JOURNAL OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS AND APPLICATIONS Volume 10, Number 2, Summer 1998

ON THE BACKWARD EULER METHOD FOR TIME DEPENDENT PARABOLIC INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONSMOOTH INITIAL DATA

AMIYA K. PANI AND RAJEN K. SINHA

ABSTRACT. In this paper the backward Euler method is applied for discretization in time for a time dependent parabolic integro-differential equation. A simple energy technique is used to derive almost optimal order error estimates when the initial function is only in L^2 .

1. Introduction. In this paper we shall consider a time dependent parabolic integro-differential equation of the form

(1.1)
$$u_t + A(t)u = \int_0^t B(t,s)u(s) \, ds \quad \text{in } \Omega \times J,$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times J,$$
$$u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with smooth boundary, J denotes the interval (0,T] with $T < \infty$, and u(x,t) is a real-valued function in $\Omega \times J$ with $u_t = \partial u/\partial t$. We shall assume that A(t) is a time dependent uniformly elliptic, second order self-adjoint linear partial differential operator in Ω and B(t,s) is a second order partial differential operator with appropriately smooth coefficients.

Such problems and variants of them occur in several applications, such as in models for heat conduction in rigid materials with memory, the compression of poroviscoelastic media, reactor dynamics and epidemic phenomena in biology. For a detailed study, we refer to Yanik and Fairweather [14].

Let $H_0^1 = \{\phi \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$. Further, let $A(t; \cdot, \cdot)$ and $B(t, s; \cdot, \cdot)$ be the bilinear forms on $H_0^1 \times H_0^1$ corresponding to operators

Received by the editors on February 10, 1997, and in revised form on September 18, 1997.

Copyright ©1998 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

A(t) and B(t,s), respectively. The weak formulation of (1.1) is then defined as: Find $u: \overline{J} \to H_0^1$ such that

$$(u_t, \phi) + A(t; u, \phi) = \int_0^t B(t, s; u(s), \phi) \, ds,$$

$$\forall \phi \in H_0^1, \quad t \in J$$

$$u(0) = u_0.$$

Here and below, we denote (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ by the L^2 inner product and the induced norm on $L^2(\Omega)$.

For the purpose of Galerkin procedure, we assume that we are given a family $\{S_h\}$, 0 < h < 1, of finite dimensional subspaces of H_0^1 such that

$$\inf_{\chi \in S_h} \{ \|\phi - \chi\| + h \|\phi - \chi\|_1 \} \le Ch^r \|\phi\|_r, \phi \in H^r \cap H^1_0, \quad r = 1, 2.$$

The standard semi-discrete finite element approximation is then defined as a function $u_h: \overline{J} \to S_h$ such that

(1.2)
$$(u_{ht}, \chi) + A(t; u_h, \chi) = \int_0^t B(t, s; u_h(s), \chi) \, ds,$$
$$\forall \chi \in S_h, \quad t \in J,$$
$$u_h(0) = P_h u_0,$$

where $P_h u_0$ is the L^2 -projection of u_0 onto S_h .

In the present paper we shall discuss time discretization of (1.1) based on the backward Euler method. Let k > 0 be the time step and $t_n = nk$ with T = Nk. Further, let U^n be the approximation of $u(t_n)$ and $\overline{\partial}_t U^n = k^{-1}(U^n - U^{n-1})$. Then the backward Euler scheme is to seek $U^n \in S_h$ such that, for n = 1, 2, ..., N,

(1.3)

$$(\overline{\partial}_t U^n, \chi) + A(t_n; U^n, \chi) = k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; U^j, \chi),$$

$$\forall \chi \in S_h,$$

$$U^0 = P_h u_0,$$

where the integral term in (1.2) has been approximated by the rectangle rule

$$\int_{0}^{t_n} \phi(s) \, ds \approx k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(t_j), \quad 0 < t_n \le T.$$

Below, we shall state the main result of this paper, whose proof will be carried out by energy arguments in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1. Let u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the backward Euler approximation defined by (1.3). Then there exists a positive constant C = C(T) such that, for $t_n \in (0,T]$,

$$||U^n - u(t_n)|| \le Ct_n^{-1} \left(h^2 + k\left(1 + \left(\log\frac{1}{k}\right)\right)\right) ||u_0||.$$

For our error analysis, we shall use the standard Sobolev space $H^m(\Omega), m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and its norm as $\|\cdot\|_m$. Let us define $\|\phi\|_{-j,h}$ as

$$\|\phi\|_{-j,h} = \sup_{\chi \in S_h} \frac{(\phi, \chi)}{\|\chi\|_j}, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Throughout this paper C denotes a generic positive constant independent of h, k and any function involved and not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

The numerical solution of parabolic integro-differential equations was first studied by Douglas and Jones [2] using the finite difference method. Later, Yanik and Fairweather [14] presented fully discrete Galerkin finite element approximations to the solutions of a nonlinear parabolic integro-differential equation with B at most of first order. For a more general parabolic integro-differential equation with A independent of time, Sloan and Thomée [10] discussed the discretization in time with special attention paid to the storage requirements of the memory term.

Earlier, Thomée and Zhang [12] derived optimal L^2 -error estimates for the semi-discrete Galerkin method applied to (1.1) with A(t) = A. The related fully discrete backward Euler scheme has been discussed by Thomée and Zhang [13], and optimal order error estimates are obtained through the semi-group theoretic approach when the given

initial function is only in L^2 . The method adopted also paid attention to the advantageous storage requirements of the memory term. Recently, for smooth initial data, Pani et al. [7] have also studied fully discrete numerical methods for (1.1) and obtained stability and optimal error estimates using energy arguments, and the methods considered there pay attention to the storage need during time-stepping. The semidiscrete Galerkin finite element approximation to (1.1) was presented by Pani and Sinha in [6], and optimal error estimates are derived using the parabolic duality argument and energy methods for rough initial data.

The related reference on finite element error analysis for parabolic equations with nonsmooth data can be found in Bramble et al. [1], Luskin and Rannacher [5], Huang and Thomée [3,4], Sammon [8, 9] and Thomée [11].

The layout of this paper is as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary materials. Moreover, a stability result related to the semidiscrete solution u_h is proved for our subsequent use. In Section 3 the backward Euler scheme for the discretization in time has been discussed. Finally, a proof of the main result, i.e., Theorem 1.1, is presented with the help of a series of lemmas.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we shall briefly review some basic results and stability estimates for our future use. For a proof, we refer to Huang and Thomée [3] and Pani et al. [7].

