

Discovery of a micromammal-yielding Deccan intertrappean site near Kisalpuri, Dindori District, Madhya Pradesh

A. Khosla¹, G. V. R. Prasad^{2,*}, O. Verma², A. K. Jain³ and A. Sahni¹

¹CAS in Geology, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160 014, India

²Department of Geology, University of Jammu, Jammu 180 006, India

³No. 487, Sector-12A, Panchkula, India

An intertrappean section exposed along the right bank of Kharmer river near Kisalpuri village (Dindori District) in Madhya Pradesh has yielded a rich microvertebrate assemblage. This assemblage consists of fishes: *Igdabatis indicus*, *Lepisosteus* cf. *L. indicus*, Pycnodontiformes, Osteoglossiformes, Siluriformes; anurans: ?Leptodactylidae gen. et sp. indet.; squamates: indeterminate lizards, Serpentes indet., Bothremydidae group of chelonians, neosuchian crocodiles, sauropod, ornithoid, and theropod dinosaur egg-shell fragments and an eutherian mammal. This is one among the few mammal-yielding Late Cretaceous sites reported so far from India. Preliminary study of a single mammalian lower molar indicates a close affinity to the Laurasian boreosphenidan eutherians.

THE last one and half decades witnessed extensive and focused research on Deccan intertrappean biota of peninsular India, primarily aimed at understanding the response of the biosphere to the stressed environmental conditions at the end of the Cretaceous. As a result, many new microvertebrate-bearing infratrappean beds (Marepalli, Timsanpalli, Dongargaon, Pisdura, Nand, Jabalpur) and intertrappean beds (Upparhatti, Gurmatkal, Naskal, Rangapur, Duddukuru, Asifabad, Nagpur, Bombay, Anjar) have been reported and diverse vertebrate groups have been described from these beds^{1,2}. Despite such extensive work on these beds, only a few sections have yielded micromammals, which are poorly known from the Mesozoic deposits of the world. Prasad and Sahni³ reported the first Late Cretaceous mammal (*Deccanolestes hislopi*) from the intertrappean beds of Naskal. This mammal has been assigned to the Laurasian group of palaeoryctoid mammals. Subsequent to this, another species of *Deccanolestes*, *D. robustus*, has been documented from the same site⁴. Das Sarma *et al.*⁵ reported the occurrence of one eutherian molar from the intertrappean beds of Upparhatti and a couple of hypsodont sudamericid molars from the intertrappean beds of Naskal, but with little morphological description. Following this, Krause *et al.*⁶ described a sudamericid mammal from the Naskal site and discussed in detail its palaeobiogeographic signifi-

cance. More recently, Rana and Wilson⁷ documented upper and lower molars of *Deccanolestes* and an upper molar of a new genus, *Sahnitherium* (family *incertae sedis*), from the intertrappean beds of Rangapur exposed close to the Naskal mammal site.

The present find of an intertrappean section yielding micromammalian remains from the right bank of Kharmer river near Kisalpuri village, Dindori district, Madhya Pradesh (Figure 1), therefore, assumes significance as it is expected to improve the Late Cretaceous fossil record of mammals from India and their biogeographic relationships. This intertrappean section has been assigned Maastrichtian age based on the presence of typical Maastrichtian fish *Igdabatis indicus* Prasad & Cappetta, 1993 and ostracods *Paracyprretta bhatiai* Khosla & Sahni, 2000 and *Paracandona jabalpurensis* Sahni & Khosla, 1994. It is thus considered as the northernmost Late Cretaceous mammal-bearing locality within the Deccan volcanic province. Wet screen-washing of about 500 kg of sediments from this intertrappean section resulted in the recovery of the following fauna, which includes one lower molar tooth of a new mammal.

Ostracods:

Paracyprretta bhatiai Khosla & Sahni, 2000

Paracandona jabalpurensis Sahni & Khosla, 1994

Pisces

Selachians:

Myliobatidae

Igdabatis indicus Prasad & Cappetta, 1993 (Figure 2 f)

Holosteans:

Lepisosteidae

Lepisosteus cf. *L. indicus* Woodward, 1908 (Figure 2 d, e)




Figure 1. Map showing the location of mammal-bearing intertrappean section of Kisalpuri.

