Highly selective one-pot conversion of THP and MOM ethers to acetates by indium triiodide-catalysed deprotection and subsequent transesterification by ethyl acetate

Brindaban C. Ranu* and Alakananda Hajra

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Calcutta – 70 0032, India. E-mail: ocbcr@mahendra.iacs.res.in; Fax: 91-33-4732805

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 4th May 2001, Accepted 3rd August 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 4th September 2001

A simple and efficient method is developed for the chemoselective one-pot conversion of tetrahydropyranyl (THP) and methoxymethyl (MOM) ethers of primary alcohols to the corresponding acetates by indium triiodide-catalysed deprotection and subsequent acetylation by ethyl acetate through a transesterification process.

Introduction

The protection and subsequent deprotection of a functional group is usual practice in a multistep synthetic strategy and a switchover of one protective group to another is also often required as demanded by the reaction conditions in subsequent steps. Thus, a direct method for this transformation bypassing the intermediate step of going back to the parent functionality is becoming much more important in order to improve the overall synthetic efficiency.1 Tetrahydropyranyl (THP) and methoxymethyl (MOM) ethers are widely used in organic synthesis as hydroxy-protecting groups because they are stable under a variety of reaction conditions including strongly basic media; however, they are not suitable for use in a relatively strongly acidic environment.² On the other hand, acetate, another important hydroxy-protecting moiety, can tolerate acidic reagents. Thus, switchover of THP and MOM ethers to acetate is sometimes considered useful in certain steps. Although only a limited number of methods have been reported recently for this purpose,^{3,4} they involve traditional reagents such as acetyl chloride, 3^a acetic anhydride⁴ and titanium tetrachloride,3^b which being corrosive and hazardous need replacement by 'greener' chemicals. In addition, the reported procedure for the conversion of MOM ether to acetate lacks operational simplicity and is not free from side reactions.4 Recently, we have discovered a unique use of ethyl acetate with a catalytic amount of indium triiodide for acetylation of alcohols and amines through transesterification⁵ and we envisaged the potential of this combination for direct conversion of acid-labile hydroxy-protecting groups to acetates. This prompted us to initiate a systematic investigation in this direction and we now report that THP and MOM ethers of primary alcohols are converted to the corresponding acetates very efficiently through a one-pot indium triiodide-catalysed reaction with ethyl acetate (Scheme 1).⁶

> $RCH_2OR^1 \xrightarrow{i} RCH_2OAc$ $R^1 = THP, MOM$

Results and discussion

In a general experimental procedure, the THP or MOM ether was heated at reflux in ethyl acetate in the presence of a catalytic amount (20 mol%) of indium triiodide generate *in situ* by the reaction of indium metal and iodine⁷ (a small excess of either In or I_2 does not make any difference to the normal course of reaction) for a certain period of time as required to complete the reaction. The reaction mixture was then quenched with water and extracted with diethyl ether. Usual work-up and column chromatography over silica gel furnished pure acetate.

A wide range of structurally different THP and MOM ethers were subjected to this procedure to produce the corresponding acetates. The results are summarised in Tables 1 and 2. It was found that THP and MOM ethers of primary alcohols underwent smooth conversion to acetates while those of secondary alcohols and phenols furnish only the parent hydroxy compounds by simple deprotection. Obviously, the ethers first undergo deprotection by Lewis acid (indium triiodide) and then acetylation by ethyl acetate through transesterification. So, it is reasonable to assume that ethers of secondary alcohols and phenols after initial deprotection failed to proceed to acetylation with this reagent system. This is very much consistent with our earlier observation⁵ that secondary alcohols and phenols do not undergo acetylation by indium triiodide-catalysed transesterification by ethyl acetate. However, this chemoselective conversion of THP and MOM ethers of primary hydroxy groups to the corresponding acetates, in the presence of secondary and phenolic ones which undergo deprotection only, is of much synthetic utility. On the other hand, deprotection of THP and MOM ethers of secondary alcohols and phenols by a mild Lewis acid (indium triiodide) provides a practical alternative to many existing procedures using relatively strong acids.² The present reaction conditions are tolerable to a variety of sensitive functionalities and moieties such as nitro, chloro, iodo, methoxy, furan, thiophene, etc. Several hydroxyprotecting groups including OTBDMS, O-allyl and O-benzyl also remained unaffected during this conversion. The reactions are, in general, very clean and high-yielding and no side product has been isolated in any reaction.