Let $T_h = T_h(t) : L^2 \to S_h$ be defined by

$$A(t; T_h \psi, \chi) = (\psi, \chi), \quad \forall \chi \in S_h.$$

We now recall some properties related to the solution operator T_h , namely, the operator T_h is positive definite on S_h and it approximates the exact solution operator $T = T(t) = A(t)^{-1}$ in the following sense

(2.1)
$$||(T_h - T)\psi|| + h||(T_h - T)\psi)||_1 \le Ch^2 ||\psi||, \quad \psi \in L^2(\Omega)$$

Since T_h is differentiable in time t, it is an easy exercise to show that

$$||T'_h\psi||_1 \le C ||T_h\psi||_1 \le C ||\psi||_{-1,h},$$

where T'_h denotes the differentiation with respect to time t. We shall assume that the finite element mesh satisfies the quasi-uniformity

condition. Then the following inverse estimate holds true for S_h , i.e., for $\chi \in S_h$,

$$\|\chi\|_{1} \le Ch^{-1} \|\chi\|.$$

Let $\tilde{B}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be any bilinear form on $H^1_0 \times H^1_0$ associated with a second order partial differential operator. Then, using (2.1) and the inverse estimate, we have for $\psi, \chi \in S_h$,

(2.2)
$$\begin{split} |\tilde{B}(\psi, T_h \chi)| &\leq |\tilde{B}(\psi, (T_h - T)\chi)| + |\tilde{B}(\psi, T_\chi)| \\ &\leq C(\|\psi\|_1 h \|\chi\| + \|\psi\| \|\chi\|) \\ &\leq C \|\psi\| \|\chi\|. \end{split}$$

~

In our subsequent analysis, we shall also use the following properties related to the solution operator $T_n = A_h(t_n)^{-1} : S_h \to S_h$ where $A_h(t_n): S_h \to S_h$ is defined by

$$(A_h(t_n)\psi,\chi) = A(t_n;\psi,\chi), \quad \psi,\chi \in S_h.$$

Suppose $\hat{T}_n = A(t_n)^{-1}$ to be the continuous analogue of $T_n = T_h(t_n)$. Then we have, see Pani et al. [7],

$$||(T_n - \hat{T}_n)\psi|| + h||(T_n - \hat{T}_n)\psi||_1 \le Ch^2 ||\psi||, \quad \psi \in S_h.$$

Analogous to (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.3) |B(\psi, T_n \chi)| \le C \|\psi\| \|\chi\|, \quad \psi, \chi \in S_h.$$

Moreover, $A(t_n; T_n\psi, \chi) = (\psi, \chi), \psi, \chi \in S_h$, and hence,

(2.4)
$$(\overline{\partial}A)(t_n; T_{n-1}\psi, \chi) + A(t_n; (\overline{\partial}_n T_n)\psi, \chi) = 0,$$

where $(\overline{\partial}A)$ is the backward difference quotient with respect to the first variable t at $t = t_n$. It is well known [4] that there exist positive generic constants C_1 and C_2 such that

(2.5)
$$C_{-1} \|\psi\|_{-1,h} \le \|T_n\psi\|_1 \le C_2 \|\psi\|_{-1,h}.$$

Taking $\chi = (\overline{\partial}_t T_n)\psi$ in (2.4) and, using coercivity and boundedness of A, it is easy to obtain

(2.6)
$$\|(\partial_t T_n)(\psi)\|_1 \le C \|T_{n-1}\psi\|_1 \le C \|\psi\|_{-1,h}.$$

Below we shall prove the following estimates for the semi-discrete solution u_h satisfying (1.2) which will be of frequent use in our error analysis.

Theorem 2.1. Let u_h be the solution of (1.2). Then, for $u_0 \in L^2$, the following estimates

(a)
$$\|u_h(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|u_h(s)\|_1^2 ds \le C \|u_0\|^2$$
,
(b) $t^2 \|u_{ht}(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t s^2 \|u_{hs}(s)\|_1^2 ds \le C \|u_0\|^2$,
(c) $\int_0^t s^2 \|u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 ds \le C \|u_0\|^2$,
and
(d) $\int_0^t \|T_h u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 ds \le C \|u_0\|^2$

hold.

Proof. Setting $\chi = u_h$ in (1.2) and integrating the resulting equation from 0 to t, it is easy to obtain the estimate (a). To estimate (b), we first differentiate (1.2) with respect to time t to have

(2.7)
$$(u_{htt}, \chi) + A(t; u_{ht}, \chi) = -A_t(t; u_h, \chi) + B(t, t; u_h(t), \chi) + \int_0^t B_t(t, s; u_h(s), \chi) \, ds.$$

Choose $\chi = t^2 u_{ht}$ in the above equation and use the standard energy argument to prove (b). For the estimation of (c), use boundedness of A, A_t, B and B_t to obtain

$$||u_{htt}||_{-1,h} \le C \bigg(||u_{ht}||_1 + ||u_h||_1 + \int_0^t ||u_h(s)||_1 \, ds \bigg).$$

Applying estimates (a) and (b), it now follows that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t s^2 \|u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t s^2 \Big(\|u_{hs}\|_1^2 + \|u_h\|_1^2 + \int_0^s \|u_h(\tau)\|_1^2 \, d\tau \Big) \, ds \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|^2. \end{split}$$

224

Finally, for the estimation of (d), we take $\chi = T_h v_h$ for $v_h \in S_h$ in (2.7) and use the self-adjoint property of T_h and (2.2) to have

$$|(T_h u_{htt}, v_h)| \le C \bigg(||u_{ht}||_{-1,h} ||v_h||_1 + ||u_h|| ||v_h|| + \int_0^t ||u_h(s)|| \, ds ||v_h|| \bigg).$$

From (1.2), we obtain

$$||u_{ht}||_{-1,h} \le C \bigg(||u_h||_1 + \int_0^t ||u_h(s)||_1 \, ds \bigg).$$

Therefore,

$$|T_h u_{htt}||_{-1,h} \le C \bigg(||u_h|| + ||u_h||_1 + \int_0^t ||u_h(s)|| \, ds + \int_0^t ||u_h(s)||_1 \, ds \bigg),$$

and hence,

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|T_{h}u_{hss}\|_{-1,h}^{2} ds \leq C \int_{0}^{t} \left(\|u_{h}(s)\|^{2} + \|u_{h}(s)\|_{1}^{2} + \int_{0}^{s} (\|u_{h}(\tau)\|^{2} + \|u_{h}(\tau)\|_{1}^{2}) d\tau \right) ds$$
$$\leq C \|u_{0}\|^{2}.$$

This now completes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

We shall also frequently use the discrete version of Gronwall's lemma which is stated as follows. For a proof, see Pani et al. [7, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 2.1. If $\xi_n \ge 0$, $\alpha_n \ge \alpha_{n-1}$, $\beta_j \ge 0$ and $\xi_n \le \alpha_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j \xi_j$ for $n \ge 0$, then $\xi_n \le \alpha_n \exp(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta_j)$.

