

---

### NOTE ON THE NONVANISHING OF $L(1)$

S. CHOWLA AND L. J. MORDELL

It is well known that if  $\chi(m)$  is a real nonprincipal character  $(\bmod k)$ , then

$$L(1) = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m} \neq 0,$$

and many proofs have been found. We give a very simple proof when  $k=p$  an odd prime, in which case  $\chi(m) = (m/p)$ , the Legendre symbol. This makes it possible to simplify the proof that if  $p \nmid a$ , then there are infinitely many primes congruent to  $a$  modulo  $p$ . Write

$$\xi = e^{2\pi i/p}, \quad P = \frac{\prod_n (1 - \xi^n)}{\prod_r (1 - \xi^r)},$$

where  $n$  runs through the quadratic nonresidues of  $p$  and  $r$  runs

---

Received by the editors May 2, 1960.

through the quadratic residues. We prove first that  $L(1) \neq 0$  if  $P \neq 1$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{1-Z} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{Z^m}{m} \right\} \quad (|Z| \leq 1, Z \neq 1)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( \sum_r \zeta^{rm} - \sum_n \zeta^{nm} \right) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ S \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{m}{p} \right) \right\} = \exp\{SL(1)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S = \sum_r \zeta^r - \sum_n \zeta^n = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \left( \frac{m}{p} \right) \zeta^m.$$

Hence  $L(1) \neq 0$  if  $P \neq 1$ . Let  $c$  be any fixed positive integer which is a quadratic nonresidue of  $p$ , e.g.,  $c = p-1$  if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then since  $n \equiv cr \pmod{p}$ , the equation  $P=1$  can be written as

$$\prod_r \left( \frac{1 - \zeta^{cr}}{1 - \zeta^r} \right) = 1.$$

Then the polynomial

$$\prod_r \left( \frac{1 - Z^{cr}}{1 - Z^r} \right) - 1$$

has a zero  $\zeta$  which satisfies the irreducible equation  $1 + Z + Z^2 + \dots + Z^{p-1} = 0$ . Hence if  $Z$  is any variable,

$$\prod_r \left( \frac{1 - Z^{cr}}{1 - Z^r} \right) - 1 = f(Z)(1 + Z + Z^2 + \dots + Z^{p-1}),$$

where  $f(Z)$  is a polynomial in  $Z$  with integral coefficients. Put  $Z = 1$ . Then  $c^{(p-1)/2} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which is a contradiction, in view of Euler's criterion for quadratic residuacity. This finishes the proof.