Fabrication of an inverse photoemission spectrometer to study unoccupied electronic states
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We discuss the performance of an inverse photoemission spectrometer that has been recently fabricated in our laboratory. The photon detector is of band-pass type with acetone gas filling and CaF$_2$ window (acetone/CaF$_2$). We determine the optimal operating conditions of the detector to be 4 mbar acetone pressure and 745 ± 20 V anode voltage. At these operating conditions, the count rate is improved by a factor of three to what has been reported earlier by Funnemann and Merz. We show that unlike other gas-filled detectors, acetone/CaF$_2$ detector works in the proportional region. Its dead time is negligible and addition of multiplier gas like argon worsens its performance. The performance of this detector has been compared with an ethanol/MgF$_2$ detector. High count rate, reasonable resolution, negligible dead time, no requirement of multiplier or quench gas, satisfactory stability, and ease of handling make the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector an attractive candidate for use in inverse photoemission spectroscopy (IPES). A low-energy electron gun of Stoffel–Johnson type has been fabricated and the beam current profile has been determined as a function of electron kinetic energy. The IPES spectra of polycrystalline Ag and Ta are shown and compared with data in the literature. By fitting the IPES Fermi edge of Ag, we find the overall resolution of the spectrometer to be 0.55 eV and the band-pass of acetone/CaF$_2$ detector to be 0.48 eV FWHM.
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In order to investigate the electronic structure of a solid, it is useful to know the nature of both the occupied and unoccupied states around the Fermi level ($E_F$). Inverse photoemission spectroscopy (IPES) has emerged as a powerful technique to study the unoccupied electron states$^{1-4}$. Gas-filled band-pass Geiger–Müller (GM) type counters are generally used for photon detection in IPES because of their high efficiency, low cost and simple design$^{5-12}$. McLean and co-workers have reported various gas/window combinations like ethanol/MgF$_2$, 1-propanol/MgF$_2$, etc., among which ethanol/MgF$_2$ was reported$^{11,12}$ to
have the best detector band-pass of 0.35 eV. Use of acetone/CaF$_2$ detector was proposed by Funnemann and Merz and has been used by them for different scientific studies. They reported that this detector has small dead time and a band-pass of 0.4 eV. However, detailed characteristics of this detector have not been reported in the literature. To the best of our knowledge, no other group has used acetone/CaF$_2$ detector until now and generally, acetone detector has been considered to be unstable. In this note, we describe the fabrication of an IPES spectrometer using acetone/CaF$_2$ photon detector. The designs of the photon detector and the electron gun are described in detail. The count rate obtained by us is about three times higher than that reported earlier. We show that the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector works in the proportional region with about 5 µs dead time, which is negligible.

**Design and construction**

The design of the photon detector is based on the following operating principle: the high energy cut-off of the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector is due to the CaF$_2$ window that does not transmit photons with energy > 10.2 eV, while the threshold for the photoionization of acetone at 9.7 eV sets the low energy cut-off. This determines the band-pass function and results in a mean photon detection energy of 9.9 eV with a FWHM of 0.4 eV. Thus, 9.9 ± 0.2 eV photons can enter the detector to photoionize acetone. For ethanol/MgF$_2$ detector, MgF$_2$ provides the high energy transmission threshold (10.97 eV), while the photoionization threshold of ethanol (10.48 eV) provides the low pass. The resulting mean detection energy is 10.89 ± 0.18 eV.