*For correspondence. (e-mail: pguntupalli@rediffmail.com)

Pycnodontiformes:

Pycnodontidae gen. et sp. indet. (Figure 2 a)

Teleosteans:

Osteoglossiformes:

Osteoglossidae gen. et sp. indet. (Figure 2 b, c)

Siluriformes incertae sedis (Figure 3 c)

Amphibians

? Leptodactylidae gen. et sp. indet. (Figures 2 g and 3 d)

Reptiles

Squamates:

Lacertilia indet. (Figure 2 j)

Chelonians:

Bothremyidae

Taphrosphys group

cf. *Carteremys*

Archosaurs:

Crocodylia indet. (neosuchians) (Figure 2 h, i)

Sauropod egg-shell fragments

Megaloolithus baghensis Khosla & Sahni, 1995

Ornithoid egg-shell fragments

Subtiliolithus kachchhensis Khosla & Sahni, 1995

Theropod egg-shell fragments

Mammals:

Family ?Otlestidae

Gen. et sp. indet.

(Figures 2 k, l and 3 a, b)

One incompletely preserved ultimate left lower molar (VPL/JU/IM/31) has been recovered from this site. In the reduced height difference of trigonid and talonid, the arrangement of trigonid cusps in an acute-angled triangle, relatively large paraconid connate at its base with the metaconid and placed at an anterolingual margin of the crown, hypoconulid closer to the entoconid than to the hypoconid and presence of deep hypoflexid and development of roots, it is comparable to *Otlestes meiman*⁸ known from the Lower Cenomanian of Uzbekistan. However, it appears to be more derived than *Otlestes* in having inflated cusps, relatively shorter (anteroposteriorly) talonid with respect to trigonid and the absence of entoconulid. In its inflated cusps, VPL/JU/IM/31 is more derived than *Deccanolestes*³. A detailed description of this will be presented elsewhere. In light of its close morphological resemblance to *Otlestes*, the specimen is




Figure 2. a, Pycnodontidae gen. et sp. indet., lateral view of branchial tooth; b and c, Osteoglossidae gen. et sp. indet, internal view of squamule (b), and external view of squamule (c); d and e, *Lepisosteus* cf. *L. indicus* Woodward, 1908, lateral view of tooth (d) and scale from the caudal segment in external view (e); f, *Igdabatis indicus* Prasad & Cappetta, 1993, lateral tooth in occlusal view; g, ?Leptodactylidae gen. et sp. indet., lateral view of left ilium; h and i, Crocodylia indet, tooth of intermediate series in lingual view (h) and tooth of posterior series in lingual view (i); j, Premaxilla of indeterminate lizard in lingual view; k and l, ?Otlestidae gen. et sp. indet. lingual view (k) and occlusal view (l). Bar equals 500 µm.




Figure 3. a–b, ?Otlestidae gen. et sp. indet. lingual view (a) and occlusal view (b). c, Siluriformes indet., anterior view of pectoral spine d, ?Leptodactylidae gen. et sp. indet., lateral view of left ilium.

tentatively referred to the family Otlestidae, representing a boreosphenidan mammalian group, pending the recovery of well-preserved specimens.

The microvertebrate assemblage recovered from the site is broadly similar to that of other known intertrappean beds of peninsular India. However, the presence of micromammal in this site is of paramount importance, as Mesozoic mammals are relatively rare in the fossil record of former Gondwanaland and any new find from these continents is bound to augment our knowledge on their diversity and biogeographic relationships. For long, it was widely accepted that tribosphenic mammals (mammals with dentition capable of both shearing and grinding) had originated and diversified in Laurasian continents and were excluded from the southern continents until latest Cretaceous or early Palaeocene^{9,10}. The fossil record of Mesozoic mammals documented up to 1999 supported this. Until this period, the earliest tribosphenic mammals (both placentals and metatherians), such as *Prokennalestes* from ?Aptian or Albian Khoboor beds, Gobi Desert, Mongolia¹¹, *Montanalestes* from Aptian–Albian Cloverly Formation, Montana, USA¹², *Deltatheridium*¹³ and *Kokopellia*¹⁴ came from North America and Asia only. The latest discoveries of tribosphenic mammals from much older (Middle Jurassic) deposits of Madagascar (*Ambondro*)¹⁵, and from the Early Cretaceous of Australia (*Ausktribosphenos*)¹⁶ and Early Cretaceous (? Berriasian) of Morocco (*Tribotherium*)¹⁷ countered the traditional view of Laurasian origin for tribosphenic mammals. On the other hand, Luo *et al.*¹⁸ argued for independent evolution of tribosphenic mammals on both Gondwanan and Laurasian continents. They named the Gondwanan clade as Australosphenida and here they included *Ausktribosphenos*, *Ambondro*, *Stereopodon* and living monotremes. Their Laurasian clade named as Boreosphenida includes living placentals, marsupials and their fossil relatives.

