



# INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

## INFINITE GRASSMANNIAN AND MODULI SPACE OF G-BUNDLES



INTERNATIONAL  
ATOMIC ENERGY  
AGENCY



UNITED NATIONS  
EDUCATIONAL,  
SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION

Shrawan Kumar

M.S. Narasimhan

and

A. Ramanathan

MIRAMARE-TRIESTE



International Atomic Energy Agency  
and  
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization  
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

# INFINITE GRASSMANNIAN AND MODULI SPACE OF $G$ -BUNDLES

Shrawan Kumar

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina,  
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA,

M.S. Narasimhan

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

and

A. Ramanathan

School of Mathematics, T.I.F.R., Colaba, Bombay 400005, India.

## ABSTRACT

Let  $C$  be a smooth irreducible projective curve and  $G$  a simply connected simple affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We study in this paper the relationship between the space of vacua defined in Conformal Field Theory and the space of sections of a line bundle on the moduli space of  $G$ -bundles over  $C$ .

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE

March 1993

## Introduction.

Let  $C$  be a smooth projective irreducible algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  a connected simply-connected simple affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . In this paper we elucidate the relationship between

- (1) the space of vacua ("conformal blocks") defined in Conformal Field Theory, using an integrable highest weight representation of the affine Kac-Moody group associated to  $G$  and
- (2) the space of regular sections ("generalised theta functions") of a line bundle on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of semistable principal  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ .

Fix a point  $p$  in  $C$  and let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$  (resp.  $\hat{k}_p$ ) be the completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of  $C$  at  $p$  (resp. the quotient field of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G} := G(\hat{k}_p)$  (the  $\hat{k}_p$ -rational points of the algebraic group  $G$ ) be the loop group of  $G$  and let  $\mathcal{P} := G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$  be the standard maximal parahoric subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then the generalised flag variety  $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  is an inductive limit of projective varieties, in fact of generalised Schubert varieties. One has a natural  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ -equivariant line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$  on  $X$  (cf. §2.2), and the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is generated by  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$  (Proposition 2.3), where  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  is the universal central extension of  $\mathcal{G}$  by the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (cf. §2.2). By an analogue of Borel-Weil theorem proved in the Kac-Moody setting by Kumar (and also by Mathieu), the space of the regular sections of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(d\chi_o) := \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d}$  (for any  $d \geq 0$ ) is canonically isomorphic with the full vector space dual of the integrable highest weight (irreducible) module of the affine Kac-Moody group  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  with highest weight  $d\chi_o$  (cf. §6.1).

Using the fact that any principal  $G$ -bundle on  $C \setminus p$  is trivial (Proposition 1.3), one sees easily that the set of isomorphism classes of principal  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  is in bijective correspondence with the double coset space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\Gamma := \text{Mor}(C \setminus p, G)$  is the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisting of all the algebraic morphisms of  $C \setminus p \rightarrow G$ . Moreover  $X$  parametrizes an algebraic family  $\mathcal{U}$  of principal  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  (cf. Proposition 2.8). As an interesting byproduct of this parametrization, we obtain that the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}$  of semistable principal  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  is a unirational variety (cf. Corollary 6.3). Now, given a finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(V)$  be the family of associated vector bundles on  $C$  parametrized by  $X$ . We have then the determinant line bundle  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$  on  $X$ , defined as the dual of the determinant of the cohomology of the family  $\mathcal{U}(V)$  of vector bundles on  $C$  (cf. §3.8). As we mentioned above,  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is freely generated by the homogeneous line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$  on  $X$ , in particular, there exists a unique integer  $m_V$  (depending on the choice of the representation  $V$ ) such that  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) \simeq \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)$ . We determine this number explicitly in Theorem (5.4), the proof of which makes use of Riemann-Roch theorem. It may be mentioned that the number  $m_V$  is given explicitly in

terms of the decomposition of  $V$  under  $sl(2)$  'passing through the highest root space' (cf. §5.1). For example, if we take  $V$  to be the adjoint representation of  $G$ , then  $m_V = 2 \times$  dual Coxeter number of  $G$  (cf. Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.3).

The subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}$  can canonically be thought of as a subgroup of  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  (cf. Lemma 2.7). Suggested by Conformal Field Theory, we consider the space  $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o))^\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ -invariant regular sections of the  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ -equivariant (in particular  $\Gamma$ -equivariant) line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)$ . This space of invariants is called the space of vacua. Now the connection, alluded to in the beginning of the introduction, between the space of vacua and the space of generalised theta functions is via our theorem (6.6), which asserts that (for any  $d \geq 0$ ) the space  $H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d})$  of the regular sections of the  $d$ -th power of the  $\Theta$ -bundle  $\Theta(V)$  (cf. §3.8) on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  is isomorphic with the space of vacua  $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^\Gamma$ . (In the case  $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , this result has also independently been obtained recently by A. Beauville and Y. Laszlo by different methods.)

The proof of our theorem (6.6) uses Geometric Invariant Theory; in particular, we make crucial use of the following extension lemma (cf. Proposition 7.2):

Let  $H$  be a reductive group and  $Q$  be a projective scheme with a  $H$ -linearised ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Q$ , and let  $Q^*$  denote the (open) subset of semistable points of  $Q$ . Then, for any irreducible normal open  $H$ -invariant subscheme  $U \supset Q^*$  of  $Q$ , the canonical restriction map  $H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^N)^H \rightarrow H^0(Q^*, \mathcal{L}^N)^H$  is an isomorphism, for any  $N \geq 1$ .

We also make crucial use of a 'descent' lemma (cf. Proposition 4.1), in the proof of Theorem (6.6).

Our Theorem (6.6) can be generalised to the situation where the curve  $C$  has  $n$  marked points  $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$  together with finite dimensional  $G$ -modules  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$  attached to them respectively, by bringing in moduli space of parabolic  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ .

It should be mentioned that Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [TUY] have obtained a factorization theorem for the space of vacua, from which one gets the validity of the Verlinde's formula for the dimension of the space of vacua. In view of the identification of the space of generalised theta functions with the space of vacua, one gets the same formula for the dimension of the space of generalised theta functions. Recently G. Faltings has given a proof of the Verlinde's formula. A purely algebro geometric study (which does not use loop groups) of generalised theta functions on the moduli space of (parabolic) rank two torsion-free sheaves on a nodal curve is made by Narasimhan-Ramadas [NRa]. A factorization theorem and a vanishing theorem for the theta line bundle are proved there.

The organization of the paper is as follows:

Apart from introducing some notation in §1, we realize the affine flag variety  $X$  as a parameter set for  $G$ -bundles. Section (2) is devoted to recalling some basic facts (we need) about the affine Kac-Moody groups and their flag varieties. In this section we prove that the affine flag variety is the parameter space for an algebraic family of  $G$ -bundles on the curve  $C$  (cf. Proposition 2.8). Section (3) is devoted to recalling some basic definitions and results on the moduli space of semistable  $G$ -bundles, including the definition of the determinant line bundle and the  $\Theta$ -bundle on the moduli space. We prove a curious result (cf. Proposition 4.1) on algebraic descent in §4. Section (5) is devoted to identifying the determinant line bundle on the affine flag variety with a suitable power of

the basic homogeneous line bundle. Section (6) contains the statement and the proof of the main result (Theorem 6.6). Finally in Section (7) we prove the basic extension result (Proposition 6.5), using Geometric Invariant Theory.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank R.R. Simha and J. Wahl for some helpful conversations. The first author was partially supported by the NSF grant no. DMS-9203660.

## 1. Affine flag variety as parameter set for $G$ -bundles.

(1.1) *Notation.* Throughout the paper  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field of char. 0. By a scheme we will mean a scheme over  $k$ . Let us fix a projective curve  $C$  over  $k$ , and a smooth point  $p \in C$ . Let  $C^*$  denote the open set  $C \setminus p$ . We also fix an affine algebraic connected reductive group  $G$  over  $k$ .

For any  $k$  algebra  $A$ , by  $G(A)$  we mean the  $A$ -rational points of the algebraic group  $G$ . We fix the following notation to be used throughout the paper:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}} = G(\hat{k}_p), \\ \mathcal{P} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}} = G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p), \text{ and} \\ \Gamma &= \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}} = G(k[C^*]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$  is the completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_p$  of  $C$  at  $p$ ,  $\hat{k}_p$  is the quotient field of  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ ,  $k[C^*]$  is the ring of regular functions on the affine curve  $C^*$  (which can canonically be viewed as a subring of  $\hat{k}_p$ ), and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the triple  $(G, C, p)$ .

We recall the following

(1.2) *Definition.* Let  $G$  be any affine algebraic group over  $k$  (not necessarily reductive). By a *principal  $G$ -bundle* (for short  *$G$ -bundle*) on an algebraic variety  $X$ , we mean an algebraic variety  $E$  on which  $G$  acts algebraically from the right and a  $G$ -equivariant morphism  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  (where  $G$  acts trivially on  $X$ ), such that  $\pi$  is isotrivial (i.e. locally trivial in the étale topology).

Let  $G$  act algebraically on a quasi-projective variety  $F$  from the left. We can then form the *associated bundle with fiber  $F$* , denoted by  $E(F)$ . Recall that  $E(F)$  is the quotient of  $E \times F$  under the  $G$ -action given by  $g(e, f) = (eg^{-1}, gf)$ , for  $g \in G$ ,  $e \in E$  and  $f \in F$ .

*Reduction of structure group of  $E$  to a closed algebraic subgroup  $H \subset G$*  is, by definition, an  $H$ -bundle  $E_H$  such that  $E_H(G) \approx E$ , where  $H$  acts on  $G$  by left multiplication. Reduction of structure group to  $H$  can canonically be thought of as a section of the associated bundle  $E(G/H) \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(G, C)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of  $G$ -bundles on the base  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}_0(\mathcal{T}) \subset \mathcal{X}$  denote the subset consisting of those  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  which are algebraically trivial restricted to  $C^*$ .

Even though the following proposition is known, we did not find a precise reference and hence have included a proof.

(1.3) **PROPOSITION.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $k$ . Then the structure group of a  $G$ -bundle on a smooth affine curve  $Y$  can be reduced to the connected*

component  $Z^0(G)$  of the centre  $Z(G)$  of  $G$ .

In particular, if  $G$  as above is semi-simple, then any  $G$ -bundle on  $Y$  is trivial.

PROOF: We prove the proposition by induction on the rank  $\ell(G)$  of the semi-simple part  $[G, G]$  of  $G$ :

If  $\ell(G) = 0$ , i.e.,  $G$  is abelian, there is nothing to prove. So assume that  $\ell(G) > 0$ . Let us choose a maximal parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  (got by deleting a simple root, say  $\alpha$ ). Then we first claim that the structure group of any  $G$ -bundle  $E$  on  $Y$  can be reduced to the subgroup  $P$ , i.e., the associated fiber bundle  $E(G/P)$  admits a global section. Since  $Y$  is a curve, from the local isotriviality of  $E$ , we get that the bundle  $E(G/P)$  admits a section on a Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $Y$ . But since  $G/P$  is a projective variety and  $\dim Y = 1$ , the section on  $U$  extends to a section on the whole of  $Y$ . We next reduce the structure group of  $E_P$  from  $P$  to a Levi component  $M$  of  $P$ : The homogeneous space  $P/M$  is biregular isomorphic with the unipotent radical  $U = U_P$  of  $P$  and moreover  $U$  has a decreasing filtration by connected normal subgroups with successive quotients isomorphic with the additive group  $G_a$ . So, by an argument similar to [R<sub>3</sub>, §3], considering the associated fiber bundle  $E_P(P/M)$  we get that the structure group of  $E_P$  can be reduced to the subgroup  $M$ . But since  $\ell(M) = \ell(G) - 1$ , by induction hypothesis, the structure group of  $E_P$  can further be reduced to the connected component  $Z^0(M)$  of the centre  $Z(M)$  of  $M$ . Let  $\rho_\alpha : SL_2 \rightarrow G$  be the algebraic group homomorphism corresponding to the (positive) simple root  $\alpha$ . Let  $Z_\alpha$  be the algebraic subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $Z^0(G)$  and  $\text{Im } \rho_\alpha$ . Then  $Z_\alpha$  is the direct product  $Z^0(G) \times \text{Im } \rho_\alpha$  and  $Z_\alpha \supset Z^0(M)$ . Let  $E_{Z_\alpha}$  denote the  $Z_\alpha$ -bundle got from  $E_{Z^0(M)}$  by extending the structure group and let  $E_\alpha := E_{Z_\alpha}(Z_\alpha/Z^0(G))$  be the associated fiber bundle with fiber  $Z_\alpha/Z^0(G)$ , which can in fact be thought of as a (principal)  $\text{Im } \rho_\alpha$ -bundle. We now prove that  $E_\alpha$  is a trivial  $\text{Im } \rho_\alpha$ -bundle:

Using the exact sequence :

$$H^1(Y, SL_2) \rightarrow H^1(Y, PSL_2) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/(2)),$$

and the vanishing of  $H_{\text{ét}}^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}/(2))$  (cf. [Mil]), we first see that the structure group of any  $PSL_2$ -bundle can be lifted to  $SL_2$ . Further, since  $\text{Im } \rho_\alpha$  is either  $PSL_2$  or  $SL_2$ , it suffices to show that any  $SL_2$ -bundle (i.e. any rank-2 vector bundle  $V$  with trivial determinant) on any smooth affine curve  $Y$  is trivial: Since  $\dim Y = 1$ , there exists a trivial line sub-bundle  $\epsilon$  of  $V$  such that the quotient  $Q := V/\epsilon$  is a line bundle on  $Y$ . But since  $\text{Det } V$  is trivial,  $\text{Det } Q = Q$  is trivial as well. Further,  $Y$  being affine, the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

is split, showing that  $V$  is a trivial bundle. This, in particular, implies that  $(Z_\alpha/Z^0(G))$ -bundle  $E_\alpha$  admits a global section, i.e., the structure group of  $E_{Z_\alpha}$  (and hence of  $E$ ) can be reduced to  $Z^0(G)$ . This completes the induction hypothesis, thereby proving the proposition. ■

The following map is of basic importance for us in this paper. This provides a bridge between the moduli space of  $G$ -bundles and the affine (Kac-Moody) flag variety.

