

MASTER NEGATIVE NUMBER: 09295.29

Arunachalam, V.

Computer Programmes for Some Problems in  
Biometrical Genetics-III. Factor  
Analysis-centroid Method.

*Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*,  
27 (1967): 381-391.

Record no. D-10

# COMPUTER PROGRAMMES FOR SOME PROBLEMS IN BIOMETRICAL GENETICS—III. FACTOR ANALYSIS—CENTROID METHOD

V. ARUNACHALAM

*Division of Genetics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Delhi-12*

(Accepted : 22-ix-1967)

FACTOR analysis as a branch of multivariate analysis is very useful in determining the number and nature of causative influences responsible for the inter-correlation of variables in any population. Essentially, it aims in explaining a  $p \times p$  matrix of correlations where  $p$  is the number of variables, by means of a fewer number  $k$  ( $k < p$ ) of meaningful factors (Holzinger and Harman, 1941; Maxwell, 1961; Lawley and Maxwell, 1963; Rao, 1964). Such an approach, employing centroid method of factor analysis, was applied for the first time in crop plants to explain the causal factors for the genetic diversity found in some natural and selected populations of *Sorghum* (Murty and Arunachalam, 1967).

The present paper gives a computer programme (Appendix 1) prepared at the Biometrics Unit of the Division of Genetics for centroid method of factor analysis along with an example from the published data on *Sorghum*.

*Method of analysis.*—The method of analysis followed in this programme is completely outlined in Appendix E (pages 353–67) of the book “*Factor Analysis*” by Holzinger and Harman. The factors are obtained by centroid method with the variables reflected in the origin, when necessary, to remove the centroid from the origin in the residual factor space and to increase the contribution of the successive factors as well. The following considerations are taken into account during the centroid method of factoring.

(i) The highest correlation coefficient in each array of the correlation matrix,  $R$ , is taken as an estimate of the array communality or the diagonal element, as suggested by Thurstone (1935) and Cattell (1965).

(ii) Each element of the residual matrix, other than the estimated communalities, is tested against a desired accuracy level, designated as AQC in the text of the computer programme, to be chosen by the experimenter to suit his experimental material. If any element is greater than AQC, factoring continues: otherwise further extraction of factors is stopped.

(iii) The number of meaningful factors that can be extracted varies from one experimental material to another. However, this programme follows a general rule postulated by Lawley and Maxwell (1963) that  $(p+k)$  should be less than  $(p-k)^2$  where  $p$  is the number of variables and  $k$ , the number of factors. In our work on *Sorghum*, we found that this rule was practicable. The number of factors ultimately extracted will be  $k$  or  $m$  whichever is less, where  $k$  is the

number of factors calculated by the above rule and  $m$  is the number of factors extracted such that the elements of the residual matrix eliminating the  $m$  factors will be less than the desired level of accuracy, AQC. In fact, the programme stops extracting further factors when the number of factors extracted equals  $L$ , the lesser of  $k$  and  $m$ . In any particular case, if the number of factors extracted is only one, a message to that effect will be printed and the job is terminated.

After the number of factors to be extracted are decided as in (iii), the factor matrix of the size  $p \times k$  giving the loadings of each variable on the factors is multiplied with its transpose and the resulting  $p \times p$  matrix is printed. If the factoring by centroid method is adequate, then this matrix should be equal to the original correlation matrix after allowing for experimental and other errors.

The factor correlation matrix is then obtained primarily to see whether the factors are essentially uncorrelated.

There are several methods of estimating the communalities and the one explained in this programme is the easiest of them all. To see whether the factors obtained are adequate to explain the inter-correlations of the original variables, the communalities are calculated again using these factors and compared with the original estimated communalities. The contribution of each factor to the total original communality is obtained and the cumulative percentage contribution of all these factors to the total original communality serves again as an indication whether the number of factors extracted is adequate.

