Synthesis of nanocrystalline alloys and intermetallics by mechanical alloying
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Abstract. Nanocrystalline Al₃Ni, NiAl and Ni₃Al phases in Ni–Al system and the a, β, γ,
a and deformation induced martensite in Cu–Zn system have been synthesized by mechanical
allying (MA) of elemental blends in a planetary mill. Al₃Ni and NiAl were always ordered,
while Ni₃Al was disordered in the milled condition. MA results in large extension of the NiAl
and Ni₃Al phase fields, particularly towards Al-rich compositions. Al₃Ni, a line compound
under equilibrium conditions, could be synthesized at nonstoichiometric compositions as well
by MA. The phases obtained after prolonged milling (30h) appear to be insensitive to the
starting material for any given composition > 25 at.% Ni. The crystallite size was finest
(~ 5 nm) when NiAl and Ni₃Al phases coexisted after prolonged milling. In contrast, in all
Cu–Zn blends containing 15 to 85 at.% Zn, the Zn-rich phases were first to form, and the final
crystallite sizes were coarser (15–80 nm). Two different modes of alloying have been identified.
In case of NiAl and Al₃Ni, where the ball milled product is ordered, as well as, the heat of
formation (ΔHᵣ) is large (> 120 kJ/mol), a rapid discontinuous mode of alloying accompanied
with an additive increase in crystallite size is detected. In all other cases, irrespective of the
magnitude of ΔHᵣ, a gradual diffusive mode of intermixing during milling seems to be the
underlying mechanism of alloying.
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1. Introduction

The nanostructured solids exhibit noble properties like high strength and toughness,
exceptionally high diffusivity and sinterability, unusual formability in conventionally
brittle materials at ambient temperatures (Gleiter 1992; Koch 1993; Das and Pabi
1996). These enhanced properties are generally attributed to their large grain boundary
volume (Gleiter 1992). However, recent high resolution electron microscopic results
(Feiman et al 1991) have suggested that the volume of the ‘grain boundary phase’ may
not be appreciable in these materials.

Mechanical alloying (MA) is a high energy ball milling technique, pioneered
by Benjamin (1970) in the early seventies. It has now established itself as a viable
solid state processing route for the synthesis of novel materials (Koch 1991;
Murty 1993). MA is an economic route of producing large quantities of nano-
structured materials with good control over multicomponent product composition and
homogeneity.

Intermetallic compounds, such as, aluminides have attracted the attention of
many investigators (Miracle 1993; Noebe et al 1993) due to their high specific
strength and high temperature capabilities. The possibility of improving the room
temperature ductility of these otherwise brittle compounds has made MA as a promising
technique for the synthesis of high performance intermetallic compounds either
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directly by MA (Atzmon 1988; Calka and Radiński 1990; Ivanov et al 1990; Shingu 1992; Cardellini et al 1993; 1994; Itsukaichi et al 1993; Mukhopadhyay et al 1994; Murty et al 1996; Pabi and Murty 1996; Pabi et al 1996) or by thermal treatment of mechanically alloyed powders (Benn et al 1988; Radiński and Calka 1991; Murty 1992). However, reports on the mechanism of alloying during ball milling are relatively very few (Atzmon 1988; Zbiral et al 1992). By in situ measurement of vial temperature during MA in a SPEX mill, Atzmon (1988) reported that NiAl forms in the equiatomic mixture of Ni and Al through an explosive exothermic reaction similar to the self-propagating high temperature synthesis reaction (Munir 1992). Zbiral et al (1992) suggested that alloying in general occurs by the diffusion of either one element or both the elements depending on the equilibrium solid solubility. In an A–B system with significant solubility of B in A and relatively insolubility of A in B, alloying occurs by diffusion of B into A. In cases where the mutual solid solubility is appreciable, alloying seems to take place by diffusion of both the elements into each other. It is, however, not clear under what conditions such a diffusion model is applicable to the formation of compounds by MA. The present paper reports a systematic study of the mechanism of mixing in two model systems, namely, Ni–Al and Cu–Zn, that have widely different heats of formation (ΔH_r). In Ni–Al system, the intermetallic compounds like NiAl, Ni_3Al or Al_3Ni have large ΔH_r of >120 kJ/mol (Kubaschewski et al 1967a). Moreover, NiAl is known to remain ordered up to its melting point, while Ni_3Al seems to have a relatively low ordering energy (5 kJ/mol) and low disordering temperature (638 K) (Aoki et al 1994; Koch and Cho 1992). In contrast, the intermetallic phases in Cu–Zn system are electron compounds with low ΔH_r (~ 8 kJ/mol) (Kubaschewski et al 1967b).