3. Error analysis for backward Euler method. In this section we shall be concerned with discretization in time by the backward Euler scheme given by (1.3) and derive almost optimal order error estimates in L^2 assuming $u_0 \in L^2$.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we split the error $U^n - u(t_n)$ as $(U^n - u_h^n) + (u_h^n - u(t_n))$ with $u_h^n = u_h(t_n)$. Since the estimate of $||u_h^n - u(t_n)||$ is known from Pani and Sinha [6, Theorem 4.1], it is enough to derive an estimate for $||U^n - u_h^n||$. Let $\eta^n = U^n - u_h^n$. From (1.2) and (1.3), we obtain an error equation in η^n as

(3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{\partial}_t \eta^n, \chi) + A(t_n; \eta^n, \chi) &= k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n; t_j; \eta^j, \chi) \\ &+ Q_B^n(u_h)(\chi) + (\tau^n, \chi), \\ \eta^0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau^n = u_{ht}^n - \overline{\partial}_t u_h^n$ and $Q_B^n(u_h)(\chi) = k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; u_h^j, \chi) - \int_0^{t_n} B(t_n, s; u_h(s), \chi) ds.$

In order to compute η^n , set $\eta^n = \sum_{i=1}^2 \eta^n_i$ where η^n_i , i = 1, 2, are determined by

(3.2)
$$(\overline{\partial}_t \eta_1^n, \chi) + A(t_n; \eta_1^n; \chi) = k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \eta^j, \chi) + Q_B^n(u_h)(\chi),$$
$$\chi \in S_h, \quad n \ge 1,$$
$$\eta_1^0 = 0,$$

and

(3.3)
$$(\overline{\partial}_t \eta_2^n, \chi) + A(t_n; \eta_2^n, \chi) = (\tau^n, \chi),$$
$$\chi \in S_h, \quad n \ge 1,$$
$$\eta_2^0 = 0.$$

For the estimation of η_2^n , we shall closely follow the analysis of Huang and Thomée [3].

Lemma 3.1. Let η_2^n be a solution of (3.3). Then, for n = 1, 2, ..., N,

$$t_n^2 \|\eta_2^n\|^2 + k \sum_{j=1}^n t_j^2 \|\eta_2^j\|_1^2 \le Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2.$$

Proof. Set $\tilde{\eta}_2^n = t_n \eta_2^n$ and $\tilde{\tau}^n = t_n \tau^n$. Multiply (3.3) by t_n to have

(3.4)
$$(\overline{\partial}_t \tilde{\eta}_2^n, \chi) + A(t_n; \tilde{\eta}_2^n, \chi) = (\tilde{\tau}^n, \chi) + (\eta_2^{n-1}, \chi).$$

Taking $\chi = \tilde{\eta}_2^n$ in (3.4) and using coercivity of A, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}_t \|\tilde{\eta}_2^n\|^2 + c\|\tilde{\eta}_2^n\|_1^2 \le \|\tilde{\tau}^n\|_{-1,h} \|\tilde{\eta}_2^n\|_1 + \|\eta_2^{n-1}\|_{-1,h} \|\tilde{\eta}_2^n\|_1.$$

Sum n from 1 to m to have

(3.5)
$$t_{m}^{2} \|\eta_{2}^{m}\|^{2} + k \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n}^{2} \|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{1}^{2} \leq Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_{n}^{2} \|\tau^{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2} + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2}.$$

To estimate the first term on the righthand side, we note that

$$\tau^{n} = \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} (s - t_{n-1}) u_{hss}(s) \, ds,$$

and, hence,

$$\|\tau^n\|_{-1,h}^2 \le \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (s - t_{n-1})^2 \|u_{h_{ss}}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 \, ds.$$

Since $t_n(s-t_{n-1}) \leq sk$ for $s \in [t_{n-1}, t_n]$, we obtain, using Theorem 2.1,

(3.6)
$$k \sum_{n=1}^{m} t_n^2 \|\tau^n\|_{-1,h}^2 \le Ck^2 \int_0^{t_n} s^2 \|u_{h_{ss}}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 \, ds \le Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2.$$

Next, to estimate the second term on the righthand side of (3.5), we proceed as follows.

Using the property of $T_n = T_h(t_n)$, first write error equation (3.3) in the form

$$T_n\overline{\partial}_t\eta_2^n + \eta_2^n = T_n\tau^n.$$

Now rewrite the above equation as

$$\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_2^n) + \eta_2^n = T_n\tau^n + (\overline{\partial}_tT_n)\eta_2^{n-1} = F_n + (\overline{\partial}_tT_n)\eta_2^{n-1},$$

where $F_n = T_n \tau^n$. Taking the inner product with $T_n \eta_2^n$ and using (2.5)–(2.6), we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}_{t}(\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|^{2}) + c\|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2} \leq \|F_{n}\|_{-1,h}\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{1} \\
+ \|(\overline{\partial}_{t}T_{n})\eta_{2}^{n-1}\|_{1}\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h} \\
\leq C\|F_{n}\|_{-1,h}\|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h} \\
+ \|\eta_{2}^{n-1}\|_{-1,h}\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|.$$

Using Young's inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}_{t}(\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|^{2}) + c\|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2} \leq \frac{c}{4}(\|\eta_{2}^{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2} + \|\eta_{2}^{n-1}\|_{-1,h}^{2}) + C\|F_{n}\|_{-1,h}^{2} + C\|T_{n}\eta_{2}^{n}\|^{2}.$$

Sum n from 1 to m to have

$$||T_m \eta_2^m||^2 + ck \sum_{n=1}^m ||\eta_2^n||_{-1,h}^2 \le Ck \sum_{n=1}^m ||F_n||_{-1,h}^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^m ||T_n \eta_2^n||^2.$$

An application of the discrete Gronwall's lemma, Lemma 2.1, leads to

(3.7)
$$\|T_m \eta_2^m\|^2 + ck \sum_{n=1}^m \|\eta_2^n\|_{-1,h}^2 \le Ck \sum_{n=1}^m \|F_n\|_{-1,h}^2.$$

To estimate the term on the righthand side of (3.7), we note that

$$||F_n||_{-1,h}^2 = ||T_n \tau^n||_{-1,h}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (s - t_{n-1})^2 ||T_n u_{hss}(s)||_{-1,h}^2 \, ds.$$

For $\tilde{s} \in (t_{n-1},t_n)$ use the mean value theorem and properties of T_h to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h} &\leq \|T_h(s)u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h} + k\|T'_h(\tilde{s})u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h} \\ &\leq \|T_h(s)u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h} + Ck\|T'_h(\tilde{s})u_{hss}(s)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|T_h(s)u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h} + Ck\|u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, again using Theorem 2.1, we obtain

(3.8)

$$k \sum_{n=1}^{m} \|F_n\|_{-1,h}^2 \leq Ck^2 \int_0^{t_m} \|T_h(s)u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 ds$$

$$+ Ck^2 \int_0^{t_m} s^2 \|u_{hss}(s)\|_{-1,h}^2 ds$$

$$\leq Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2.$$

Combine (3.5)–(3.8) to obtain the desired estimate. This completes the proof. $\hfill\square$

To achieve a bound for η^n , it remains to obtain an estimate for η_1^n . Below we shall derive this using a series of lemmas.

