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ABSTRACT

The mean vertical velocity in pressure coordinates, and the corresponding height tendency, was computed at intervals of 100 mb from 1000 mb to 50 mb on a mesh covering India and most of southeast Asia. The rate of non-adiabatic heat supply was expressed as a function of the computed height tendency. The results showed pronounced ascent over northeast India and a zone of subsidence over northwest India. The rate of ascent decreased uniformly with pressure suggesting force ascent on account of orographic features to the north of India. The computed values of the height tendency indicated that non-adiabatic heat sources must be capable of producing an average warming at the rate of 1.6 $\text{C} (12\text{hr})^{-1}$ in northeast India, and an average cooling by 1.2 $\text{C} (12\text{hr})^{-1}$ in northwest India.

1. Introduction

The present paper is an attempt to study the variation of mean vertical motion with pressure, and to examine its relation with non-adiabatic heat sources. In view of its synoptic interest, we chose mean isobaric contours for a typical monsoon month (July), and the area selected for study covered India and most of the adjoining regions of southeast Asia. The mean vertical velocity was computed in pressure coordinates with the help of a geostrophic ten-layer model.

In dealing with mean motion it is customary to neglect time derivatives in the relevant equations, but a different procedure was adopted in this paper. We derived an equation for $\phi_t$, the height tendency of a constant pressure surface, by eliminating vertical velocity from equations representing the conservation of vorticity and entropy. The forcing function of this equation contained a term for the rate of non-adiabatic heat supply. The requirements of a steady state ($\phi_t = 0$) were then satisfied by making the forcing function, and the inhomogeneous part of the lower boundary condition, vanish. In this manner, we obtained the non-adiabatic heating which would make the motion steady. The advantage of this procedure was that it enabled us to estimate the non-adiabatic heat supply in terms of the variation of $\phi_t$ with pressure.

2. Geostrophically defined heating ($Q$)

Under the usual approximations based on scale considerations (Charney, 1948), we may express the conservation of vorticity and entropy in the familiar form

$$\nabla^2 \phi_t + f V \cdot \nabla \eta = f \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial p}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi_{pt} + V \cdot \nabla \phi_p = -\sigma \omega - k Q, \quad (2.2)$$

where $Q$ represents the rate of non-adiabatic heating (kJ ton$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$), $k = R/\sigma_p$, $\eta$ stands for the absolute vorticity, $\sigma$ the stability parameter

$$\left( -\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p} \ln \theta \right),$$

$f$ is the Coriolis parameter, $\phi$ represents geopotential and $\omega$ is the vertical pressure-velocity. We have used subscripts to denote derivatives, and friction has been neglected in (2.1).

Eliminating $\omega$ we have

$$L(\phi_t) = -f V \cdot \nabla \eta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left( \frac{f^2}{\sigma} V \cdot \nabla \phi_p \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_p} \left( \frac{K}{\sigma_p} \right), \quad (2.3)$$
The boundary conditions are

\[ (\omega)_1 = 0, \quad (\omega)_0 = (g w)_0 \frac{1}{(g w)_0} \left[ k Q \right] + (V \cdot \nabla \phi_p)_0. \]  

Subscripts 1 and 0 refer to conditions at the top of the atmosphere and the lower boundary \((p_0 = 1000 \text{ mb})\). \(w_0\) is the vertical velocity at the top of the friction layer and is determined by orographic uplift and frictional convergence.

We are interested in the mean vertical motion corresponding to a steady state. Consequently, \(w\) must vanish throughout the range of integration of (2.3). This will be the case if the inhomogeneous parts of (2.3) and (2.6) vanish. On imposing this constraint we have

\[ KQ_0 \left[ \phi_p \right] = - (V \cdot \nabla \phi_p)_0 + g(\sigma g w)_0, \]  

whence

\[ L(\phi_p^*) = - f V \cdot \nabla \eta - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \frac{f}{\sigma} V \cdot \nabla \phi_p^* \right). \]  

Thus

\[ (\phi_p^*)_0 = g w_0 - \frac{1}{(g w)_0} (\phi_p^*_0 + V \cdot \nabla \phi_p)_0. \]  

A corresponding field of \(\omega^*\) will be also defined by (2.1), which will be the adiabatic version of (2.2) with \(\phi_p^*\) replaced by \(\phi_p^*\).