2. Experimental

High purity Ni and Al (99.9%) powders were mixed in the proportions of Al_{100-x}Ni_x (x = 10, 18, 21, 25, 40, 50, 65, 70, 75 and 90) and were mechanically alloyed in a Fritsch Pulverisette-5 planetary mill. A prealloyed powder of composition Al–50 wt.% Ni(Al_{10}Ni_{30}) containing Al_3Ni, Al_5Ni_2 and NiAl phases was also ball milled under identical conditions with and without the addition of Al or Ni to achieve compositions of Al_{100-x}Ni_x (x = 10, 18, 21, 25, 30, 40, 50, 65, 70, 75 and 90). The milling was carried out at 300 rpm in WC container in toluene using 10 mm diameter WC balls with ball to powder weight ratio of 10:1. Similarly, elemental blends of Cu (99.9%) and Zn (99.5%) of compositions Cu_{100-x}Zn_x (x = 15, 30, 40, 50, 65 and 85) were also mechanically alloyed. The effect of milling speed (200 vs 300), type of balls (steel vs WC) and milling atmosphere (dry vs wet) on the phase formation during MA were studied for the Cu–Zn system. Dry milling was carried out in air, while wet milling was performed in toluene. The mechanically alloyed powders were characterized by a Philips PW 1840 X-ray diffractometer with CuKα radiation at regular intervals of milling. The crystallite size was calculated from X-ray diffraction (XRD) peak broadening, after separation of the contributions of strain and instrumental broadening by standard procedure (Guinier 1963). The crystallite size was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using Philips CM12 electron microscope.
3. Results

3.1 Synthesis of Ni aluminides by MA

Nanocrystalline Al$_3$Ni, NiAl and Ni$_3$Al have been synthesized by MA in the present study. MA of elemental blend of Al$_90$Ni$_{10}$ composition has shown pure metal mixture of Al and Ni without any aluminide formation even after 30 h of milling. In contrast, Al$_{82}$Ni$_{18}$ and Al$_{79}$Ni$_{21}$ have shown the formation of Al$_3$Ni. Figure 1 shows the evolution of Al$_3$Ni in Al$_{79}$Ni$_{21}$ composition during MA. The residual Al present after 20 h of milling in this case completely disappeared on further milling up to 30 h. Some residual Al, however, persisted in the case of Al$_{82}$Ni$_{18}$ even after 30 h of MA. The superlattice reflections of Al$_3$Ni indicated by arrow-heads in figure 1 confirm that the Al$_3$Ni generated by MA remains ordered in the milled condition. The absence of shift in the XRD peaks of Al in these alloys suggests that ball milling is not effective in extending the solid solubility of Ni in Al.

Contrary to the expectations, MA of Al and Ni in stoichiometric ratio of Al$_3$Ni, i.e. Al$_{79}$Ni$_{21}$ blend has shown that Al$_3$Ni formed along with NiAl in the early stages (12 h) of milling disappears on further milling up to 20 h, leaving behind NiAl, as reported earlier (Murty et al. 1996). Single phase NiAl was also found to be the end product of milling for Al$_{90}$Ni$_{10}$ and Al$_{82}$Ni$_{18}$ compositions. Figure 2 illustrates the evolution of NiAl in course of milling of Al$_{60}$Ni$_{40}$ elemental blend. Here the formation of NiAl can be noticed within 8 h of MA. Further milling, up to 16 h, resulted in the disappearance of XRD peak for Al, while the Ni peaks persisted up to 20 h. The NiAl produced in all the compositions was ordered, as manifested by the superlattice reflections (e.g. see arrow-head in figure 2). The nanocrystalline nature of the NiAl synthesized in the present study can be clearly seen from the TEM bright field image in figure 3. Average size of the particles was about 10 nm. The selected area electron diffraction pattern from these particles (inset of figure 3) confirms the absence of any amorphous phase.