Let
$$Q_A^m(u_h)(\chi) = -k \sum_{n=1}^m A(t_n; u_h^n, \chi) + \int_0^{t_m} A(s; u_h(s), \chi) \, ds$$
, and

$$\overline{Q}_B^m(u_h)(\chi) = k^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; u_h^j, \chi)$$
$$- \int_0^{t_m} \int_0^s B(s, \tau; u_h(\tau), \chi) \, d\tau \, ds$$

be the quadrature error when we apply the right rectangle rule. In the following lemma, we shall derive some estimates related to the above quadrature errors for our future use.

Lemma 3.2. With Q_A^n , Q_B^n and \overline{Q}_B^m defined as above, there is a positive constant C such that, for $\chi \in S_h$,

$$|Q_A^n(u_h)(T_n\chi)| + |Q_B^n(u_h)(T_n\chi)| + |\overline{Q}_B^m(u_h)(T_m\chi)| \le Ck \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right) ||u_0|| ||\chi||.$$

Proof. Using the right rectangle rule we note that

$$\begin{aligned} Q_A^n(u_h)(T_n\chi) &= -kA(t_1, u_h^1, T_n\chi) \\ &+ \int_0^{t_1} A(s, u_h(s), T_n\chi) \, ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (t_{j-1} - s) \\ &\cdot \left[A(s, u_{hs}(s), T_n\chi) + A_s(s, u_h(s), T_n\chi) \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply (2.3) to have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_A^n(u_h)(T_n\chi)| &\leq Ck \|u_h(t_1)\| \|\chi\| \\ &+ C \int_0^{t_1} \|u_h(s)\| \|\chi\| \, ds \\ &+ Ck \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (\|u_{hs}(s)\| + \|u_h(s)\|) \, ds \|\chi\|. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_A^n(u_h)(T_n\chi)| &\leq Ck \bigg(1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \log \frac{t_j}{t_{j-1}} \bigg) ||u_0|| ||\chi|| \\ &\leq Ck \bigg(1 + \log \frac{1}{k} \bigg) ||u_0|| ||\chi||. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the left rectangle rule, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B^n(u_h)(T_n\chi) &= kB(t_n, 0; u_h(0), T_n\chi) \\ &- \int_0^{t_1} B(t_n, s; u_h(s), T_n\chi) \, ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (s - t_{j+1}) [B(t_n; s, u_{hs}(s), T_n\chi) \\ &+ B_s(t_n, s; u_h(s), T_n\chi)] \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument as above shows that

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_B^n(u_h)(T_n\chi)| &\leq Ck \bigg(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \log \frac{t_{j+1}}{t_j}\bigg) ||u_0|| ||\chi| \\ &\leq Ck \bigg(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\bigg) ||u_0|| ||\chi||. \end{aligned}$$

230

Finally, to estimate \overline{Q}_B^m , we now split

(3.9)

$$\overline{Q}_{B}^{m} = k \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left[k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_{n}, t_{j}; u_{h}^{j}, T_{m}\chi) - \int_{0}^{t_{n}} B(t_{n}, s; u_{h}(s), T_{m}\chi) \, ds \right] \\
+ \left[k \sum_{n=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t_{n}} B(t_{n}, s; u_{h}(s), T_{m}\chi) \, ds - \int_{0}^{t_{m}} \int_{0}^{s} B(s, \tau; u_{h}(\tau), T_{m}\chi) \, d\tau \, ds \right] \\
= \overline{Q}_{1,B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\chi) + \overline{Q}_{2,B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\chi).$$

Note that

$$\overline{Q}_{1,B}^m = k \sum_{n=1}^m Q_B^n(u_h)(T_m \chi),$$

and hence, using the estimate of Q^n_B (replacing $T_n\chi$ by $T_m\chi)$ we have

(3.10)
$$|\overline{Q}_{1,B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\chi)| \leq Ck \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right) ||u_{0}|| ||\chi||.$$

For the second term on the right of (3.9), it now follows that

$$\overline{Q}_{2,B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} (s - t_{n-1})s \\ \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\int_{0}^{s} B(s,\tau;u_{h}(\tau),T_{m}\chi) \, d\tau \right] ds$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} (s - t_{n-1}) \\ \cdot \left[B(s,s;u_{h}(s),T_{m}\chi) \\ + \int_{0}^{s} B_{s}(s,\tau;u_{h}(\tau),T_{m}\chi) \, d\tau \right] ds.$$

231

Again, a use of (2.3) yields

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{Q}_{2,B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\chi)| &\leq Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} [\|u_{h}(s)\| \|\chi\| \\ &+ \int_{0}^{s} \|u_{h}(s)\| \|\chi\| d\tau] ds \\ &\leq Ck^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \|u_{0}\| \|\chi\| \\ &\leq Ck \|u_{0}\| \|\chi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now combine (3.9)–(3.11) to estimate the third term. This completes the proof. $\hfill\square$

Lemma 3.3. There is a positive constant C such that the following estimate holds for n = 1, 2, ..., N,

$$k\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \|T_{j}\overline{\partial}_{t}\eta_{1}^{j}\|_{1}^{2} + t_{n} \|\eta_{1}^{n}\|^{2} \leq C \left[k^{2} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \|u_{0}\|^{2} + t_{n-1} \|\hat{\eta}^{n-1}\|^{2}\right] \\ + C \left[k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|\hat{\eta}^{j}\|^{2} + k\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\eta_{1}^{j}\|^{2} + k\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\eta_{1}^{j}\|^{2} + k\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{j}^{2} \|\eta^{j}\|^{2}\right],$$

where $\hat{\eta}^n = k \sum_{j=0}^n \eta^j$.

Proof. Choose $\chi = t_n \overline{\partial}_t (T_n \eta_1^n)$ in (3.2) to have

$$\begin{split} t_n(\overline{\partial}_t\eta_1^n,\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)) + t_nA(t_n;\eta_1^n,\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)) \\ &= k\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} t_nB(t_n;t_j;\eta^j,\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)) + t_nQ_B^n(u_h)(\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)). \end{split}$$

232

Note that

$$t_n(\overline{\partial}_t\eta_1^n,\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)) = t_n(\overline{\partial}_t\eta_1^n,T_n\overline{\partial}_t\eta_1^n) + t_n(\overline{\partial}_t\eta_1^n,(\overline{\partial}_tT_n)\eta_1^{n-1}), t_nA(t_n;\eta_1^n,\overline{\partial}_t(T_n\eta_1^n)) \ge \frac{1}{2}\overline{\partial}_t[t_n\|\eta_1^n\|^2] - \frac{1}{2}\|\eta_1^{n-1}\|^2 - t_n(\overline{\partial}A)(t_n;\eta_1^n,T_{n-1}\eta_1^{n-1}),$$

and

$$t_n Q_B^n(u_h)(\overline{\partial}_t(T_n \eta_1^n)) = \overline{\partial}_t [t_n Q_B^n(u_h)(T_n \eta_1^n)] - Q_B^n(u_h)(T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1}) - t_{n-1} \overline{\partial}_t (Q_B^n(u_h))(T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1}).$$

For n = 1, it is easy to obtain

(3.12)
$$kt_1 \|T_1\overline{\partial}_t \eta_1^1\|_1^2 + t_1 \|\eta_1^1\|^2 \le Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ckt_1^2 \|\eta^1\|^2.$$

