}\right) \cong B l_{k-1}^{d} \times \tilde{\tilde{J}}^{d}\left(X_{k-1}\right) \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right) \subset B l_{k-1}^{d} \times \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

There is an injective morphism $i_{k}: E_{d, k} \rightarrow \pi_{k}^{*} E_{d, k-1}$ (Proposition 5.1 of [6]) so that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(i_{k} E_{d, k}\right) \subset B l_{k-1}^{d} \times \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

On the other hand, by the construction of $B l_{k}^{d}$,

$$
B l_{k}^{d} \subset B l_{k-1}^{d} \times \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)
$$

In fact it is the closure of the graph of a rational map $\psi_{k}^{\prime}: B l_{k-1}^{d} \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$. We recall the definition of $\psi_{k}^{\prime}$. There exists an open set $U_{k-1} \subset S^{d}\left(X_{0}^{o}\right)$ embedded in $B l_{k-1}^{d}$ (i.e. isomorphic to an open subset of $B l_{k-1}^{d}$ ) such that $\psi_{k}^{\prime}$ is well-defined on $U_{k-1}$ and is defined as follows: For $\sum_{i} p_{i} \in U_{k-1}$, one has $\psi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i} p_{i}\right)=(j, Q) \in \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$ where $j$ corresponds to the line bundle $L=\mathcal{O}_{X_{k-1}}\left(\sum p_{i}\right), L$ has a unique (up to a scalar) section $s$ with zero scheme $\sum_{i} p_{i}$ and $Q$ is the quotient of $L_{x_{k}} \oplus L_{z_{k}}$ by the 1-dimensional subspace generated by $s\left(x_{k}\right)+s\left(z_{k}\right)$. The pair $(j, Q)$ determines $j^{\prime}=h(j, Q)$ and $s$ gives a section $s^{\prime}$ of the line bundle $L^{\prime}$ corresponding to $j^{\prime}$.

Recall that by the definition of the direct image, the elements of $E_{d, k}$ correspond to all the pairs $\left(j^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right), j^{\prime} \in \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right), s^{\prime} \in H^{0}\left(X_{k}, L^{\prime}\right)$ where $L^{\prime}$ is the torsionfree sheaf corresponding to $h\left(j^{\prime}\right)$. Let $j=h\left(\pi_{k}(j)^{\prime}\right), j \in \bar{J}_{X_{k-1}}$. If $L$ corresponds to $j$, then the injection $\left(i_{k}\right)_{j^{\prime}}$ corresponds to the inclusion $H^{0}\left(X_{k}, L^{\prime}\right) \subset H^{0}\left(X_{k-1}, L\right)$. It follows that $\mathbb{P}\left(i_{k}\left(E_{d, k}\right)\right) \subset B l_{k-1}^{d} \times \tilde{J}^{d}\left(X_{k}\right)$ contains the graph of $\psi_{k}^{\prime}$ and hence its closure $B l_{k}^{d}$. Since $B l_{k}^{d}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(i_{k}\left(E_{d, k}\right)\right)$ are irreducible and of the same dimension, it follows that they coincide. Since both $B l_{k}^{d}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(i_{k}\left(E_{d, k}\right)\right)$ are nonsingular, the injective homomorphism $i_{k}$ induces an isomorphism from $\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d, k}\right)$ onto $B l_{k}^{d}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 1.1). The total Segre class $s\left(E_{d}\right)$ of the Picard bundle is

$$
s\left(E_{d}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\theta}} \quad \text { and } \quad c\left(E_{d}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{\theta}} .
$$

Proof. Since $\left.\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right|_{\tilde{J} d_{(Y) \times t}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)}$, the restriction of the evaluation map ev $: v^{*} v_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times t$ gives a surjective homomorphism

$$
e v_{t}: v_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}=E_{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)} .
$$

This defines a section $s_{t}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d}\right)}(1)$ whose zero set is the divisor

$$
D_{t}=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \phi) \in \mathbb{P}\left(E_{d}\right) \mid \phi(t)=0\right\} .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{t}\right]=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(E_{d}\right)}(1)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{*}\left[D_{t}\right]^{d-g+\ell}=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{\ell}}{\ell!} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By VII(4.3), p. 318 of [2], if $c\left(-E_{d}\right):=\frac{1}{c\left(E_{d}\right)}$ denotes the Segre class of $E_{d}$, then

$$
c_{l}\left(-E_{d}\right)=\psi_{*}\left[D_{t}\right]^{d+1-g-1+l}=\psi_{*}\left[D_{t}\right]^{d-g+l} .
$$