MA of Al$_{33}$Ni$_{66}$ and Al$_{32}$Ni$_{68}$ has shown a two-phase mixture of ordered NiAl and disordered Ni$_3$Al. MA of Ni-rich compositions (70–90 at.% Ni) has yielded single phase disordered Ni$_3$Al. Formation of disordered Ni$_3$Al during MA is clearly evident from figure 4. No XRD peak shifts were observed for Al, while Ni peaks shifted
Figure 2. XRD patterns of Ni<sub>50</sub>Al<sub>50</sub> blend at different milling times showing NiAl formation.

continuously towards the Ni<sub>3</sub>Al peak positions with increase in milling time. Within 20 h of milling, the peak positions matched closely with the fundamental lines of Ni<sub>3</sub>Al and no peak shifts were observed with further milling. As the peak positions of the phase formed matched perfectly with the fundamental reflections of Ni<sub>3</sub>Al in all the Ni-rich compositions studied (i.e. 70, 75 and 90 at.% Ni), the phase formed is referred to as disordered Ni<sub>3</sub>Al rather than solid solution of Al in Ni, i.e. Ni(Al).
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Figure 4. XRD patterns of $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Ni}_5$ at different milling intervals depicting the formation of disordered $\text{Ni}_3\text{Al}$.

Figure 5. Ni–Al phase diagram showing the equilibrium phase fields of different aluminides. The bar below the phase diagram gives the large extension of phase fields of the aluminides obtained in Ni–Al system after 30 h of MA.

Figure 5 compares the phase field extensions obtained by MA for the aluminides with their equilibrium phase fields. Interestingly, while $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Ni}$ is a line compound under equilibrium conditions, MA could result in its formation at compositions away from its stoichiometry. In the ball milled product, NiAl phase field manifests a large extension from 25–65 at.% Ni (equilibrium range is 45–58 at.% Ni). Similarly, disordered Ni$_3$Al phase field has also been extended from 70–90 at.% Ni, as against the narrow equilibrium range of 74–76 at.% Ni for ordered Ni$_3$Al. The results of MA of mixture of alloy powder and Al or Ni with compositions $\geq 25$ at.% Ni are identical to those
obtained for pure metal mixture as shown in figure 5. For compositions < 25 at. % Ni, NiAl did not form by milling pure metal mixture, while in the case of mixture of alloy powder and Al, the NiAl present in the alloy powder could not be destabilized by prolonged (30 h) ball milling even in Al$_90$Ni$_{10}$ composition. However, it is interesting that, excepting the above fact, the other phases observed in the as milled condition were identical in both the cases.

The crystallite sizes and lattice strain after prolonged milling (~ 30 h) of different alloy compositions are shown in figure 6. It is interesting to note that crystallite size reaches a minimum (~ 6 nm) at the compositions where a two-phase mixture of NiAl and Ni$_3$Al coexists. The r.m.s. strain appears to reach its minimum value near this range, possibly due to the ease of stress relaxation in smaller crystallite sizes. TEM study has confirmed that the crystallite size of Al$_3$Ni is larger than that of NiAl and the observed sizes are in good agreement with the values estimated from XRD data.

3.2 Synthesis of electron compounds in Cu–Zn system by MA

3.2a Phase formation during MA: Nanocrystalline ε, γ, β and α phases were synthesized by MA. Zn-rich phases were produced in the initial stages of MA process irrespective of the composition chosen. For example, in Cu-15 at. % Zn, the ε peaks were detected in the XRD pattern within 0.5 h of wet milling (figure 7). At this stage the crystallite size of Cu was quite coarse (> 200 nm). Upon prolonged milling, more stable Cu-rich phases formed, as is evident from figure 8. The sequence of phase formation at all the compositions studied in this system is shown in table 1.

A sharp rise in the Zn content in the Cu-rich α-solid solution was observed after α reached a crystallite size of about 15 nm (after ~ 4 h of milling), as shown in
Figure 7. XRD patterns of Cu-15 at. % Zn after (a) 0.5 h and (b) 4 h of milling.

Figure 8. XRD patterns of different blends mechanically alloyed for 16 h.
Table 1. Transformation sequence during MA of different Cu-Zn blends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Transformation sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu0.5Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon \rightarrow \alpha + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu1Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon + \gamma \rightarrow \beta + \alpha + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu2Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon + \gamma \rightarrow \beta + \alpha + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu3Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon + \gamma + \beta \rightarrow \beta + \text{M} \rightarrow \beta + \text{ZnO} \rightarrow \alpha + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu3.5Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon + \gamma \rightarrow \gamma + \beta + \text{ZnO} \rightarrow \beta + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu4Zn0.5</td>
<td>$\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon + \beta + \text{ZnO} \rightarrow \epsilon + \gamma + \text{ZnO}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{M}\) refers to martensite.