In conclusion, the present procedure using ethyl acetate and a catalytic amount of indium triiodide provides a convenient and efficient method for one-pot conversion of THP and MOM ethers to acetates. The significant advantages offered by this procedure are: (a) operational simplicity; (b) 'green' methodology involving no toxic or hazardous chemicals; (c) remarkable chemoselectivity for THP and MOM ethers of primary alcohols; (d) mild reaction conditions compatible with several sensitive functional groups and hydroxyprotecting ethers; and (e) high yield. We believe that this will present a better and more viable alternative to the existing

2262 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 2262–2265

DOI: 10.1039/b104055n

	Entry	Substrate	t/h	Product	Yields (%) ^a
	1	PhCH ₂ OTHP	15	PhCH ₂ OAc	80
	2	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP	12	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	82
	3	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP	12	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	82
	4	n-BuOTHP	14	n-BuOAc	/8
	5	CICH CH OTHP	14	CICH CH OAc	75
	7	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP	12	CICH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	82
	8	MeOCH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP	12	MeOCH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	76
	9	EtOCH ₂ CH ₂ OTHP	12	EtOCH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	78
	10	THPO(CH ₂) ₄ OTHP	15	AcO(CH ₂) ₄ OAc	78
	11		13		78
		OTHP		OAc	
		\sim		\sim	
	12	\sim	15		15
		CH ₂ OTHP		CH ₂ OAc	
	12	\sim	10	~	80
	15	OTHP	12	OAc	80
		(OTHP		OAc	
	14	\sim	13	\sim	75
		CH ₂ OTHP		CH ₂ OAc	
	15		12		78
	15		12		78
		UTHP		UAC UAC	
	16	H-CH2OTHP	14	H-CH2OAc	78
	17		14		80
		0, СН-ОТНР		o o o	
				CH ₂ OAc	
	18	OTHP	20	OH	82
	19	OTHP	18	РП СП ₃ ОН	80
	19		10	CH2OAc	00
	20	CH ₂ OTHP	18	CH ₂ OAc	78
		OTHP		—он	
		CH ₂ OTHP	• •	CH ₂ OAc	
	21		20	OH 	75
	22	CH ₂ OTHP	13	CH ₂ OAc	80
	23	CH-OTHP	14	CH-OAc	82
	23		14		02
		NO2		NO ₂	
	24	CH ₂ OTHP	14	CH ₂ OAc	82
	25	CH ₂ OTHP	12	CH ₂ OAc	78
		Ý		Ŷ	
	26	OTBDMS CH-OTHP	12	OTBDMS	79
	20		12		10
	27	CH ₂ OTHP	14	CH ₂ OAc	80
^a Yields refer to those of	f pure isolate	d products fully characterised by	spectral da	ita.	

Downloaded on 28 May 2011 Published on 04 September 2001 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/B104055N _

 Entry	Substrate	<i>t/</i> h	Product	Yield (%) ^a
1	PhCH ₂ OMOM	16	PhCH ₂ OAc	82
2	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ OMOM	18	PhCH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	85
3	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OMOM	18	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	84
4	EtOCH ₂ CH ₂ OMOM	17	EtOCH ₂ CH ₂ OAc	82
5	ph S OMOM	17	Dh S OAc	82
6		17	- /	82
Ū	СН2ОМОМ	1,	CH ₂ OAc	02
7	ОМОМ	15	OAc OAc	84
8	омом	15	OAc	80
9	OTHP	15	U OAc	78
	ОМОМ		OAc	
10		15		70
10	H—CH ₂ OMOM	15	H—————————————————————————————————————	/8
11	Омом	16	OAc OAc	85
12	Ph CH ₃	20	Ph CH ₃	85
13	омом	20	ОН	84
	\bigcirc		\bigcirc	
14	OMOM CH ₂ MOM	20	OH CH ₂ OAc	80
15		16		84
16		15		80
17	омом	20	OAc	75
17		20		15
18	сн ₂ омом	16	CH ₂ OAc	80
19	CH ₂ OMOM	18	CH ₂ OAc	82
	 NO ₂		NO ₂	
20	сн ₂ омом	17	CH ₂ OAc	82
21	CH ₂ OMOM	16	CH ₂ OAc	84
	I		I	
22	CHLOMOM	16	CH-OAc	70
22		16		12
22	OTBDMS CH-OMOM	15	OTBDMS CH-OAc	80
23		15		80
24	O CH ₂ OMOM	17	O CH ₂ OAc	82
			\bigwedge	-
	[OCH ₂ Ph		 OCH ₂ Ph	
25	CH ₂ OMOM	16	CH ₂ OAc	85
	\rightarrow		\wedge	
	~ ~		~ ~	