The detector assembly has a modular design consisting of a 250 mm long stainless steel cylindrical tube with inner diameter 17.8 mm and outer diameter 21.2 mm, welded to a double-sided DN40 CF flange (7 in Figure 1) with a through hole equal to the inner diameter of the tube. Another double-sided DN40 CF flange (10) with a similar through hole has two additional 6 mm diameter through holes drilled in the radial direction. Two 6 mm diameter stainless steel tubes of length 25 mm are welded to these radial holes, and on the other end, DN16 CF flanges (8, 9) are welded to these tubes. These are used as gas inlet (8) and outlet (9). The anode (6) is attached to a SHV feedthrough (14) mounted on another DN40 CF flange (13) by a barrel connector (11). The three DN40 CF flanges (7, 10, 13) are mounted together on a linear translator (not shown in Figure 1) using bolts of 55 mm length. The anode is a 1.6 mm diameter electropolished stainless steel wire and is held by two perforated teflon spacers (5) in the detector tube. High voltage is applied to the anode and the region around the SHV and the barrel connector has been properly shielded using a teflon sleeve (12). The mechanical fabrication has been done by Sanskar Udhyog, Indore. The UHV welding and electropolishing have been done at Centre for Advanced Technology, Indore, India. A 25 mm diameter, 2 mm thick CaF$_2$ window (1, MgF$_2$ for ethanol) sits on a Viton O-ring (2) and is tightly pressed by a stainless steel cap (3) at the entrance of the detector. This isolates the detector from the UHV chamber. Use of Viton O-ring ensures easy exchange of windows at the testing stage of the detector. MgF$_2$ and CaF$_2$ windows have been procured from B. Halle Nachfl GmbH, Germany and Mateck GmbH, Germany respectively.

The count rate increases with higher solid angle of detection. So the detector is brought as close as possible to the sample using the linear translator. In order to increase the count rate, in a subsequent design we have increased the solid angle using another detector tube of inner diameter 23 mm and have fixed the window to it with a high-temperature glue, without using the stainless steel cap. This increases the count rate by 20%. A fraction of the electric field from inside the detector tube could come out through the insulating window, that was confirmed by calculating the electric field outside the window with and without a mesh. Thus, we have used a fine gold mesh in front of the window to prevent distortion of the IPES spectra.

The sample manipulator (Vacuum Generators, UK) is vertically mounted and has both azimuthal and axial rotations. The
base pressure in the experimental chamber is $5 \times 10^{-11}$ mbar, which is measured using ionization gauge (Vacuum Generators).

The low-energy electron gun (e-gun) used in IPES has conflicting requirements of high intensity and good energy and momentum resolution. For low energy e-gun with high current density, space charge broadens the beam. We have fabricated a Stoffel Johnson (SJ)-type electron gun which has been designed specifically for IPES application\textsuperscript{17}. SJ-type e-gun is chosen because it has less space-charge effect and high beam current. The minimum beam size obtained\textsuperscript{13} for this gun is 1.1 mm, with full angular convergence of 5 to 7°. The design of the e-gun is schematically shown in Figure 2a. The total length of the e-gun is about 49 mm and its outer diameter is 35 mm. It consists of a filament in a mounting block followed by three element lenses: extractor (A), focus (F) and output (O). All the lens elements are of cylindrical cross-section with 16 mm inner diameter. The electrical and mechanical spacing between the lenses is achieved by three sapphire balls of 3 mm diameter, located in the spherical grooves on each side of the elements. The e-gun is designed in such a way that the ceramic rod and the sapphire balls are not in the line-of-sight of the electrons, because this can cause the charging of the insulating surfaces leading to distortion of the electric field inside the gun. To obtain uniform work function over the whole surface area of the lenses, gold plating was done. We have used BaO dispenser cathode as the electron emitter. Figure 2b shows the total beam current of the electron gun as a function of kinetic energy. In the low energy range from 6.5 to 10 eV, the beam current increases rapidly and then becomes almost constant for higher energies. The constant current level for higher energies is approximately $7 \mu$A for the filament current of 0.22 A.