![Figure 9](image)

Figure 9. Change in (a) crystallite size and (b) Zn in Cu-solid solution, as a function of milling time.

Figures 9a and b. The milling time and the crystallite size at which this fast enrichment of Zn in \(\alpha\) occurred, was found to be quite insensitive to the composition of the elemental blend up to 50 at.% of Zn. In these alloys the maximum amount of Zn in \(\alpha\) in course of MA (figure 9b) increased with the increase in Zn content of elemental blend, as expected. A marginal extension of the \(\alpha\)-phase field to \(\sim 44\) at.% Zn could be achieved in Cu90Zn10 blend. A gradual decrease of Zn in \(\alpha\) was observed after milling beyond 8 h, which was associated with the formation of ZnO.
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At nominal compositions of 65 and 80 at. % Zn, β and (γ + ε) phases, respectively, were present after prolonged (~40 h) milling, while the equilibrium phase diagram predicts single phase γ and ε formation at these compositions. In fact, as the milling of these two blends continued beyond 8 h, the formation of Cu-rich phases was found to be associated with the oxidation of Zn-rich phases. A similar observation was reported in a recent work (Cardellini et al 1993) on elemental blends of Cu-80 and 85 at. % Zn. However, for nominal compositions of 50 at. % Zn or less, the Cu-rich phases were found to form by destabilization of Zn-rich phases. The ZnO formation in these alloys became significant only at a much later stage.

The crystallite size of Zn-rich ε phase decreased at a very slow rate with increase in the milling time when compared to α phase (figure 9a). For instance, after 40 h of milling, the crystallite size of ε phase was about 40 nm, while the α phase reached a crystallite size of ~15 nm within 4 h of milling. This difference could be attributed to the difference in the melting points of the α and ε phases (Koch 1993), since the tendency for cold welding in the case of low melting phases is expected to be more. Among the different compositions studied, the crystallite size was found to reach a minimum (~18 nm) in the α-phase at the phase boundary of α and β (figure 10). A similar result was also observed in the Ni–Al system at the phase boundary of NiAl and Ni$_3$Al. It is also interesting to note that no such minimum is observed at the γ and ε phase boundary. These results probably suggest that the nanocrystalline mixtures of only the high melting phases mutually hinder the coalescence of crystallites.

Nanocrystalline nature of the alloys obtained by MA was confirmed by TEM studies. The crystallite size of α phase observed by TEM (figure 11) in Cu$_{59}$Zn$_{20}$ blend seems to be in good agreement with the average size of ~18 nm as measured by XRD. The selected area electron diffraction pattern (inset of figure 11) corresponds to the α phase, and shows no evidence of amorphization.

Figure 10. The crystallite size at different compositions after 16 h of milling.
Figure 11. TEM bright field image of α in Cu₅₀Zn₅₀ milled for 16 h. Inset is the selected area diffraction pattern of the phase.

Figure 12. Time modulation of crystallite size (-----) and Zn in Cu-solid solution (-----) during dry (filled symbols) and wet (open symbols) milling of Cu₅₀Zn₅₀ blend.

3.2b Effect of milling parameters: A significant role of milling atmosphere on phase formation was evident from the dry and wet milling studies. While rapid alloying was observed on wet milling of Cu₅₀Zn₅₀, dry milling resulted in only oxide formation and no significant alloying could be detected even after 16 h of milling. In Cu₅₀Zn₅₀, formation of alloy phases was observed both in dry and wet milling conditions. However, during wet milling, a much more rapid crystallite size reduction and alloying (figure 12) were achieved as compared to dry milling of this composition. Sluggish rate of alloying in the dry milling operation is also associated with the early formation of
ZnO, as evidenced by the XRD results. Possibly the oxide layer acts as a barrier and retards or prevents the alloying process.

A comparative study of wet milling of Cu$_{70}$Zn$_{30}$ blend in steel grinding media, performed at different milling speeds of 200 and 300 rpm showed a faster drop in crystallite size in the initial stage of milling in the latter case (figure 13). However, the final crystallite size of α after 16 h of milling was marginally lower at 200 rpm. This indicates that there is an optimum energy for grinding at which the fracture and cold welding processes strike a balance to yield the finest crystallite size in a system.