We may now express the advective term \((V \cdot \nabla \eta)\) in (2.9) in terms of the adiabatically computed \(\phi_p^*\) defined by (2.10) and (2.11). We have

\[ \sigma \int_{p_s}^{p} \frac{V \cdot \nabla \eta}{f} dp = - \frac{\sigma}{f} \int_{p_s}^{p} \frac{\nabla^2 \phi_p^* dp}{f}. \]  

The relaxation was carried out on a 24 x 26 grid for the horizontal coordinates. Ten pressure levels from 95 cb to 5 cb, with intervals of 10 cb, formed the vertical grid. The programme for machine computation evaluated the height tendency \((\phi_p^*)\) for the levels 5, 15, . . . . 95 cb, while \(\omega^*\) was defined for 0, 10, . . . . 100 cb.

For the present investigation a Lambert conformal map of southeast Asia was constructed with standard parallels at 10 N and 40 N. This minimizes the variations of the map scale factor. Computation of the scale factor at different sectors of the map revealed a maximum distortion of the order of only six per cent between the centre and the edges of the map. The variation of the scale factor was therefore

\[ w_0 = L z_0 + (V \cdot \nabla H)_0. \]  
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neglected in the computation. A grid increment of approximately 200 km was used. The size of the grid is shown in Fig. 1.

In Figs. 2, 3 and 4 we present the mean isobaric pattern for 850, 500 and 100 mb over southeast Asia for the month of July. These maps were prepared from all available climatological means of upper air data from India and the neighbouring countries of southeast Asia. Monthly means for July were also prepared for fifty stations in the U.S.S.R. and the Chinese mainland on the basis of two years daily records (1957 and 1958) of data published by the U.S. Weather Bureau. In addition to the above charts, isobaric charts were also constructed for 1000, 700, 400, 300, 100 and 50 mb. To save

Fig. 1. Location of finite-difference lattice. Large rectangle outlines grid used for computation; area under hatching indicates terrain 10,000 feet above mean sea level. Circles represent network of upper air stations.

Fig. 2. Mean isobaric contours in feet for July: 850 mb.
Two main features of the monsoon circulation are brought out in Figs. 2, 3 and 4. Firstly, we notice a decrease in the intensity of the monsoon from the surface to about 500 mb, and a reversal above 500 mb. The flow pattern in the upper troposphere is governed by a quasi-static anticyclone with a well-marked westerly jet along its northern edge. The southern periphery of this anticyclone is also marked by strong winds which are sometimes referred to as the eastern jet. The second feature of importance is the absence of any pronounced flow perpendicular to the mountain ranges. An examination of the upper wind data from several stations on the southwestern boundary of the mountains indicated a cross mountain flow (downslope) of...
the order of 5–10 kt. A similar value upslope was obtained from the wind data of Gauhati (26.05 N, 91.43 E) on the southeastern edge of the mountains.

4. Variation of $\omega^*$ with pressure

In Figs. 5, 6 and 7 we have shown the adiabatically computed $\omega^*$ fields at 900, 500 and 200 mb. It may be mentioned in passing that although the adiabatic assumption was used in computing $\omega^*$, none of the other forcing terms, $V \cdot \nabla \eta$, $V \cdot \nabla \phi_p$ and $g\omega_o$, were neglected. The computations revealed two well marked zones of $\omega^*$, namely, (a) an area of marked ascent (negative $\omega^*$) over northeast India and (b) a zone of subsidence along the western periphery of the mountains. There were no other regions
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where \( w^* \) was of comparable intensity. Hereafter, we shall refer to the eastern zone of ascent as region A, while the zone of subsidence in northwest India will be designated as region B.

The variation of \( w^* \) with pressure in regions A and B is shown in Fig. 8. We see that \( w^* \) decreases uniformly with pressure in region A, while the variation with pressure in region B is not so well defined. This rather interesting result suggests that the field of \( w^* \) in region A is entirely determined by orographic uplift at the surface.

To investigate this aspect of the problem in more detail, let us assume that the field of \( \omega \) and \( \phi_t \) is determined by the basic equations without \( Q \cdot V \cdot \nabla \eta \), \( V \cdot \nabla \phi \) and \( Lc_t \cdot V \cdot \nabla H \) is now the only forcing term in the equations. Using primed symbols to denote our new parameters (\( \omega' \) and \( \phi'_t \)) we see that \( \omega' \) satisfies the equation

\[
\nabla^2 \omega' + \frac{\beta^2 \sigma^2 \omega'}{\sigma \partial p^2} = 0.
\]

The boundary conditions are

\[
(\omega')_0 = 0, \quad (\omega')_0 = f(x,y),
\]

where \( f(x,y) \) is a function determined by orography. For our present purpose the stability parameter (\( \sigma \)) may be taken to be a simple function of pressure by assuming a constant lapse rate of temperature.

We have

\[
\frac{k^2}{\sigma} = kp^2,
\]

where \( k = g^2/R \) (\( \gamma_a - \gamma \)), \( \gamma_a \) is the dry adiabatic lapse rate of temperature and \( T \) is an average temperature. This form of the stability para-

Fig. 7. Mean vertical velocity in cb(12hr\(^{-1}\)): 200 mb.