The programme can work with the control card  $\neq \neq$  FORX54 without major modifications up to 15 variables. It can work with a control card  $\neq \neq$  FORX53 up to 20 variables with necessary changes in the 'Dimension' statement and other output format statements. It can be easily split into a main and a link programme to accommodate more variables.

*Language of the programme.*—FORTRAN II suitable to work on an IBM 1620 (Model II) computer.

*Input.*—The following is required as input data. They should be punched in cards and arranged in the order indicated below :

(i) The title of the experiment and other coded details should be arranged to occupy 60 letters at the maximum and punched in one card starting from column 1.

(ii) The number of variables  $N$  and the desired level of accuracy (AQC) to test the elements of residual matrix as explained before should be punched in one card, the former occupying columns 1 and 2 and the latter 3 and 4. AQC usually is a small decimal quantity with two decimal digits, e.g.,  $N=9$ ,  $AQC=.07$  should be punched in one card from column 1 to 4 as 0907. The decimal point should not be punched.

(iii) The correlation matrix designated as R-matrix with the diagonal elements filled up with the estimated original communalities should be fed as follows : Since this matrix is symmetric, only one half of the matrix along with the diagonal elements is required as input. Each array of this triangular matrix is punched in cards, each card containing 10 quantities, each quantity

occupying six columns with 4 decimal digits. If the number of quantities in an array exceeds ten, then the remaining is punched in another card starting from column 1 and so on. It is important that the quantities in each array should begin with a fresh card. The following  $5 \times 5$  R-matrix would be punched, for example, as follows :

$$(R) = \begin{bmatrix} .1387 & - .0015 & .1387 & - .1830 & .0508 \\ & .1234 & - .1234 & .1234 & .0008 \\ & & .1387 & - .1138 & .1001 \\ & & & .1234 & - .0763 \\ & & & & .1001 \end{bmatrix}$$

Card I : 001387 (Cols. 1 to 6)  
 Card II : b—0015001234 (Cols. 1 to 12)  
 Card III : 001387b—1234001387 (Cols. 1 to 18)  
 Card IV : b—1830001234b—1138001234 (Cols. 1 to 24)  
 Card V : 000508000008001001b—0763001001 (Cols. 1 to 30)

The decimal points should not be punched. 'b' stands for blank.

*Output.*—The following is rendered as printed output with underlined subtitles in a neat form.

- (i) The title of the experiment as given in the input (i).
- (ii) The desired accuracy level, AQC.
- (iii) The original correlation matrix R (the lower triangular matrix).
- (iv) The centroid factor 1.
- (v) The residual matrix after eliminating factor 1, only if the sense switch 1 is put on before the data are fed.
- (vi) With reflected variables are indicated as "Variable..... is reflected".

The results are obtained in the printer in the same sequence from (iv) to (vi) with the corresponding factor number and residual matrices, when required until all the factors as explained earlier are extracted.

(vii) The factor matrix with the loadings of the variables on the factors.

(viii) The factor matrix multiplied by its transpose.

(ix) The lower half of the matrix of correlations between the factors without the diagonal elements.

(x) The original and calculated communalities along with the percentage contributions of the factors to the total original communality.

The output formats of this programme are suitable for ten variables. When the number of variables differ from ten, the Format and Dimension statements need to be verified before executing the programme.

The procedures outlined in this paper are supplemented below with an example taken from the published data on *Sorghum*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to the staff of the M. T. Unit of the Institute of Agricultural Research Statistics for computer facilities.

## REFERENCES

- Cattell, R. B. (1965). Factor Analysis. An introduction to essentials I. The purpose and underlying models. *Biometrics*, **21**: 190-215.
- (1955). ——— II. The role of factor analysis in research. *Biometrics*, **21**: 405-35.
- Holzinger, K. J. and Harman, H. H. (1941). *Factor Analysis*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, U.S.A.
- Lawley, D. N. and Maxwell, A. E. (1963). *Factor Analysis as a Statistical Method*. Butterworths, London.
- Maxwell, A. E. (1961). Recent trends in factor analysis. *J. roy. Statis. Soc.*, **A**, **124**: 49-59.
- Murty, B. R. and ARUNACHALAM, V. (1967). Factor Analysis of diversity in the genus *Sorghum*. *Indian J. Genet.*, **27**: 123-35.
- Rao, C. R. (1964). The use and interpretation of principal component analysis in applied research. *Sankhya*, **26A**: 329-58.
- Thurstone, L. L. (1935). *The Vectors of Mind*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, U.S.A.
- (1947). *Multiple factor Analysis*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, U.S.A.



