

On the pairing interaction in high T_c superconductors

P CHADDAH

Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085, India

MS received 20 January 1988

Abstract. It is argued, from the dependence of the critical current density and of T_c on applied magnetic field, that the pairing interaction in high T_c superconductors must be strongly field-dependent.

Keywords. High T_c superconductors; pairing.

PACS Nos 74·20; 74·60

We have earlier shown (Ravi Kumar and Chaddah 1988a, b) that the existing magnetization data on high T_c superconductors is explained by a critical current density J_c that decays exponentially with applied field. Since data on single crystal $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ are also consistent with $J_c \approx J_{c0} \exp(-H/H_0)$, we have considered this behaviour to be intrinsic to high T_c superconductors. Farrell *et al* (1987) have observed a zero field $J_c \approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{ A/cm}^2$ at 4.2 K, and this is close to the depairing limit of $\approx 10^8 \text{ A/cm}^2$. Their sample also shows an exponential decay of J_c with field. One possible reason for the observed decay of J_c with H could be that the depairing current itself decays exponentially with field. Within the BCS model this would correspond to the isotropic and k -independent pairing interaction V varying with field as $1/(H+K)$ where K is a constant. Such a variation is not possible for phonon-mediated interactions, but could be visualized in a pairing interaction mediated by local spin configurations as modelled, for example, by Emery (1987).

Such a pairing interaction would result in a sharp decay of the superconducting gap with increasing field, but no field dependent measurements of the gap have so far been reported. The dependence of T_c on the applied field is, however, available from the H_{c2} data. It will now be argued that the H_{c2} data also indicates a field dependent pairing interaction.

It has been reported in literature (Orlando *et al* 1987) that for $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{RBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ compounds the resistive transition broadens rapidly with increasing applied field. A second feature is not emphasized but is apparent from the published data and is more important. The curvature of T_c vs H (or that of H_{c2} vs T) is concave near T_c . Such a curvature is contrary to the BCS result (or even WHHM theory) which yields a convex curvature for a field-independent V . Since this feature is not emphasized in literature we will discuss it in some detail.

Since the first non-trivial solution to the BCS gap equation will occur at the temperature where resistivity is zero (and not at the midpoint of the resistive transition), the $H_{c2}(T)$ relevant to any discussion strictly corresponds to the temperature at which $\rho = 0$. A close look at the reported resistive transitions in $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ (Kwok *et al*

1987) and in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ (Maple 1987) shows that the H_{c2} vs $T_c(\rho = 0)$ data is concave. Both these data are on polycrystalline samples, and tentative explanations can be offered on why $T_c(\rho = 0)$ may not be intrinsic to the material. $H_{c2}(T)$ data on single crystal $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_7$ are now available (Moodera *et al* (1987)) with the crystal showing little twinning. The concave curvature of H_{c2} vs T is apparent and is seen in both the basal plane and along c -axis. This feature is irrespective of whether T_c is defined as where one has 10% or 50% or 90% of the normal state resistivity, and the curvature is a better approximation to an exponential decay as the definition of H_{c2} is shifted towards the zero resistivity condition. An exponential decay would again imply a strong field dependence in V .

Our argument that the concave curvature implies a field-dependent V and thus a spin-dependent pairing interaction is strengthened by the fact that such a concave curvature was seen by Sarkissian and Tholence (1986) in Y_9Co_7 where strong spin correlations are known to precede superconductivity.

The most important experimental data now required is a measurement of the superconducting gap as a function of applied field. This can yield the H -dependence of the pairing interaction.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Dr A K Grover, G Ravi Kumar, Dr P Bhattacharya and Dr B A Dasannacharya for helpful comments.

References

- Emery V J 1987 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58** 2794
 Farrell D E, Chandrasekhar B S DeGuire M R, Fang M M, Kogan V G, Clem J R and Finnemore D K 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **B36** 4025
 Kwok W K, Crabtree G W, Hinks D G, Capone D W, Jorgenson J D and Zhang K 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **B35** 5343
 Maple M B 1987 *Chinese J. Phys.* (submitted)
 Moodera J S, Mersrvey R, Tkaczyk J E, Hao C X, Gibson G A and Tedrow P M, 1987 *Phys. Rev. B* (submitted)
 Orlando T P, Delin K A, Foner S, Mc Kinnon W R and Hull G W 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **B35** 5347
 Orlando T P, Delin K A, Foner S, Mc Kinnon W R and Hull G W 1987 *Phys. Rev.* **B36** 2394
 Ravi Kumar G and Chaddah P 1988a *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (submitted)
 Ravi Kumar G and Chaddah P 1988b *Phys. Rev. B* (submitted)
 Sarkissian B V B and Tholence J L 1986 *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **54-57** 1525
 Senoussi S, Oussena M and Collin G 1987 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* (submitted)