(1.4) *Definition* (of the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ ). Let  $G$  be a connected reductive algebraic group over  $k$ . Consider the canonical morphisms  $i_1 : \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) \rightarrow C$  and  $i_2 : C^* \hookrightarrow C$ . The

morphisms  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  together provide a flat cover of  $C$ . Let us take the trivial  $G$ -bundle on both the schemes  $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P)$  and  $C^*$ . The fiber product

$$F := \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) \times_C C^*$$

of  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  can canonically be identified with  $\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_P)$ . This identification  $F \simeq \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_P)$  is induced from the natural morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_P) & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) & \downarrow & C^* \\ & F & \end{array}$$

By a “glueing” lemma of Grothendieck [Mi, Part I, Theorem 2.23, pg. 19], to give a  $G$ -bundle on  $C$ , it suffices to give an automorphism of the trivial  $G$ -bundle on  $\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_P)$ , i.e., to give an element of  $\mathcal{G} := G(\hat{k}_P)$ . (Observe that since we have a flat cover of  $C$  by only two schemes, the cocycle condition is vacuously satisfied.) This is, by definition, the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ .

(1.5) PROPOSITION. The map  $\varphi$  (defined above) factors through the double coset space to give a bijective map (denoted by)

$$\bar{\varphi} : \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0.$$

(Observe that, by Proposition (1.3),  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}$  if  $G$  is assumed to be connected and semi-simple.)

PROOF: From the above construction, it is clear that for  $g, g' \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\varphi(g)$  is isomorphic with  $\varphi(g')$  (written  $\varphi(g) \approx \varphi(g')$ ) if and only if there exist two  $G$ -bundle isomorphisms :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_1} & \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) \times G \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^* \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_2} & C^* \times G \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & C^* & \end{array}$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G & \xrightarrow{\theta_1|_{\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)}} & \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G \\
 \downarrow g' & & \downarrow g \\
 \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G & \xrightarrow{\theta_2|_{\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)}} & \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G
 \end{array}
 \quad (*)$$

Any  $G$ -bundle isomorphism  $\theta_1$  (resp.  $\theta_2$ ) as above is given by an element  $h \in \mathcal{P}$  (resp.  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ). In particular, from the commutativity of the above diagram  $(*)$ ,  $\varphi(g) \approx \varphi(g')$  if and only if there exists  $h \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $gh = \gamma g'$ , i.e.,  $\gamma^{-1}gh = g'$ . This shows that the map  $\varphi$  factors through  $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  to give an injective map  $\bar{\varphi}$ . The surjectivity of  $\bar{\varphi}$  follows immediately from the definition of  $\mathcal{X}_0$ , and the fact that any  $G$ -bundle on  $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$  is trivial. ■

(1.6) *Remarks.*

- (a) We will show (cf. Proposition 2.8) that  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  in fact is a parameter space for an algebraic family of  $G$ -bundles.
- (b) The correspondence given in the above proposition is parallel to the correspondence from the Adele group to bundles on a curve (cf. [H], also see [PS, §8.11]). Some other analogous constructions are given by Beilinson–Schlichtman, Mulase [Mu], ...
- (c)  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  should be thought of as the parameter space for  $G$ -bundles  $E$  together with a trivialization of  $E|_{C^*}$  (cf. Proposition 2.8).

(1.7) *An alternative description of the map  $\varphi$  for vector bundles.* We give an alternative description of the map  $\varphi$  in the case when  $G = GL_n$ . In this case  $\mathcal{X}_0$  can also be thought of as the set of isomorphism classes of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -modules (where  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is the structure sheaf of  $C$ ) which are free as  $\mathcal{O}_{C^*}$ -modules of rank  $n$ .

Let us denote by  $E = E_n$  the  $n$ -dimensional standard representation of  $GL_n$ . Then the group  $\mathcal{G}$  has a canonical representation in  $E(\hat{k}_p)$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is precisely the stabilizer of  $E(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} := C \times E(k) \rightarrow C$  be the trivial rank- $n$  vector bundle over  $C$ . Fix any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , and define the presheaf  $\bar{\varphi}(g)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -modules on  $C$  as follows: For any Zariski open  $U \subset C$ , set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\varphi}(g)(U) &= H^0(U, \mathcal{E}), & \text{if } p \notin U \text{ and} \\
 \bar{\varphi}(g)(U) &= \{\sigma \in H^0(U \setminus p, \mathcal{E}) : (\sigma)_p \in g(E(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p))\}, & \text{if } p \in U,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\sigma)_p$  denotes the germ of the rational section  $\sigma$  at  $p$  viewed canonically as an element of  $E(\hat{k}_p)$ .

Now let  $\varphi(g)$  be the associated sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -modules on  $C$ . Since the representation of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $E(\hat{k}_p)$  is  $\hat{k}_p$ -linear (in particular  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ -linear), it is easy to see that the sheaf  $\varphi(g)$  is a locally free sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -modules of rank  $n$  and of course (by construction)  $\varphi(g)|_{C^*}$  is trivial. It can be easily seen that the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$  thus obtained is the same as the map  $\varphi$  defined in §1.4.

## 2. Affine Kac-Moody groups and their flag varieties.

Let  $\mathfrak{T} = (G, C, p)$  be as in §1.1. In this section we will assume that the base field  $k$  is  $\mathbb{C}$  and further assume that  $G$  is a connected simply-connected simple affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We fix a Borel subgroup  $B \subset G$  and a maximal torus  $T \subset B$ , and define the standard Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  as  $ev_p^{-1}(B)$ , where  $ev_p : \mathcal{P} = G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \rightarrow G$  is the group homomorphism induced from the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which takes  $f \mapsto f(p)$ .

(2.1) *Generalized Schubert varieties.* The generalised flag variety  $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  (where  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{P}$  are as in §1.1) has the following Bruhat decomposition:

$$(1) \quad X = \bigcup_{w \in \tilde{W}/W} Bw\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P},$$

where  $W := N_G(T)/T$  is the (finite) Weyl group of  $G$ ,  $N_G(T)$  is the normalizer of  $T$  in  $G$ , and  $\tilde{W}$  is the affine Weyl group of  $G$  (cf. [K, §6.6]). Moreover the union in (1) is disjoint.

The affine Weyl group  $\tilde{W}$  is a Coxeter group and hence has a Bruhat partial order  $\leq$ . This induces a partial order (again denoted by)  $\leq$  in  $\tilde{W}/W$  defined by

$$u := u \bmod W \leq v \quad (\text{for } u, v \in \tilde{W})$$

if and only if there exists a  $w \in W$  such that

$$u \leq vw.$$

We define the generalised Schubert variety  $X_w$  (for any  $w \in \tilde{W}/W$ ) by

$$(2) \quad X_w := \bigcup_{v \leq w} Bv\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}.$$

Then clearly  $X_v \subseteq X_w$  if and only if  $v \leq w$ . The set  $X_w$  has the structure of a (not necessarily smooth) finite dimensional projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the inclusion  $X_v \subseteq X_w$  ( $v \leq w$ ) is a closed immersion.

We put the inductive limit Hausdorff (resp. Zariski) topology on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , i.e., a set  $U \subset \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  is open if and only if  $U \cap X_w$  is open in  $X_w$  in the Hausdorff (resp. Zariski) topology for all  $w \in \tilde{W}/W$ . The decomposition (1) provides a cellular decomposition of  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , where  $Bw\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$  is biregular isomorphic with  $\mathbb{C}^{\ell(w)}$  and  $\ell(w)$  is the length of the smallest element in the coset  $w := wW$ .

(2.2) *Line bundles on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ .* We define

$$(1) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}) := \text{Inv. lt. Pic}(X_w), \quad w \in \tilde{W}/W$$

where  $\text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$  is of course the set of isomorphism classes of (algebraic) line bundles on  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ . Then an element  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P})$  is given by a collection of algebraic line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{v}}$  on  $X_{\mathfrak{v}}$  (for every  $\mathfrak{v} \in \bar{W}/W$ ) together with a morphism  $i_{\mathfrak{w},\mathfrak{v}}$  (for all  $\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{v}} & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathfrak{w},\mathfrak{v}}} & \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\mathfrak{v}} & \hookrightarrow & X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \end{array}$$

satisfying  $i_{\mathfrak{w},\mathfrak{v}} \circ i_{\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{u}} = i_{\mathfrak{w},\mathfrak{u}}$ , for all  $\mathfrak{u} \leq \mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}$ .

One can similarly define the notion of vector bundles or principal bundles on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ .

Let us recall that the group  $\mathcal{G}$  admits a ‘canonical’ one-dimensional central extension:

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1.$$

The ‘Lie algebra’  $\text{Lie}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is described explicitly in [K, Chap 7, Identity 7.2.1] and is denoted by  $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The composite map  $\mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{q} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}/[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}]$  is an isomorphism, where  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $q$  is the canonical projection. In particular, identifying  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}/[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}]$  with  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (under  $q \circ i$ ), we get the character denoted  $e^{\chi_o} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Alternatively, this is the unique character which is identically 1 restricted to the commutator  $[\tilde{\mathcal{P}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}]$ , and restricted to the *standard maximal torus*  $\tilde{T} := \beta^{-1}(T)$  it is got by exponentiating the ‘integral’ weight  $\chi_o : \text{Lie}(\tilde{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\chi_o$  is defined by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_o(\alpha_0^\vee) &= 1, & \text{and} \\ \chi_o(\alpha_i^\vee) &= 0, & \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq \ell, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee\}$  (resp.  $\{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee\}$ ) are the simple coroots for  $\tilde{L}(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) (cf. [K, page 76]).

For any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(d\chi_o)$  be the homogeneous line bundle on the base  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \approx \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , which is associated to the principal  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ -bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  by the character  $(e^{\chi_o})^{-d}$ . We denote its restriction to  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  by  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}}(d\chi_o)$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}}(d\chi_o)$  has a canonical structure of an algebraic line bundle, which is compatible with respect to the inclusions, i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}}(d\chi_o)|_{X_{\mathfrak{v}}} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{v}}(d\chi_o)$  for any  $\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}$  (cf. [SI, §2.7]). In particular, we get an element (again denoted by)  $\mathcal{L}(d\chi_o) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P})$ .

We have the following proposition determining  $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P})$ .

(2.3) PROPOSITION. *The map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P})$  given by*

$$d \mapsto \mathcal{L}(d\chi_o)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

PROOF: Since  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  is a projective variety, by GAGA, the natural map

$$(1) \dots \quad \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{\text{an}}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$$

is an isomorphism, where  $\text{Pic}_{\text{an}}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$  is the set of isomorphism classes of analytic line bundles on  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ .

