

## Fractionally charged non-leaking dyons and fermions in a bag

S M ROY and VIRENDRA SINGH

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005, India

MS received 1 January 1985

**Abstract.** We consider a fermion of charge  $e$  confined to a spherical bag with a Dirac monopole of strength  $g$  at its centre. We find that the boundary conditions making the lowest angular momentum hamiltonian self-adjoint are characterized by a unitary matrix  $U$ , and the corresponding vacuum charge has a fractional part  $2|eg|\alpha/\pi$  where  $\det U = -\exp(2i\alpha)$ . Boundary conditions for conservation of helicity,  $CP$ ,  $CT$  and  $PT$  are displayed. We demonstrate the possibility of a fractionally charged dyon whose interaction with a fermion conserves helicity. We also show that *the simultaneous validity of helicity,  $CP$ ,  $CT$  and  $PT$  requires integer vacuum charge.*

**Keywords.** Non-leaking dyons; fermions; spherical bag; unitary matrix.

**PACS No.** 14.80; 11.30; 11.15

The Jackiw-Rebbi (1976) discovery of half-integral fermion number of fermion-monopole systems is further dramatised by Witten's (1979) result that in the presence of a  $CP$ -violating angle  $\theta_0$  the monopole acquires a charge  $-e\theta_0/(2\pi)$  where  $e$  is the fermion charge. In an apparently different line of research Kazama *et al* (1977), Callias (1977) and Goldhaber (1977) discovered that the fermion-Dirac monopole Hamiltonian in the lowest angular momentum state is self-adjoint only when a boundary condition at the origin (monopole position) involving the  $CP$ -violating parameter  $\theta_0$  is imposed. Two major consequences are the "inevitable failure of helicity conservation" (Goldhaber 1977) (intimately related to the Rubakov-Callan effect in the non-abelian case (Rubakov 1981, 1982; Callan 1982a, b, 1983)), and the confirmation by Grossman and Yamagishi (1983) of the Witten effect with a precise connection to the  $r = 0$  boundary condition. The monopole becomes a helicity leaking dyon of fractional charge, the fraction being irrational in general but a half-integer or an integer when  $CP$  is conserved.

The present work demonstrates that these conclusions get radically altered when the fermion monopole system is enclosed in a spherical bag of finite radius  $R$ . In particular it is possible to have a helicity conserving dyon of fractional charge.  $CP$  violation forces fractional charge but does not force helicity violation. Further, *the simultaneous conservation of helicity,  $CP$ ,  $CT$  and  $PT$  forces the monopole charge to be integral.* For the lowest angular momentum hamiltonian we find a simple formula relating the vacuum charge to the boundary conditions. The charge eigenvalues are independent of  $R$  but depend non-trivially on boundary conditions at  $r = R$  (as well as  $r = 0$ ) and hence have non-unique  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit. A similar boundary condition dependence of the vacuum charge was obtained recently (Roy and Singh 1984a, b) for the 1 + 1 dimensional Jackiw-Rebbi and Goldstone-Wilczek (1981) hamiltonians. We generalize here the

Witten-Grossman-Yamagishi results connecting fractional charge to violation of discrete symmetries.

We use the Wu-Yang (1975) vector potentials  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_a(\mathbf{r})$  for  $\mathbf{r} \in R_a$  ( $\theta \neq \pi$ ), and  $\mathbf{A}_b(\mathbf{r})$  for  $\mathbf{r} \in R_b$  ( $\theta \neq 0$ ) where

$$\mathbf{A}_a(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{A}_b(-\mathbf{r}) = g \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}}{r(1 + \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})}, \quad (1)$$

with  $g =$  monopole strength. The Dirac wave section  $\psi$  ( $= \psi_a$  in  $R_a$ ,  $\psi_b$  in  $R_b$ ) obeys,

$$H\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}, \quad H = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} + \beta m, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = -i\nabla - e\mathbf{A}, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  are Pauli matrices and  $e$  and  $m$  denote charge and mass of the fermion, confined to the bag  $|\mathbf{r}| \leq R$ . Before defining the boundary conditions which make  $H$  self-adjoint, we note, following Goldhaber (1977) the following "formal" symmetry properties

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} H \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} &= H, \quad (CP)H(CP)^{-1} = -H, \\ (CT)H(CT)^{-1} &= -H, \quad (PT)H(PT)^{-1} = H. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}$  is related to the helicity, with  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ . The discrete transformations  $C$ ,  $P$ ,  $T$  are defined by

$$\psi_{a,b}(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{P} \psi_{a,b}^P(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_P \beta \psi_{b,a}(-\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (5)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{C} \psi^C(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_C \beta \alpha_2 \psi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (6)$$