Fig. 8. Variation of vertical velocity with pressure. Full line represents northeast India; dotted line northwest India.
meter has been used by Soviet meteorologists in recent years (PHILLIPS, BLUMEN and COTE, 1960).

If we expand $f(x, y)$ in a double Fourier series

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{m, n} \sin mx \sin ny$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.5)

then the field of $\omega'$ satisfying (4.1) and (5.2) is readily shown to be given by the following expression

$$\omega' = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{m, n} \sin mx \sin ny \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \right)^{2\varepsilon},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)

where \( 2\varepsilon = 1 + \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \frac{m^2 + n^2}{k} \right) \right]^{1/4}. \hspace{1cm} (4.7) \)

The orographically produced vertical velocity ($\omega'$), therefore, decreases with pressure as ($p/p_0$)$^{2\varepsilon}$.

The computed field of $Q$ Equation (2.12) gives us the non-adiabatic heat supply which would make the motion steady. An inspection of the fields of $\phi^*$ and $\omega^*$ showed that the $\omega^*_{0}$ term in (2.12) was at most ten per cent of the $\phi^*_{p_1}$ term, while the latter was three times larger than the last term. For additional simplicity then, we may replace (2.12) by

$$Q \approx \frac{p}{k} \phi^*_{p_1} = -C_p T^*_{1}. \hspace{1cm} (5.1)$$

In other words, a good approximation to $Q$ may be obtained by taking the negative of the adiabatically computed temperature change and multiplying by $C_p$.

The mean values of $\phi^*$ and the corresponding temperature changes are shown in Table 1 as a function of pressure for both northeast India (A) and the northwestern parts of the country (B). From this table it appears that non-adiabatic sources must be capable of producing an average warming at the rate of 1.6 C/(12 hr)$^{-1}$ in northeast India, and an average cooling at the rate of 1.2 C/(12 hr)$^{-1}$ in northwest India.
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TABLE 1. Non-adiabatic heating and resultant temperature change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (cb)</th>
<th>Mean $\phi_t^*$ $\text{m}^2\text{sec}^{-2}(12\text{hr})^{-1}$</th>
<th>Mean pressure, (mb)</th>
<th>$T_t^*$ (C(12hr)$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>-451</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>-333</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>-235</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>-176</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>-118</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-568</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These sources are most pronounced at the lower levels.

We examined two physical processes which could provide the above requirements for a steady state. They are, (a) the latent heat released by precipitation, and (b) the cooling produced by outgoing radiation.

The mean precipitation in July over region A and B is 66 cm and 12 cm. A few simple calculations indicate that the latent heat release released by this amount of precipitation could warm the atmosphere by $3 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ in region A, and by $0.6 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ in region B. The predicted non-adiabatic warming is thus of the correct magnitude and sign in northeast India.

To compute the rate of radiative cooling we considered mean upper air soundings from two stations which were representative of region A and B. They are Calcutta (22.0 N, 88.5 E) and New Delhi (28.5 N, 76.6 E). The maximum radiative cooling under clear skies was computed by an Elsasser radiation chart, and the values were $0.5 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ for region A and $0.9 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ for region B.

The maximum cooling by outgoing radiation is thus not sufficient to explain the predicted amount over northwest India. We may, therefore, infer that eddy advection of temperature and vorticity, that is, the non-steady motion which changes from day to day, must provide the additional cooling required over northwest India. Considering the requirement indicated in Table I as our basis, the rate of cooling by this mechanism would appear to be of the order of $1.0 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ in region A and B.

6. Summary and conclusions

It may be desirable to summarize the principal results of this study in the form of the following conclusions:

1. The geographical orientation of the mountains creates a zone of forced ascent of air over northeast India of the order of 5–10 cb(12hr)$^{-1}$. To counterbalance the area of ascent, there appears to be a well marked zone of subsidence over northwest India. The rate of subsidence is of the same order of magnitude as the rate of ascent.

2. In northeast India the vertical velocity decreases uniformly with height. The vertical velocity at the surface follows a sinusoidal profile with wave length 1700 km. If we accept this profile on the lower boundary, there is good agreement between the observed and theoretical decrease of $\omega^*$ with pressure.

3. To maintain a steady circulation, non-adiabatic heat sources must be capable of producing warming at the rate of $1.6 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ over northeast India, and cooling at the rate of $1.2 \text{C(12hr)}^{-1}$ over northwest India.

4. Warming over northeast India could be well explained by the latent heat from heavy precipitation. But estimates of radiative cooling revealed that the predicted cooling over northwest India was too large to be explained by outgoing radiation.
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