We have the sheaf exact sequence:

$$(2) \dots \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}^*$ ) denotes the sheaf of analytic functions (resp. the sheaf of invertible analytic functions) on  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ . Taking the associated long exact cohomology sequence, we get

$$(3) \dots \quad \dots \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where the map  $c_1$  associates to any line bundle its first Chern class. Now

$$(4) \dots \quad H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i > 0$$

by [Ku<sub>1</sub>, Theorem 2.16(3)] (also proved in [M]), and by GAGA

$$(5) \dots \quad H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}) \approx H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}),$$

and hence the map  $c_1$  is an isomorphism. But

$$(6) \dots \quad \text{Pic}_{\text{an}}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \approx H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{\text{an}}^*).$$

Hence, by combining (1) and (3)–(6), we get the isomorphism (again denoted by)

$$(7) \dots \quad c_1 : \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Further the following diagram is commutative (whenever  $X_{\mathfrak{v}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ ) :

$$(D) \dots \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim c_1} & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\sim c_1} & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{v}}, \mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the canonical restriction maps. But from the Bruhat decomposition (1) of §2.1, for any  $\mathfrak{w} \geq s_o$ , the restriction map

$$(8) \dots \quad H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_{s_o}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism, where  $s_o$  is the (simple) reflection corresponding to the simple coroot  $\alpha_0^\vee$ , and  $s_o := s_o \bmod W$ . Moreover,  $X_{s_o}$  being isomorphic with the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ,  $H^2(X_{s_o}, \mathbb{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank 1, which is generated by the first Chern class  $-1$  of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{s_o}(\chi_o)$ .

Since any element  $w \neq e \in \tilde{W}/W$  satisfies  $w \geq s_0$  (in particular the elements  $w \geq s_0$  are cofinal in  $\tilde{W}/W$ ), taking the inverse limit of diagram (D), we get the proposition. ■

(2.4) *Topology on  $\Gamma$ .* We fix an embedding  $G \hookrightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{C})$  (for some large  $m$ ), and define a filtration of  $\Gamma$  as follows:

$$G = \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \dots,$$

where  $\Gamma_i := \{f : C^* \rightarrow G \subset GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \text{ such that all the matrix coefficients of } f \text{ have poles of order } \leq i \text{ at } p\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\Gamma_i$ 's admit canonically a compatible structure of finite dimensional affine varieties. In particular, we have Hausdorff as well as Zariski topology on  $\Gamma_i$ 's. Now we define the corresponding (Hausdorff or Zariski) topology on  $\Gamma$  as the inductive limit topology from  $\Gamma_i$ 's. It is easy to see that the topology on  $\Gamma$  does not depend upon the particular embedding of  $G \hookrightarrow GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ .

We prove the following curious lemma.

(2.5) **LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be a connected variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then any regular map  $X \rightarrow C^*$ , which is null-homotopic in the topological category, is a constant.*

(Observe that if the singular cohomology  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , then any continuous map  $X \rightarrow C^*$  is null-homotopic.)

**PROOF:** Assume, if possible, that there exists a null-homotopic non-constant regular map  $\lambda : X \rightarrow C^*$ . Since  $\lambda$  is algebraic, there exists a number  $N > 0$  such that the number of irreducible components of  $\lambda^{-1}(z) \leq N$ , for all  $z \in C^*$ . Now we consider the  $N'$ -sheeted covering  $\pi_{N'} : C^* \rightarrow C^*(z \mapsto z^{N'})$ , for any  $N' > N$ . Since  $\lambda$  is null-homotopic, there exists a (regular) lift  $\tilde{\lambda} : X \rightarrow C^*$ , making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^* & \\ \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow \pi_{N'} & \\ X & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & C^* \end{array}$$

Since  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is regular and non-constant, by Chevalley's theorem  $\text{Im } \tilde{\lambda}$  (being a constructible set) misses only finitely many points of  $C^*$ . In particular, there exists a  $z_0 \in C^*$  (in fact a Zariski open set of points) such that  $\pi_{N'}^{-1}(z_0) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\lambda}$ . But then the number of irreducible components of  $\lambda^{-1}(z_0) = \tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(\pi_{N'}^{-1}(z_0)) \geq N' > N$ , a contradiction to the choice of  $N$ . This proves the lemma. ■

(2.6) **COROLLARY.** *There does not exist any non-constant regular map  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow C^*$ .*

(A regular map  $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow C^*$  is, by definition, a map such that  $\lambda|_{\Gamma_n}$  is regular for each  $n$ , cf. §2.4.)

**PROOF:** By Segal [S] (see also [PS, Proposition 8.11.6(i), page 157]),  $\Gamma$  is connected and simply-connected, in particular,  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . This gives that the map  $\lambda$  is null-homotopic. By using the above lemma (2.5),  $\lambda$  is constant on each connected component of  $\Gamma_n$  (for any  $n \geq 0$ ) and hence  $\lambda$  itself is constant. ■

Restrict the central extension (2) of §2.2 to get a central extension

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow C^* \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is by definition  $\beta^{-1}(\Gamma)$ . The group  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  admits a canonical structure of an inductive limit of affine algebraic varieties.

(2.7) **LEMMA.** *There exists a unique regular group homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ , which splits the above central extension.*

In particular, we can canonically view  $\Gamma$  as a subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .

**PROOF:** The existence of a regular splitting on  $\Gamma$  is well known (cf., e.g., [W, §4]). The uniqueness follows immediately from the above corollary. ■

Finally we have the following proposition, which is proved by using the local triviality of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -bundle  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ .

(2.8) **PROPOSITION.** (a) *There is an algebraic  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow C \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{U}|_{C \times X_n}$  is algebraic for any  $w \in \tilde{W}/W$ ) such that, for any  $x \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  the  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{U}_x := \mathcal{U}|_{C \times x}$  is isomorphic with  $\varphi(x)$  (where  $\varphi$  is the map of §1.4). Moreover the bundle  $\mathcal{U}|_{C^* \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}}$  comes equipped with a trivialization  $\alpha : \epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{U}|_{C^* \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the trivial  $G$ -bundle on  $C^* \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ .*

(b) *Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times T$  be a family of  $G$ -bundles (parametrized by an algebraic variety  $T$ ), such that  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial over  $C^* \times T$  and also over  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times T$ . Then, if we choose a trivialization  $\beta : \epsilon' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{C^* \times T}$ , we get a Schubert variety  $X_n$  and a unique morphism  $f : T \rightarrow X_n$  together with a  $G$ -bundle morphism  $\hat{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}|_{C \times X_n}$  inducing the map  $\text{Id} \times f$  at the base such that  $\hat{f}\beta = \alpha\theta$ , where  $\epsilon'$  is the trivial bundle on  $C^* \times T$  and  $\theta$  is the canonical  $G$ -bundle morphism  $\epsilon' \rightarrow \epsilon$  inducing the map  $\text{Id} \times f$  at the base.*

**PROOF:** Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and let  $T := \text{Spec } R$  be the corresponding scheme. Suppose  $E \rightarrow C \times T$  is a  $G$ -bundle with trivialisations  $\alpha$  of  $E$  over  $C^* \times T$  and  $\beta$  of  $E$  over  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times T$ . Note that the fiber product  $(C^* \times T) \times_{C \times T} (\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \times T)$  is canonically isomorphic with  $(\text{Spec } \hat{k}_p) \times T$ . Therefore the trivialisations  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  give rise to an element  $\alpha\beta^{-1} \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$ . Conversely, given an element  $g \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$ , we can construct the family  $E \rightarrow C \times \text{Spec } R$  by taking the trivial bundles on  $C^* \times T$  and  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times T$  and glueing them via the element  $g$ . Moreover, if  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are two elements of  $G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$  such that  $g_2 = g_1 h$  with  $h \in G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \otimes R)$ , then  $h$  induces a canonical isomorphism of the bundles corresponding to  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ . All these assertions are easily verified.

To construct the family parametrized by  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , we note that it is enough to construct the families  $\mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow C \times X_n$  parametrized by the Schubert varieties  $X_n$  together with certain isomorphisms  $\phi_{n,v}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_n|_{C \times X_n}$  with  $\mathcal{U}_v$ , for any  $X_0 \subset X_n$ , such that the isomorphisms  $\phi_{n,v}$  satisfy the cocycle condition  $\phi_{n,v}\phi_{v,u} = \phi_{n,u}$ , for all  $w \geq v \geq u$ .

Choose a local parameter  $t$  for  $C$  at  $p$  and set  $\mathcal{N}^- := G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}^-$  can canonically be thought of as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Further the  $\mathcal{N}^-$ -orbit  $U^-$  through the base point  $e \in X$  is open in the Zariski topology on  $X$ . In particular, by the Bruhat decomposition  $\{wU^-\}_w$  provides an open cover of  $X$ . The map  $U^- \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , defined by  $x.e \mapsto x$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{N}^-$



provides a section  $\sigma$  of the principal  $\mathcal{P}$ -bundle  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  over the open set  $U^-$  (and by translating this section we also get sections  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{w}}$  over any  $\mathfrak{w}U^-$ ). Now take any Schubert variety  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  and cover this by the affine open sets  $\{(\mathfrak{w}U^-) \cap X_{\mathfrak{w}}\}$  (cf. [Ku<sub>2</sub>, §3]) and take the sections  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{w}}$  over them. In view of the discussion above, this canonically gives rise to  $G$ -bundles  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{w}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{w}}^0$  on  $C \times (\mathfrak{w}U^- \cap X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ . Further, for any  $x$  in the intersection  $U_{\mathfrak{w}_1} \cap U_{\mathfrak{w}_2}$ , where  $U_{\mathfrak{w}_1} := (\mathfrak{w}_1 U^-) \cap X_{\mathfrak{w}_1}$ , we have  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{w}_2}(x) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{w}_1}(x) h_{\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2}(x)$  with  $h_{\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2}(x) \in G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x)$ . These  $h_{\mathfrak{w}_1, \mathfrak{w}_2}$  give rise to the canonical isomorphisms  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{w}_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{w}_2}$  over the intersection  $C \times (U_{\mathfrak{w}_1} \cap U_{\mathfrak{w}_2})$ , which obviously satisfy the cocycle condition. Thus the bundles  $\{\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{w}}^0\}_{\mathfrak{w}}$  patch up to give the  $G$ -bundle  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^0$  on  $C \times X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ . Since the sections  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{w}}$  are defined on the whole of  $\mathfrak{w}U^-$ , it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{U}^{v_1}$  canonically restricts to  $\mathcal{U}^{v_2}$ , whenever  $v_1 \geq v_2$ . This completes the (a)-part, i.e., the construction of the family  $\mathcal{U}$  parametrized by  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ .

To prove the (b) part, let us choose a trivialization  $\tau$  of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  restricted to  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times T$ . As above, this (together with the trivialization  $\beta$ ) gives rise to a map  $f_{\tau} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and hence a map  $f : T \rightarrow \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ . (It is easy to see that the map  $f$  does not depend upon the choice of the trivialization  $\tau$ .) We claim that there exists a large enough  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  such that  $\text{Im } f \subset X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  and moreover  $f : T \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  is a morphism:

For both of these assertions, we can assume that  $T$  is an affine variety  $T = \text{Spec } R$ , for some  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $R$ . Then the map  $f_{\tau}$  can be thought of as an element (again denoted by)  $f_{\tau} \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$ . Choose an imbedding  $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(N)$ , and also choose a local parameter  $t$  around  $p \in C$ . Then we can write  $f_{\tau} = (f_{\tau}^{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ , with  $f_{\tau}^{i,j} \in \hat{k}_p \otimes R$ . In particular, there exists a large enough  $l \geq 0$  such that (for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ )  $f_{\tau}^{i,j} \in t^{-l} \mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes R$ . From this one can see that  $\text{Im } f$  is contained in a Schubert variety  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ . Now the assertion that  $f : T \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}}$  is a morphism follows from the description of the map  $f_{\tau}$  as an element of  $G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$  together with the explicit description of the variety structure on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , as given, e.g., in [KL, §5.2]. The remaining assertions of (b) are easy to verify, thereby completing the proof of (b). ■

### 3. Preliminaries on moduli space of $G$ -bundles and the determinant bundle.

Throughout this section,  $G$  denotes a connected reductive group over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of char. 0 and  $C$  a smooth projective curve over  $k$ .

We recall some basic concepts and results on semistable  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ . The references are [NS], [R<sub>1</sub>], [R<sub>2</sub>], and [RR]. Recall the definition of  $G$ -bundles and reduction of structure group from §1.2.

(3.1) *Definition.* Let  $E \rightarrow C$  be a  $G$ -bundle. Then  $E$  is said to be *semistable* (resp. *stable*), if for any reduction of structure group  $E_P$  to any parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  and any character  $\chi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  which is dominant with respect to some Borel subgroup contained in  $P$ , the degree of the associated line bundle  $E_P(\chi)$  is  $\leq 0$  (resp.  $< 0$ ). (Note that, by definition, a dominant character is taken to be trivial on the connected component of the centre of  $G$ .)