Hence by eq. (2.3), one has $c_{l}\left(-E_{d}\right)=\frac{\tilde{\theta}^{l}}{l!}$ and hence $c\left(-E_{d}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\theta}}$. Thus

$$
c\left(E_{d}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-\tilde{\theta}}
$$

## 3. Brill-Noether loci

The Brill-Noether scheme $B_{Y}(1, d, r) \subset \bar{J}^{d}(Y)$ is the scheme whose underlying set is the set of torsion-free sheaves of rank 1 and degree $d$ on $Y$ with at least $r$ independent sections. The expected dimension of $B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is given by the Brill-Noether number

$$
\beta_{Y}(1, d, r)=g-r(r-d-1+g) .
$$

Let $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r):=h^{-1} B_{Y}(1, d, r) \subset \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ be the Brill-Noether locus in $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. Since $h$ is a surjective map, $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ is nonempty if and only if $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is nonempty. In this section, we compute the fundamental class of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r) \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ using the Porteous' formula. An application of this computation is an effective proof of nonemptiness of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$ following VII, Theorem 4.4 of [2]. We note that since $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ is a smooth algebraic variety, the Porteous' formula is valid in the Chow ring of $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)(\mathrm{II}(4.2)$ of [2]). We recall the formula.

For a vector bundle $V$ on a variety $Z$, one has $c_{t}(V)=1+c_{1}(V) t+c_{2}(V) t^{2}+\cdots$ and $c_{t}$ extends to a homomorphism from the Grothendieck group $K(Z)$ to the multiplicative group of the invertible elements in the power series ring $H^{*}(Z)[[t]]$. Let $-V$ denote the negative of the class of $V$ in $K(Z)$ and $V_{1}-V_{0}$ the difference of the classes of $V_{1}$ and $V_{0}$ in $K(Z)$.

For a formal power series $a(i)=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_{i} t^{i}$, set

$$
\Delta_{p, q}(a)=\operatorname{det} A
$$

where $A$ is the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{p} & \cdots & a_{p+q-1} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
a_{p-q+1} & \cdots & a_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 3.1 Porteous' formula

Let $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ be holomorphic vector bundles of respective ranks $n$ and $m$ over a complex manifold $Z$ and $\Psi: V_{0} \rightarrow V_{1}$ a holomorphic mapping. Let $Z_{k}(\Psi)$ be the $k$-th degeneracy locus associated to $\Psi$. It is supported on the set

$$
Z_{k}(\Psi)=\left\{z \in Z \mid \operatorname{rank} \Psi_{z} \leq k\right\}
$$

Then if $Z_{k}(\Psi)$ is empty or has the expected dimension $\operatorname{dim} Z-(n-k)(m-k)$, the fundamental class $z_{k}$ of $Z_{k}(\Psi)$ coincides with

$$
\Delta_{m-k, n-k}\left(c_{t}\left(V_{1}-V_{0}\right)\right)=(-1)^{(m-k)(n-k)} \Delta_{n-k, m-k}\left(c_{t}\left(V_{0}-V_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 1.2). If $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is empty or if $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ has the expected dimension $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r)$, then the fundamental class $\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}$ of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ coincides with

$$
b_{1, d, r}=\prod_{\alpha=0}^{r-1} \frac{\alpha!}{g-d+r-1+\alpha} \tilde{\theta}^{r(g-d+r-1)} .
$$

Proof. Recall that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the Poincare sheaf on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$ and $v: \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y \rightarrow$ $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y), p_{Y}: \tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y \rightarrow Y$ denote the projections.