We now sum n from 2 to m to have

$$\begin{split} k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n}(\overline{\partial}_{t}\eta_{1}^{n}, T_{n}\overline{\partial}_{t}\eta_{1}^{n}) &+ \frac{1}{2}t_{m} \|\eta_{1}^{m}\|^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}k \sum_{n=2}^{m} \|\eta_{1}^{n-1}\|^{2} \\ &+ \left|k \sum_{n=2}^{m} [-t_{n}(\overline{\partial}_{t}\eta_{1}^{n}, (\overline{\partial}_{t}T_{n})\eta_{1}^{n-1}) + t_{n}(\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n}; \eta_{1}^{n}, T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})] \right| \\ &+ \left|k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n}B(t_{n}, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, \overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{n}\eta_{1}^{n}))\right| \\ &+ \left|-k^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{n}(\overline{\partial}_{2}B)(t_{n}, t_{j}; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, \overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{n}\eta_{1}^{n}))| \right. \\ &+ \left[|t_{m}Q_{B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\eta_{1}^{m}) - t_{1}Q_{B}^{1}(u_{h})(T_{1}\eta_{1}^{1})|\right] \\ &+ \left|-k \sum_{n=2}^{m} Q_{B}^{n}(u_{h})(T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})\right| \\ &+ \left|-k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n-1}\overline{\partial}_{t}(Q_{B}^{n}(u_{h}))(T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})\right| + \frac{1}{2}t_{1}\|\eta_{1}^{1}\|^{2} \end{split}$$

233

$$= |I_1| + |I_2| + |I_3| + |I_4| + |I_5| + |I_6| + |I_7| + \frac{1}{2}t_1 ||\eta_1^1||^2.$$

For I_1 and I_2 , apply (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) to obtain

$$\begin{split} |I_1| + |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{2}k \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_1^n\|^2 \\ &+ Ck \sum_{n=2}^m [t_n \|\overline{\partial}_t \eta_1^n\|_{-1,h} \|(\overline{\partial}_t T_n) \eta_1^{n-1}\|_1 + t_n \|\eta_1^n\| \|\eta_1^{n-1}\|] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}k \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_1^n\|^2 \\ &+ Ck \sum_{n=2}^m [t_n \|T_n \overline{\partial}_t \eta_1^n\|_1 \|\eta_1^{n-1}\| + t_n \|\eta_1^n\| \|\eta_1^{n-1}\|], \end{split}$$

and hence,

$$|I_1| + |I_2| \le Ck \sum_{n=1}^m \|\eta_1^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}k \sum_{n=2}^m t_n \|T_n \overline{\partial}_t \eta_1^n\|_1^2$$

To estimate I_3 , we first rewrite it as

$$\begin{split} I_{3} &= k \sum_{n=2}^{m} \overline{\partial}_{t} [t_{n} B(t_{n}, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_{n} \eta_{1}^{n})] \\ &- k \sum_{n=2}^{m} B(t_{n}, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_{1}^{n-1}) \\ &- k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n-1} B(t_{n}, t_{n-1}; \eta^{n-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_{1}^{n-1}) \\ &- k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n-1} (\overline{\partial}_{1} B)(t_{n}, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-2}, T_{n-1} \eta_{1}^{n-1}) \\ &- k \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n-1} (\overline{\partial}_{2} B)(t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-2}, T_{n-1} \eta_{1}^{n-1}), \end{split}$$

where $\overline{\partial}_1 B$ and $\overline{\partial}_2 B$ are the difference quotients of B with respect to the first and second arguments, respectively. The first term on the righthand side of I_3 can be written as $t_m B(t_m, t_{m-1}; \hat{\eta}^{m-1}, T_m \eta_1^m)$.

234

Now applying (2.3) to all the terms in I_3 and, since $t_m = t_{m-1} + k$, we have

$$|I_3| \le C(t_{m-1}+k) \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\hat{\eta}^n\|^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_1^n\|^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} t_n^2 \|\eta^n\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} t_m \|\eta_1^m\|^2.$$

For I_4 , let us rewrite it as

$$\begin{split} I_4 &= -k^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{n=j+1}^m t_n(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, \overline{\partial}_t (T_n \eta_1^n)) \\ &= -k^2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{n=j+1}^m \overline{\partial}_t [t_n(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_n \eta_1^n)] \\ &+ k^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} [(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1})] \\ &+ t_{n-1}(\overline{\partial}_{21} B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1})] \\ &= -k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_m(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_m, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_m \eta_1^m) \\ &+ k \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_j, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_j \eta_1^j) \\ &+ k^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} [(\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1})] \\ &+ t_{n-1}(\overline{\partial}_{21} B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1})], \end{split}$$

whence $\overline{\partial}_{21}B$ is the difference quotient of $\overline{\partial}_2 B$ with respect to the first

argument, and hence,

$$\begin{split} I_4 &| \leq Ck \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_m \| \hat{\eta}^{j-1} \| \| \eta_1^m \| \\ &+ Ck \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} t_j \| \hat{\eta}^{j-1} \| \| \eta_1^j \| \\ &+ Ck^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \| \hat{\eta}^{j-1} \| \| \eta_1^{n-1} \| \\ &+ Ck^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{n-1} \| \hat{\eta}^{j-1} \| \| \eta_1^{n-1} \|. \end{split}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it now follows that

$$|I_4| \le Ck \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\hat{\eta}^j\|^2 + Ck \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\eta_1^j\|^2 + Ck^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|\hat{\eta}^j\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} t_m \|\eta_1^m\|^2$$

For I_5 and I_6 , use Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| + |I_6| &\leq Ck^2 \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2 \\ &+ Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} ||\eta_1^n||^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (t_m ||\eta_1^m||^2 + t_1 ||\eta_1^1||^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for I_7 , rewrite it as

$$\begin{split} I_7 &= -\sum_{n=2}^m t_{n-1} \bigg[k B(t_n, t_{n-1}; u_h^{n-1}, T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1}) \\ &\quad -\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} B(t_n, s; u_h(s), T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1}) \, ds \bigg] \\ &\quad -k\sum_{n=2}^m t_{n-1} Q_{\overline{\partial}_1 B}^{n-1}(T_{n-1} \eta_1^{n-1}) \\ &= I_7^1 + I_7^2, \end{split}$$

236

where $\overline{\partial}_1 B$ is the difference quotient of B with respect to the first argument. Using (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{7}^{1}| &\leq C \sum_{n=2}^{m} t_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \left| (s-t_{n}) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [B(t_{n},s;u_{h}(s),T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})] \right| ds \\ &\leq k \sum_{n=2}^{m} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} s(|B(t_{n},s;u_{hs}(s),T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})| \\ &+ |B_{s}(t_{n},s;u_{h}(s),T_{n-1}\eta_{1}^{n-1})|) ds \\ &\leq Ck \sum_{n=2}^{m} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} s(||u_{hs}(s)|| + ||u_{h}(s)||) ds ||\eta_{1}^{n-1}||. \end{aligned}$$

Again, use Theorem 2.1 to obtain

$$|I_7^1| \le Ck^2 ||u_0|| \sum_{n=2}^m ||\eta_1^{n-1}|| \le Ck^2 ||u_0||^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} ||\eta_1^n||^2.$$

For I_7^2 , Lemma 3.2 can be easily modified to have

$$|I_7^2| \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2 + Ck \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} ||\eta_1^n||^2.$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain the required estimate using (3.12), and this completes the proof. \Box

Note that the righthand side of the estimate $t_n ||\eta^n||$ in the previous lemma involves terms containing $\hat{\eta}^n$. Therefore, in the following lemma we shall obtain some estimates related to $\hat{\eta}^n$.