## APPENDIX 1

≠ ≠ JOB 5	001
≠ ≠ FORX 54	002
C FACTOR ANALYSIS-CENTROID METHOD	003
C PROGRAMMED BY V. ARUNACHALAM, BIOMETRICS UNIT, DIVISION OF GENETICS.	004
C REFER TO CHAPTER 8, PP. 180-98 OF THE BOOK FACTOR ANALYSIS BY HOLZINGER	005
C AND HARMAN (1941) FOR THE METHOD DESCRIBED IN THIS PROGRAMME.	006
DIMENSION R (15, 15), G (15, 15), NEGS (15), S (15), AL (15,10), CS (15), CK (15)	007
1, REFL (15), D (15)	008
1 READ 2, (S (I), I=1, 15)	009
2 FORMAT (15A4)	010
PRINT 3	011
3 FORMAT (45 X, 31HFACTOR ANALYSIS-CENTROID METHOD/45X, 31 (1H-) //)	012
PRINT 4, (S (I), I=1, 15)	013
4 FORMAT (30 X, 15 A4/30X, 60 (1H-)//)	014
C THE ABOVE CAUSES PRINTING OF THE TITLE OF THE EXPERIMENT.	015
READ 5, N, AQC	016
5 FORMAT (I2, F2. 2)	017
C N=NUMBER OF CHARACTERS, AQC=ACCURACY DESIRED (SEE TEXT OF THIS PROGRAMME)	018
PRINT 72, AQC	019
72 FORMAT (30X, 39HDESIRED ACCURACY FOR TESTING RESIDUALS=,F4. 2//)	020
DO 6 J=1, N	021
6 READ 7, (R (I, J), I=1, J)	022
7 FORMAT (10 F6.4)	023
C THE ABOVE CAUSES READING OF THE UPPER HALF INCLUDING THE DIAGONAL	024
C ELEMENTS OF THE CORRELATION MATRIX R.	025
NO=N-1	026
NOO=N/2	027
FN=N	028
L=0	029
DO 8 I=1, NO	030
IA=I+1	031
DO 8 J=IA, N	032
8 R(J, I)=R (I, J)	033
DO 80 I=1, N	034
80 D (I)=R (I, I)	035
PRINT 800	036
800 FORMAT (45X, 27 H ORIGINAL CORRELATION MATRIX/45X, 27 (1H-)//)	037
DO 9 J=1, N	038
9 PRINT 10, (R (I, J), I=1, J)	039
10 FORMAT (20 F 6.3)	040
C THE ABOVE CAUSES THE PRINTING OF THE CORRELATION MATRIX R.	041
K=1	042
11 MA=N+K	043