**Data acquisition and control**

Data acquisition and control is performed by a DAQ PCI 6024E card from National Instruments (NI), USA. It has 8 analogue voltage input channels, 8 digital-to-analogue converter (DAC) channels, 2 counter/timers, 8 digital I/O lines, etc. The card is installed in a Pentium III 700 MHz computer operating in Windows 2000 environment. Figure 3 shows the schematic layout of the data acquisition and control system for IPES. Voltages required for cathode and subsequent lens elements of electron gun are provided by a power supply, which requires 0–10 V input from the DAC channel of the DAQ card. The filament current for indirect heating of the BaO cathode is also provided from this power supply. The pulse counting electronics (Canberra, USA), comprises a charge sensitive pre-amplifier (model 2006), amplifier (model 2022) and a single-channel analyser (SCA, model 2030). All these modules are mounted in a NIM BIN power supply (ComTec GmbH, Germany). Charge pulses coming out of the detector are converted to voltage pulses by the preamplifier. These pulses are then fed to the amplifier, which has a variable gain (3–3000). Unipolar pulses at the amplifier output are fed to the SCA, which has lower and upper discriminator levels. The pulses which lie between the discriminator levels are converted into 5 V TTL pulses and are counted by the counter in the DAQ card. The upper discriminator level is set at 10 V. The lower discriminator level of the SCA is set above the noise level at 0.25 V. The dead count rate, i.e. counts with the electron gun switched-off is checked before measurement and is found to be negligible, typically 2–3 counts/s sr. The program for data acquisition and control has been written using LabVIEW 6.1 software (NI, USA). The flow chart of the program is shown in Figure 4. The data acquisition and control can be described in three parts: (i) setting of the kinetic energy $E_j$ for the $i$th scan, (ii) acquisition of counts $C_{ij}$ as a function of $E_j$ and (iii) measurement of electron beam current ($M_j$) through the sample. $E_j$ can be set by providing a 0–10 V DAC output from the DAQ card to the electron gun power supply. For example, 1 V DAC output corresponds to 10 V cathode voltage, which produces electrons with $E_j = 10$ eV kinetic energy.

**Detector optimization**

The criteria for choosing the operating conditions of the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector are high count rate and good stability. The count rate has been determined at the Fermi edge of polycrystalline Ag as a function of acetone gas pressure ($p$) and anode voltage ($V_A$) (Figure 5a). At each $p$, acetone has been refilled and the Ag sample has been sputtered so that the surface conditions are same for each $p$. $V_A$ has been varied from 300 V until just
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**Figure 2.** a, Schematic cross-section of an electron gun of Stoffel–Johnson design. b, Total beam current as a function of electron kinetic energy.
below the breakdown voltage ($V_B$). We find that the maximum count rate is obtained from about 3 mbar and 650 V to 6 mbar and 1050 V. As $p$ is increased, $V_A$ corresponding to maximum count rate ($V_M$) increases. The difference between $V_B$ and $V_M$ increases with $p$. In fact, $V_B$ shows a steep increase for $p > 2$ mbar, as shown in Figures 5 b. This indicates that at higher acetone pressures the detector would be more stable. We choose the optimal operating condition where the count rate is maximum and $V_B$ is considerably higher than $V_M$ at $p = 4$ mbar, $V_M = 745 \pm 20$ V with $V_B$ about 50 V higher\cite{9}. However, counts decrease with time and after about 30–40 min stabilizes at 15–20% lower value. Considering this, the count rate that we obtain is about three times higher than that reported earlier using $p = 0.75$ mbar.

Generally, inert gases like Ar or He are used as multiplier gas to facilitate the Geiger discharge\cite{17}. For example, in ethanol/MgF$_2$ detector about 100 torr Ar is used with 1 torr ethanol\cite{11}. Prince\cite{9} used 100–150 mbar Ar in the dimethyl ether/MgF$_2$ detector. In the case of acetone/CaF$_2$, it has been reported that an admixture of He or Ar does not result in amplification of pulses\cite{7}. Hence, we studied the behaviour of acetone/CaF$_2$ detector as a function of acetone + Ar pressure and $V_A$. Argon is introduced in the detector after filling it with 4 mbar acetone. Figure 5 c shows that the count rate decreases rapidly with increase in Ar partial pressure. Thus, Ar is actually detrimental for the operation of the acetone detector. Figure 5 c also shows how $V_B$ varies with increasing Ar pressure. There is an initial rapid decrease from 800 to about 650 V, while above 8 mbar it increases to about 725 V.