The contribution of higher energy imparted with WC medium is similar to that of higher milling speed, excepting the larger quantity of powder being employed in the former case for the same B/P ratio. Wet milling of Cu$_{70}$Zn$_{30}$ blend at B/P wt. ratio of 10:1 and milling speed of 300 rpm in WC media showed the formation of metastable strain induced martensite within 1 h of milling. Similar observation has been reported earlier (McDermott and Koch 1986) in the equiaxialic blend. However, no such transformation could be detected in steel media. The higher specific gravity of WC as compared to steel imparts higher energy to the powder particles and probably introduces larger strain in the system sufficient to trigger the martensite formation. This martensite disappears during further milling possibly due to its compositional change. A much pronounced particle coarsening was observed in WC media after an initial drop in crystallite size (figure 14). This indicates more cold welding at higher milling energy. In Cu$_{70}$Zn$_{30}$ blend a marginal increase in the maximum Zn content in α to ~23.3 at.% (from 22 at.% in steel vial) was also achieved in WC medium (figure 8) apparently due to higher energy transfer in this medium.
Figure 14. Change in crystallite size (———) and Zn content in Cu (——) in Cu_{90}Zn_{10} blend milled in steel (open symbols) and WC (filled symbols) medium at different milling time.

Figure 15. Variation of crystallite size of constituent metals with milling time during the formation of Al_{2}Ni in Al_{13}Ni_{21} blend.

3.3 Mixing phenomenon

3.3a Ni-Al system: Observation of XRD patterns of different compositions in this system has shown that ordered Al_{2}Ni and NiAl form all of a sudden, while disordered
Ni$_3$Al forms by continuous shifts in Ni peaks. Study of the crystallite sizes has evidenced the NiAl or Al$_3$Ni formation is triggered only when Al and Ni reach critical nanocrystalline sizes. In both the cases it was observed that the crystallite sizes of Al$_3$Ni and NiAl at the time of their formation, were equal to the sum of the crystallite sizes of their constituents (Al and Ni) as shown in figures 15 and 16, respectively. The critical crystallite sizes of Ni and Al required for the onset of NiAl formation in Al$_{50}$Ni$_{50}$ composition are found to be larger than that in Al$_{75}$Ni$_{25}$ (cf. figures 16 and 17).
3.3b Cu–Zn system: It is apparent from the present study on Cu–Zn system that irrespective of the blend composition, the Zn-rich \(\varepsilon\) and \(\gamma\) phases are first to form in the early stages of MA. Rapid diffusion of Zn into Cu and formation of \(\varepsilon\) phase was observed only after Cu crystallites reached almost 15 nm size. Further, it appears that for compositions \(< 50\,\text{at.\% Zn}\), the Cu-rich phases have formed by direct destabilization of Zn-rich phases due to diffusion of Cu, while in blends containing \(> 50\,\text{at.\% Zn}\), the Cu rich phases seemed to form due to the loss of Zn from Zn-rich phases by oxidation. The pattern of XRD peak shift and crystallite size measurements reveal that the phases in Cu–Zn system form by diffusion of Cu and Zn in each other in course of milling in a manner similar to the mechanism of formation of disordered Ni\(_3\)Al. The only difference in these two cases is that in Cu–Zn system, diffusion of both Cu and Zn in each other was responsible for the formation of different phases, while the formation of disordered Ni\(_3\)Al was caused predominantly by the diffusion of Al into Ni.

4. Discussion

4.1 Phase formation during MA

The stability of Al\(_3\)Ni in blend compositions of Al\(_{93}\)Ni\(_{15}\) and Al\(_{79}\)Ni\(_{21}\), which deviate away from its stoichiometric composition (Al\(_{73}\)Ni\(_{27}\)), suggests that there could be some loss of Al due to oxidation in course of MA, thus shifting the alloy composition towards the stoichiometry of Al\(_3\)Ni. For similar reason Al\(_3\)Ni may become unstable during MA of Al\(_{72}\)Ni\(_{28}\) blend. The chemical analysis of the mechanically alloyed samples reported in table 2 has confirmed this conjecture. A similar effect of oxidation has been reported earlier for Al–Fe system (Mukhopadhyay et al 1994), where Al\(_3\)Fe\(_2\) (28 at.% Fe) forms by MA of an elemental blend of Al\(_{78}\)Fe\(_{22}\) instead of the expected Al\(_3\)Fe. However, the stability of ordered Al\(_3\)Ni in nanocrystalline powders containing 22 to 24 at.% Ni is quite interesting in view of the fact that Al\(_3\)Ni is a line compound under equilibrium condition. This suggests that ordered Al\(_3\)Ni can accommodate some structural defects in Ni-sublattice induced by milling. However, milled Al\(_3\)Ni seems to be less tolerant to deficiencies in Al-sublattice, since it becomes unstable in ball milled alloys containing \(> 25\,\text{at.\% Ni}\).

