

ON THE RADIATIVE EQUILIBRIUM OF A STELLAR ATMOSPHERE. XIX

S. CHANDRASEKHAR AND FRANCES H. BREEN

Yerkes Observatory
Received June 20, 1947

ABSTRACT

In this paper H -functions which govern the law of diffuse reflection by a semi-infinite plane-parallel atmosphere scattering radiation isotropically with an albedo $\varpi_0 \leq 1$ are tabulated. The functions were determined numerically by solving the exact functional equations which they satisfy.

The values of the albedo for which solutions are tabulated are: $\varpi_0 = 1, 0.975, 0.950, 0.925, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2,$ and 0.1 .

In continuation of Paper XVI,¹ in which we began the tabulation of exact solutions of transfer problems, we provide in this paper H -functions which govern the law of diffuse reflection by a semi-infinite isotropically scattering atmosphere for various values of the albedo, $\varpi_0 \leq 1$.

DIFFUSE REFLECTION UNDER CONDITIONS OF ISOTROPIC SCATTERING WITH AN ALBEDO, $\varpi_0 \leq 1$

The law of diffuse reflection from a semi-infinite plane-parallel atmosphere.—

$$I(\mu; \mu_0) = \frac{1}{4} \varpi_0 F \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_0 + \mu} H(\mu) H(\mu_0).$$

The characteristic function in terms of which $H(\mu)$ is defined is

$$\Psi(\mu) = \frac{1}{2} \varpi_0 = \text{constant}.$$

TABLE 1
THE H -FUNCTIONS OBTAINED AS SOLUTIONS OF THE EXACT FUNCTIONAL
EQUATIONS WHICH THEY SATISFY

μ	$\varpi_0=0.1$	$\varpi_0=0.2$	$\varpi_0=0.3$	$\varpi_0=0.4$	$\varpi_0=0.5$	$\varpi_0=0.6$	$\varpi_0=0.7$
0.....	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000
0.05.....	1.00783	1.01608	1.02484	1.03422	1.04439	1.05544	1.06780
0.10.....	1.01238	1.02562	1.03989	1.05535	1.07241	1.09137	1.11306
0.15.....	1.01584	1.03295	1.05155	1.07196	1.09474	1.12045	1.15036
0.20.....	1.01864	1.03892	1.06115	1.08577	1.11349	1.14517	1.18253
0.25.....	1.02099	1.04396	1.06930	1.09758	1.12968	1.16674	1.21095
0.30.....	1.02300	1.04829	1.07637	1.10789	1.14391	1.18587	1.23643
0.35.....	1.02475	1.05209	1.08259	1.11700	1.15659	1.20304	1.25951
0.40.....	1.02630	1.05546	1.08811	1.12516	1.16800	1.21861	1.28063
0.45.....	1.02768	1.05847	1.09308	1.13251	1.17833	1.23280	1.30003
0.50.....	1.02892	1.06117	1.09756	1.13918	1.18776	1.24581	1.31796
0.55.....	1.03004	1.06363	1.10164	1.14528	1.19640	1.25781	1.33459
0.60.....	1.03106	1.06587	1.10538	1.15087	1.20436	1.26893	1.35009
0.65.....	1.03199	1.06793	1.10881	1.15602	1.21173	1.27925	1.36457
0.70.....	1.03284	1.06982	1.11198	1.16080	1.21858	1.28888	1.37815
0.75.....	1.03363	1.07157	1.11491	1.16523	1.22495	1.29788	1.39090
0.80.....	1.03436	1.07319	1.11763	1.16935	1.23091	1.30631	1.40291
0.85.....	1.03504	1.07469	1.12017	1.17320	1.23648	1.31424	1.41425
0.90.....	1.03567	1.07610	1.12254	1.17681	1.24171	1.32171	1.42497
0.95.....	1.03626	1.07741	1.12476	1.18019	1.24664	1.32875	1.43512
1.00.....	1.03682	1.07864	1.12685	1.18337	1.25128	1.33541	1.44476

¹ *Ap. J.*, 105, 435, 1947.

TABLE 1—Continued

μ	$\varpi_0=0.8$	$\varpi_0=0.9$	$\varpi_0=0.925$	$\varpi_0=0.95$	$\varpi_0=0.975$	$\varpi_0=1.0$
0	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
0.05	1.0820	1.0999	1.1053	1.1117	1.1196	1.1368
0.10	1.1388	1.1722	1.1828	1.1952	1.2111	1.2474
0.15	1.1866	1.2349	1.2506	1.2693	1.2936	1.3508
0.20	1.2286	1.2914	1.3123	1.3373	1.3703	1.4503
0.25	1.2663	1.3433	1.3692	1.4008	1.4427	1.5473
0.30	1.3006	1.3914	1.4224	1.4604	1.5117	1.6425
0.35	1.3320	1.4363	1.4724	1.5170	1.5778	1.7364
0.40	1.3611	1.4785	1.5197	1.5709	1.6414	1.8293
0.45	1.3881	1.5183	1.5646	1.6224	1.7027	1.9213
0.50	1.4132	1.5560	1.6073	1.6718	1.7621	2.0128
0.55	1.4368	1.5918	1.6480	1.7191	1.8195	2.1037
0.60	1.4590	1.6259	1.6869	1.7647	1.8753	2.1941
0.65	1.4798	1.6583	1.7242	1.8086	1.9295	2.2842
0.70	1.4995	1.6893	1.7600	1.8509	1.9822	2.3740
0.75	1.5182	1.7190	1.7943	1.8918	2.0334	2.4635
0.80	1.5358	1.7474	1.8274	1.9313	2.0833	2.5527
0.85	1.5526	1.7746	1.8592	1.9695	2.1320	2.6417
0.90	1.5685	1.8008	1.8898	2.0065	2.1795	2.7306
0.95	1.5837	1.8259	1.9194	2.0423	2.2258	2.8193
1.00	1.5982	1.8501	1.9479	2.0771	2.2710	2.9078

In Paper XVI (§ 2) we outlined a procedure by which the functional equations satisfied by the H -functions can be solved numerically. The same methods were adopted in the computations of the present paper, though with increased experience we were able

TABLE 2

COMPARISON OF THE INTEGRALS $\int_0^1 H(\mu) d\mu$ EVALUATED WITH THE AID OF THE TABULATED FUNCTIONS WITH THEIR EXACT VALUES $2[1 - (1 - \varpi_0)^{1/2}]/\varpi_0$

ϖ_0	Iterated	Exact	ϖ_0	Iterated	Exact
0.1	1.02632	1.0263340	0.8	1.3819	1.381966
.2	1.05572	1.0557281	0.9	1.5194	1.519494
.3	1.08892	1.0889331	0.925	1.5699	1.570030
.4	1.12698	1.1270167	0.950	1.6344	1.634512
.5	1.17157	1.1715729	0.975	1.7269	1.726946
.6	1.22512	1.2251482	1.000	1.9999	2.000000
0.7	1.29219	1.2922213			

to reduce appreciably the number of iterations and integrations which were required before a further iteration did not alter the function.

An idea of the accuracy reached in our present calculations can be obtained from Table 2, where we have made a comparison between the values of the integral

$$\int_0^1 H(\mu) d\mu,$$

evaluated numerically with the aid of the tabulated functions, and the exact values given by the formula

$$\frac{2}{\varpi_0} [1 - (1 - \varpi_0)^{1/2}].$$