**Detector characteristics**

In this section we study the characteristics of the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector. First, we find the average pulse height ($\mu$) as a function of the applied $V_A$. We have determined the average pulse height ($\mu$) for the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector at the output of the amplifier for $p = 4$ mbar (Figure 6). $\mu$ shows an increase around 450 V, which can be taken as the onset voltage. Above 450 V, $\mu$ exhibits almost a plateau, but increases gradually above 630 V. If we compare the variation of count rate (Figure 5 a) and $\mu$ as a function of $V_A$, it is clear that above $V_M$ although $\mu$ increases,
the count rate decreases till the breakdown is reached ($V_B = 800 \text{ V}$). We have also recorded $\mu$ at other pressures (1 to 3 mbar) and find the behaviour to be similar, although the onset voltages, $V_M$ and $V_B$, change. It is to be noted that the increase in $\mu$ above 630 V indicates the start of the proportional region of operation in gas-filled detectors\textsuperscript{15}. Thus, under normal operating conditions the acetone/CaF\textsubscript{2} detector works in the proportional region. In this region gas multiplication occurs, where the photoelectron liberated by photoionization is accelerated towards the anode by the applied voltage and produces additional electron–ion pairs by collision. The secondary electrons are also accelerated and produce subsequent ionization resulting finally in an avalanche\textsuperscript{17}. We find that there is no need of any quench gas for the acetone detector, which is required for some gas-filled detectors working in the Geiger region\textsuperscript{10}. Above 750 V, i.e. above $V_M$, the rapid increase in $\mu$ indicates that the detector starts operating in the Geiger region. In this region of operation, ultraviolet photons created by the de-excitation of molecules start the avalanche process at different parts of the detector and ultimately the whole detector undergoes a Geiger discharge. However, the count rate of the acetone detector decreases in this region (Figure 5(a)) and it becomes unstable, which shows that it is unable to sustain the Geiger process. Different fragmentation processes could be responsible for its instability\textsuperscript{14,16}. When Ar is introduced, more electrons are emitted which facilitates more effective fragmentation. This causes both the count rate and $V_B$ to decrease (Figure 5(c)).

We find $\mu$ to be about 1 V at $V_M$ (Figure 6) from which the number of electrons in each pulse can be estimated to be about $10^4$. In contrast, for the ethanol/MgF\textsubscript{2} detector studied by us, the number of electrons/pulse is $10^5$. Thus the charge pulses in the acetone detector are four orders of magnitude less than in the ethanol detector. This also indicates the region of operation of the detectors. For proportional counters this number is between $10^4$ and $10^5$, while for GM counters\textsuperscript{17} it is from $10^6$ to $10^7$. This further confirms that while the ethanol/MgF\textsubscript{2} detector works in the Geiger region, the acetone/CaF\textsubscript{2} detector operates in the proportional region. We have also recorded the count rate as a function of time by exposing the detector to an intense source of photons, i.e. the ionization gauge at a fixed filament current. We find that both detectors satisfy the relation that the standard deviation of the count rate is equal to the square root of the mean count rate. This observation indicates that both the detectors follow Poisson distribution\textsuperscript{17}. It should be noted that obeying Poisson distribution does not necessarily mean Geiger operation\textsuperscript{11}, Geiger operation\textsuperscript{11}, since proportional counters also follow Poisson statistics approximately\textsuperscript{18}.

In order to determine the dead time of the detectors, we show in Figure 7 the pulses at the amplifier output, where the first pulse has been triggered at time $t = 0$. This gives the behaviour of the pulses up to 500 µs after the appearance of the $t = 0$ pulse by repeated superposition for a long period (60 min) in the envelope mode of the CRO. For ethanol/MgF\textsubscript{2}, no pulses are observed for about 100 µs after the $t = 0$ pulse, while after 100 µs, pulses of different height completely fill up the region so that the individual pulses cannot be distinguished. Although the IPES process occurs and countable photons are generated, the absence of pulses between 0 and 100 µs shows that the detector is unable to count for this time period. This is known as the dead time, as shown in Figure 7(c). Dead time results from reduction of the applied field in the detector due to positive space charge formed during Geiger discharge\textsuperscript{5,8,10,11,17}. After the dead time, the detector starts to recover and produce pulses, although of smaller height compared to the $t = 0$ pulse. However, the pulse height increases exponentially as is evident from the envelope of the pulse heights (Figure 7(c)), and the time constant of this exponential function is estimated by fitting to be 126 µs. This is known as the recovery time\textsuperscript{11}.