The new tooth from Central India lacked a continuous, mesial cingulid wrapping around and extending onto the lingual side of the crown. Absence of this cingulid is characteristic of boreosphenidan mammals. Therefore, VPL/JU/IM/31 is referred to the Boreosphenida clade. The presence of a boreosphenidan mammal in the Late Cretaceous of India assumes great palaeobiogeographic significance, because the Indian plate was drifting northwards as an island at this point of time and was separated from Asia by a wide (about 1000 km) Tethys sea¹⁹. Previous works have demonstrated the presence of Laurasian vertebrate fauna in the Late Cretaceous of India^{3,20–22}. However, Thewissen and McKenna²³ and McKenna²⁴ questioned the referral of *Deccanolestes*³ to the North American family Palaeoryctidae on the grounds that the similarities with palaeoryctids are only in plesiomorphic characters and the fossil material is inadequate. The boreosphenidan affinity of the mammalian find from Central India, particularly with Otlestidae, confirms the

presence of mammals with non-Gondwanan affinities in the Cretaceous of India.

The presence of Laurasian taxa in the northward-drifting Indian plate has been explained either by an early India/Asia contact^{25,26} or by dispersals across intermittent islands between India and Asia^{2,27,28}. More recent molecular studies favoured an 'out of India dispersal' for ratite birds²⁹, acrodont lizards³⁰, and ranoid frogs³¹. Molecular phylogeny has also favoured a long history for placental mammals on the Gondwanan continents³². As the current Mesozoic fossil record of the Gondwanan continents is too sketchy, more work remains to be done before a synoptic biogeographic model can be developed.

1. Prasad, G. V. R. and Khajuria, C. K., Implications of the infra- and intertrappean biota from the Deccan, India for the role of volcanism in Cretaceous–Tertiary boundary extinctions. *J. Geol. Soc. London*, 1995, **152**, 289–296.
2. Prasad, G. V. R. and Sahni, A., Were there size constraints on biotic exchanges during the northward drift of the Indian plate? *Proc. Indian Natl. Sci. Acad.*, 1999, **65**, 377–396.
3. Prasad, G. V. R. and Sahni, A., First Cretaceous mammal from India. *Nature*, 1988, **332**, 638–640.
4. Prasad, G. V. R., Jaeger, J.-J., Sahni, A., Gheerbrant, E. and Khajuria, C. K., Eutherian mammals from the Upper Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) intertrappean beds of Naskal, Andhra Pradesh, India. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.*, 1994, **14**, 260–277.
5. Das Sarma, D. C., Anantharaman, S., Vijayasarithi, G., Nath, T. T. and Rao, Ch.V., Palaeontological studies for the search of micromammals in the infra- and inter-trappean horizons of Andhra Pradesh. *Rec. Geol. Surv. India*, 1995, **128**, 223.
6. Krause, D. W., Prasad, G. V. R., Koenigswald, W. V., Sahni, A. and Grine, F. E., Cosmopolitanism among Gondwanan Late Cretaceous mammals. *Nature*, 1997, **390**, 504–507.
7. Rana, R. S. and Wilson, G. P., New Late Cretaceous mammals from the intertrappean beds of Rangapur, India and paleobiogeographic framework. *Acta Palaeontol. Pol.*, 2003, **48**, 331–348.
8. Nessov, L. A., Novyye mlyekopitayushchiye myela Kyzylkumov (New mammals from Cretaceous of the Kizylkum Desert). *Vyestnik Lyeningradskogo Univisityeta Syeriya* (in Russian with English summary). *Vest. Leningr. Gos. 905. Univ. Geol. Geogr.*, 1985, **17**, 8–18.
9. Lillegraven, J. A., Biogeographical considerations of the marsupial-placental dichotomy. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 1974, **5**, 74–94.
10. Bonaparte, J. F., Dispersal of Mesozoic mammals; new evidence. *Int. Geol. Cong. Abstr.*, 1989, vol. 28, 170–171.
11. Kielan-Jaworowska, Z. and Dashzeveg, D., Eutherian mammals from the Early Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Zool. Scr.*, 1989, **18**, 347–355.
12. Cifelli, R. L., Tribosphenic mammal from the North American Early Cretaceous. *Nature*, 1999, **401**, 363–366.
13. Kielan-Jaworowska, Z., Evolution of the therian mammals in the Late Cretaceous of Asia. Part I. Deltatheridiidae. *Palaeontol. Pol.*, 1975, **33**, 103–132.
14. Cifelli, R. L. and de Muizon, C., Dentition and jaw of *Kokopellia juddi*, a primitive marsupial or near marsupial from the medial Cretaceous of Utah. *J. Mamm. Evol.*, 1997, **4**, 241–258.
15. Flynn, J. J., Parrish, J. M., Rakotosamimanana, B., Simpson, W. F. and Wyss, A. R., A Middle Jurassic mammal from Madagascar. *Nature*, 1999, **401**, 57–60.
16. Rich, T. H., Vickers-Rich, P., Constantine, A., Flannery, T. F., Kool, L. and van Klaveren, N., A tribosphenic mammal from the Mesozoic of Australia. *Science*, 1997, **278**, 1438–1442.