MB=(N-K)* (N-K)	044
IF (MA-MB) 12, 12, 13	045
12 K=K+1	046
GO TO 11	047
13 K=K-1	048
14 DO 15 J=1, N	049
DO 15 I=1, N	050
15 G(I,J)=R(I, J)	051
DO 16 I=1, N	052
16 REFL (I)=1.	053
17 DO 20 J=1, N	054
NEGS (J)=0	055
DO 20 I=1, N	056
IF (J-I) 18, 20, 18	057
18 IF (R (I, J)) 19, 20, 20	058
19 NEGS (J)=NEGS (J)+1	059
20 CONTINUE	060
DO 22 J=1, N	061
IF (NEGS (J)-NGO) 22, 22, 21	062
21 GO TO 23	063
22 CONTINUE	064
GO TO 28	065
23 MAX=NEGS (1)	066
INDEX=1	067
DO 25 J=2, N	068
IF (MAX-NEGS (J)) 24, 25, 25	069
24 MAX=NEGS (J)	070
INDEX=J	071
25 CONTINUE	072
PRINT 26, INDEX	073
26 FORMAT (/8X, 9HVARIABLE , 12, 14H IS REFLECTED.)	074
REFL (INDEX)=-REFL (INDEX)	075
DO 27 I=1, N	076
R (I, INDEX)=REFL (INDEX)* R(I, INDEX)	077
27 R(INDEX, I)=REFL (INDEX)* R (INDEX, I)	078
GO TO 17	079
28 T=0.	080
DO 29 I=1, N	081
DO 29 J=1, N	082
29 R(I, J)=REFL (I)* REFL (J)* G (I,J)	083
DO 31 J=1, N	084
S(J)=0.	085
DO 30 I=1, N	086
30 S(J)=S(J)+R(I, J)	087

31 T=T+S(J)	088
IF (T) 503, 503, 310	089
310 T=SQRTF (T)	090
L=L+1	091
DO 32 J=1, N	092
S(J)=REFL (J)* S (J)	093
32 AL (J, L)=S(J)/T	094
PRINT 33, L	095
33 FORMAT (/50X, 16HCENTROID FACTOR (, I2, 1H) /50X, 19 (1H-)/)	096
PRINT 34, (AL (J,L), J=1, N)	097
34 FORMAT (15F8.3)	098
35 DO 36 J=1, N	099
DO 36 I=J,N	100
36 R(I,J)=G(I,J)-(AL(I,L)* AL(J,L))	101
DO 38 I=1,NO	102
IA=I+1	103
DO 38 J=IA, N	104
38 R(I,J)=R(J, I)	105
360 IF (SENSE SWITCH 1) 370, 40	106
370 PRINT 37, L	107
37 FORMAT (/41X, 35 HRESIDUAL MATRIX ELIMINATING FACTOR (I2, 1H)/41X, 381	108
1H-)/)	109
DO 39 J=1,N	110
39 PRINT 10, (R (I, J), I=1, J)	111
C SENSE SWITCH 1 SHOULD BE PUT ON TO GET THE RESIDUAL R MATRIX PRINTED.	112
IF (T) 43, 43, 40	113
40 DO 41 J=1, NO	114
IA=J+1	115
DO 41 I=IA,N	116
TEST=ABSF (R(I, J))	117
IF (TEST-AQC) 41, 41, 42	118
41 CONTINUE	119
GO TO 43	120
42 IF (L-K) 14, 43, 43	121
43 PRINT 44	122
44 FORMAT (/42X, 13HFACTOR MATRIX/42X, 13 (1H-)/26X, 71 (1H-)/26X, 8 H VARIAB	123
1LE, 17X, 26HCOMMON FACTOR COEFFICIENTS/37X, 60 (1H-))	124
PRINT 440, (I, I=1, L)	125
440 FORMAT (37X, 6 (5X, 11, 4X))	126
PRINT 441	127
441 FORMAT (26X, 71 (1H-)/)	128
DO 45 J=1, N	129
45 PRINT 46, J, (AL (J, I), I=1, L)	130
46 FORMAT (29X, I2, 6X, 6 (F7.3, 3X))	131
PRINT 47	132



