

## On infinitesimal $\sigma$ -fields generated by random processes

VIVEK S BORKAR

Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

MS received 18 October 1992; revised 11 June 1993

**Abstract.** It is proved that the infinitesimal look-ahead and look-back  $\sigma$ -fields of a random process disagree at atmost countably many time instants.

**Keywords.** Random processes; look-ahead  $\sigma$ -field; look-back  $\sigma$ -field; Markov processes.

Let  $X(t), t \geq 0$ , be a Polish space-valued random process defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $P$ -completion of a countably generated  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Let  $\xi(t+)$  (the 'look-ahead'  $\sigma$ -field at  $t$ ) and  $\xi(t-)$  (the 'look-back'  $\sigma$ -field at  $t$ ) denote the  $P$ -completions of  $\bigcap_{s>t} \sigma(X(y), t \leq y \leq s)$  and  $\bigcap_{s<t} \sigma(X(y), s \leq y \leq t)$  respectively. The aim of this note is to prove the following fact:

**Theorem 1.**  $\xi(t+) = \xi(t-)$  for all but at most countably many  $t \geq 0$ .

We shall prove this through a sequence of lemmas. Let  $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a dense collection of sets in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  which generates  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .

*Lemma 1.* The space of all  $P$ -complete sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}$  forms a metric space under the metric

$$d(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) = \sum_n 2^{-n} E[|P(A_n/\mathcal{G}_1) - P(A_n/\mathcal{G}_2)|].$$

The proof is easy.

*Remark.* This topology was first introduced in Cotter [3].

For  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \sigma(X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t), \quad \mathcal{F}(t+) = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}(s), \quad \mathcal{F}(t-) = \bigvee_{s<t} \mathcal{F}(s),$$

all completed with respect to  $P$ . For  $n \geq 1, t \geq 0$ , define

$$h_n(t) = E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t)])^2].$$

*Lemma 2.* (i)  $t \rightarrow h_n(t)$  is bounded nondecreasing for all  $n$ .

(ii)  $\lim_{s \downarrow t} h_n(s) = E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t+)]^2]$ .

(iii)  $\lim_{s \uparrow t} h_n(s) = E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t-)]^2]$ .

*Proof.* (i) follows from the conditional Jensen's inequality and (ii), (iii) follow from the convergence theorems for regular martingales and reversed martingales [2].  $\square$

From (i) above, it follows that each  $h_n(\cdot)$  has at most a countable set of points of discontinuity. Let  $D \subset [0, \infty)$  be the at most countable set of points where one or more of the  $h_n(\cdot)$ 's is discontinuous.

*Lemma 3.* For  $t \notin D$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(t+) = \mathcal{F}(t-)$ .

*Proof.* For  $t \notin D$ , Lemma 2 (ii), (iii) imply that

$$E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t+)]^2)] = E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t-)]^2)], \quad n \geq 1.$$

Thus

$$E[(E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t+)] - E[E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t-)]])^2] = 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

implying  $E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t+)] = E[I_{A_n}/\mathcal{F}(t-)]$  a.s.,  $n \geq 1$ . The claim follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

#### COROLLARY 1

$\xi(t+) \subset \xi(t-)$  for all but at most countably many  $t$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{r_m\}$  be an enumeration of rationals in  $[0, \infty)$ . Define  $\mathcal{F}^m(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^m(t+)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^m(t-)$ ,  $h_n^m(t)$  as in (1)–(4) resp. with  $X(r_m + \cdot)$  replacing  $X(\cdot)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . The foregoing results hold for each  $X(r_m + \cdot)$  as well. For every rational  $r \geq 0$ , let

$$D_r = \{t > r \mid P\text{-completion of } \bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon) \neq$$

$$P\text{-completion of } \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r \leq s \leq t + \varepsilon)\},$$

$$\bar{D} = \bigcup D_r.$$

Then by Lemma 3,  $D_r$  and therefore  $\bar{D}$  is at most countable. Fix  $t \notin \bar{D}$  and let  $\{r_{m(i)}\}$  be a collection of rationals increasing to  $t$ . Then for  $i \geq 1$ ,

$$\bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r_{m(i)} \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r_{m(i)} \leq s \leq t + \varepsilon)$$

on  $P$ -completion. Thus

$$\xi(t+) \subset P\text{-completion of } \bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r_{m(i)} \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon), \quad i \geq 1,$$

and hence

$$\xi(t+) \subset P\text{-completion of } \bigcap_i \bigvee_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma(X_s, r_{m(i)} \leq s \leq t - \varepsilon) \subset \xi(t-).$$