With $\hat{\eta}^n = k \sum_{j=0}^n \eta^j$, clearly $\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^n = \eta^n$ and $\hat{\eta}^0 = 0$. Multiply (1.3) by k and then sum with respect to n from 1 to m with $1 \le n \le m \le N$ to have

(3.13)
$$(U^m, \chi) + k \sum_{n=1}^m A(t_n; U^n, \chi)$$

= $k^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; U^j, \chi) + (P_h u_0, \chi).$

Integrate (1.2) from 0 to t to obtain

(3.14)
$$(u_h(t), \chi) + \int_0^t A(s; u_h(s), \chi) ds$$

= $(P_h u_0, \chi) + \int_0^t \int_0^s B(s, \tau; u_h(\tau), \chi) d\tau ds$.

Using (3.14) at $t = t_m$ and (3.13), we find that

$$(\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, \chi) + k \sum_{n=1}^m A(t_n; \eta^n, \chi) = k^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \eta^j, \chi) + Q_A^m(u_h)(\chi) + \overline{Q}_B^m(u_h)(\chi).$$

Since $k \sum_{n=1}^{m} A(t_n; \eta^n, \chi) = A(t_m; \hat{\eta}^m, \chi) - k \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\overline{\partial} A)(t_n; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, \chi)$, where $(\overline{\partial} A)(t_n; \cdot, \cdot) = k^{-1}[A(t_n; \cdot, \cdot) - A(t_{n-1}; \cdot, \cdot)]$ is the backward difference quotient of $A(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to the first variable at $t = t_n$, we obtain

$$(\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, \chi) + A(t_m; \hat{\eta}^m, \chi) = k \sum_{n=1}^m (\overline{\partial}A)(t_n; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, \chi) + k^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \eta^j, \chi) + Q_A^m(u_h)(\chi) + \overline{Q}_B^m(u_h)(\chi).$$

Lemma 3.4. With $\hat{\eta}^n$ given as above, we have

$$||T_n\hat{\eta}^n||_1^2 + k\sum_{j=1}^n ||\hat{\eta}^j||^2 \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2$$

238

Proof. Choose $\chi = T_m \hat{\eta}^m$ in (3.15) to obtain

$$(3.16) \quad (\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m}, T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}) + A(t_{m}; \hat{\eta}^{m}, T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}) = k \sum_{n=1}^{m} (\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n}, \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}) + k^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_{n}, t_{j}; \eta^{j}, T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}) + Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}) + \overline{Q}_{B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}).$$

For m = 1, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{k}(\hat{\eta}^1, T_1\hat{\eta}^1) + \|\hat{\eta}^1\|^2 = Q_A^1(u_h)(T_1\hat{\eta}^1) + \overline{Q}_B^1(u_h)(T_1\hat{\eta}^1).$$

Applying (2.3) to the terms appearing on the right of the above equation, we obtain

(3.17)
$$||T_1\hat{\eta}^1||_1^2 + k||\hat{\eta}^1||^2 \le Ck^2 ||u_0||^2.$$

We first note that

$$2(\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, T_m \hat{\eta}^m) = \overline{\partial}_t [(\hat{\eta}^m, T_m \hat{\eta}^m)] + k(\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, T_m \overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m) - (\hat{\eta}^{m-1}, (\overline{\partial}_t T_m) \hat{\eta}^{m-1})$$

and for $m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \eta^j, T_m \hat{\eta}^m) &= k^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^j, T_m \hat{\eta}^m) \\ &= k \sum_{n=2}^m B(t_n, t_{n-1}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_m \hat{\eta}^m) \\ &- k^2 \sum_{n=2}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\overline{\partial}_2 B)(t_n, t_j; \hat{\eta}^{j-1}, T_m \hat{\eta}^m), \end{aligned}$$

239

where $\overline{\partial}_2 B$ is the backward difference quotient of B with respect to the second argument. Here we have also used summation by parts.

Sum (3.16) with respect to m from 2 to l with $m \leq l \leq N$ and use (2.3) to have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\eta}^{l}, T_{l}\hat{\eta}^{l}) + 2k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\|^{2} &\leq |(\hat{\eta}^{1}, T_{1}\hat{\eta}^{1})| \\ &+ C \bigg[k^{2} \sum_{m=2}^{l} \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \|\hat{\eta}^{n}\| \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\| \\ &+ k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|_{-1,h} \|(\overline{\partial}_{t}T_{m})\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|_{1} \\ &+ k^{3} \sum_{m=2}^{l} \sum_{n=2}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|\hat{\eta}^{j-1}\| \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\| \\ &+ k \sum_{m=2}^{l} |Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m})| \\ &+ k \sum_{m=2}^{l} |\overline{Q}_{B}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m})| \bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

For the third term on the righthand side, we shall use (2.5)-(2.6) and apply Lemma 3.2 for the last two terms. Then use Young's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_l \hat{\eta}^l\|_1^2 + k \sum_{m=2}^l \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2 &\leq C \|T_1 \hat{\eta}^1\|_1^2 \\ &+ Ck^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2 \\ &+ Ck^2 \sum_{m=2}^l \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|\hat{\eta}^j\|^2 \\ &+ Ck \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \|T_m \hat{\eta}^m\|_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

240

With the help of (3.17), we have

$$\|T_l\hat{\eta}^l\|_1^2 + k\sum_{m=1}^l \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2 \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck^2 \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \|\hat{\eta}^j\|^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \|T_m\hat{\eta}^m\|_1^2.$$

Apply the discrete Gronwall's lemma to complete the rest of the proof. \square

Lemma 3.5. With $\hat{\eta}^n$ as above, the following estimate

$$k\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|T_{j}\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{j}\|_{1}^{2} + \|\hat{\eta}^{n}\|^{2} \le Ck\left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \|u_{0}\|^{2}$$

holds.