17. Sigogneau-Russell, D., Découverte du premier mammifère tribosphénique du Mésozoïque africain. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 1991, **313**, 1635–1640.
18. Luo, Z.-X., Cifelli, R. L. and Kielan-Jaworowska, Z., Dual origin of tribosphenic mammals. *Nature*, 2001, **409**, 53–57.
19. Besse, J. and Courtillot, V., Paleogeographic maps of the continents bordering the Indian Ocean since the Early Jurassic. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 1988, **93**, 791–807.
20. Sahni, A., Kumar, K., Hartenberger, J.-L., Jaeger, J.-J., Rage, J.-C., Sudre, J. and Vianey-Liaud, M., Microvertébrés nouveaux des Trapps du Deccan Inde: mise en évidence d'une voie de communication terrestre probable entre la Laurasia et l'Inde à la limite Crétacé-Tertiaire. *Bull. Soc. Geol. Fr.*, 1982, **14**, 1093–1099.
21. Prasad, G. V. R. and Rage, J.-C., A discoglossid frog in the Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) of India. Further, evidence for a terrestrial route between India and Laurasia by the latest Cretaceous. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 1991, **313**, 273–278.
22. Prasad, G. V. R. and Rage, J.-C., Amphibians and squamates from the Maastrichtian of Naskal (India). *Cretaceous Res.*, 1995, **16**, 95–107.
23. Thewissen, J. G. M. and McKenna, M. C., Paleobiogeography of Indo-Pakistan: a response to Briggs, Patterson, and Owen. *Syst. Biol.*, 1992, **41**, 248–251.
24. McKenna, M. C., The mobile Indian raft: A reply to Rage and Jaeger. *Syst. Biol.*, 1995, **44**, 265–271.
25. Jaeger, J.-J., Courtillot, V. and Tapponnier, P., Paleontological view of the ages of the Deccan Traps, the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary, and the India/Asia collision. *Geology*, 1989, **17**, 316–319.
26. Rage, J.-C., Le peuplement animal de Madagascar: Une composante venue de Laurasia est-elle envisageable? *Biogéogr. Madagascar*, 1996, 27–35.
27. Sahni, A., Rana, R. S. and Prasad, G. V. R., New evidence for palaeobiogeographic intercontinental Gondwana relationships based on Late Cretaceous–Earliest Palaeocene coastal fauna from peninsular India. *Gondwana Six, Geophys. Monogr.*, 1987, **41**, 207–218.
28. Sahni, A. and Bajpai, S., Eurasian elements in the Upper Cretaceous nonmarine biotas of peninsular India. *Cretaceous Res.*, 1991, **12**, 177–183.
29. Cooper, A., Lalueza-Fox, C., Anderson, S., Rambaut, A., Austin, J. and Ward, R., Complete mitochondrial genome sequences of two extinct moas clarify ratite evolution. *Nature*, 2001, **409**, 704–707.
30. Macey, J. R. *et al.*, Evaluating trans-Tethys migration: an example using acrodont lizard phylogenetics. *Syst. Biol.*, 2000, **49**, 233–256.
31. Bossuyt, F. and Milinkovitch, M. C., Amphibians as indicators of early Tertiary 'out of India' dispersal of vertebrates. *Science*, 2001, **292**, 93–95.
32. Murphy, W. J., Eizirik, E., Johnson, W. E., Zhang, Y. P., Ryder, O. A. and O'Brien, S. J., Molecular phylogenetics and the origin of placental mammals. *Nature*, 2002, **409**, 614–618.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank the anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Department of Science and Technology and University Grants Commission to A.K. and G.V.R.P. respectively. O.V. thanks the Geological Society of India for L. Rama Rao Research Grant.

Received 5 December 2003; revised accepted 3 March 2004