47	FORMAT (26X, 71 (1H-)/)	133
	DO 48 J=1, N	134
	DO 48 IA=J, N	135
	R(J, IA)=0.	136
	DO 48 I=1, L	137
48	R(J, IA)=R(J, IA)+AL(J, I)*AL(IA, I)	138
	PRINT 49	139
49	FORMAT (45X, 29HFACTOR MATRIX X ITS TRANSPOSE/45X, 29 (1H-)/)	140
	DO 50 J=1, N	141
50	PRINT 10, (R(I, J), I=1, J)	142
503	IF (L-1) 501, 501, 500	143
501	PRINT 502	144
502	FORMAT (39X, 43HONLY ONE DISTINCT FACTOR CAN BE ELIMINATED./39X, 19HE	145
	1 ND OF THE PROGRAM./)	146
	GO TO 71	147
500	DO 52 I=1, L	148
	CS(I)=0.	149
	SS=0.	150
	DO 51 J=1, N	151
	CS(I)=CS(I)+AL(J, I)	152
51	SS=SS+AL(J, I)*AL(J, I)	153
	CF=CS(I)*CS(I)/FN	154
	SS=SS-CF	155
	SS=SS/(FN-1.)	156
52	R(I, I)=SS	157
	LL=L-1	158
	DO 54 I=1, LL	159
	IA=I+1	160
	DO 54 J=IA, L	161
	SS=0.	162
	DO 53 KK=1, N	163
53	SS=SS+AL(KK, I)*AL(KK, J)	164
	CF=CS(I)*CS(J)/FN	165
	SS=SS-CF	166
	SS=SS/(FN-1.)	167
54	R(I, J)=SS	168
	DO 55 I=1, LL	169
	IA=I+1	170
	DO 55 J=IA, L	171
	CF=SQRTF(R(I, I)*R(J, J))	172
55	R(I, J)=R(I, J)/CF	173
	PRINT 56	174
56	FORMAT (/29X, 62HFACTOR CORRELATION MATRIX-LOWER HALF WITHOUT DIAGON	175
	I AL ELEMENTS/29X, 62 (1H-)/)	176

DO 57 J=2, L	177
JK=J-1	178
57 PRINT 58, (R (I, J), I=1, JK)	179
58 FORMAT (6 (F 7.4, 3X))	180
ORG=0.	181
CAL=0.	182
DO 60 I=1,N	183
CK (I)=0.	184
DO 59 J=1,L	185
59 CK (I)=CK (I)+AL (I,J)* AL (I,J)	186
CAL=CAL+CK(I)	187
60 ORG=ORG+D (I)	188
PRINT 61, (I, I=1, N)	189
61 FORMAT (/42X, 37HORIGINAL AND CALCULATED COMMUNALITIES/42X, 37 (1H-)//	190
1 20X, 10 (5X, I2), 3X, 5HTOTAL/)	191
PRINT 62, (D (I), I=1, N), ORG	192
62 FORMAT (10X, 10 HORIGINAL, 2X, 10 (F6.3, 1X), F7.3/)	193
PRINT 63, (CK (I), I=1, N), CAL	194
63 FORMAT (10X, 10 H CALCULATED, 2X, 10 (F 6.3, 1X), F7.3)	195
DO 65 J=1, L	196
CK (J)=0.	197
CS (J)=0.	198
DO 64 I=1, N	199
64 CK (J)=CK (J)+AL(I,J)* AL (I,J)	200
65 CS (J)=CK(J)* 100./ORG	201
PRINT 66, (I, I=1, L)	202
66 FORMAT (/23X, 10 (5X, I2))	203
PRINT 67, (CK (J), J=1, L)	204
67 FORMAT (/22X, 1HC, 2X, 10 (F6.1, 1X))	205
PRINT 68, (CS (J), J=1, L)	206
68 FORMAT (/22X, 1HQ, 2X, 10 (F6.1, 1X))	207
PRINT 69	208
69 FORMAT (/18X, 85HC—CONTRIBUTION OF FACTOR, Q—C EXPRESSED AS PERCE	209
1 NTAGE OF TOTAL ORIGINAL COMMUNALITY)	210
PRINT 70	211
70 FORMAT (10 (/))	212
71 GO TO 1	213
C N.B. ALL FORMAT STATEMENTS NEED BE CHANGED, WHEN THE NUMBER OF	214
C VARIABLES ARE MORE THAN 15, TO BE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE SIZE OF THE	215
CORRELATION MATRIX AND THE NUMBER OF FACTORS. DIMENSION STATEMENT IS TO	216
C BE CHANGED AND THE PROGRAMME TO BE SPLIT INTO PORTIONS AND ONE OR MORE	217
C OF THEM TO BE TREATED AS LINK PROGRAMMES, FOR MORE THAN 15 VARIABLES.	218
END	219