In contrast to Al\(_3\)Ni, the stability of ordered NiAl seems to be quite insensitive to both the compositional variations in binary system and the structural defects induced by MA. Similar results have also been reported by other investigators (Itsukaichi et al 1993; Cardellini et al 1994). Under ball milled condition nanocrystalline NiAl phase field could be extended more towards Al-rich side (up to 25 at.% Ni compared to equilibrium 45 at.% Ni) than towards Ni-rich side (i.e. up to 65 at.% Ni compared to 58

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial composition of elemental blend (at.% Ni)</th>
<th>Composition of powder milled for 30 h (at.% Ni)</th>
<th>Phases observed in XRD of milled product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Al + Al Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Al3Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NiAl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of chemical analysis of milled powders of Ni–Al system.
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at.% Ni in equilibrium diagram). This is in agreement with earlier studies on conventional NiAl structure, which show its more tolerance to vacancies in Ni-sublattice than Al-sublattice (Noebe et al 1993).

It may also be noted that the critical temperature of ordering \( T_c \) for NiAl varies in proximity of its melting point over a wide range of composition, and it declines only in the vicinity of NiAl–Ni\(_3\)Al phase boundary (Noebe et al 1993). Unlike the case of Al\(_3\)Ni or NiAl, the order parameter of Ni\(_3\)Al seems to be very sensitive to the structural defects induced by high energy ball milling, and Ni\(_3\)Al was disordered even at its stoichiometric composition. It is interesting to note that even after large number of investigations in this area (Atzmon 1988; Cardellini et al 1993; Itsukaichi et al 1993), there exists no report of direct synthesis of ordered Ni\(_3\)Al by MA. The low ordering energy (5 kJ/mol), low ordering temperature (638 K) (Koch and Cho 1992) and the close packed nature of the crystal structure seem to be the reasons for the easy destabilization of the order in Ni\(_3\)Al during milling. The extension of Ni\(_3\)Al in its disordered condition during MA (70–90 at.% Ni) in comparison to the equilibrium phase field of ordered Ni\(_3\)Al (74–76 at.% Ni) also could be related to the above reasons. Finally, the fact that the phases and phase fields obtained in Ni–Al system by MA are more or less identical for both types of starting blends, namely, pure metal mixtures and mixtures of alloy powder with Al or Ni, indicates that phases during MA arise out of thermodynamic reasons.

4.2 Mechanism of alloying

Present study on Ni–Al system shows that ordered NiAl and Al\(_3\)Ni form suddenly after individual constituents reach some critical nanocrystalline size. The sudden exothermic reaction in the vial to yield NiAl in Ni\(_{50}\)Al\(_{50}\) mixture reported by Atzmon (1988) is a characteristic of reactive mixing. However, in a typical reactive mixing the zone of reaction usually spreads in an unrestrained fashion. In the present case, however, it is found that crystallite size of the ordered products is the sum of the individual crystallite sizes of Ni and Al, irrespective of the composition chosen. Hence, to distinguish this process from gradual diffusive or conventional reactive mixing, NiAl and Al\(_3\)Ni formation mechanism may be termed as ‘discontinuous additive intermixing’, as indicated in table 3. It is also conceivable that large scale and rapid intermixing of two constituents to yield an ordered product may involve a moving boundary process, once the product phase is nucleated.

