

# AN EXTENSION OF HEILBRONN'S CLASS-NUMBER THEOREM

By S. CHOWLA (*Waltair, India*)

[Received 9 August 1934]

LET  $h(d)$  denote the number of primitive classes of binary quadratic forms of negative discriminant  $d$ . Heilbronn\* has recently proved that

THEOREM I.  $h(d) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $-d \rightarrow \infty$ .

By a slight modification of Heilbronn's argument I show that

THEOREM II.  $\frac{h(d)}{2^t} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $-d \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where  $t$  is the number of different prime factors of  $d$ .

Both these results were conjectured by Gauss.† Theorem II is equivalent to

THEOREM III.  $p(d) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $-d \rightarrow \infty$ ,

where  $p(d)$  is the number of (primitive) classes in the principal genus.

We shall write  $h(d) = H$ ,  $p(d) = P$ .

We assume, with Heilbronn, that there is‡ an  $m > 0$  and a character  $\chi(n) \pmod{m}$  such that

$$L_0(s) = L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s}$$

vanishes for  $s = \rho$ , where

$$\rho = \theta + i\phi \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < \theta < 1\right). \quad (1)$$

We follow the notation of Heilbronn except that the constants implied in our  $O$ -symbols are independent of  $H$  and  $t$ .

\* See above, pp. 150-60. This paper is hereafter referred to as 'Heilbronn'. I am very much indebted to Dr. Heilbronn for an advance copy of his manuscript.

† *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* (1801), Art. 303.

‡ If there is no such  $m$ , then, by a theorem of Hecke, we have

$$h(d) > \frac{c\sqrt{|d|}}{\log|d|} \quad (d < -1);$$

so that Theorem II is then certainly true.

LEMMA I. If  $a > 1$ ,  $(a, d) = 1$ ,  $a|(x^2-d)$ , (2)

and if  $a_s x^2 + b_s xy + c_s y^2$  ( $1 \leq s \leq P$ ) (3)

are the  $P$  classes in the principal genus, then there is an  $s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq P$ ) such that  $a^{2P} = a_s x^2 + b_s xy + c_s y^2$  ( $y \neq 0$ ). (4)

*Proof.* Now  $a^{2P}$  can only be represented by the  $P$  classes of the principal genus and not by any of the other  $H-P$  classes. The number of representations of  $a^{2P}$  by these  $P$  forms is, by a well-known theorem,\* not less than

$$2(2P+1) = 4P+2.$$

Now  $a_s x^2 + b_s xy + c_s y^2$  can represent  $a^{2P}$  with  $y = 0$  in at most two ways. Hence the  $P$  classes (3) can represent  $a^{2P}$  in at most  $2P$  ways with  $y = 0$ . It follows that  $a^{2P}$  must have a representation by

$$a_s x^2 + b_s xy + c_s y^2$$

with  $y \neq 0$  for some  $s$  in  $1 \leq s \leq P$ .

LEMMA II. If  $(a, d) = 1$ ,  $a|(x^2-d)$ , (5)

then  $a^{2P} \geq \sqrt{(\frac{3}{16}|d|)}$ . (6)

*Proof.* From Lemma I there is an  $s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq P$ ) such that

$$a^{2P} = a_s x^2 + b_s xy + c_s y^2 \quad (y \neq 0)$$

or  $4a_s a^{2P} = (2a_s x + b_s y)^2 - dy^2$  ( $y \neq 0$ ). (7)

Further  $1 \leq a_s \leq \sqrt{(\frac{1}{3}|d|)}$ . (8)

From (7) and (8) we obtain (6).

In what follows,  $a$  runs through the minima of the  $H$  forms of discriminant  $d$ .

LEMMA III. † If  $a|d^k$  for some  $k > 0$ , then  $\mu(a) \neq 0$  and  $a|d$ . (9)

LEMMA IV. If  $a \nmid d$ , (10)

then  $|a|^{2P} \geq \sqrt{(\frac{3}{16}|d|)}$ . (11)

*Proof.* From (10) and (9),  $a \nmid d^k$  for any  $k > 0$ . Hence  $(a_1, d) = 1$  for some  $a_1$  such that  $a_1 > 1$ . Further, since  $a_1|(x^2-d)$ , we obtain from Lemma II

$$a_1^{2P} \geq \sqrt{(\frac{3}{16}|d|)}. \quad (12)$$

(11) follows from (12) since  $|a| \geq |a_1|$ .