In contrast, the acetone/CaF\textsubscript{2} detector exhibits a different behaviour (Figure 7(a, b)). As expected, immediately ('0' min) after starting the pulse recording, it shows no pulses after the $t = 0$ pulse (Figure 7(a)). After 60 min, there are pulses of
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**Figure 5.** a. Count rate (in counts/µA s sr) at Ag Fermi edge as a function of anode voltage at different acetone pressures ($p$). Voltage corresponding to the maximum counts ($V_M$) and breakdown voltage ($V_B$) is indicated by arrow and tick respectively. b. Variation of $V_M$ as a function of $p$. c. Count rate at Ag Fermi edge (open circles) and $V_B$ (open squares), as a function of acetone + Ar gas pressure for an initial filling of 4 mbar acetone.

**Figure 6.** Average pulse height ($\mu$) of acetone/CaF\textsubscript{2} as a function of anode voltage at $p = 4$ mbar.
different heights over the whole range, 0 to 500 µs. If acetone (Figure 7b) and ethanol (Figure 7c) detector envelopes are compared, it is clear that there is no signature of either dead time or recovery time in the former. So, in this case we estimate the dead time from the FWHM of the $t = 0$ pulse (Figure 7a) to be about $5 \pm 0.5$ µs. This dead time is negligible and is typical for proportional counters.\textsuperscript{17}

The pulse height distribution (PHD) is a fundamental property of a detector that is routinely used to deduce information about its operation.\textsuperscript{17} To determine the PHD, we recorded pulses at the output of SCA. The SCA has a window defined by lower ($E$, in volts) and upper ($\Delta E$) discriminator levels, and pulses whose heights are within this window are counted. We have fixed $\Delta E$ at 0.1 V and varied $E$ from 0.25 to 10 V in steps of 0.1 V. The count rates at each of these windows of width 0.1 V ($= \Delta E$) give the PHD shown in Figure 8. For the purpose of comparison, maximum of the PHD for both the detectors is normalized to unity. We find that the PHD of acetone decreases exponentially, as expected for proportional counters.\textsuperscript{17,18}

It is interesting to note that although ethanol detector operates in the Geiger region,\textsuperscript{11,16} its PHD turns out to be similar to the acetone detector. This is probably related to the operation of the ethanol detector during the recovery time, where pulses much smaller than the $t = 0$ pulse are also recorded as counts. It should be noted that for both the detectors, the lower discriminator level is kept same, i.e. just above the electronic noise level in order to maximize the count rate.

**IPES spectra**

Figure 9 shows polycrystalline Ag IPES spectrum recorded with the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector. The spectrum recorded by us is in good agreement with those from the literature.\textsuperscript{7} In the near EF region, the spectrum is dominated by the 5s and 5p-like states. Since the dead-time is negligible, no dead time correction is required unlike the ethanol detector.\textsuperscript{11} The shape remains the same for spectra recorded at different $p$ and anode voltages. For this reason the Ag spectrum recorded by Funemann and Merz\textsuperscript{7} at $p = 0.75$ mbar and 650 V agrees with our spectra recorded at $p = 4$ mbar and 745 V. To estimate the resolution of the spectrometer, Ag spectrum in the near EF region has been recorded with small step size, 0.02 eV (inset, Figure 9a). This has been fitted with a parameter-dependent function, which involves a spectral function multiplied by the Fermi function and this is convoluted with a resolution function which is approximated as a Gaussian.\textsuperscript{16}

From the fitting, we obtain the overall instrumental resolution to be $0.55 \pm 0.007$ eV, which is the FWHM of the Gaussian function. This resolution is in agreement with that in the literature ($0.55$ eV).\textsuperscript{7} As mentioned earlier, this shows that there is no significant change in resolution with the operating conditions. We determine the position of the Fermi level (shown by vertical line in the inset, Figure 9a) to be $8.18 \pm 0.001$ eV also from the fitting. Assuming a Maxwellian distribution, the FWHM of the electron source can be taken to be about 0.26 eV at 1200 K operating temperature. Thus, the acetone detector band-pass FWHM can be calculated to be $\sqrt{0.05^2 - 0.26^2} = 0.48$ eV. Figure 9b shows the polycrystalline Ta IPES spectrum recorded with the acetone/CaF$_2$ detector, which is in good agreement with
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