In case of formation of an ordered reaction product like NiAl or Al\(_3\)Ni, the contribution of entropy change to the driving force may not be significant. Therefore, in these cases the larger the \( \Delta H_f \), the higher would be the driving force for the reaction. It

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>( \Delta H_f ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Mode of mixing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu–Zn</td>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>disordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \varepsilon )</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>disordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni–Al</td>
<td>Al(_3)Ni</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NiAl</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>ordered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ni(_3)Al</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>disordered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Characteristic features of MA of Cu–Zn and Ni–Al systems.
is expected that the critical crystallite size at which such discontinuous mixing triggers, should diminish with the increase in driving force. This explains why critical crystallite sizes of Al and Ni for the formation of NiAl are higher in Al$_{50}$Ni$_{50}$, than in Al$_{73}$Ni$_{27}$. It is known that the $\Delta H_f$ of NiAl is maximum at its stoichiometric composition and decreases on either side (Noebe et al 1993).

In Ni$_3$Al, the $\Delta H_f$ is large (150 kJ/mol) and comparable to that for NiAl or Al$_3$Ni (Kubaschewski et al 1967a)(cf. table 3). However, Ni$_3$Al is unable to retain its ordered structure in ball milled condition possibly due to its low ordering energy (5 kJ/mol) (Aoki et al 1994). Since both Ni and disordered Ni$_3$Al are fcc, under ball milling condition, Ni$_3$Al loses its order and distinction with the solid solution of Al in Ni. This can explain why alloying in Ni-Al blends containing $\geq$ 70 at.% Ni takes place by gradual enrichment of Ni with Al in a manner suggested by Zbiral et al (1992). It may be mentioned that the equilibrium solubility of Ni in Al is smaller when compared to Al in Ni. Hence, Al is expected to be the major diffusing species in a couple of Ni-Al. The absence of XRD peak shift for Al and the large peak shifts of Ni observed in course of MA support this mechanism. Table 3 summarizes the alloying characteristics of Ni-aluminides. It is apparent that disordered phase forms by continuous diffusive mixing during MA, even if $\Delta H_f$ is large.

In Cu–Zn system, the alloying was again observed to be by continuous diffusive mixing. This is expected, as $\Delta H_f$ of the phases in this system is quite low (8 kJ/mol) (Kubaschewski et al 1967b). However, it is interesting to note that alloying is initiated by the diffusion of Cu into Zn, while the reverse is expected from the solubility point of view. This is not difficult to understand, if one looks into the diffusivities of Cu and Zn in each other. It is clear from the simulation studies (Schwarz and Koch 1986) and the results of ball milling of Fe-C martensite (Davis et al 1988), that the local rise in temperature at the point of impact on a particle in a mill is not appreciable. Assuming a temperature of about 200°C, the diffusivities of Cu in Zn and Zn in Cu extrapolated from high temperature data are $3.0 \times 10^{-18}$ and $8.2 \times 10^{-27}$ m$^2$/s, respectively (Weast 1979). Since the diffusivity of Cu in Zn is about eight orders of magnitude higher than Zn in Cu, alloying is expected to initiate by the diffusion of Cu in Zn and the formation of Zn-rich phases such as $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma$. Diffusion of Zn into Cu, and formation of $\alpha$ phase gains momentum only after the crystallite size of Cu is brought down to a few nanometers, so that the diffusion distances become small enough. Thus, it is observed from table 3, that the mode of mixing is continuous diffusive, if either the $\Delta H_f$ or the order energy is very low for any given compound. However, the major diffusing species in either case is decided by mutual solubilities and individual intrinsic diffusivities of the constituents.

5. Conclusions

(I) Nanocrystalline ordered Al$_3$Ni, NiAl and disordered Ni$_3$Al have been directly synthesized by MA. The phase fields of the above phases have been extended from 22–25, 25–65 and 70–90 at.% Ni, respectively. For a given composition, the metastable equilibrium achieved by ball milling seem to be insensitive to the structure of the starting blends.

(II) Stability of ordered Al$_3$Ni and, in particular, NiAl seem to be insensitive to the structural defects induced by milling, while ordered Ni$_3$Al was not stable under present milling conditions.
Synthesis of nanocrystalline alloys and intermetallics

(III) Nanocrystalline solid solution (ε) and electron compounds such as β, γ and ε could be generated in Cu–Zn system by MA.

(IV) The crystal size is found to be dependent on the melting point and reached a minimum in the two-phase field of high melting, brittle compounds.

(V) The direct synthesis of ordered Al₃Ni or NiAl involving a large $\Delta H_f$ seems to take place through a discontinuous additive mixing mechanism. In contrast, when the ordering energy or heat of formation is low, the alloying apparently occurs through a continuous diffusive mechanism.
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