R. PARTHASARATHY

Unitary Modules with Non-Vanishing Relative Lie Algebra Cohomology

For a real semisimple Lie algebra g_0 it is of interest to find the class of unitarizable, irreducible Harish-Chandra modules X such that $H^i(\mathfrak{g}_0,$ $k_0, X \otimes F$ is non-zero for some integer *i*. Here, k_0 is a maximal compactly imbedded subalgebra of g_0 , F is a finite dimensional irreducible module for g_0 and $H^i(...)$ are the relative Lie algebra cohomology spaces. It is known ([8]) that the class of such modules is a subclass of the class of modules $A_{q,\lambda}$ constructed in [5] and [9]. Here, q is a parabolic subalgebra of g (dropping the subscript 0 means complexification) under a Cartan involution θ fixing k_0 , and λ varies over a subset of t^* where t is a fundamental Cartan subalgebra of g contained in g. There is a conjecture, as yet unproved, that $A_{q,\lambda}$ belong to the subclass above. The only difficulty in proving this conjecture is the inability to prove that the $A_{q,\lambda}$ in question are unitarizable. This problem has been solved in some special cases - to mention a few due to Speh ([7]) in the case of sl(n, R), by Enright ([3]) in the complex case, by Baldoni-Silva and Barbash ([1]) in the case of real rank one groups and by the present author ([6]) in the case of highest weight modules. The general problem is often vaguely referred to as the problem of unitarizability of $A_{q,\lambda}$. A solution has now been obtained by Vogan and more recently, also by Wallach.

For all g, when q is of quasi-abelian type (see the definition below), it has been proved recently by Enright, Parthasarathy, Wallach, and Wolf ([4]) that $A_{q,\lambda}$ are unitarizable. (We say q is quasi-abelian if $[u \cap k, u \cap p] = 0$ where u is the nilradical of q).

In this paper we will assume that rank of $g_0 = \operatorname{rank}$ of k_0 and discuss the unitarizability of $A_{q,\lambda}$ whenever q contains the Borel subalgebra r corresponding to a Borel-de Siebenthal chamber P (Definition: P contains a unique non-compact simple root β and its coefficient in the highest root is 1 or 2). We assume that g_0 is irreducible. Recall that rank of $k_0 = \text{rank}$ of g_0 . Let β be the unique noncompact simple root for P and, if (g_0, k_0) is not a hermitian symmetric pair, let γ be the unique simple root for P_k which is not a simple root for P. Let u be the nilradical of q. Write

$$u \cap k = u'_k + u''_k,$$

where the coefficient of β in the roots in u'_k is zero and the coefficient of β in the roots in u''_k is two.

By looking at the construction in [5] one can infer that, if $q' \cap p = q \cap p$, then we may work with q' instead of q. Thus we can assume that q is maximal among all q', such that $q \cap p = q' \cap p$.

By a verification case by case one can check that the following is true:

(*) Either q is of quasi-abelian type or, if q_1 , with the Levi decomposition m_1+u_1 is the maximal parabolic subalgebra obtainted by deleting β , then $u_1 \cap k = u''$.

We only have to deal with the latter case since for parabolics of quasiabelian type the result is proved in [4].

From the construction in [5] recall that the modules $A_{q,\lambda}$ are obtained by a chain of "completions" of a g-Verma module $V_{g,P,\mu}$ with respect to a reduced expression for $w \in W_k$, where $P_k \cap -wP_k$ = the roots in $u \cap k$. Here, the word "completion" is used in the sense of [2]. Thus, we can make

(Step 1) completion with respect to a reduced expression for w' where $P_k \cap -w'P_k$ = the roots in u'_k ,

followed by

(Step 2) completion with respect to a reduced expression for w'' where $P_k \cap -w''P_k$ = the roots in u_k'' .

Since β has coefficient zero in the roots in u'_k , the simple reflection s_{ν} does not occur in the reduced expression for w'. Thus, after completion of step 1, the original Verma module becomes another Verma module $V_{g,P,\mu'}$. In view of (*), step 2 is now just like producing a module of type A_{g} .

The parabolic q_1 is of quasi-abelian type. However, the parameter μ' is in general off the list of parameters for which unitarizability has been established in [4].

The crucial "Dirac operator inequality" associated with unitarizability, which is often an aid in proving unitarizability, can be seen to hold for Unitary Modules with Non-Vanishing Relative Lie Algebra Cohomology 907

the irreducible quotient of $V_{\mathfrak{g},P,\mu}$. This inequality is preserved during completions. Thus a large part of $V_{\mathfrak{g},P,\mu'}$ (considered as a k-module) which is adequate to analyse the module $A_{\mathfrak{g},\lambda}$ will satisfy the Dirac operator inequality. This circumstance enables one to employ the techniques familiar in the quasi-abelian case and leads to a proof of the unitarizability of $A_{\mathfrak{g},\lambda}$.

References

- Baldoni-Silva M. W. and Barbash D., The Unitary Spectrum for Real Rank One Groups, *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), pp. 27-75.
- [2] Enright T. J., On the Fundamental Series of a Real Semisimple Lie Algebra: Their Irreducibility, Resolution and Multiplicity Formulae, Ann. of Math. 110 (1979), pp. 1–82.
- [3] Enright T. J., Relative Lie Algebra Cohomology and Unitary Representations of Complex Lie Groups, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), pp. 513-525.
- [4] Enright T. J., Parthasarathy R., Wallach N. and Wolf J., to appear.
- [5] Parthasarathy R., A Generalization of the Enright-Varadarajan Modules, Compositio Math. 36 (1978), pp. 53-73.
- [6] Parthasarathy R., Criteria for the Unitarizability of Some Highest Weight Modules, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A. 89 (1980), pp. 1-24.
- [7] Speh B. In: Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis and Lie Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 880, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [8] Vogan D. and Zuckerman G., Unitary Representations with Continuous Cohomology, manuscript.
- [9] Zuckerman G., handwritten notes on construction of representations by derived functors.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH HOMI BHABHA ROAD BOMBAY 400 005 INDIA

. . . `` -. × . , • , •