ON DIFFERENCE SETS

By S. Chowla

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

Communicated by Oswald Veblen, December 5, 1948

It is known that the existence of m + 1 integers (called a perfect difference set of order m + 1) $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{m+1}$ such that the congruence $d_i - d_j \equiv n \pmod{m^2 + m} + 1$) has exactly one solution for every $n \neq 0 \pmod{m^2 + m} + 1$, leads to the construction of a finite projective plane with m + 1 points on a line. All known cases of such planes have $m = p^{\rho}$, where p is a prime and g is a positive integer. It seems natural to conjecture that a perfect difference set of order m + 1 can exist only if m is a power of a prime. Only recently Bruck and Ryser (Bull. Am. Math. Soc.) announced the startling result that there exists no finite projective plane with m + 1 points on a line whenever $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{4}$, provided m is divisible by a prime 4k + 3 to an odd power. It follows from their result that for such values of m there exists no perfect difference set (P.D.S.) or order m + 1. Moreover Singer proved the existence of a P.D.S. of order m + 1, whenever $m = p^{\beta}$.

In this paper I obtain some (but not all) assertions on the non-existence of perfect difference sets implied by the results of Bruck and Ryser. I also obtain some results not implied by their work. For example, I prove that there exists no P.D.S. of order m + 1 when m = 10 or 159.

We introduce the idea of a difference set (D.S.) of m numbers (mod g). We call the set of m numbers d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m a difference set (mod g) if the congruence $d_i - d_j \equiv n \pmod{g}$ has the same number of solutions Vol. 35, 1949

[which must be m(m-1)/(g-1)] for every $n \neq 0 \pmod{g}$. The set of 5 numbers 1, 3, 4, 5, 9 furnishes an example of a D.S. of 5 numbers (mod 11). Again the set of 4 numbers 0, 1, 3, 9 forms a D.S. (mod 13). [This set occurs in Veblen and Bussey, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1906, and is used to generate a finite projective plane with 4 points on a line.]

We now prove

THEOREM 1. Let m and g be positive integers such that $m(m-1) \equiv O(mod \overline{g-1})$. Write $\theta = m - m(m-1)/(g-1)$. Let g contain a prime factor $\lambda \equiv 3(mod \ 4)$ such that $-\lambda$ is a quadratic non-residue of some prime factor ϕ of θ , where ϕ occurs in θ to an odd power. Then there exists no D.S. of m numbers (mod g).

Proof: If possible let there exist a D.S. of m numbers (mod g), say the numbers d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m . Consider the sum

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho^{d_j},$$

where $\rho = \exp(2\pi i/\lambda)$. Clearly S is an algebraic integer of the field $K(\rho)$. Further, since the d's form a difference set (mod g), we have

$$S\bar{S} = m + \frac{m(m-1)}{(g-1)} \{\rho + \rho^2 + \rho^3 + \dots + \rho^g\}$$

= $m - \frac{m(m-1)}{(g-1)} = \theta,$
 $S\bar{S} = \theta$ (1)

Now it is implicit in the theory of cyclotomy (as developed by Gauss) that the norm of S in the field generated by ρ is an integer of the form $(u^2 + \lambda v^2)/4$, where u and v are integers (we here use the fact that λ is of the form 4k + 3). On the other hand it follows from (1) that this norm is also equal to $\theta^{(\lambda - 1)/2}$. Hence we have

$$u^2 + \lambda v^2 = 4 \cdot \theta^{(\lambda - 1)/2}.$$

Since $(\lambda - 1)/2$ is odd, it follows from the last equation that $-\lambda$ is a quadratic residue of ϕ contrary to our assumption. Our theorem is proved.

Examples: 1. There exists no P.D.S. of order 7; i.e., there exists no . D.S. of 7 numbers (mod 43). [Problem proposed by Veblen in Am. Math. Monthly, 13, 46 (1906).]

Proof: Here $g = \lambda = 43$, $\theta = 7 - \frac{4^2}{4^2} = 6$; take $\theta = 3$. Clearly $-\lambda$ is

a quadratic non-residue of ϕ .

2. There exists no P.D.S. of order 11, i.e., there exists no D.S. of 11 numbers (mod 111). This result is new.

Proof: Here g = 111, $\lambda = 3$, $\theta = 10$. Take $\phi = 5$. Clearly $-\lambda$ is a quadratic non-residue of ϕ .

3. There exists no P.D.S. of order 22 (Bruck and Ryser), i.e., no D.S. of 22 numbers (mod 463).

Proof: Here $g = \lambda = 463$, $\theta = 22$. Take $\phi = 11$. Clearly $-\lambda$ is a quadratic non-residue of ϕ .

4. There exists no P.D.S. of order 160. This result is new.

Proof: We show that there cannot exist a D.S. of 160 numbers (mod $159^2 + 159 + 1$). Since $159^2 + 159 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$, we may take $\lambda = 19$. Take $\phi = 3$ since $\theta = 159$. Clearly $-\lambda$ is a quadratic non-residue of ϕ .