

# THE GREATEST PRIME FACTOR OF $x^2-1$ .

BY S. CHOWLA,

*Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Waltair.*

Received October 11, 1934.

*Theorem.* If  $P_x$  is the greatest prime factor of  $x^2-1$ , then

$$(1) \quad P_x > c \log \log x$$

*where  $c$  is an absolute positive constant.*

*Remarks.* (1) is a sharper form of the well-known result

$$P_x \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a consequence of the Thue-Siegel theorem.<sup>1</sup>

*It is noteworthy that it is not possible to derive (1) from Siegel's method.<sup>2</sup>*

*Proof.* We need the following lemmas.

*Lemma 1.<sup>3</sup>* Let  $x = t_1$ ,  $y = u_1$ , be the smallest solution in positive integers of

$$x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$$

where  $D$  is not a perfect square. We define  $t_m = t_m(D)$ ,

$$u_m = u_m(D) \text{ by}$$

$$t_m + u_m \sqrt{D} = (t_1 + u_1 \sqrt{D})^m$$

Then for every  $m > 1$ ,  $u_m$  contains at least one prime factor not contained in  $D$ .

*Lemma 2.<sup>4</sup>*

$$t_1(D) < \exp. (c_1 \sqrt{D} \log D),$$

$$u_1(D) < \exp. (c_1 \sqrt{D} \log D),$$

where  $c_1$  is an absolute positive constant independent of  $D$ .

Now let  $p_r$  denote the  $r$ th prime,  $p_1 = 2$ ,  $N_r = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \dots p_r$  the product of the first  $r$  primes. Let  $m$  be a positive integer (not a perfect square) composed of powers not higher than the second of primes chosen from  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ . It is a consequence<sup>5</sup> of lemmas 1 and 2 that for every

$$x > e^{c_1 \sqrt{m} \log m}$$

<sup>1</sup> See Landau, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, 3.

<sup>2</sup> Landau, *ibid.*, 230.

<sup>3</sup> See Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers*, 2, 391 and 396.

The result is due to Störmer, 396.

<sup>4</sup> Schur, *Göttinger Nachrichten*, 1918.

<sup>5</sup> Remembering that if  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$  there is a unique  $m$  such that  $x = t_m(D)$ ,  $y = u_m(D)$  [ $y \neq 0$ ].

the expression  $(x^2-1)$  has at least one prime factor not contained in  $m$ . It now follows that if

$$x > e^{2c_1 N_r \log N_r}$$

then  $(x^2-1)$  has at least one prime factor greater than  $p_r$ . Hence if

$$(2) \quad \exp. (2c_1 N_r \log N_r) < x \leq \exp. (2c_1 N_{r+1} \log N_{r+1}) \quad \text{then} \\ P_x > p_r.$$

But

$$(3) \quad \log N_r \sim p_r$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that for all  $x$  in (2),

$$(4) \quad P_x > p_r > c_2 \log \log x,$$

where  $c_2$  is an absolute positive constant. Since to every large  $x$  we can find a unique  $r$  to satisfy (2) our theorem is now proved.