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{T} \psi^T(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi^*(\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (7)$$

$$PA(\mathbf{x})P^{-1} = CA(\mathbf{x})C^{-1} = TA(\mathbf{x})T^{-1} = -A(\mathbf{x}), \quad (8)$$

where  $|\eta_P| = |\eta_C| = |\eta_T| = 1$ . The subscripts  $a, b$  in (5) refer to regions  $R_a, R_b$ . The corresponding subscripts in (6)–(8) are omitted, since the same subscript occurs throughout each equation. It follows that the wave sections,

$$\psi_{a,b}^{PT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_P \eta_T \beta \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi_{b,a}^*(-\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (9)$$

$$\psi^{CT}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\eta_C \eta_T^* \beta \alpha_2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 \psi(\mathbf{x}, -t), \quad (10)$$

and

$$\psi_{a,b}^{CP}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\eta_C \eta_P^* \alpha_2 \psi_{b,a}^*(-\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (11)$$

obey the same Dirac equation as  $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ . In the lowest angular momentum state  $j = |q| - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q \equiv eg$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} F(r) & \eta_{j,m}(\theta, \phi) \\ G(r) & \eta_{j,m}(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \exp(-iEt) \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{r} \chi(r) \otimes \eta_{jm}(\theta, \phi) \exp(-iEt), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(24) must imply that  $\chi_2 \in D(H_0)$ . We thus find that  $D(H_0)$  consists of  $\chi$  which apart from obeying,

$$\int_0^R dr \chi^\dagger(r) \chi(r) < \infty, \int_0^R dr (H_0 \chi)^\dagger (H_0 \chi) < \infty, \quad (25)$$

also obey the boundary conditions

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) + G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) - G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} F(R) - G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) + G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

where  $U$  is the  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix

$$U \equiv \exp(i\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \lambda \exp[i(\beta + \pi/2)] & \sin \lambda \exp(i\gamma) \\ \sin \lambda \exp(-i\gamma) & -\cos \lambda \exp[-i(\beta + \pi/2)] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda$  being arbitrary real parameters. These boundary conditions define a four parameter family of self-adjoint hamiltonians similar to the Jackiw-Rebbi and Goldstone-Wilczek one space dimension cases considered previously (Roy and Singh 1984a, b).

#### *Self-adjointness of helicity*

Similarly, the boundary conditions which make  $h_0$  self-adjoint are

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) + G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(R) - G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} F(0) + G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \\ F(0) - G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

where  $V$  is an arbitrary  $2 \times 2$  unitary matrix.

#### *Simultaneous self-adjointness of hamiltonian and helicity*

For this purpose the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda$  must be such that (26) and (28) are equivalent (i.e., they imply each other). This happens if and only if  $\sin \lambda = \pm 1$ . Hence the common domain of self-adjointness of the hamiltonian and helicity is specified by the simple boundary condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} F(R) \\ G(R) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix} = \exp(i\gamma_1 + i\alpha\sigma_1) \begin{pmatrix} F(0) \\ G(0) \operatorname{sgn} q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\exp(i\gamma_1) \equiv \exp(i\gamma) \sin \lambda, \quad \sin \lambda = \pm 1. \quad (30)$$

Both (26) and (28) are equivalent to (29) with,

$$U = \exp(i\alpha) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \exp(i\gamma_1) \\ \exp(-i\gamma_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \exp(i\gamma_1) \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i\alpha) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

The departure of the boundary condition (29) from the quasi-periodic ones mentioned by Grossman (1983) corresponding to  $\alpha = n\pi$  ( $n = \text{integer}$ ) will be crucial for the existence of fractional Witten charge.

#### *Discrete symmetries*

For  $CP$  invariance (4) to hold if  $\chi \in D(H_0)$ , then  $\chi^{CP} \in D(H_0)$ , and vice versa. Similar conditions hold for  $CT$  and  $PT$  invariance. Thus conditions for the discrete symmetries

to hold are given by

$$PT: U = U^T; \quad CT: U = -\sigma_3 U^\dagger \sigma_3; \quad CP: U = -\sigma_3 U^* \sigma_3. \quad (32)$$

The infinite space results follow from (32) by setting  $|U_{22}| = 1$ , and other  $U_{ij} = 0$ , showing that  $PT$  invariance allows arbitrary  $\theta_0$  in (23) whereas  $CT$  and  $CP$  invariance give identical conditions  $\theta_0 = n\pi$ . For finite  $R$ , (32) yields

$$\begin{aligned} PT: \sin \lambda \sin \gamma &= 0 \\ CT: \text{either } \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda \sin \beta &= 0 \text{ or } \cos \alpha = \cos \beta = \sin \lambda = 0 \\ CP: \text{either } \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda \sin \beta &= \sin \lambda \sin \gamma = 0, \\ &\text{or } \cos \alpha = \cos \lambda \cos \beta = \sin \lambda \cos \gamma = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