Proof. Take $\chi = \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)$ in (3.15) to obtain

$$(3.18) \quad (\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) + A(t_m; \hat{\eta}^m, \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) \\ = k \sum_{n=1}^m (\overline{\partial}A)(t_n, \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) \\ + k \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} B(t_n, t_j; \eta^j, \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) \\ + Q_A^m(u_h)(\overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) \\ + \overline{Q}_B^m(u_h)(\overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)).$$

Note that $(\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) = (\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, T_m \overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m) + (\overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^m, (\overline{\partial}_t T_m) \hat{\eta}^{m-1}),$ and

$$A(t_m; \hat{\eta}^m, \overline{\partial}_t(T_m \hat{\eta}^m)) \ge \frac{1}{2} \overline{\partial}_t \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2 - (\overline{\partial}A)(t_m; \hat{\eta}^m, T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1}).$$

241

For m = 1, use (2.3) and Young's inequality to obtain (3.19) $k \|T_1 \overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^1\|_1^2 + \|\hat{\eta}^1\|^2 \le Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2$. For $m \ge 2$, sum (3.18) with respect to m from 2 to l to obtain

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{m=2}^{l} \|T_{m}\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m}\|_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{\eta}^{l}\|^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\hat{\eta}^{1}\|^{2} + \left|k\sum_{m=2}^{l}[-(\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m},(\overline{\partial}_{t}T_{m})\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) + (\overline{\partial}A)(t_{m};\hat{\eta}^{m},T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})]\right| \\ & + \left|k^{2}\sum_{m=2}^{l}\sum_{n=1}^{m}(\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n};\hat{\eta}^{n-1},\overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))\right| \\ & + \left|k^{2}\sum_{m=2}^{l}\sum_{n=1}^{m}B(t_{n},t_{n-1};\hat{\eta}^{n-1},\overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))\right| \\ & + \left|-k^{3}\sum_{m=2}^{l}\sum_{n=2}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(\overline{\partial}_{2}B)(t_{n},t_{j};\hat{\eta}^{j-1},\overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))\right| \\ & + \left|k\sum_{m=2}^{l}Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(\overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))\right| \\ & + \left|k\sum_{m=2}^{l}\overline{Q}_{B}^{m}(u_{h})(\overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))\right| \\ & = |I_{1}| + |I_{2}| + |I_{3}| + |I_{4}| + |I_{5}| + |I_{6}| + |I_{7}|. \end{split}$$

In view of (3.19), I_1 is bounded by the term on the right of (3.19). From (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) we have, for I_2 ,

$$\begin{split} |I_{2}| &\leq Ck \sum_{m=2}^{l} [\|\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m}\|_{-1,h} \| (\overline{\partial}_{t}T_{m})\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|_{1} + \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\| \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|] \\ &\leq Ck \sum_{m=2}^{l} [\|T_{m}\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m}\|_{1} \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\| + \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\| \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|] \\ &\leq \varepsilon k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \|T_{m}\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{m}\|_{1}^{2} + C(\varepsilon)k \sum_{m=1}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^{m}\|^{2}. \end{split}$$

242

k

To estimate I_3 , we observe that

$$I_{3} = k^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{l} \sum_{m=n+1}^{l} (\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, \overline{\partial}_{t}(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m}))$$

$$= k \sum_{n=1}^{l} (\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_{1}\hat{\eta}^{l})$$

$$- k \sum_{n=1}^{l} (\overline{\partial}A)(t_{n}; \hat{\eta}^{n-1}, T_{n}\hat{\eta}^{n})$$

and, hence, an application of (2.3) yields

$$|I_3| \le C(\varepsilon)k\sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \|\hat{\eta}^n\|^2 + Ck\sum_{n=1}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^n\|^2 + \varepsilon \|\hat{\eta}^l\|^2.$$

Similarly, we have for I_4 and I_5

$$|I_4| + |I_5| \le C(\varepsilon)k\sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \|\hat{\eta}^n\|^2 + Ck\sum_{n=1}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^n\|^2 + \varepsilon \|\hat{\eta}^l\|^2.$$

For I_6 , we find that

$$I_{6} = k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \overline{\partial}_{t} [Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m})] - k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \overline{\partial}_{t}(Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h}))(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) = [Q_{A}^{l}(u_{h})(T_{l}\hat{\eta}^{l}) - Q_{A}^{1}(u_{h})(T_{1}\hat{\eta}^{1})] - k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \overline{\partial}_{t}(Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h}))(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) = I_{6}^{1} + I_{6}^{2}.$$

From Lemma 3.2 and (3.19), we have

$$|I_6^1| \le Ck \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right) ||u_0|| ||\hat{\eta}^l|| + Ck ||u_0|| ||\hat{\eta}^1||$$

$$\le C(\varepsilon) k^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2 + \varepsilon ||\hat{\eta}^l||^2.$$

243

Note that

$$\begin{split} I_6^2 &= k \sum_{m=2}^l \bigg[k^{-1} \bigg(k A(t_m; u_h^m, T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \\ &- \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} A(s; u_h(s), T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \, ds \bigg) \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Apply (2.3) to obtain

$$|I_6^2| \le Ck \sum_{m=2}^l \frac{1}{t_{m-1}} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} s(||u_{hs}(s)|| + ||u_h(s)||) \, ds ||\hat{\eta}^{m-1}||.$$

By Theorem 2.1, it now follows that

$$\begin{split} |I_6^2| &\leq Ck^{1/2} \|u_0\| \bigg(\sum_{m=2}^l \frac{k^2}{t_{m-1}^2}\bigg)^{1/2} \bigg(k\sum_{m=2}^l \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\|^2\bigg)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Ck \|u_0\|^2 + Ck\sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2. \end{split}$$

Finally, for I_7 , we use summation by parts to obtain

$$I_{7} = [\overline{Q}_{B}^{l}(u_{h})(T_{l}\hat{\eta}^{l}) - \overline{Q}_{B}^{1}(u_{h})(T_{1}\hat{\eta}^{1})] - k \sum_{m=2}^{l} \overline{\partial}_{t}(\overline{Q}_{B}^{m}(u_{h}))(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) = I_{7}^{1} + I_{7}^{2}.$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and (3.19), it now yields

$$|I_7^1| \le C(\varepsilon)k^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2 + \varepsilon ||\hat{\eta}^l||^2.$$

244

To estimate I_7^2 , we rewrite it as

$$\begin{split} I_7^2 &= -\left[k^2 \sum_{m=2}^l \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} B(t_m, t_j; u_h^j, T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \\ &\quad -k \sum_{m=2}^l \int_0^{t_m} B(t_m, s; u_h(s), T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \, ds \right] \\ &\quad -\left[k \sum_{m=2}^l \int_0^{t_m} B(t_m, s; u_h(s), T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \, ds \right] \\ &\quad -\sum_{m=2}^l \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \int_0^s B(s, \tau; u_h(\tau), T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \, d\tau \, ds \right] \\ &= I_7^{21} + I_7^{22}. \end{split}$$

For I_7^{21} , apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain

$$|I_7^{21}| \le k \sum_{m=2}^{l} |Q_B^m(u_h)(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})| \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_o||^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} ||\hat{\eta}^m||^2$$

To estimate I_7^{22} , we note that

$$\begin{split} |I_7^{22}| &= \left| -\sum_{m=2}^l \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} (s - t_{m-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^s B(s, \tau; u_h(\tau), T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1}) \, d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq k \sum_{m=2}^l \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} (|B(s, s; u_h(s), T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1})| \\ &\quad + \int_0^s |B_s(s, \tau; u_h(\tau), T_{m-1} \hat{\eta}^{m-1})| \, d\tau) \, ds, \end{split}$$

245

and, hence, using the property (2.3) and Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$|I_7^{22}| \le Ck \sum_{m=2}^{l} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} (\|u_h(s)\| + \int_0^s \|u_h(\tau)\| \, d\tau) \, ds \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\| \le Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2.$$

Combining all the above estimates and choosing ε appropriately, we arrive at

$$k\sum_{m=2}^{l} \|T_m\overline{\partial}_t\hat{\eta}^m\|_1^2 + \|\hat{\eta}^l\|^2 \le Ck\left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck\sum_{m=1}^{l} \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2.$$

Adding $k \|T_1 \overline{\partial}_t \hat{\eta}^1\|_1^2$ to both sides of the above inequality and making use of (3.19) and Lemma 3.4, we now complete the rest of the proof.