## INPUT DATA

DATA ON SORGHUM—ENVIRON. CORRELATION MATRIX—IND. J. GENET., 1967

1039  
 002812  
 -4261003357  
 002129002763007580  
 001401 -0284003326007878  
 002812003357007580004240007580  
 001434 -0217001407 -0226001798002282  
 000688000914004667002987005846000912005846  
 001436000072004900007878005309001815004548007878  
 -191001156002848 -1780001584001042002219 -1270002848  
 002735 -3386003312002177003062002282005652003795001272005652

## OUTPUT

## FACTOR ANALYSIS-CENTROID METHOD

DATA ON SORGHUM-ENVIRON. CORRELATION MATRIX-IND. J. GENET., 1967

DESIRED ACCURACY FOR TESTING RESIDUALS = .39

## ORIGINAL CORRELATION MATRIX

.281									
-.426	.335								
.212	.276	.758							
.140	-.028	.332	.787						
.281	.335	.758	.424	.758					
.143	-.021	.140	-.022	.179	.228				
.068	.091	.466	.298	.584	.091	.584			
.143	.007	.490	.787	.530	.181	.454	.787		
-.019	.115	.284	-.178	.158	.104	.221	-.127	.284	
.273	-.338	.331	.217	.306	.228	.565	.379	.127	.565

## CENTROID FACTOR ( 1)

.222	.070	.818	.557	.871	.253	.692	.734	.196	.536
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

VARIABLE 8 IS REFLECTED.

VARIABLE 4 IS REFLECTED.

## CENTROID FACTOR ( 2)

.002	.091	.192	-.702	.140	.137	.115	-.515	.458	.078
------	------	------	-------	------	------	------	-------	------	------

VARIABLE 6 IS REFLECTED.

VARIABLE 10 IS REFLECTED.

VARIABLE 1 IS REFLECTED.

## CENTROID FACTOR ( 3)

-.415	.771	.162	.065	.127	-.275	0.000	-.065	.076	-.446
-------	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	------	-------

FACTOR MATRIX

VARIABLE	COMMON FACTOR COEFFICIENTS		
	1	2	3
1	.222	.002	-.415
2	.070	.091	.771
3	.818	.192	.162
4	.557	-.702	.065
5	.871	.140	.127
6	.253	.137	-.275
7	.692	.115	0.000
8	.734	-.515	-.065
9	.196	.458	.076
10	.536	.078	-.446

FACTOR MATRIX × ITS TRANSPOSE

.222										
-.304	.608									
.114	.200	.732								
.094	.025	.331	.808							
.140	.172	.760	.395	.796						
.171	-.182	.188	.026	.204	.159					
.153	.059	.588	.304	.619	.191	.492				
.189	-.046	.491	.766	.559	.132	.448	.808			
.013	.114	.261	-.207	.245	.091	.189	-.097	.254		
.304	-.299	.381	.214	.421	.269	.380	.382	.107	.492	

FACTOR CORRELATION MATRIX—LOWER HALF WITHOUT DIAGONAL ELEMENTS

-.2628
-.1097    .0631

ORIGINAL AND CALCULATED COMMUNALITIES

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TOTAL
ORIGINAL	.281	.335	.758	.787	.758	.228	.584	.787	.284	.565	5.371
CALCULATED	.222	.608	.732	.808	.796	.159	.492	.808	.254	.492	5.375

	1	2	3
C	3.2	1.0	1.0
Q	59.6	19.9	20.4

C—CONTRIBUTION OF FACTOR, Q—C EXPRESSED AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL ORIGINAL COMMUNALITY