The claim follows. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It suffices to consider  $t \in [0, T]$  for some finite  $T > 0$ . Applying the above corollary to the process  $X(T-t)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , we conclude that  $\xi(t-) \subset \xi(t+)$  except at most countably many  $t$ . Combine this with the corollary to conclude. □

**COROLLARY 2**

If  $X(\cdot)$  is a Markov process, then  $\xi(t+) = \xi(t-) = \xi(t)$  ( $\triangleq$  the  $P$ -completion of  $\sigma(X(t))$ ), at all but at most countably many  $t$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\xi(t) \subset \xi(t+) \cap \xi(t-)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $t \geq 0$  be such that  $\xi(t+) = \xi(t-)$ . Since  $X(\cdot)$  is Markov,  $\xi(t+)$ ,  $\xi(t-)$  are conditionally independent given  $X(t)$ . Thus  $\xi(t+)$  is conditionally independent of itself given  $X(t)$ , implying  $\xi(t+) \subset \xi(t)$ . Similarly  $\xi(t-) \subset \xi(t)$ . □

It is conjectured that the conclusions of Corollary 2 hold even in absence of the Markov property. If true, this result will have important implications in stochastic control theory [1]. We conclude with an example to show that one cannot improve on Theorem 1 in general.

*Example* Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]^\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0$  = the product Borel  $\sigma$ -field,  $P$  = the product Lebesgue measure and  $\mathcal{F}$  the product  $\sigma$ -field completed with respect to  $P$ . Let  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots)$  denote a typical element of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\{r_n\}$  be an enumeration of rationals in  $(0, 1)$ . Define an  $R^\infty$ -valued process  $X(t) = [X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots]$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  as follows:  $[X_1(t), X_2(t), \dots]$  evaluated at the sample point  $[w_1, w_2, \dots]$  is given by

$$X_{2i}(t) = w_{2i}[(t - r_i)^+], i \geq 1,$$

$$X_{2i-1}(t) = w_{2i-1}[(t - r_i)^-], i \geq 1,$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\xi(t+)$ ,  $\xi(t-)$ ,  $\xi(t)$  are the  $P$ -completions of  $\Pi_n G_n^+(t)$ ,  $\Pi_n G_n^-(t)$ ,  $\Pi_n G_n^0(t)$  respectively, where  $G_n^+(t)$ ,  $G_n^-(t)$ ,  $G_n^0(t)$  are as described below: Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  = the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\beta$  = the trivial  $\sigma$ -field  $\{\phi, [0, 1]\}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Then for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$G_{2n}^+(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t \geq r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$G_{2n-1}^+(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t < r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$G_{2n}^-(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t > r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$G_{2n-1}^-(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t \leq r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$G_{2n}^0(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t > r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$G_{2n-1}^0(t) = \mathfrak{B} \text{ if } t < r_n, = \beta \text{ otherwise.}$$

It follows that  $\xi(t+) = \xi(t-) = \xi(t)$  for  $t$  irrational in  $[0, 1]$  whereas  $\xi(t+) \neq \xi(t-) \neq \xi(t)$  for  $t \in \{r_n, n \geq 1\}$ .

*Remark:* One may replace  $X(\cdot)$  above by a real valued process without altering the conclusions by virtue of the isomorphism theorem for Polish spaces (Theorem 2.12, p. 14, of [4]).

### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by grant No. 26/01/92-G from the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India.

### References

- [1] Borkar V S, On extremal solutions to stochastic control problems II. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **28** (1993) 49–56
- [2] Chow Y S and Teicher H, *Probability theory – independence, interchangeability, martingales* (New York: Springer Verlag) (1978)
- [3] Cotter K D, Similarity of information and behaviour with a pointwise convergence topology, *J. Math. Econ.* **15** (1986) 25–38
- [4] Parthasarathy K R, *Probability measures on metric spaces* (New York: Academic Press) (1967)