(3.2) *Remark.* When  $G = \text{GL}_n$ , this definition coincides with the usual definition of semistability (resp. stability) due to Mumford (cf. [NS]) viz. a vector bundle  $V \rightarrow C$

is semistable (resp. stable) if for every subbundle  $W \subsetneq V$ , we have  $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$  (resp.  $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$ ), where  $\mu(V) := \deg V / \text{rank } V$ .

Let  $V \rightarrow C$  be a semistable vector bundle. Then there exists a filtration by subbundles

$$V_0 = 0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V,$$

such that  $\mu(V_i) = \mu(V)$  and  $V_i/V_{i-1}$  are stable (cf. [Ses]). Though such a filtration in general is not unique, the associated graded

$$\text{gr } V := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} V_i/V_{i-1}$$

is uniquely determined by  $V$  (upto an isomorphism).

We will now describe the corresponding notion of  $\text{gr } E$  for a semistable  $G$ -bundle  $E$ .

(3.3) *Definition.* A reduction of structure group of a  $G$ -bundle  $E \rightarrow C$  to a parabolic subgroup  $P$  is called *admissible* if for any character of  $P$ , which is trivial on the connected component of the centre of  $G$ , the associated line bundle of the reduced  $P$ -bundle has degree 0.

It is easy to see that if  $E_P$  is an admissible reduction of structure group to a parabolic subgroup  $P$ , then  $E$  is semistable if and only if the  $P/U$ -bundle  $E_P(P/U)$  is semistable, where  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $P$ . Moreover, a semistable  $G$ -bundle  $E$  admits an admissible reduction to some parabolic subgroup  $P$  such that  $E_P(P/U)$  is, in fact, a stable  $P/U$ -bundle. Let  $M$  be a Levi component of  $P$ . Then  $M \approx P/U$  (as algebraic groups) and thus we get a stable  $M$ -bundle  $E_P(M)$ . Extend the structure group of this  $M$ -bundle to  $G$  to get a semistable  $G$ -bundle denoted by  $\text{gr}(E)$ . Then  $\text{gr}(E)$  is uniquely determined by  $E$  (up to an isomorphism).

Two semistable  $G$ -bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are said to be *S-equivalent* if  $\text{gr}(E_1) \approx \text{gr}(E_2)$ . We call a semistable  $G$ -bundle  $E$  *quasistable* if  $E \approx \text{gr}(E)$ . (It can be seen that a semistable vector bundle is quasistable if and only if it is a direct sum of stable vector bundles with the same  $\mu$ .)

Two  $G$ -bundles  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  on  $C$  are said to be of the *same topological type* if they are isomorphic as  $G$ -bundles in the topological category. The topological types of all the algebraic  $G$ -bundles are bijectively parametrized by  $\pi_1(G)$  (cf. [R<sub>2</sub>, §5]).

(3.4) *THEOREM.* The set  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $S$ -equivalence classes of all the semistable  $G$ -bundles of a fixed topological type admits the structure of a normal, irreducible, projective variety over  $k$ , making it into a coarse moduli.

In particular, for any algebraic family  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times T$  of semistable  $G$ -bundles of the same topological type (parametrized by a variety  $T$ ), the set map  $\beta : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ , which takes  $t \in T$  to the  $S$ -equivalence class of  $\mathcal{E}_t$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a morphism.

We will give some indication of its proof in §7. The details can be found in [NS], [R<sub>1</sub>], [R<sub>2</sub>], [Ses], [Si], ....

(3.5) *Remarks.* (a) In general  $\mathfrak{M}$  is not a *fine moduli*, i.e., there may not exist any family  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow C \times \mathfrak{M}$  (parametrized by  $\mathfrak{M}$ ) such that  $\mathcal{F}_m$  belongs to the  $S$ -equivalence class  $m \in \mathfrak{M}$ .

(b) For  $G = GL_n$ , i.e., for the case of rank- $n$  vector bundles, the topological type is nothing but its degree. When the degree is coprime to the rank, the coarse moduli is in fact a fine moduli. (When the degree is not coprime to the rank, the coarse moduli is not a fine moduli.)

We prove a result on  $\text{gr}(E)$  which we will need later. We first prove the following :

(3.6) LEMMA. Let  $P$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $E \rightarrow C^*$  is a  $P$ -bundle, such that for every character  $\chi : P \rightarrow G_m$  the associated line bundle is trivial on  $C^*$ . Then the  $P$ -bundle  $E \rightarrow C^*$  itself is trivial.

PROOF: This follows easily from the proof of Proposition (1.3). ■

(3.7) PROPOSITION. Let  $E \rightarrow C$  be a semistable  $G$ -bundle. Then there exists a family of  $G$ -bundles  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_t \approx E$  if  $t \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0 \approx \text{gr } E$ , and such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{C^* \times \mathbb{A}^1}$  as well as the pull-back of  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times \mathbb{A}^1$  are trivial.

PROOF: Let  $E_P$  be an admissible reduction of the structure group to a parabolic subgroup  $P = M \cdot U$  corresponding to  $\text{gr } E$  (see §3.3). By the above lemma,  $E_{P|C^*}$  is trivial. Since  $i_1^*(E_P)$  is trivial ( $i_1 : \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \rightarrow C$ ) as well, we see that  $E_P$  is obtained by patching up (via flat descent, see §1.4) the trivial  $P$ -bundles on  $C^*$  and  $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ . The patching is given by an element of  $P(\hat{k}_p) = M(\hat{k}_p) \cdot U(\hat{k}_p)$ . Let this element be  $g \cdot u$  where  $g \in M(\hat{k}_p)$ ,  $u \in U(\hat{k}_p)$ .

Let us choose a maximal torus  $T$  in  $M$ . Now since  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $P$ , we can find a 1-parameter subgroup  $\lambda : G_m (= \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow T$  such that for any root  $\alpha$  in  $U$ ,  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle > 0$  (where  $\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$  is by definition the integer  $n$  such that the composite  $G_m \xrightarrow{\lambda} T \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_m$  is given by  $t \mapsto t^n$ ).

Define a  $P$ -bundle on  $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$  by taking the trivial bundles on  $C^* \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times \mathbb{A}^1$  and patching up by the element  $\lambda(t)g u \lambda(t)^{-1}$  (resp.  $g$ ) in  $P(\hat{k}_p)$ , if  $t \neq 0$  (resp.  $t = 0$ ). This bundle has the required properties. ■

(3.8) Determinant bundle and  $\Theta$ -bundle. We now briefly recall a few definitions and facts on the determinant bundles and  $\Theta$ -bundles associated to families of bundles on  $C$ . We follow [DN], [NRa].

In the case of the moduli  $J_d$  of line bundles of fixed degree  $d$  on  $C$ , i.e., the Jacobian, there is a natural divisor (on the Jacobian) called the  $\Theta$ -divisor. It is defined only up to an algebraic equivalence in general, but on the Jacobian  $J_{g-1}$  it is canonically defined (where  $g$  is the genus of  $C$ ). Since we have chosen a base point  $p$  on  $C$ , the  $\Theta$ -divisor on any  $J_d$  can be canonically defined.

To generalise this notion to the moduli of higher rank vector bundles, one makes use of the determinant bundle associated to any family of vector bundles.

Let  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times T$  be a vector bundle. Then there exists a complex of vector bundles  $\mathcal{V}_i$  on  $T$  (with  $\mathcal{V}_i = 0$ , for all  $i \geq 2$ ):

$$\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots,$$

such that for any base change  $f : Z \rightarrow T$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  direct image (under the projection  $C \times Z \rightarrow Z$ ) of the pull back  $(\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}$  on  $Z$  is given by the  $i^{\text{th}}$  cohomology of the pull

back of the above complex to  $Z$ . We define the *determinant line bundle*  $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$  on  $T$  to be the product  $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} (\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes (\bigwedge^{\text{top}} (\mathcal{V}_0)^*)$ . (Notice that our  $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$  is dual to the determinant line bundle as defined, e.g., in [L, Chapter 6, §1].)

The above base change property gives rise to the base change property for  $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$ , i.e., if  $f : Z \rightarrow T$  is a morphism then  $\text{Det}((\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}) = f^*(\text{Det } \mathcal{V})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $T$ , and let  $p_2 : C \times T \rightarrow T$  be the projection on the second factor. Then for the family  $\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C \times T$ , we have  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}) = (\text{Det } \mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\chi(\mathcal{V})}$ , where  $\chi(\mathcal{V}) := h^0(\mathcal{V}_1) - h^1(\mathcal{V}_1)$  is the Euler characteristic and  $\mathcal{V}_i := \mathcal{V}|_{C \times \{i\}}$ . (Observe that  $h^0(\mathcal{V}_i) - h^1(\mathcal{V}_i)$  remains constant on any connected component of  $T$ .)

We now define the  $\Theta$ -bundle  $\Theta(\mathcal{V})$  of a family of rank  $r$  and degree 0 bundles  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times T$  to be the modified determinant bundle given by  $(\text{Det } \mathcal{V}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}_p)^{\chi(\mathcal{V})/r}$ , where  $\mathcal{V}_p$  is the bundle  $\mathcal{V}|_{p \times T}$  on  $T$ , and  $\det \mathcal{V}_p$  is its usual determinant line bundle. It follows then that  $\Theta(\mathcal{V}) = \Theta(\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L})$ , for any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $T$ . Moreover  $\Theta(\mathcal{V})$  also has the functorial property  $\Theta((\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}) = f^*(\Theta(\mathcal{V}))$ .

If  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times T$  is a family of  $G$ -bundles and  $V$  is a  $G$ -module, then  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{E}(V))$  and  $\Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))$  are defined to be the corresponding line bundles of the associated family of vector bundles, via the representation  $V$  of  $G$ .

For the family  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow C \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  (cf. Proposition 2.8), the line bundles  $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))$  and  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$  coincide, since  $\mathcal{U}_{p \times \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}}$  is trivial.

It is known ([DN], [NRa]; see also Remark 7.6) that there exists a line bundle  $\Theta$  on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  of rank  $r$  and degree 0 (semistable) bundles, such that for any family  $\mathcal{V}$  of rank  $r$  and degree 0 semistable bundles parametrized by  $T$  we have  $f^*(\Theta) = \Theta(\mathcal{V})$ , where  $f : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$  is the morphism given by the coarse moduli property of  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  (cf. Theorem 3.4).

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $G$  of dimension  $r$ . Then for any semistable  $G$ -bundle on  $C$ , the associated vector bundle (via the representation  $V$ ) is semistable (cf. [RR, Theorem 3.18]). Thus, given a family of semistable  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  parametrized by  $T$ , we have a canonical morphism (induced from the representation  $V$ )  $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$  (where  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  as above is the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank  $r$  and degree 0). Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the moduli space of semistable  $G$ -bundles. By the coarse moduli property of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , we see that we have a canonical morphism  $\phi_V : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$ . We define the *theta bundle*  $\Theta(V)$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  associated to  $V$  to be the pull back of the line bundle  $\Theta$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  via the morphism  $\phi_V$  (see Remark 7.6). It can be easily seen that for any family  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times T$  of semistable  $G$ -bundles,  $f^*(\Theta(V)) \simeq \Theta(\mathcal{V}(V))$ , where  $f : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is the morphism (induced from the family  $\mathcal{V}$ ) given by the coarse moduli property of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

#### 4. A result on algebraic descent.

We prove the following technical result, which will crucially be used in the paper. Even though we believe that it should be known, we did not find a precise reference.

(4.1) PROPOSITION. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective morphism between irreducible algebraic varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of char 0. Assume that  $Y$  is normal and let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$  be an algebraic vector bundle on  $Y$ .

Then any set theoretic section  $\sigma$  of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  is regular if and only if the induced section  $f^*(\sigma)$  of the induced bundle  $f^*(\mathcal{E})$  is regular.

PROOF: The 'only if' part is of course trivially true. So we come to the 'if' part.