\* See, for example, Satz 204 of Landau, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*.

† Lemma XI of Heilbronn.

LEMMA V.\* If  $A > 0$ ,  $A|d$ ,  $\mu(A) \neq 0$ ,  
then there is at most one form of discriminant  $d$  with minimum  $A$ .

LEMMA VI.† If under the assumptions of Lemma V,

$$A \leq \sqrt{(\frac{1}{4}|d|)},$$

then there is at least one form of discriminant  $d$  with minimum  $A$ .

LEMMA VII. For  $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\left| \sum_a \chi(a)a^{-s} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4H^2} + O(H|d|^{-\sigma/4P}). \quad (13)$$

*Proof.* By (9),

$$\left| \sum_a \chi(a)a^{-s} - \sum_{\substack{n|d \\ n \neq a}} \chi(n)\mu^2(n)n^{-s} \right| = \left| \sum_{a|d} \chi(a)a^{-s} - \sum_{\substack{n|d \\ n \neq a}} \chi(n)\mu^2(n)n^{-s} \right|. \quad (14)$$

Now by (10) and (11),

$$\sum_{\substack{a \\ a|d}} \chi(a)a^{-s} = O(H|d|^{-\sigma/4P}). \quad (15)$$

By Lemmas V and VI,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n|d \\ n \neq a}} \chi(n)\mu^2(n)n^{-s} &= O(2^t|d|^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}) \\ &= O(H|d|^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Further, as in the proof of Lemma XV of Heilbronn,

$$\left| \sum_{n|d} \chi(n)\mu^2(n)n^{-s} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4H^2}. \quad (17)$$

Then (13) follows from (14), (15), (16), (17).

LEMMA VIII. For  $\sigma_m < \sigma < 2$ ,  $s \neq 1$ ,

$$L_0(s)L_2(s) = \zeta(2s) \prod_{p|m} (1-p^{-2s}) \sum_a \chi(a)a^{-s} + O\left(\left(|s| + \frac{1}{|s-1|}\right)H|d|^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}\right). \quad (18)$$

*Proof.* When  $\sigma_m < \sigma < 2$ ,  $s \neq 1$  we have for  $\phi(s)$  the expression on the top of page 156 of Heilbronn,

$$\phi(s) = O\left(\left(|s| + \frac{1}{|s-1|}\right)(|a^\sigma d^{-\sigma}| + |a^{\sigma-1}d^{1-\sigma}|)\right). \quad (19)$$

$$\text{Now} \quad |a^\sigma d^{-\sigma}| = \left|\frac{a^2}{d}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma} |d|^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}, \quad (20)$$

$$|a^{\sigma-1}d^{1-\sigma}| = a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left|\frac{a^2}{d}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} |d|^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}, \quad (21)$$

$$a \geq 1, \quad 3a^2 \leq |d|. \quad (22)$$

\* Lemma XII of Heilbronn.

† Lemma XIII of Heilbronn.

Then (18) follows from (19), (20), (21), (22), and the proof of Lemma X in Heilbronn.

*Proof of the main result.*

We put  $s = \rho$  in Lemma VIII. Then we get

$$0 = \zeta(2\rho) \prod_{p|m} (1-p^{-2\rho}) \sum_a \chi(a) a^{-\rho} + O(H|d|^{\frac{1}{2}-\theta}). \quad (23)$$

From Lemma VII,

$$\left| \sum_a \chi(a) a^{-\rho} \right| \geq \frac{1}{4H^2} + O(H|d|^{-\theta/4P}). \quad (24)$$

Now 
$$\zeta(2\rho) \prod_{p|m} (1-p^{-2\rho})$$

is absolutely greater than a positive constant independent of  $d$ . Hence, unless we assume

$$P \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad -d \rightarrow \infty, \quad (25)$$

(23) and (24) contradict each other for  $-d \rightarrow \infty$ .\* Hence (25) is true. This proves our result for negative discriminants  $d$ , where  $d$  or  $\frac{1}{4}d$  is *quadratfrei*. The result for general  $d < 0$  follows now from Lemma I of Heilbronn.

\* For  $2^{t-1} = H/P = O(|d|^\epsilon)$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .