

## ON SUMS OF POWERS.

BY S. CHOWLA,  
*Andhra University, Waltair.*

Received January 18, 1935.

1. We denote by  $N(k)$  the least value of  $m$  such that the equation  
(I)  $a_1^n + \dots + a_m^n = b_1^n + \dots + b_m^n$  ( $1 \leq n \leq k$ ) has a non-trivial solution in which  $a_r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq m$ ) and  $b_s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq m$ ) are positive integers.

Pillai<sup>1</sup> has recently shown that

$$(1) \quad N(k) = O\left(\frac{2^k}{\sqrt{k}}\right),$$

and Wright<sup>2</sup> (independently) has proved the stronger result:

$$(2) \quad N(k) = O\left((160)^{k/19}\right).$$

On the other hand, it is trivial that  $N(k) \geq k + 1$ . It is known that

$$N(k) = k + 1 \quad (k = 2, 3, 5, 7),$$

$$N(6) \leq 8.$$

I shall show here that

$$(3) \quad N(18) \leq 68.$$

From (3) and a process described by Wright<sup>3</sup> we at once deduce that

$$(4) \quad N(k) = O\left((136)^{k/19}\right),$$

which is an improvement of (2).

In the sequel we shall frequently employ the following:

*Lemma 1.* If<sup>4</sup>

$$(5) \quad a_1, \dots, a_m \stackrel{k}{=} b_1, \dots, b_m$$

then

$$(6) \quad a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1 + x, \dots, b_m + x \stackrel{k+1}{=} b_1, \dots, b_m, a_1 + x, \dots, a_m + x.$$

Suppose that in (5) the  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's are written in ascending order of magnitude. Further let  $d_1$  be the number of solutions of  $y = a_r - a_s$  ( $r > s$ ),  $d_2$  the number of solutions of  $y = b_r - b_s$  ( $r > s$ ),  $d = d_1 + d_2$ .

<sup>1</sup> Pillai, 1. See also a paper by A. Moessner in the same issue.

<sup>2</sup> Wright, 1.

<sup>3</sup> *Loc. cit.*

<sup>4</sup> (5) is an abbreviated form of (I).

Then the number of terms in (6) when  $x$  is put equal to  $y$  and terms common to both sides are cancelled, is  $2m-d$ .

*Lemma 2.*

1, 5, 10, 24, 28, 42, 47,  $51 \stackrel{7}{=} 2, 3, 12, 21, 31, 40, 49, 50$ .

Lemmas 1 and 2 are due to Tarry.<sup>5</sup>

We apply lemma 1 to lemma 2 with

$y = 9, d_1 = 2, d_2 = 4 (d = 6)$ . This gives

*Lemma 3.*

1, 5, 11, 24, 28, 30, 42, 47, 53,  $59 \stackrel{8}{=} 2, 3, 14, 19, 31, 33, 37, 50, 56, 60$ .  
whence  $N(8) \leq 10$ .

We now apply lemma 1 in succession, starting with lemma 3.

We use

- (7)  $y = 4, d_1 = 2, d_2 = 2 (d = 4)$  on lemma 3. Thus  $N(9) \leq 16$ .
- (8)  $y = 1, d_1 = 4, d_2 = 7 (d = 11)$  on (7). Hence  $N(10) \leq 21$ .
- (9)  $y = 6, d_1 = 6, d_2 = 6 (d = 12)$  on (8). Hence  $N(11) \leq 30$ .
- (10)  $y = 7, d_1 = 14, d_2 = 16 (d = 30)$  on (9). Hence  $N(12) \leq 30$ .
- (11)  $y = 5, d_1 = 13, d_2 = 13 (d = 26)$  on (10). Hence  $N(13) \leq 34$ .
- (12)  $y = 2, d_1 = 14, d_2 = 8 (d = 22)$  on (11). Hence  $N(14) \leq 46$ .
- (13)  $y = 3, d_1 = 24, d_2 = 24 (d = 48)$  on (12). Hence  $N(15) \leq 44$ .
- (14)  $y = 1, d_1 = 18, d_2 = 16 (d = 34)$  on (13). Hence  $N(16) \leq 54$ .
- (15)  $y = 19, d_1 = 19, d_2 = 19 (d = 38)$  on (14). Hence  $N(17) \leq 70$ .
- (16)  $y = 17, d_1 = 34, d_2 = 38 (d = 72)$  on (15). Hence  $N(18) \leq 68$ .

Our final results expressing (15) and (16) are,

- (17) 1, 3, 4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 12, 14, 14, 20, 21, 21, 21, 25, 26, 26, 31, 35, 35, 37, 37, 37, 38, 38, 41, 42, 47, 51, 51, 52, 54, 54, 54, 55, 55, 55, 57, 58, 58, 62, 67, 68, 71, 71, 72, 72, 72, 72, 74, 74, 78, 83, 83, 84, 88, 88, 88, 89, 95, 95, 97, 101, 101, 105, 105, 105, 106,  $108 \stackrel{17}{=} 2, 2, 2, 6, 6, 7, 7, 10, 16, 17, 19, 19, 19, 22, 23, 28, 29, 32, 33, 33, 36, 36, 36, 39, 39, 39, 40, 44, 48, 49, 50, 53, 53, 53, 53, 56, 56, 56, 59, 60, 61, 65, 69, 70, 70, 70, 73, 73, 73, 76, 76, 77, 80, 81, 86, 87, 90, 90, 90, 92, 93, 99, 102, 102, 103, 103, 107, 107, 107$ .
- (18) 1, 3, 4, 4, 4, 8, 8, 12, 14, 14, 23, 24, 24, 26, 26, 27, 34, 35, 35, 37, 37, 37, 41, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 51, 55, 57, 57, 58, 62, 66, 67, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 78, 78, 82, 83, 83, 87, 87, 88, 93, 94, 95, 97, 97, 98, 101, 104, 108, 109, 110, 116, 119, 119, 120, 120, 124, 124,  $124 \stackrel{18}{=} 2, 2, 2, 6, 6, 7, 7, 10, 16, 17, 18, 22, 25, 28, 29, 29, 31, 32, 33, 38, 39, 39, 43, 43, 44, 48, 48, 52, 53, 54, 56, 59, 59, 60, 64, 68, 69, 69, 71, 75, 75, 76, 79, 80, 81, 85, 89, 89, 89, 91, 91, 92, 99, 100, 100, 102, 102, 103, 112, 112, 114, 118, 118, 122, 122, 122, 123, 125$ .

<sup>5</sup> See Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers*, II, 705-713 (710).

The latter result implies

$$(19) \quad (x+1)^{18} + \cdots + (x+124)^{18} = (x+2)^{18} + \cdots + (x+125)^{18}.$$

Integrating twice we get

$$(20) \quad \{(x+1)^{20} + \cdots + (x+124)^{20}\} - \{(x+2)^{20} + \cdots + (x+125)^{20}\} \\ = Cx + D$$

where there are 136 terms on the left side.

As with Wright (20) implies

$$(21) \quad N(k) = O\left((136)^{k/19}\right),$$

which is (4).

#### REFERENCES.

- Pillai, 1, *Mathematics Student* (Madras), Sept. 1934.  
 Wright, 1, *Jour. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1934, 9, 267-272.