Lemma 3.6. With $\hat{\eta}^n$ as above, the following estimate

$$k\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \|T_{j}\overline{\partial}_{t}\hat{\eta}^{j}\|_{1}^{2} + t_{n} \|\hat{\eta}^{n}\|^{2} \le Ck^{2} \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2} \|u_{0}\|^{2}$$

holds.

Proof. Setting $\chi = t_m \overline{\partial}_t (T_m \hat{\eta}^m)$ in (3.15) and repeating the arguments of Lemma 3.5, we obtain the required estimates. For the sake of clarity, we present below a short proof.

Note that, except for the term I_6 , all other terms in the previous lemma, i.e. Lemma 3.3, are bounded by $Ck^2(1 + \log(1/k))^2 ||u_0||^2$, and, hence, we shall only estimate I_6 . Write I_6 for the present case as

$$I_6 = k \sum_{m=2}^{l} t_m Q_A^m(u_h)(\overline{\partial}_t(T_m \hat{\eta}^m))$$

246

$$=k\sum_{m=2}^{l}\overline{\partial}_{t}[t_{m}Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m}\hat{\eta}^{m})]$$

$$-k\sum_{m=2}^{l}t_{m-1}\overline{\partial}_{t}[Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})](T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})$$

$$-k\sum_{m=2}^{l}Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})$$

$$=[t_{l}Q_{A}^{l}(u_{h})(T_{l}\hat{\eta}^{l}) - t_{1}Q_{A}^{1}(u_{h})(T_{1}\hat{\eta}^{1})]$$

$$-k\sum_{m=2}^{l}t_{m-1}\overline{\partial}_{t}[Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})](T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})$$

$$-k\sum_{m=2}^{l}Q_{A}^{m}(u_{h})(T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})$$

$$=I_{6}^{l} + I_{6}^{2} + I_{6}^{3}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, the terms I_6^1 and I_6^3 are bounded as desired. To estimate $I_6^2,$ we find that

$$|I_6^2| \le k \sum_{m=2}^{l} t_{m-1} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [A(; u_h(s), T_{m-1}\hat{\eta}^{m-1})] \right| ds$$
$$\le Ck \sum_{m=2}^{l} \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} s(||u_{hs}(s)|| + ||u_h(s)||) ds ||\hat{\eta}^{m-1}||.$$

Apply Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_6^2| &\leq Ck \sum_{m=2}^l \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} \|u_0\| \, ds \|\hat{\eta}^{m-1}\| \\ &\leq Ck^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} \|\hat{\eta}^m\|^2 \\ &\leq Ck^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

247

Finally we obtain the following estimate for η_1^n .

Lemma 3.7. Let η_1^n be a solution of (3.12). Then there is a constant C independent of k such that

$$||T_1\eta_1^n||_1^2 + k \sum_{j=1}^n ||\eta_1^j||^2 \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 ||u_0||^2.$$

Proof. The proof will follow along the lines of that of Lemma 3.4 taking $\chi = T_n \eta_1^n$ in (3.12). We, therefore, omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We write $U^n - u(t_n)$ as $U^n - u(t_n) = \eta^n + e(t_n)$. From Pani and Sinha [6, Theorem 4.1], we have

$$||e(t_n)|| \le Ch^2 t_n^{-1} ||u_0||$$

Since the estimate for η_2^n can be derived from Lemma 3.1, it is sufficient to derive an estimate for $\|\eta_1^n\|$. Now, use of Lemmas 3.4–3.7 in Lemma 3.3 yields

$$t_n \|\eta_1^n\|^2 \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_n^2 \|\eta^n\|^2.$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$t_n^2 \|\eta^n\|^2 \le Ck^2 \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 \|u_0\|^2 + Ck \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_j^2 \|\eta^j\|^2.$$

Now apply the discrete Gronwall lemma and then triangle inequality to complete the proof. $\hfill \Box$

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank both the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. The second author also acknowledges the financial support from the University Grants Commission, India.

REFERENCES

1. J.H. Bramble, A.H. Schatz, V. Thomée and L.B. Wahlbin, Some convergence estimates for Galerkin type approximations for parabolic equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 14 (1977), 218–241.

2. J. Douglas, Jr. and B.F. Jones, Jr., Numerical methods for integro-differential equations of parabolic and hyperbolic types, Numer. Math. **4** (1962), 96–102.

3. M. Huang and V. Thomée, On the backward Euler method for parabolic equations with rough initial data, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **19** (1982), 599–603.

4. ——, Some convergence estimates for semidiscrete type schemes for time dependent nonselfadjoint parabolic equations, Math. Comp. **37** (1981), 327–346.

5. M. Luskin and R. Rannacher, On the smoothing property of the Galerkin method for parabolic equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **19** (1981), 93–113.

6. A.K. Pani and R.K. Sinha, Error estimates for semidiscrete Galerkin approximation to a time dependent parabolic integro-differential equation with nonsmooth data, Research Report MRR 12-95, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay.

7. A.K. Pani, V. Thomée and L.B. Wahlbin, Numerical methods for hyperbolic and parabolic integro-differential equations, J. Integral Equations Appl. **4** (1992), 533–584.

8. P. Sammon, Fully discrete approximation methods for parabolic problems with nonsmooth initial data, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 20 (1983), 437–470.

9. ———, Convergence estimates for semidiscrete parabolic equation approximations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **19** (1982), 68–92.

10. I.H. Sloan and V. Thomée, *Time discretization of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **23** (1986), 1052–1061.

11. V. Thomée, Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems, Lecture Notes in Math. 1054 (1984).

12. V. Thomée and N.Y. Zhang, Error estimates for semidiscrete finite element methods for parabolic integro-differential equations, Math. Comp. 53 (1989), 121–139.

13.——, Backward Euler type methods for parabolic integro-differential equations with nonsmooth data, WSSIAA **2** (1993), 373–388.

14. E.G. Yanik and G. Fairweather, *Finite element methods for parabolic and hyperbolic partial integro-differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods and Appl. 12 (1988), 785–809.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400 076, India

Department of Mathematic, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400 076, India