Fix a Cartier divisor $E$ on $Y$ of degree $m \geq 2 g-d-1$ and let $n=m+d-g+1$. Then (as seen in section 5.1 of [5]) $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r) \subset \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ is the $(n-r)$-th degenaracy locus of the morphism

$$
\Psi: \tilde{V}_{0} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{1}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{V}_{0}:=v_{*}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \tilde{p}_{Y}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(E)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{V}_{1}:=\tilde{v}_{*}\left(\left.\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \tilde{p}_{Y}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(E)\right|_{\tilde{p}_{Y}^{-1}(E)}\right)
$$

The sheaves $\tilde{V}_{0}$ and $\tilde{V}_{1}$ are locally free sheaves of rank $n$ and $m$ respectively. The vector bundle $\tilde{V}_{1}$ is a direct sum of line bundles with the first Chern class 0 and so it has a trivial (total) Chern class. Hence by Porteous' formula, one has

$$
\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}=\Delta_{g-d+r-1, r}\left(c_{t}\left(-V_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

By Theorem 1.1, $c\left(-V_{0}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\tilde{\theta}}$. Then

$$
\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}=\Delta_{g-d+r-1, r}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t \tilde{\theta}}\right) .
$$

By calculations exactly the same as those on page 320 of [2] (in the proof of VII, Theorem (4.4) of [2]), we finally have

$$
\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}=\prod_{\alpha=0}^{r-1} \frac{\alpha!}{g-d+r-1+\alpha} \tilde{\theta}^{r(g-d+r-1)} .
$$

COROLLARY 3.2 (Corollary 1.3)
$B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ and $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ are nonempty for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$.
Proof. Note that $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r)=g-r(g-d+r-1) \geq 0$ if and only if $g \geq r(g-d+r-1)$ so that $b_{1, d, r}$ is nonzero if $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$. The fundamental class $\tilde{b}_{1, d, r}$ of $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ coincides with $b_{1, d, r}$ (by Theorem 1.2) and hence is nonzero for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$. Hence $\tilde{B}_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is nonempty for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$. It follows that $B_{Y}(1, d, r)$ is nonempty for $\beta_{Y}(1, d, r) \geq 0$.

## 4. Maps from $Y$ to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$

Assume that $d \geq 2 g-1, d \geq 0, r \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{E}=\oplus_{r} E_{d}$ where $E_{d}$ is the Picard bundle on $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ defined in $\S 2.3$. Let

$$
u: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)
$$

be the projection map. For $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ with $h(\tilde{L})=L$, the fibre of $\bar{M}$ over $\tilde{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\left({\underset{\sim}{L}}^{r} H^{0}(Y, L)\right)$. A point in the fibre may be written as a class $(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})=\left(\tilde{L}, \phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right)$ with $\tilde{L} \in \tilde{J}^{d}(Y), \phi_{i} \in H^{0}(Y, L)$ and $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$. Let $V_{\phi}$ be the subspace of $H^{0}(Y, L)$ generated by $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}$. Let

$$
M=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \mid L \text { locally free, } V_{\phi} \text { generates } L\right\}
$$

The following theorem shows that $M$ can be regarded as the moduli space of morphisms

$$
Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

of degree $d$.

## Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 1.4).

(1) There exists a morphism $F_{M}$ from $M \times Y$ to a projective bundle on $M \times Y$ such that for any element $a \in M,\left.F_{M}\right|_{a \times Y}$ gives a morphism $f_{a}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree d.
(2) Given a scheme $S$ and a morphism $F_{S}: S \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ such that for any $s \in S$, the morphism $F_{S}=\left.F_{S}\right|_{s \times Y}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is of degree $d$, there is a morphism

$$
\alpha_{S}: S \rightarrow M
$$

such that the base change of $F_{M}$ by $\alpha_{S}$ gives $F_{S}$.
Thus $\bar{M}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ may be regarded as a compactification of the moduli space of morphisms $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ of degree d.

Proof.
(1) Over $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times Y$, we have the evaluation map $e v_{r}: v^{*} v_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right) \rightarrow \oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Pulling back to $\bar{M} \times Y$ by $u^{\prime}=u \times I d_{Y}$ gives the map

$$
u^{\prime *} e_{v_{r}}: u^{\prime *} v^{*} v_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right) \rightarrow u^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)
$$

Its restriction to $M \times Y$ induces a map

$$
e_{M}: \mathbb{P}\left(u^{\prime *} v^{*} v_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(u^{\prime *}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right)
$$