For  $CT$  or  $CP$  invariance we need  $\sin \alpha \cos \alpha = 0$ . If  $\sin \alpha = 0$ ,  $CP$  invariance implies  $CT$  invariance. If  $\cos \alpha = 0$ ,  $CT$  invariance implies  $CP$  invariance. The most symmetric case, with helicity self-adjoint and conserved, and all the discrete symmetries  $PT$ ,  $CT$ ,  $CP$  valid corresponds to:

$$h_0, PT, CT, CP: \sin \alpha = \cos \lambda = \sin \gamma = 0, \text{ i.e. } U = \pm \sigma_1, \quad (34)$$

i.e., periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions.

#### Vacuum charge eigenvalues

The Dirac field operator  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  in the Heisenberg representation obeys the same differential equation as the  $c$ -no. wave function  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and has the charge conjugation property

$$\Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t) \xrightarrow{C} \Psi_\alpha^C(\mathbf{x}, t) = \eta_C (\beta \alpha_2)_{\alpha\beta} \Psi_\beta^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (34)$$

The total charge operator  $N$  (odd under  $C$ ) and its eigenvalue  $N_0$  in a vacuum state (with a convenient regularization (Goldstone and Jaffe 1983; Paranjape and Semenoff 1983; Niemi and Semenoff 1983)) are given by

$$N = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x [\Psi_\alpha^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}, t)], \quad (35)$$

$$N_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n \\ E_n \neq 0}} (\text{sgn} E_n) \exp(-\varepsilon |E_n|) \right] + N_{0,0}, \quad (36)$$

where  $N_{0,0}$  is the contribution of zero energy levels to vacuum charge. When there are  $\nu$  zero energy  $c$ -no. wave functions the vacuum state is  $2^\nu$  fold degenerate and the corresponding  $N_{0,0}$  vary from  $-\nu/2$  to  $\nu/2$  in steps of unity. In such a case we shall calculate the  $N_0$  corresponding to the choice of the vacuum with  $N_{0,0} = -\nu/2$ ; the other vacua will have charges differing from this by integers.

We shall only calculate the contribution to vacuum charge  $N_0$  of the lowest angular momentum levels. The results will however give the full vacuum charge whenever the boundary conditions for the higher  $j$  levels respect  $CP$  or  $CT$  leading to  $E \rightarrow -E$  symmetry of these levels and hence their zero contribution to  $N_0$ .

For the most general boundary condition given by (26) and (27), we find that the energy levels are given by

$$\cos(kR) \cos \alpha + \frac{\sin(kR)}{k} [E \sin \alpha + M \cos \lambda \cos \beta] = \cos \zeta, \quad (37)$$

where

$$k^2 \equiv E^2 - M^2, \quad \cos \zeta \equiv \sin \lambda \cos \gamma. \quad (38)$$

Vacuum charge for  $M = 0$

In this case,

$$E(M = 0) = \frac{\alpha + \zeta + 2n\pi}{L} \text{ and } \frac{\alpha - \zeta + 2n\pi}{L}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (39)$$

Each of these levels is  $2j + 1 = 2|eg| = 2|q|$  fold degenerate. A simple calculation yields

$$N_0(M = 0) = 2|q| \left( \frac{\alpha}{\pi} - 1 - \text{Int} \left( \frac{\alpha + \zeta}{2\pi} \right) - \text{Int} \left( \frac{\alpha - \zeta}{2\pi} \right) \right), \quad (40)$$

with  $\text{Int } x \equiv$  largest integer  $\leq x$ .

Vacuum charge for  $M > 0$

In this case, for a given  $U$ ,  $E = E(M = 0) + 0(1/n)$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We then show that  $dN_0(M)/dM = 0$  except at those values  $M = M_i$  where one or more eigenvalues  $E$  pass through zero leading to an integer jump in  $N_0(M) \equiv N_0$  (Roy and Singh 1984a, b). We find,

$$N_0(M) = N_0(M = 0) + I, \quad (41)$$

where  $I$  is an integer given by

$$I = -2|q| \sum_{i=1}^2 \text{sgn} \left( \frac{dE}{dM} \right)_{M=M_i} \theta(M - M_i) \theta(x_i) \theta(-y_i), \quad (42)$$

with  $\theta(x)$  being the step function, and

$$x_i \equiv \frac{1}{D} (\cos \lambda \cos \beta \cos \zeta + (-1)^i S \cos \alpha), \quad (43)$$

$$y_i \equiv \frac{1}{D} (\cos \alpha \cos \zeta + (-1)^i S \cos \lambda \cos \beta), \quad (44)$$

$$S \equiv + (\cos^2 \zeta + \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 \beta - \cos^2 \alpha)^{1/2}, \quad (45)$$

$$D \equiv \cos^2 \lambda \cos^2 \beta - \cos^2 \alpha, \quad (46)$$

$$M_i \equiv \frac{1}{R} \sin h^{-1} x_i, \quad (47)$$

and

$$\left( \frac{dE}{dM} \right)_{M=M_i} \equiv - \frac{M_i R}{\sin \alpha} (\cos \alpha + \cos \lambda \cos \beta \coth (M_i R)). \quad (48)$$