Since the question is local (in  $Y$ ), we can assume that  $Y$  is affine and moreover the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  is trivial, i.e., it suffices to show that any (set theoretic) map  $\sigma : Y \rightarrow k$  is regular, provided  $\bar{\sigma} := \sigma \circ f : X \rightarrow k$  is regular (under the assumption that  $Y = \text{Spec } R$  is irreducible normal and affine):

Since the map  $f$  is surjective (in particular dominant), the ring  $R$  is canonically embedded in  $\Gamma(X) := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Let  $R[\bar{\sigma}]$  denote the subring of  $\Gamma(X)$  generated by  $R$  and  $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(X)$ . Then  $R[\bar{\sigma}]$  is a (finitely generated) domain (as  $X$  is irreducible by assumption), and we get a dominant morphism  $\hat{f} : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ , where  $Z := \text{Spec } (R[\bar{\sigma}])$ . Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \theta \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where  $\theta$  is the dominant morphism induced from the inclusion  $R[\bar{\sigma}] \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X)$ . In particular,  $\text{Im } \theta$  contains a non-empty Zariski open subset  $U$  of  $Z$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  be closed points such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then  $r(x_1) = r(x_2)$ , for all  $r \in R$  and also  $\bar{\sigma}(x_1) = \bar{\sigma}(x_2)$ . This forces  $\theta(x_1) = \theta(x_2)$ , in particular,  $\hat{f}|_U$  is injective on closed points of  $U$ .

Since  $\hat{f}$  is dominant, by cutting down  $U$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\hat{f}|_U : U \rightarrow Y$  is a bijection, for some open subset  $V \subset Y$ . Now since  $Y$  is (by assumption) normal and  $Z$  is irreducible, by Zariski's main theorem (cf. [Mum, Page 288, I. Original form]),  $\hat{f}|_U : U \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism, and hence  $\sigma$  is regular on  $V$ . Now we give two different proofs for the remaining part:

*First proof.* Assume, if possible, that  $\sigma|_V$  does not extend to a regular function on the whole of  $Y$ . Then, by [B, Lemma 18.3, AG], there exists a point  $y_0 \in Y$  and a regular function  $h$  on a Zariski neighborhood  $W$  of  $y_0$  such that  $h(y_0) = 0$  and  $h\sigma = 1$  on  $W \cap V$ . But then  $\bar{h}\bar{\sigma} = 1$  on  $f^{-1}(W \cap V)$  (where  $\bar{h} := h \circ f$ ) and hence,  $\bar{\sigma}$  being regular on the whole of  $X$ ,  $\bar{h}\bar{\sigma} = 1$  on  $f^{-1}(W)$ . Taking  $\bar{y}_0 \in f^{-1}(y_0)$  ( $f$  is, by assumption, surjective), we get  $\bar{h}(\bar{y}_0)\bar{\sigma}(\bar{y}_0) = 0$ . This contradiction shows that  $\sigma|_V$  does extend to some regular function (say  $\sigma'$ ) on the whole of  $Y$ . Hence  $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}'$ , in particular, by the surjectivity of  $f$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma'$ . This proves the proposition.

*Second proof.* Let us define a subset  $U_0 \subset Z$  by

$$U_0 = \{x \in Z : \dim e(x) = 0\},$$

where  $e(x)$  is the union of all the irreducible components of  $\hat{f}^{-1}(\hat{f}(x))$  containing  $x$ . Then, by Chevalley's theorem,  $U_0$  is open (possibly empty) in  $Z$  and the map  $\hat{f}|_{U_0} : U_0 \rightarrow Y$  has all its fibers finite. But since  $\hat{f}$  is birational,  $U_0$  is non-empty. Further, by Zariski's main

theorem,  $V_0 := \hat{f}(U_0)$  is open in  $Y$  and the map  $\hat{f}|_{U_0} : U_0 \rightarrow V_0$  is an isomorphism. This gives that  $\sigma|_{V_0}$  is a regular function. Consider the surjective map

$$\hat{f} : Z \setminus \hat{f}^{-1}(V_0) \rightarrow Y \setminus V_0.$$

Then, by the definition of  $V_0$ , every fiber of the above map has at least one irreducible component of  $\dim \geq 1$  (actually of  $\dim$  exactly 1). Hence

$$\dim(Y \setminus V_0) \leq \dim(Z \setminus \hat{f}^{-1}(V_0)) - 1 \leq \dim Y - 2$$

(since  $\hat{f} : Z \rightarrow Y$  is birational and  $Z$  is irreducible), i.e.,  $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus V_0) \geq 2$ . But since  $Y$  is assumed to be normal, the regular function  $\sigma|_{V_0}$  admits a regular extension  $\sigma'$  to the whole of  $Y$ . Now by the same argument as in the first proof, we get that  $\sigma = \sigma'$  on the whole of  $Y$ . This completes the second proof as well. ■

(4.2) *Remark.* Even though we do not need, the same result as above is true in the analytic category if the underlying field  $k$  is taken to be  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## 5. Identification of the determinant bundle.

In this section we consider the triple  $T = (G, C, p)$ , where  $G$  is a connected, simply-connected, simple algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $C$  is a smooth projective curve over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $p$  is any point of  $C$ . We follow the notation as in §1.1.

(5.1) Recall from §2.8 that  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  is a parameter space for an algebraic family  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ . Let us fix a (finite dimensional) representation  $V$  of  $G$ . In particular, we can talk of the determinant line bundle  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$  (cf. §3.8). Also recall the definition of the fundamental homogeneous line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_\phi)$  on  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$  from §2.2. Our aim in this section is to determine the line bundle  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$  in terms of  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_\phi)$ . We begin with the following preparation.

Let  $\theta$  be the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Define the following Lie subalgebra  $sl_2(\theta)$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ :

$$(1) \dots \quad sl_2(\theta) := \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}\theta^\vee \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\theta,$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$  is the  $\theta$ -th root space, and  $\theta^\vee$  is the corresponding coroot. Clearly  $sl_2(\theta) \approx sl_2$  as Lie algebras. Decompose

$$(2) \dots \quad V = \bigoplus_i V_i,$$

as a direct sum of irreducible  $sl_2(\theta)$ -modules  $V_i$  of  $\dim m_i$ . Now we define

$$(3) \dots \quad m_V = \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3}, \quad \text{where we set } \binom{2}{3} = 0.$$

We give an expression for  $m_V$  in the following lemma. Write the formal character

$$(4) \dots \quad ch V = \sum_\lambda n_\lambda e^\lambda.$$

(5.2) LEMMA.

$$(1) \dots m_V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} \langle \lambda, \theta^V \rangle^2.$$

In particular, for the adjoint representation  $ad$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$(2) \dots m_{ad} = 2(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^V \rangle),$$

where  $\rho$  as usual is the half sum of the positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Similarly, for the standard  $n$ -dim. representation  $V^n$  of  $sl_n$ ,  $m_{V^n} = 1$ .

PROOF: It suffices to show that, for the irreducible representation  $W(m)$  (of  $\dim m+1$ ) of  $sl_2$

$$(3) \dots \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^m \langle m\rho_1 - n\alpha, H \rangle^2 = \binom{m+2}{3},$$

where  $\alpha$  is the unique positive root of  $sl_2$ ,  $H$  the corresponding coroot and  $\rho_1 := \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ . Now the left side of (3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{n=0}^m \left( \frac{n}{2} - n \right)^2 = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} k^2 = \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6}, \text{ if } m = 2k_0 \text{ is even, and} \\ & = 2 \sum_{n=0}^m \left( k_0 - \frac{1}{2} - n \right)^2, \text{ if } m = 2k_0 - 1 \text{ is odd} \\ & = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \left( k - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \left( 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} k^2 \right) + k_0 - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} k \\ & = \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

So in either case the left side of (3) =  $\frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6} = \binom{m+2}{3}$ . This proves the first part of the lemma.

For the assertion regarding the adjoint representation, we have

$$ch(ad) = \dim \mathfrak{h} \cdot e^0 + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} (e^{\beta} + e^{-\beta}).$$

$$\text{So } m_{ad} = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \langle \beta, \theta^V \rangle^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = 4 + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \theta} \langle \beta, \theta^V \rangle^2, \text{ since } \langle \beta, \theta^V \rangle = 0 \text{ or } 1, \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \theta \\ & = 4 + \langle 2\rho - \theta, \theta^V \rangle^2 \\ & = 2(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^V \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion about  $m_{V^n}$  is easy to verify. ■

(5.3) Remark. The number  $(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^V \rangle)$  is called the *dual Coxeter number* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Its value is given as below.

Type of $\mathfrak{g}$	dual Coxeter number
$A_\ell$	$\ell + 1$
$B_\ell$	$2\ell - 1$
$C_\ell$	$\ell + 1$
$D_\ell$	$2\ell - 2$
$E_6$	12
$E_7$	18
$E_8$	30
$G_2$	4
$F_4$	9

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

(5.4) THEOREM. With the notation as in §5.1

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) \simeq \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o),$$

for any finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G$ , where the number  $m_V$  is defined by (3) of §5.1.

PROOF: By Proposition (2.3), there exists an integer  $m$  such that

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) \simeq \mathcal{L}(m \chi_o) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}).$$

We want to prove that  $m = m_V$ . Set  $\mathcal{U}_o := \mathcal{U}(V)|_{C \times X_o}$  as the family restricted to the Schubert variety  $X_o := X_{*o}$  (cf. proof of Proposition 2.3). Denote by  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) the canonical generator of  $H^2(X_o, \mathbb{Z})$  (resp.  $H^2(C, \mathbb{Z})$ ). Then it suffices to show that  $\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o \simeq \mathcal{L}_{*o}(m_V \chi_o)$ , which is equivalent to showing that the first Chern class

$$(1) \dots c_1(\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o) = m_V \alpha :$$

From the definition of the determinant bundle we have

$$(2) \dots c_1(\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o) = -c_1(\pi_{2*} \mathcal{U}_o),$$

where  $\pi_2$  is the projection  $C \times X_o \rightarrow X_o$ , and the notation  $\pi_{2*}$  is as in [F, Chapter 9].

Since  $\mathcal{U}_o|_{C \times X_o}$  as well as  $\mathcal{U}_o|_{C \times 1}$  are trivial (where 1 is the base point of  $X_o$ ), we get

$$(3) \dots c_1(\mathcal{U}_o) = 0.$$

Let  $\tilde{\alpha}$  (resp.  $\tilde{\beta}$ ) be the pull back of  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) under  $\pi_2$  (resp.  $\pi_1$ ). Now write

$$(4) \dots c_2(\mathcal{U}_o) = l \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta}, \text{ for some (unique) } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let  $T_{\pi_2}$  be the relative tangent bundle along the fibers of  $\pi_2$ . Let us denote by  $c_1$  (resp.  $c_2$ ) the first (resp. second) Chern class of  $\mathcal{U}_o$ . By the Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch theorem [F, §9.1] applied to the (proper) map  $\pi_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\pi_{2*}\mathcal{U}_o) &= \pi_{2*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{U}_o)\text{td}(T_{\pi_2})) \\ &= \pi_{2*}[(\text{rk } \mathcal{U}_o + c_1 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2))(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_{\pi_2}))] \\ &= \pi_{2*}[(\text{rk } \mathcal{U}_o - c_2)(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_{\pi_2}))], \text{ by (3),} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{ch}$  denotes the Chern character and  $\text{td}$  denotes the Todd genus. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\pi_{2*}\mathcal{U}_o) &= \pi_{2*}(-c_2(\mathcal{U}_o)) \\ &= \pi_{2*}(-l\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}), \text{ by (4)} \\ (5) \dots &= -l\alpha, \text{ since } \pi_{2*}(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}) = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So to prove the theorem, by (1),(2) and (5), we need to show that  $l = m_V$ , where  $l$  is given by (4):

It is easy to see (from its definition) that topologically the bundle  $\mathcal{U}_o$  is pull back of the bundle  $\mathcal{U}'_o$  (Where  $\mathcal{U}'_o$  is the same as  $\mathcal{U}_o$  for  $C = \mathbf{P}^1$ ) on  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times X_o$  via the map

$$C \times X_o \xrightarrow{\delta \times \text{Id}} \mathbf{P}^1 \times X_o,$$

where  $\delta : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$  pinches all the points outside a small open disc around  $p$  to a point. Of course the map  $\delta$  is of degree 1, so the cohomology generator  $\alpha$  pulls back to the generator  $\beta$ . Hence it suffices to compute the second Chern class of the bundle  $\mathcal{U}'_o$  on  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times X_o$ :

Choose  $X_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$  (where  $\theta$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) such that  $\langle X_\theta, -\omega X_\theta \rangle = 1$ , where  $\omega$  is the Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , normalized so that  $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ . Set  $Y_\theta := -\omega(X_\theta) \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta}$ . Define a Lie algebra homomorphism  $: sl_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} X &\mapsto t \otimes Y_\theta \\ Y &\mapsto t^{-1} \otimes X_\theta \\ H &\mapsto -1 \otimes \theta^\vee, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{X, Y, H\}$  is the standard basis of  $sl_2$ . The corresponding group homomorphism (choosing a local parameter  $t$  around  $p$ )  $\eta : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow G$  induces a biregular isomorphism  $\bar{\eta} : \mathbf{P}^1 \approx SL_2(\mathbb{C})/B_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_o$ , where  $B_1$  is the standard Borel subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of upper triangular matrices. In what follows we will identify  $X_o$  with  $\mathbf{P}^1$  under  $\bar{\eta}$ . The representation  $V$  of  $G$  on restriction, under the decomposition(2) of §5.1, gives rise to a continuous group homomorphism

$$\psi : SU_2(\theta) \rightarrow \prod_i (\text{Aut } V_i),$$

where  $SU_2(\theta)$  is the standard compact form (induced from the involution  $\omega$ ) of the group  $SL_2(\theta)$  (with Lie algebra  $sl_2(\theta)$ ).