Note that the fibre of the bundle $u^{*} \nu^{*} \nu_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)$ over $(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}, y)$ is $\oplus_{r} H^{0}(Y, L)$. By definition, $M=\mathbb{P}\left(\nu_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right)$. Hence $\mathbb{P}\left(u^{*} v^{*} \nu_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right) \rightarrow M \times Y$ has a canonical section $\sigma$ defined by $\sigma(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}, y)=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{M}:=e_{M} \circ \sigma: M \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(u^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)\right) \cong \mathbb{P}\left((h \circ u) \times i d_{Y}\right)^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the required morphism. To see this, note that the restriction of this composite morphism to $(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \times Y$ gives $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}(Y, L)\right)$ and hence determines the morphism

$$
f_{\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

defined by

$$
f_{\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}}(y)=\left(\phi_{1}(y), \ldots, \phi_{r}(y)\right) .
$$

We remark that in case $Y$ is a smooth curve, this map is the same as the pointwise map defined in Proposition 2.7 of [3].
(2) Let $F_{S}: S \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be a morphism such that for any $s \in S$, the morphism $F_{S}=\left.F_{S}\right|_{s \times Y}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is of degree $d$. Let

$$
N:=F_{S}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right)
$$

Note that for all $s \in S, N_{s}=\left.N\right|_{s \times Y}$ is a line bundle of degree $d$ generated by global sections. The coordinate functions $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$, on $\mathbb{C}^{r}$ define sections $z_{i}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)$. $F_{S}$ gives sections $\Phi_{i}=F_{S}^{*}\left(z_{i}\right)$ of $N$ such that $\left.\Phi_{i}\right|_{s \times Y}, i=1, \ldots, r$, generate $N_{s}$ of all $s$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{S}^{\prime}: S \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) \\
& F_{S}^{\prime}(s, y):=\left(\Phi_{1}(s, y), \ldots, \Phi_{r}(s, y)\right) \in \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N_{s, y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $N:=F_{S}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right)$, we have a map $\beta_{r}: \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right)$ lying over $F_{S}$. One has $\beta_{r}\left(\left(\Phi_{i}(s, y)\right)_{i}\right)=\left(z_{i}\left(F_{S}(s, y)\right)_{i}\right)$. Hence there is a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{r}} & \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right) \\
\uparrow F_{S}^{\prime} & & \downarrow \pi \\
S \times Y & \xrightarrow{F_{S}} & \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
\end{array}
$$

showing that $F_{S}$ can be recovered from $F_{S}^{\prime}$.
Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left.\tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right|_{J^{d}(Y)}$. By the universal property of the Jacobian, the line bundle $N \rightarrow$ $S \times Y$ defines a morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow J^{d}(Y) \subset \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$. One has $(\alpha \times i d)^{*} \mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cong N \otimes p_{S}^{*} N_{1}$, where $N_{1}$ is a line bundle on $S$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \times i d)^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) \otimes p_{S}^{*} N_{1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the projection formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{*}\left(\nu_{*} \oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) & \cong p_{S *}(\alpha \times i d)^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \cong p_{S *}\left(\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) \otimes p_{S}^{*} N_{1}\right) \\
& \cong\left(p_{S *}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)\right) \otimes N_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\alpha^{*}(\mathcal{E}) \cong\left(p_{S *}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)\right) \otimes N_{1}$. We have

$$
\alpha^{*}(\bar{M})=\alpha^{*}(\mathbb{P} \mathcal{E})=\mathbb{P}\left(\alpha^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\alpha^{*}\left(\left.\bar{M}\right|_{J^{d}(Y)}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(p_{S_{*}}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)\right)
$$

This gives the cartesian diagram


The sections $\Phi_{i} \in H^{0}(S \times Y, N)$ give $\left.\Phi \in \oplus_{r} H^{0}(S \times Y, N)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(S, p_{S *}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)\right.$. Since $N$ is generated by $\Phi_{i}$ 's, this gives a section $\bar{\phi}_{S}$ of $\mathbb{P}\left(p_{S *}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)\right)$ over $S$ such that if

$$
\alpha_{S}=\bar{\alpha} \circ \overline{\phi_{S}}, \text { then } \alpha_{S}(S) \subset M
$$

Then $u \circ \alpha_{S}=\alpha$ and the isomorphism (4.2) implies that

$$
\left(\alpha_{S} \times i d\right)^{*}\left(u^{\prime *} \oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=(\alpha \times i d)^{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\oplus_{r} N\right) \otimes p_{S}^{*}\left(N_{1}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\left(\alpha_{S} \times i d\right)^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(u^{\prime *} \oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)
$$

It follows that the family $F_{S}^{\prime}: S \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} N\right)$ is the base change of the family $F_{M}: M \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(u^{\prime *} \oplus_{r} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\alpha_{S} \times i d$. As explained in the beginning, $F_{S}^{\prime}$ gives $F_{S}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

We remark that the proof of Theorem 1.4 is valid for any integral curve $Y$ with its (compactified) Jacobian irreducible.