Equation (41) gives the exact formula for the vacuum charge  $N_0(M)$ . Its fractional part is given by the simple formula

$$\text{Fractional part of } (N_0(M) - 2|eg|\alpha/\pi) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Helicity conservation allows arbitrary  $\alpha$  and hence arbitrary fractional value for vacuum (monopole) charge. So does  $PT$  conservation. For either  $CP$  or  $CT$  to be conserved  $\sin \alpha \cos \alpha = 0$ , and hence the vacuum charge is  $\frac{1}{2} \times$  integer, where the integer

**Table 1.** Dependence of fractional part of vacuum charge (equation (49)) on symmetries of the lowest angular momentum fermion-monopole bag hamiltonian assuming  $CP$  (or  $CT$ ) invariance of the higher angular momentum hamiltonians.

Conserved quantities	Helicity	$CP$	$CT$	$PT$	Helicity, $CP, CT, PT$
Fractional part of vacuum charge	arbitrary	$0, \frac{1}{2}$	$0, \frac{1}{2}$	arbitrary	0

can be even or odd. This is due to the fact that the non-zero energy levels then occur in pairs due to  $E \rightarrow -E$  symmetry and do not contribute to the vacuum charge. If helicity,  $CP$ ,  $CT$  and  $PT$  are conserved then  $\sin \alpha = 0$ , and the vacuum charge must be integral (table 1).

Our extension of the Callias-Goldhaber and Witten-Grossman-Yamagishi results demonstrate that the change from infinite to finite space volume has interesting consequences for the vacuum charge eigenvalues and symmetry properties *e.g.*, if we consider  $U = \text{diag} \exp [i\eta(\theta'_0 - \pi/2)], \exp [-i\eta(\theta_0 - \pi/2)]$  which Yamagishi used in discussing the  $R \rightarrow \infty$  limit, we find that  $N_0$  has a fractional part  $2q(\theta'_0 - \theta_0 + \pi)/(2\pi)$ , with a non-trivial dependence on the boundary condition parameter  $\theta'_0$  at  $r = R$ , no matter how large  $R$  may be. This fact escaped notice previously because  $N_0$  was not calculated for finite  $R$ . Further, more symmetry properties are possible to satisfy for finite  $R$  than for  $R = \infty$ , each symmetry restricting boundary conditions and vacuum charge eigenvalues.

### Acknowledgement

The authors thank A P Balachandran for a collaboration in which a different solution to the helicity problem was considered.

### References

- Balachandran A P, Roy S M and Singh V 1983 *Phys. Rev.* **D28** 2669  
 Callan C G Jr 1982a *Phys. Rev.* **D25** 2141  
 Callan C G Jr 1982b *Phys. Rev.* **D26** 2058  
 Callan C G Jr 1983 *Nucl. Phys.* **B212** 391  
 Callias C J 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D16** 3068  
 Goldhaber A S 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D16** 1815  
 Goldstone J and Jaffe R L 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 1518  
 Goldstone J and Wilczek F 1981 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47** 986  
 Grossman B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 464  
 Jackiw R and Rebbi C 1976 *Phys. Rev.* **D13** 3398  
 Kazama Y, Yang C N and Goldhaber A S 1977 *Phys. Rev.* **D15** 2287  
 Niemi A J and Semenoff G W 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 2077  
 Paranjape M B and Semenoff G W 1983 *Phys. Lett.* **B132** 369  
 Roy S M and Singh V 1984a *Pramana* **23** 333  
 Roy S M and Singh V 1984b *Phys. Lett.* **B143** 179

Witten E 1979 *Phys. Lett.* **B86** 283

Wu T T and Yang C N 1975 *Phys. Rev.* **D12** 3845

Rubakov V A 1981 *Pis'ma Zh. Wksp. Teor. Fiz* **33** 658 (1981 *JETP Lett.* **33** 644)

Rubakov V A 1982 *Nucl. Phys.* **B203** 311

Yamagishi H 1983a *Phys. Rev.* **D27** 2383

Yamagishi H 1983b *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 458