There is a principal  $SU_2$ -bundle  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $S^4$  (in the topological category) got by the clutching construction from the identity map  $: S^3 \approx SU_2 \rightarrow SU_2$ . In particular, we obtain the vector bundle  $\mathcal{W}(\psi) \rightarrow S^4$  associated to the principal bundle  $\mathcal{W}$  via the representation  $\psi$ , which breaks up as a direct sum of subbundles  $\mathcal{W}_i(\psi)$  (got from the representations  $V_i$ ).

We further choose a degree 1 continuous map  $\nu : \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow S^4$ . We claim that the vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}'_o$  on  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  is isomorphic (in the topological category) with the pull back  $\nu^*(\mathcal{W}(\psi))$ :

Define a map  $\Phi : (SU_2/D) \times S^1 \rightarrow SU_2$  by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ mod } D, t \right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d & ct^{-1} \\ bt & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

for  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SU_2$  and  $t \in S^1$ ; where  $D$  is the diagonal subgroup of  $SU_2$ . It is easy to see that the principal  $SU_2$ -bundle  $\nu^*(\mathcal{W})$  on  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  is isomorphic with the principal  $SU_2$ -bundle obtained by the clutching construction from the map  $\Phi$  (by covering  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 = S^2 \times S^2 = S^2 \times H^+ \cup S^2 \times H^-$ , where  $H^+$  and  $H^-$  are resp. the upper and lower closed hemispheres). By composing  $\Phi$  with the isomorphism:  $SU_2 \rightarrow SU_2(\theta)$  (induced from the Lie algebra homomorphism:  $sl_2 \rightarrow sl_2(\theta)$  taking  $X \mapsto X_\theta, Y \mapsto Y_\theta$ , and  $H \mapsto \theta^\vee$ ), and using the isomorphism  $\bar{\eta}$  together with the definition of the vector bundle  $\mathcal{U}_o$  we get the assertion that  $\mathcal{U}'_o \approx \nu^*(\mathcal{W}(\psi))$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\mathcal{U}'_o) &= \nu^*(c_2(\mathcal{W}(\psi))) = \nu^* \sum_i c_2(\mathcal{W}_i(\psi)) \\ &= \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3} \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}, \text{ by the following lemma (since } \nu \text{ is a map of degree 1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $l = \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3} = m_V$ , proving the theorem modulo the next lemma. ■

(5.5) LEMMA. Let  $W(m)$  be the  $(m+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $SU_2$  and let  $\mathcal{W}(m)$  be the vector bundle on  $S^4$  associated to the principal  $SU_2$ -bundle  $\mathcal{W}$  on  $S^4$  (defined in the proof of Theorem 5.4) by the representation  $W(m)$  of  $SU_2$ . Then

$$(1) \dots \quad c_2(\mathcal{W}(m)) = \binom{m+2}{3} \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is the fundamental cohomology generator of  $H^4(S^4, \mathbb{Z})$ .

PROOF: By the Clebsch -Gordan theorem (cf.[Hu, Page 126]), we have the following decomposition as  $SU_2$ -modules:

$$W(m) \otimes W(1) = W(m+1) \oplus W(m-1), \text{ for any } m \geq 1.$$

In particular, the Chern character

$$(2) \dots \quad \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m) \cdot \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(1) = \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m+1) + \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m-1).$$

Assume, by induction, that (1) is true for all  $l \leq m$ . (The validity of (1) for  $l = 1$  is trivial to see.) Then by (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m+1) &= \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m) \cdot \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(1) - \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m-1) \\ &= ((m+1) \cdot 1 - c_2\mathcal{W}(m))(2 \cdot 1 - c_2\mathcal{W}(1)) \\ &\quad - (m \cdot 1 - c_2\mathcal{W}(m-1)), \text{ since } c_1\mathcal{W}(l) = 0 \text{ as it is a } SU_2\text{-bundle.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by induction

$$(3) \dots \quad \text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m+1) = \left( (m+1) \cdot 1 - \binom{m+2}{3} \Omega \right) (2 \cdot 1 - \Omega) - \left( m \cdot 1 - \binom{m+1}{3} \Omega \right).$$

Writing  $\text{ch}\mathcal{W}(m+1) = (m+2) \cdot 1 - c_2\mathcal{W}(m+1)$ , and equating the coefficients from (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_2\mathcal{W}(m+1) &= \left( 2 \binom{m+2}{3} + m+1 - \binom{m+1}{3} \right) \Omega \\ &= \binom{m+3}{3} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and hence proves the lemma. ■

## 6. Statement of the main theorem and its proof.

Let the triple  $\mathcal{T} = (G, C, p)$  be as in the beginning of section 5.

(6.1) *Definitions.* Recall the definition of the homogeneous line bundle  $\mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)$  on  $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{G}}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$  (for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) from §2.2. Define, for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (cf. [Ku<sub>1</sub>, §3.8])

$$(1) \dots \quad H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = \text{Inv. lt.}_{\mathfrak{w} \in \tilde{W}/W} H^p(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}}(m\chi_o)).$$

Since  $\mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)$  is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -equivariant line bundle,  $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$  is canonically a  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module. This module is determined in [Ku<sub>1</sub>] (and also in [M]). We summarize the results :

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \dots & \quad H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = 0, \text{ if } p > 0 \text{ and } m \geq 0, \\ (3) \dots & \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = 0, \text{ if } m < 0, \text{ and} \\ (4) \dots & \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) \simeq V(m\chi_o)^* \text{ for } m \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V(m\chi_o)$  is the irreducible highest weight  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module with highest weight  $m\chi_o$ , and  $V(m\chi_o)^*$  denotes its full vector space dual. Recall from Lemma 2.7, that  $\Gamma$  is canonically imbedded in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ . By  $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))^\Gamma$  we mean the  $\Gamma$ -invariants in  $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$ .

Recall the definition of the map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_o$  from §1.4, and the family  $\mathcal{U}$  parametrized by  $X$  from Proposition (2.8). Now define

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &= \{g\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} : \varphi(g) \text{ is semistable}\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \mathcal{U}|_{C \times x} \text{ is semistable}\}. \end{aligned}$$

and set (for any  $\mathfrak{w} \in \tilde{W}/W$ )

$$X_{\mathfrak{w}}^* = X^* \cap X_{\mathfrak{w}}.$$

Then by the same proof as [R<sub>2</sub>, Proposition (4.1)],  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*$  is a Zariski open (and non-empty, since  $1 \in X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*$ ) subset of  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ , in particular,  $X^*$  is a Zariski open subset of  $X$ . Now define

$$(5) \dots \quad H^p(X^*, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = \text{Inv. lt.}_{\mathfrak{w} \in \tilde{W}/W} H^p(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*, \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{w}}(m\chi_o)).$$

Clearly  $\Gamma$  keeps  $X^*$  stable, in particular  $\Gamma$  acts on the cohomology  $H^p(X^*, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$ , and we can talk of the  $\Gamma$ -invariants  $H^p(X^*, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))^\Gamma$ .

The family  $\mathcal{U}|_{X^*}$  yields a morphism  $\psi : X^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ , which maps any  $x \in X^*$  to the  $S$ -equivalence class of the semistable bundle  $\mathcal{U}_x$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the moduli space of semistable  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  (cf. Theorem 3.4). (By a morphism  $X^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  we mean a map which is a morphism restricted to any  $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*$ .)

(6.2) LEMMA. There exists a  $\mathfrak{v}_o \in \tilde{W}/W$  such that

$$\psi(X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}^*) = \mathfrak{M}.$$

PROOF: Since  $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{w}} X_{\mathfrak{w}}^* = \mathcal{G}^*/\mathcal{P}$  and  $\psi(\mathcal{G}^*/\mathcal{P}) = \mathfrak{M}$ , we get  $\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{w}} \psi(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*)$ . But by a result of Chevalley (cf. [B, Chapter AG, Corollary 10.2])  $\psi(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*)$  is a finite union of locally closed subvarieties  $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}}^i\}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , hence  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a countable union  $\bigcup \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}}^i$  of locally closed subvarieties. But then, by a Baire category argument,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a certain finite union of (locally closed) subvarieties  $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}_1}^1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}_n}^n\}$ . Now choosing a  $\mathfrak{v}_o \in \tilde{W}/W$  such that  $\mathfrak{v}_o \geq \mathfrak{w}_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we get that  $\mathfrak{M} = \psi(X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}^*)$ . This proves the lemma. ■

(6.3) COROLLARY. The moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a unirational variety.

PROOF: Since  $X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}^*$  is an open subset of  $X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}$  and  $X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}$  is a rational variety (by the Bruhat decomposition, cf. §2.1), the corollary follows from the above lemma (6.2). ■

(6.4) PROPOSITION. For any  $d \geq 0$  and any finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G$ , the canonical map

$$\psi^* : H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d})^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism, where  $\Theta(V)$  is the theta bundle on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  associated to the representation  $V$  (cf. §3.8), and the vector space on the right denotes the space of  $\Gamma$ -invariants under its natural action on the line bundle  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))$ . (Since the map  $\psi : X^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, with trivial action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ , the pull back bundle  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))$  has a natural  $\Gamma$ -action.)

PROOF: Using Lemma (6.2) we see that the map  $\psi^*$  is injective. Now the second part of Proposition (2.8), and Proposition (3.7) show that if  $x$  and  $y$  are two points in  $X^*$  with

$\mathcal{U}_y \simeq \text{gr}(\mathcal{U}_x)$ , then  $y$  belongs to the Zariski closure of the  $\Gamma$ -orbit of  $x$ . In particular, two points in  $X^*$  are in the same fiber of  $\psi$  if and only if the closures of their  $\Gamma$ -orbits intersect. This, in turn, shows that if  $\sigma$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant regular section of  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$  on  $X^*$ , it is induced from a set theoretic section  $\underline{\sigma}$  of  $\Theta(V)^{\otimes d}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ . That  $\underline{\sigma}$  is regular, is seen by taking all those Schubert varieties  $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$  such that  $\psi(X_{\mathfrak{m}}^*) = \mathfrak{M}$  (cf. Lemma 6.2) and applying Proposition (4.1) to the morphism  $\psi|_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}^*} : X_{\mathfrak{m}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ . ■

By the functorial property of the theta bundle,  $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))|_{X^*}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))$ , since  $\psi$  is defined using the restriction of the family  $\mathcal{U}(V)$  to  $X^*$  (cf. §3.8). Moreover, as observed in §3.8, the line bundles  $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))$  and  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$  coincide on the whole of  $X$ .

(6.5) PROPOSITION. Any  $\Gamma$ -invariant (regular) section of  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$  on  $X^*$  extends uniquely to a regular section of  $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}$  on  $X$ .

This proposition will be proved in the next section.

We now state and prove our main theorem, assuming the validity of Proposition (6.5).

(6.6) THEOREM. Let the triple  $\mathfrak{T} = (G, C, p)$  be as in the beginning of section 5 and let  $V$  be a finite dimensional representation of  $G$ . Then, for any  $d \geq 0$ ,

$$H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^{\Gamma},$$

where the latter space of  $\Gamma$ -invariants is defined in §6.1, the integer  $m_V$  is the same as in Theorem (5.4), and the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  and the theta bundle  $\Theta(V)$  are as in Proposition (6.4).

In particular,  $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^{\Gamma}$  is finite dimensional.

(Observe that by (4) of §6.1,  $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^{\Gamma}$  is isomorphic with the space of  $\Gamma$ -invariants in the dual space  $V(dm_V \chi_o)^*$ .)

PROOF: We first begin with some simple observations:

(a) For any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$ , the canonical restriction map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}|_{X^*})$  is injective: This is seen by restricting any section to each Schubert variety  $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ , and observing that the trivial  $G$ -bundle being semistable  $X_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$  is non-empty (since the base point 1 corresponds to the trivial bundle), and open (and hence dense) in the irreducible variety  $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .

(b) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant line bundle on  $X$  (with respect to the standard action of  $\Gamma$  on  $X$ ) and  $\sigma$  is a regular section of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that its restriction to  $X^*$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant, then  $\sigma$  itself is  $\Gamma$ -invariant: In fact, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the section  $\gamma^*(\sigma) - \sigma$  vanishes on  $X^*$  (and hence on the whole of  $X$ ).

(c) Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}'$  and  $\mathcal{L}''$  are two  $\Gamma$ -equivariant line bundles on  $X^*$ . Then any biregular isomorphism of line bundles  $\xi : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}''$  (inducing the identity on the base) in fact is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. In particular,  $\xi$  induces an isomorphism of the corresponding spaces of  $\Gamma$ -invariant sections:

Define a map  $\epsilon : \Gamma \times X^* \rightarrow C^*$  by

$$\epsilon(\gamma, x) = L_{\gamma^{-1}} \xi_{\gamma x} L_{\gamma} (\xi_x)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_C(\mathcal{L}_x'') = C^*,$$

for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in X^*$ , where  $L_{\gamma}$  is the action of  $\gamma$  on the appropriate line bundles, and  $\xi_x$  denotes the restriction of  $\xi$  to the fiber over  $x \in X^*$ . It is easy to see that  $\epsilon$  is a regular map and of course  $\epsilon(1, x) = 1$ , for all  $x \in X^*$ . In particular, by Corollary (2.6),  $\epsilon(\gamma, x) = 1$ , for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This proves the assertion (c).

We now consider  $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))|_{X^*}^{\otimes d}$  as a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant line bundle by transporting the natural  $\Gamma$ -action on  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$  (cf. Proposition 6.4), via the canonical identification

$$(1) \dots \quad \text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V)|_{X^*} \simeq \psi^*(\Theta(V)).$$

Choose an isomorphism of line bundles on  $X$

$$\xi : (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V},$$

which exists by Theorem (5.4). Recall from §6.1 that  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V}$  is a  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -equivariant line bundle, in particular, by Lemma (2.7), it is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant line bundle on  $X$ . Hence by (c) above, the map  $\xi_o := \xi|_{X^*}$  is automatically  $\Gamma$ -equivariant. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, \text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V)^{\otimes d}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(X^*, \text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V)^{\otimes d}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}_o} & H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V}) \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\xi}$  (resp.  $\bar{\xi}_o$ ) is induced from  $\xi$  (resp.  $\xi_o$ ), and the vertical maps are the canonical restriction maps. Observe that  $\bar{\xi}_o$  is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant (since  $\xi_o$  is so).

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) &\simeq H^0(X^*, \text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V)^{\otimes d})^{\Gamma} \quad (\text{by (1) and Proposition 6.4}) \\ &\simeq H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V})^{\Gamma} \quad (\text{under } \bar{\xi}_o). \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of the theorem by showing that the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V})^{\Gamma} \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V})^{\Gamma}$$

is an isomorphism:

It suffices to show that any  $\Gamma$ -invariant section  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V}$  over  $X^*$  extends to a section over  $X$ , for then the extension will automatically be  $\Gamma$ -invariant by (b) and unique by (a). By the above commutative diagram, this is equivalent to showing that any  $\Gamma$ -invariant section  $\sigma_o$  of  $\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V)^{\otimes d}$  over  $X^*$  extends to the whole of  $X$ . But this is the content of Proposition (6.5), thereby completing the proof of the theorem. ■

As an immediate corollary of the above theorem, we obtain the following result.

(6.7) COROLLARY. Let the notation and assumptions be as in the above theorem. Then the space of covariants  $V(dm_V \chi_0)/(U^+(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]).V(dm_V \chi_0))$  is finite dimensional, where  $U^+$  denotes the augmentation ideal of the universal enveloping algebra. ■

## 7. Geometric invariant theory- Proof of Proposition (6.5).

(7.1) LEMMA. Let  $X$  be an irreducible normal variety,  $U \subset X$  a non-empty open subset and  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $X$ . Then any element of  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^n)$  which is integral over  $\bigoplus_n H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$  belongs to  $\bigoplus_n H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ .

PROOF: Since the rings in question are graded, it suffices to prove the lemma only for homogeneous elements. Let  $b \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$  be integral over  $\bigoplus_n H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ , i.e.,  $b$  satisfies a relation  $b^m + a_1 b^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0$  with  $a_i \in \bigoplus_n H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ . Let  $D$  be a prime divisor in  $X \setminus U$  and let  $b$  have a pole of order  $\ell \geq 0$  along  $D$ . Then the order of the pole of  $b^m$  along  $D$  is of course  $\ell m$  and that of  $a_i b^{m-i}$  is  $\leq \ell(m-1)$  for every  $i \geq 1$ . But since  $b^m + a_1 b^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0$  is by assumption regular along  $D$ , we are forced to have  $\ell = 0$ , i.e.,  $b$  is regular along  $D$ . Hence  $b \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$ . ■

We state now a general result on the extendability of invariant sections for actions of reductive groups.

Let a reductive group  $H$  operate on a projective scheme  $Q$  such that it also acts equivariantly as bundle automorphisms on an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Q$ . Let  $Q^*$  denote the open subset of  $Q$  of semistable points (with respect to the  $H$ -equivariant ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ ) for the action of  $H$ . Recall that  $Q^* = \{x \in Q : \exists \sigma \in H^0(Q, \mathcal{L}^N)^H \text{ for some } N \geq 1 \text{ such that } \sigma(x) \neq 0\}$ . We then have the following proposition (cf. [NRa], [Se]).

(7.2) PROPOSITION. Let  $U \supset Q^*$  be a  $H$ -invariant open subset of  $Q$ , which (i.e.  $U$ ) is a normal irreducible variety. Then, for  $N \geq 1$ , any  $H$ -invariant section of  $\mathcal{L}^N$  on  $Q^*$  can be extended to a  $H$ -invariant section of  $\mathcal{L}^N$  on  $U$ .

PROOF: We indicate the proof when  $Q$  is normal and  $U = Q$ . (The general case can be reduced to this case by the arguments as in [NRa].) Let  $\sigma \in H^0(Q^*, \mathcal{L}^N)^H$ . If  $D$  is a divisor in  $Q \setminus Q^*$  on which  $\sigma$  has a pole, then we can find a  $\tau \in H^0(Q, \mathcal{L}^{N'})^H$  (for some  $N' \gg 0$ ) such that  $\sigma^{N_1} \tau^{N_2}$  will not vanish identically on  $D$  for suitable  $N_1, N_2 > 0$ . This is a contradiction since  $D \subset Q \setminus Q^*$ , in particular, any invariant section vanishes on  $D$ . ■

(7.3) G.I.T. and moduli of vector bundles. We recall the construction of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on  $C$  using G.I.T.. Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $\delta$  be integers. For the fixed point  $p \in C$  and for a coherent sheaf  $F$  on  $C$ , put  $F(m) = F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{O}(mp)$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_C$  is the structure sheaf of  $C$ . We can choose an integer  $m_0 = m_0(r, \delta)$  such that for any  $m \geq m_0$  and any semistable vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  and degree  $\delta$  on  $C$ , we have  $H^1(E(m)) = 0$  and  $E(m)$  is generated by its global sections. Let  $q = \dim H^0(E(m)) = \delta + r(m+1-g)$  and consider the Grothendieck quot scheme  $Q$  consisting of coherent sheaves on  $C$  which are quotients of  $C^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}$  with Hilbert polynomial (in the indeterminate  $v$ )  $rv + q$  (where

$g$  is the genus of  $C$ ). The group  $GL(q, \mathbb{C})$  operates canonically on  $Q$  and the action on  $C \times Q$  (with the trivial action on  $C$ ) lifts to an action of the tautological sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $C \times Q$ .

We denote by  $R_0$  the  $GL(q)$ -invariant open subset of  $Q$  consisting of those  $x \in Q$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_x = \mathcal{E}|_{C \times x}$  is locally free and such that the following canonical map is an isomorphism:

$$C^q = H^0(C^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{E}_x).$$

Then  $R_0$  is smooth and irreducible. We still denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the restriction of the family to  $R_0$ .

We obtain a  $GL(q)$ -linearized ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Q$  by imbedding  $Q$  in a suitable Grassmannian as follows: We choose an integer  $k_0 = k_0(m)$  such that for  $k \geq k_0$  the composite map

$$C^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\mathcal{O}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}_x) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\mathcal{O}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E}_x(k))$$

is surjective for all  $x \in Q$ , and such that the morphism  $Q \rightarrow Grass$  (taking  $x \mapsto H^0(\mathcal{E}_x(k))$ ) is a closed imbedding, where  $\mathcal{O}(k) := \mathcal{O}(kp)$  and  $Grass$  denotes the Grassmannian of  $\delta + 1 - g + r(m+k)$  dimensional quotient spaces of  $C^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\mathcal{O}(k))$ . We define the ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Q$  to be the pullback of the natural ample line bundle on  $Grass$ , namely, the determinant of the universal quotient bundle on  $Grass$ . The action of  $GL(q)$  clearly lifts to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

There exists a positive integer  $m'_0$  with  $m'_0 \geq m_0$  such that for any integer  $m \geq m'_0$  there is a positive integer  $k'_0 = k'_0(m) \geq k_0(m)$  with the property that the following conditions are equivalent (for any  $k \geq k'_0$ ):

- (1) A point  $x \in Q$  is semistable in the sense of G.I.T. for the  $SL(q)$ -linearized bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- (2)  $x \in R_0$  (in particular, the sheaf  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is locally free) and the bundle  $\mathcal{E}_x$  is a semistable vector bundle on  $C$ .

We denote by  $R_0^*$ , by abuse of notation, the set of semistable points (in the sense of G.I.T.) in  $Q$ . By the above equivalent conditions, we have  $R_0^* \subset R_0$ . Now the G.I.T. quotient  $R_0^*/GL(q)$  yields the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_0$  of vector bundles of rank  $r$  and degree  $\delta$ .

(For all this, see [NRa, Appendix A] or [Le].)

(7.4) We note that we can arrange the above construction in such a way that any bounded family of vector bundles of rank  $r$  and degree  $\delta$  occurs in  $R_0$ . (This observation will be crucial for us.) More precisely, let  $\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow C \times T_0$  be a family of vector bundles of rank  $r$  and degree  $\delta$  (parametrized by a variety  $T_0$ ). We can find an integer  $m_{T_0}$  such that for  $m \geq m_{T_0}$ , we have:

- (1)  $R^1 p_{T_0*}(\mathcal{V}_0(m)) = 0$ .
- (2)  $pr_{0*}(\mathcal{V}_0(m))$  is a vector bundle on  $T_0$  (say of rank  $q$ ).
- (3) The canonical map  $p_{T_0}^* p_{T_0*}(\mathcal{V}_0(m)) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0(m)$  is surjective,

where  $p_{T_0} : C \times T_0 \rightarrow T_0$  is the projection on the second factor,  $\mathcal{V}_0(m) := \mathcal{V}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times T_0}} p_C^* \mathcal{O}(m)$ , and  $p_C : C \times T_0 \rightarrow C$  is the projection on the first factor.



Choose  $m > \max(m_{T_0}, m'_0)$ , where  $m'_0$  is as in §7.3. Let  $P_0$  be the frame bundle of  $\pi_{T_0}^*(\mathcal{V}_0(m))$  with the projection  $\pi_0 : P_0 \rightarrow T_0$ . Then there exists a canonical  $GL(q)$ -equivariant morphism  $\varphi_0 : P_0 \rightarrow R_0$  such that the families  $\pi_0^*(\mathcal{V}_0)$  and  $\varphi_0^*(\mathcal{E}(-m))$  are isomorphic, where the family  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $R_0$  is as in §7.3,  $\mathcal{E}(-m) := \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times R_0}} \overline{p}_C^* \mathcal{O}(-m)$  and  $\overline{p}_C : C \times R_0 \rightarrow C$  is the projection on the first factor.

(7.5) LEMMA. Suppose that  $\delta = 0$ . Let  $\Theta(\mathcal{F})$  be the theta bundle (on  $R_0$ ) of the family  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{E}(-m)$  (cf. §3.8). Then there exist positive integers  $e$  and  $f$  such that

$$\Theta(\mathcal{F})^{\otimes e} = (\mathcal{L}|_{R_0})^{\otimes f},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the ample line bundle on  $Q$  defined in §7.3.