^{*a*} Yields refer to those of pure isolated products fully characterised by spectral data.

Entry	$v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$	$\delta_{ m H}$ /ppm	$\delta_{ m c}$ /ppm
6 (Table 1)	1739	4.32 (t, 2H, J 5.6), 3.68 (t, 2H, J 5.6), 2.10 (s, 3H)	170.1, 63.7, 41.8, 20.9
7 (Table 1)	1740	4.21 (t, 2H, <i>J</i> 6.1), 3.60 (t, 2H, <i>J</i> 6.3), 2.11–2.07 (m, 2H), 2.06 (s, 3H)	170.9, 61.9, 41.3, 33.0, 19.5
10 (Table 1)	1738	3.95 (t, 4H, J 3.2), 1.90 (s, 6H), 1.57 (t, 4H, J 3.2)	171.2 (2), 64.1 (2), 22.5 (2), 21.0 (2)
11 (Table 1)	1744	4.12–4.01 (m, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.46–0.79 (m, 19H)	171.3, 63.3, 38.5, 35.8, 28.9, 24.9, 22.8, 22.2, 22.1, 20.2, 20.0, 19.8
12 (Table 1)	1742	3.78 (q, 2H, J 10.6), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.59–0.84 (m, 15H)	171.3, 72.0, 31.2, 30.3, 27.8, 25.4, 24.3, 23.7, 23.1, 22.3, 19.4
14 (Table 1)	1743	5.23–5.22 (m, 1H), 3.79 (q, 2H, <i>J</i> 10.3), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.89–1.34 (m, 9H), 0.87 (s, 3H)	171.6, 133.1, 119.3, 72.2, 39.3, 34.4, 30.8, 27.0, 23.3, 22.7, 20.9
17 (Table 1)	1739	4.12–4.05 (m, 2H), 3.89 (s, 4H), 2.10 (s, 3H) 1.89–1.38 (m, 7H)	171.3, 119.3, 65.0, 64.9, 64.7, 45.3, 35.4, 30.7, 22.7, 20.9
19 (Table 1)	3419, 1741	4.15–4.12 (m. 3H), 2.03 (s. 3H), 1.96–0.85 (m. 8H)	171.1, 78.3, 64.6, 44.7, 32.1, 25.6, 22.9, 20.8
22 (Table 1)	1739	7.27–7.22 (m, 1H), 6.92–6.81 (m, 3H), 5.05 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.08 (s, 3H)	170.1, 159.9, 137.7, 133.7, 129.6, 122.0, 120.5, 66.2, 55.2, 21.0
25 (Table 1)	1741	7.01 (d, 2H, J 8.4), 6.60 (d, 2H, J 8.4), 4.81 (s, 2H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 0.78 (s, 9H), 0.03 (s, 6H)	169.1, 154.1, 128.8 (2), 127.0, 118.4 (2), 64.4, 24.1 (3), 19.4, 16.6, -6.0 (2)
26 (Table 1)	1736	7.24 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> 9.0), 6.85 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> 9.0), 6.06–5.96 (m, 1H), 5.41–5.23 (m, 2H), 5.01 (s, 2H), 4.50 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> 5.1), 2.04 (s, 3H)	170.5, 157.4, 132.0, 128.8 (2), 127.0, 116.3, 113.3 (2), 67.4, 64.7, 19.7
5 (Table 2)	1739	(a, 511) 7.31–7.14 (m, 5H), 4.13 (t, 2H, J 6.2), 2.94 (t, 2H, J 7.1), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.95–1.87 (m, 2H)	172.1, 136.4, 129.8, 129.6, 129.3, 126.5, 96.5, 63.1, 30.6, 28.6, 21.2
11 (Table 2)	1743	4.15–3.77 (m, 5H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.97–1.88 (m, 3H), 1.63– 1.57 (m, 1H)	170.9, 76.7, 68.7, 66.7, 28.3, 25.9, 21.1
16 (Table 2)	1740	7.28–6.93 (m, 3H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 2.03 (s, 3H)	170.9, 138.3, 128.6, 127.3, 127.2, 60.8, 21.2
21 (Table 2)	1745	7.83 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> 7.9), 7.37–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.00 (t, 1H, <i>J</i> 7.7), 5.1 (s, 2H), 2.13 (s, 3H)	170.6, 139.8, 138.7, 130.2, 130.1, 128.6, 96.5, 70.3, 21.2
24 (Table 2)	1737	7.38–7.22 (m, 7H), 6.90 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> 8.2), 5.05 (s, 2H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H)	171.0, 159.2, 137.3 (2), 130.9 (2), 129.0 (2), 128.8, 127.8, 127.3, 96.6 (2), 70.3, 66.4, 21.4