### 4.1 Top intersection number

Recall that $u: \bar{M} \rightarrow \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)$ is a projective bundle so that

$$
n=\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=r(d+1-g)+\operatorname{dim} \tilde{J}^{d}(Y)-1=r(d+1-g)+g-1
$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} E_{d}\right)}(1)$ be the relative ample line bundle.
The restriction of $F_{M}$ to $M \times t$ followed by the projection to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ gives

$$
F_{t}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\oplus_{r} \mathcal{O}_{M}\right)=M \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}
$$

defined by

$$
F_{t}(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})=\left(\phi_{1}(t), \ldots, \phi_{r}(t)\right)
$$

Fix a section $s \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right)$. It determines a hyperplane $H$ of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Then $F_{t}^{*} s \in H^{0}\left(M, F_{t}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(1)\right)$ defines a Cartier divisor on $M$. The underlying set of the Cartier divisor is given by

$$
X=X_{H}:=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \in M \mid F_{t}((\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi})) \in H\right\} .
$$

Lemma 4.2. There exists a variety $Z \subset \bar{M}$ such that $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(1)\right)=Z$ and $Z \cap M=X$.

Proof. Restricting the evaluation map $e v: v^{*} v_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to $\tilde{J}^{d}(Y) \times t$ we get

$$
e v_{t}: v_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})_{t}=\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)}
$$

Composing a projection (say 1st) $v_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right) \rightarrow v_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ with this map $e v_{t}$ gives the surjective homomorphism $v_{*}\left(\oplus_{r} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\right)=\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)}$. This defines a section $s_{t}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(1)$ whose zero set is

$$
Z:=Z\left(s_{t}\right)=\left\{(\tilde{L}, \bar{\phi}) \mid \phi_{1}(t)=0\right\} .
$$

Then $Z \cap M=X_{H_{1}}$ where $H_{1}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \mid z_{1}=0\right\}$.

## DEFINITION 4.3

We define the top intersection number $\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle$ of $X$ in $M$ as the intersection number

$$
\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle:=Z^{n}[\bar{M}]=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(1)\right)^{n}[\bar{M}] .
$$

## Theorem 4.4. (Theorem 1.5).

$$
\left\langle X^{n}\right\rangle=r^{g} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and VII(4.3), p. 318 of [2] applied to the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}=\oplus_{r} E_{d}$, we have (for $u_{*}: H^{n}(\mathbb{P E}) \rightarrow H^{g}\left(\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)\right)$ )

$$
u_{*} Z^{n}=c_{g}(-\mathcal{E})=s_{g}(\mathcal{E}),
$$

where $s_{g}(\mathcal{E})$ is the $g$-th Segre class of $\mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 1.1, $s(\mathcal{E})=\mathrm{e}^{r \tilde{\theta}}$ so that

$$
s_{g}(\mathcal{E})=\frac{r^{g} \tilde{\theta}^{g}}{g!}
$$

By the generalized Poincaré formula (see eq. (2.1)), $\tilde{\theta}^{g}\left[\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)\right]=g!$ so that

$$
s_{g}(\mathcal{E})\left[\tilde{J}^{d}(Y)\right]=r^{g},
$$

proving the theorem.

### 4.2 The formulas of Vafa and Intriligator

Let $X$ be a smooth curve (a compact Riemann surface). The formula for the top intersection number for the space of maps from $X$ to projective spaces (and more generally for intersection numbers for the space of maps from $X$ to Grassmannians) was given by Vafa and worked out in detail by Intriligator (eq. (5.5) of [8], [10]). The formula was verified to be true by Bertram et al (Theorem 5.11 of [3]) by showing that the top intersection number is $r^{g}$. Our Theorem 1.5 generalizes this to maps from nodal curves to projective spaces and shows that the top intersection number has the same value.
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