PROOF: For any integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , we have

$$\text{Det } \mathcal{F}(\ell) = (\text{Det } \mathcal{F}) \otimes (\det(\mathcal{F}|_{p \times R_0}))^{-\ell},$$

as is seen from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\ell) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times R_0}} \overline{p}_C^*(\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}_p^\ell) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_p \subset \mathcal{O}$  is the sheaf of functions vanishing at  $p$ . Observing that  $\mathcal{L}|_{R_0}^{-1} \simeq \text{Det } \mathcal{F}(k+m)$  (where  $m$  and  $k$  are as in §7.3) and  $\text{Det } \mathcal{F}(m)$  is trivial, we see that  $\mathcal{L}|_{R_0} \simeq (\det(\mathcal{F}|_{p \times R_0}))^k$  and  $\Theta(\mathcal{F}) \simeq (\det(\mathcal{F}|_{p \times R_0}))^{m+1-g}$ . (By choosing  $m$  large enough in §7.3, we may assume that  $m+1-g > 0$ .) This proves the lemma. (Compare [NRa, Proof of Theorem I(B)].) ■

(7.6) Remark. One knows that  $\Theta(\mathcal{F})|_{R_0^*}$  descends to a line bundle  $\Theta$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  ([DN], [NRa, Proof of Theorem I(A)]). By G.I.T. some power of  $\mathcal{L}|_{R_0^*}$  descends as an ample line bundle on  $\mathfrak{M}_0$ . Using Lemma (7.5), we see that  $\Theta$  is an ample line bundle on  $\mathfrak{M}_0$ .

(7.7) PROPOSITION. Let  $f_0 : R_0^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0 = R_0^*/GL(q)$  be the canonical map. Let  $\sigma$  be a section of  $\Theta^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  (for any  $\ell \geq 1$ ). Then the section  $f_0^*(\sigma)$  over  $R_0^*$  of the line bundle  $f_0^*(\Theta^{\otimes \ell}) \simeq (\Theta\mathcal{F})^{\otimes \ell}$  extends uniquely as a  $GL(q)$ -invariant section of  $(\Theta\mathcal{F})^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $R_0$ , where, as in Lemma (7.5),  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(-m)$ .

PROOF: By Proposition (7.2), any  $GL(q)$ -invariant section of any positive power of  $\mathcal{L}$  over  $R_0^*$  extends to  $R_0$ , as  $R_0$  is smooth. Thus, by Lemma (7.5), some power of  $f_0^*(\sigma)$  extends to  $R_0$ . Hence, by Lemma (7.1),  $f_0^*(\sigma)$  itself extends. Observe that  $R_0^* \neq \emptyset$ , as the trivial  $G$ -bundle is semistable. Since  $R_0$  is irreducible, the extension is unique and invariant. ■

(7.8) Moduli of principal  $G$ -bundles. Let  $T$  be a variety parametrizing a family  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $G$ -bundles on  $C$ . Then there exists a smooth quasi-projective irreducible variety  $R$  with an action of  $GL(N)$  (for some  $N$ ), a family  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $G$ -bundles on  $C$  parametrized by  $R$  and a lift of the  $GL(N)$ -action to  $\mathcal{W}$  (as bundle automorphisms) such that the following holds:

- I) Let  $R^* := \{x \in R : \mathcal{W}_x = \mathcal{W}|_{C \times x} \text{ is semistable } G\text{-bundle}\}$  be the  $GL(N)$ -invariant open subset of  $R$ . Then a good quotient  $R^*/GL(N)$  exists and yields the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  of semistable  $G$ -bundles (cf. Theorem 3.4).
- II) Moreover, there exists a principal  $GL(N)$ -bundle  $\pi : P \rightarrow T$  and a  $GL(N)$ -equivariant morphism  $\varphi : P \rightarrow R$  such that the families  $\varphi^*(\mathcal{W})$  and  $\pi^*(\mathcal{V})$  are isomorphic. (See [R<sub>1</sub>].)

Now if  $V$  is a finite dimensional representation of  $G$ , we denote by  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))$  the theta bundle on  $R$  of the family  $\mathcal{W}(V)$ , of vector bundles of rank  $r$  and degree 0 ( $r = \dim V$ ) parametrized by  $R$ , obtained from the family  $\mathcal{W}$  of (principal)  $G$ -bundles via the representation  $V$ . Note that  $GL(N)$  operates on  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))$ . Let  $\Theta(V)$  be the theta bundle on the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}$  associated to the representation  $V$  of  $G$  (cf. §3.8). If  $f : R^* \rightarrow R^*/GL(N) = \mathfrak{M}$  is the canonical map, we have

$$f^*(\Theta(V)) \simeq \Theta(\mathcal{W}(V)).$$

(7.9) PROPOSITION. Any section of  $\Theta(V)^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}$  (for  $\ell \geq 1$ ), considered as a  $GL(N)$ -invariant section of  $(\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V)))^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $R^*$ , extends uniquely as an invariant section of  $(\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V)))^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $R$ .

PROOF: We will prove the proposition by showing that any invariant section of  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $R^*$  is integral over  $\oplus H^0(R, \Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))^n)$ , and then applying Lemma (7.1):

We will apply the results of §§7.3 and 7.4. With the notation of §7.4, choose for  $T_0$  the variety  $R$  and for  $\mathcal{V}_0$  the vector bundle  $\mathcal{W}(V)$  on  $C \times R$  defined above in §7.8. Let  $h = h_V : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$  be the morphism defined by  $V$ , where (as in §7.3)  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  is the moduli space of rank  $r$  and degree 0 vector bundles on  $C$ . We have  $h^*(\Theta) \simeq \Theta(V)$ , where  $\Theta$  is the theta bundle on  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  (see Remark 7.6 and §3.8).

Since  $\Theta$  is ample and  $h$  is a projective morphism, we see that  $\oplus H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes n})$  is a module of finite type over  $\oplus H^0(\mathfrak{M}_0, \Theta^{\otimes n})$ . In particular, the former ring is integral over the latter.

Let  $\sigma$  be a section of  $\Theta(V)^{\otimes \ell}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $\sigma$  satisfies an equation

$$\sigma^d + a_{d-1}\sigma^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1\sigma + a_0 = 0,$$

where  $a_j \in \oplus H^0(\mathfrak{M}_0, \Theta^{\otimes n})$ . Let  $f_0 : R_0^* \rightarrow R_0^*/GL(q) = \mathfrak{M}_0$  be the canonical map (as in Proposition 7.7). If  $\{b_{ij}\}_i$  are the homogeneous components of  $a_j$ , using Proposition (7.7), we can extend  $f_0^*(b_{ij})$  to an invariant section (say)  $\sigma_{ij}$  of some power of  $\Theta(\mathcal{F})$  over  $R_0$ , where  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}(-m)$  (as in §7.5). Pulling back  $\sigma_{ij}$  via  $\varphi_0 : P_0 \rightarrow R_0$  (cf. §7.4) and descending them (via the projection  $\pi_0 : P_0 \rightarrow R_0$ , cf. §7.4) to sections of some appropriate power of  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))$  over  $R$  (cf. Lemma 7.5), we see that  $f^*(\sigma)$  is integral over  $\oplus H^0(R, \Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))^{\otimes n})$ , where  $f : R^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is the canonical map as in §7.8. (Observe that  $\varphi_0$  maps  $\pi_0^{-1}(R^*)$  into  $R_0^*$ .) ■

Finally we prove Proposition (6.5) and thus complete the proof of Theorem (6.6).

(7.10) Proof of Proposition (6.5). Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -invariant section of  $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$  on  $X^*$ . By Proposition (6.4), there is a section  $\sigma$  of  $\Theta(V)^{\otimes d}$  over  $\mathfrak{M}$  such that  $\psi^*(\sigma) = \tilde{\sigma}$ . Let  $X_{\mathfrak{M}}$  be a Schubert variety. With the notation of §7.8, we construct  $R$ , where we take for  $T$  the variety  $X_{\mathfrak{M}}$  and for  $\mathcal{V}$  the restriction of the family  $\mathcal{U}$  (Proposition 2.8) to  $X_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Now  $\sigma$  can be viewed as an invariant section of  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))^{\otimes d}$  over  $R^*$  and hence (by Proposition 7.9) extends to an invariant section  $\sigma'$  of  $\Theta(\mathcal{W}(V))^{\otimes d}$  over  $R$ . Pulling back  $\sigma'$  via  $\varphi : P \rightarrow R$

(cf. §7.8) and descending via  $\pi : P \rightarrow T = X_m$ , we obtain a section of  $(\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V)|_{X_m}))^{\otimes d}$  which extends the section  $\bar{\sigma}|_{X_m^*}$ . Moreover this extension is unique as  $X_m^* \neq \emptyset$  (cf. §6.1). Varying  $X_m$ , we see that  $\bar{\sigma}$  extends to a section of  $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))$  over  $X$ . This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

## REFERENCES

- [B] Borel, A., "Linear Algebraic Groups," W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [BR] Bhosale, U. and Ramanathan, A., *Moduli of parabolic G-bundles on curves*, Math. Z. **202** (1989), 161-180.
- [DN] Drezet, J.M. and Narasimhan, M.S., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Inven. Math. **97** (1989), 53-94.
- [F] Fulton, W., "Introduction to Intersection Theory in Algebraic Geometry," Regional Conference Series in Mathematics number 54, AMS, 1984.
- [H] Harder, G., *Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über vollständigen Kurven*, Invent. Math. **6** (1968), 107-149.
- [Ha] Hartshorne, R., "Algebraic Geometry," Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
- [Hu] Humphreys, J.E., "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory," Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol 9, Springer, 1972.
- [IM] Iwahori, N. and Matsumoto, H., *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p-adic Chevalley groups*, Publ. Math. IHES **25** (1965), 237-280.
- [K] Kac, V. G., "Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras," Progr. Math. Vol. 44, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [KL] Kazhdan, D. and Lusztig, G., *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Pro. Symp. Pure Math. A.M.S. **36** (1980), 185-203.
- [Ku<sub>1</sub>] Kumar, S., *Demazure character formula in arbitrary Kac-Moody setting*, Invent Math. **89** (1987), 395-423.
- [Ku<sub>2</sub>] Kumar, S., *A connection of equivariant K-theory with the singularity of Schubert varieties*, Preprint.
- [L] Lang, S., "Introduction to Arakelov Theory," Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [Le] J. Le Potier, "Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques."
- [M] Mathieu, O., *Formules de caractères pour les algèbres de Kac-Moody générales*, Astérisque **159**-160 (1988), 1-217.
- [Mi] Milne, J.S., "Étale Cohomology," Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.
- [Mu] Mulase, M., *A correspondence between an infinite Grassmannian and arbitrary vector bundles on algebraic curves*, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math..
- [Mum] Mumford, D., "The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes," Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1988.
- [NR] Narasimhan, M.S. and Ramanan, S., *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **89** (1969), 14-51.
- [NRa] Narasimhan, M.S. and Ramadas, T.R., *Factorisation of generalised theta functions*, To appear in Invent. Math..
- [NS] Narasimhan, M.S. and Seshadri, C.S., *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 540-567.
- [PS] Pressley, A. and Segal, G., "Loop Groups," Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [Q] Quillen, D., *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface*, Functional Analysis and its Applications **19** (1985), 31-34.
- [R<sub>1</sub>] Ramanathan, A., "Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface- Construction of moduli space," Thesis, University of Bombay, 1976.
- [R<sub>2</sub>] Ramanathan, A., *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math. Ann. **213** (1975), 129-152.
- [R<sub>3</sub>] Ramanathan, A., *Deformations of principal bundles on the projective line*, Inven. Math. **71** (1983), 165-191.
- [RR] Ramanan, S. and Ramanathan, A., *Some remarks on the instability flag*, Tohoku Math. J. **36** (1984), 269-291.
- [S] Segal, G., *The topology of space of rational functions*, Acta Math. **143** (1979), 39-72.
- [Se] Serre, J.P., *Espaces fibrés algébriques*, in "Anneau de Chow et applications," Séminaire Chevalley, 1958.
- [Ses] Seshadri, C.S., *Quotient spaces modulo reductive algebraic groups*, Annals of Math. **95** (1972), 511-556.
- [Sl] Slodowy, P., *On the geometry of Schubert varieties attached to Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, in "Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. on 'Algebraic Geometry' (Vancouver) 6," 1984, pp. 405-442.
- [TUY] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K. and Yamada, Y., *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **19** (1989), 459-565.
- [W] Witten, E., *Quantum field theory, Grassmannians, and algebraic curves*, Comm. in Math. Physics **113** (1988), 529-600.