methodologies ^{3,4} and thus it will find useful applications in the synthesis of complex natural products where such conversions are needed under mildly acidic conditions.

Experimental

Indium metal (ingot from SRL Mumbai, India) was cut into small slices, and used directly without any treatment. Iodine crystals were used as obtained commercially. Ethyl acetate was dried over CaCl₂ and distilled before use. THP and MOM ethers were prepared following reported procedures.^{8,9}

General procedure for conversion of THP and MOM ethers to acetates

A THP or MOM ether (2 mmol) as a solution in ethyl acetate (2 cm³) was added to indium triiodide (20 mol%), prepared in situ by refluxing indium metal and iodine in ethyl acetate (3 cm³), and the mixture was then refluxed for a certain period of time as required to complete the reaction (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was then quenched with water and extracted with diethyl ether $(4 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined extract was washed successively with aq. sodium thiosulfate and brine, and dried (Na₂SO₄). Evaporation of the solution and purification by column chromatography over silica gel furnished the corresponding acetate. The product acetates were easily characterised by IR, ¹H and ¹³C NMR data. The spectral data of many of these acetates are already reported 3a,5,10,11 and our products have data in good agreement with those. The spectral and analytical data of some selected acetates whose spectra are not available for comparison are presented in Table 3.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge financial support from CSIR, New Delhi for this investigation. A. H. is also thankful to CSIR for his fellowship.

References

- (a) T. Oriyama, M. Oda, J. Gono and G. Koga, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 2027; (b) G. Yang, X. Ding and F. Kong, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 6725; (c) T. Oriyama, M. Kimura and G. Koga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1994, **67**, 885; (d) T. Oriyama, K. Yatabe, S. Sugauttra, Y. Machiguchi and G. Koga, *Synlett*, 1996, 523.
- 2 (a) T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, *Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, Wiley, New York, 3rd edn., 1999; (b) P. J. Kocienski, *Protective Groups*, Georg Thieme, Stuttgart, 1994.
- 3 THP ether to acetate: (a) S. Chandrasekhar, T. Ramachandar, M. V. Reddy and M. Takhi, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 4729; (b) M. Schwarz and R. M. Waters, Synthesis, 1972, 567.
- 4 MOM ether to acetate: M. P. Bosch, I. Petschen and A. Guerrero, *Synthesis*, 2000, 300.
- 5 B. C. Ranu, P. Dutta and A. Sarkar, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2223.
- 6 A preliminary communication on the direct conversion of THP ether to acetate has been made recently: B. C. Ranu and A. Hajra, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1, 2001, 355.
- 7 Y. Han and Y.-Z. Huang, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 7277.
- 8 For preparation of THP ethers: M. Miyashita, A. Yoshikoshi and P. A. Grieco, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 3772.
- 9 For preparation of MOM ethers: A. F. Kluge, K. G. Untch and J. H. Fried, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 7827.
- 10 C. J. Pouchert, *The Aldrich Library of NMR Spectra*, Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc., Milwaukee, 2nd edn., 1983, vols. 1 and 2.
- 11 B. C. Ranu, S. K. Guchhait and M. Saha, J. Indian Chem. Soc., 1999, 76, 546.