

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

L-FORBIDDEN TRANSITIONS NEAR  
DEFORMED REGION

RECENT work of Berlovich *et al.*<sup>1</sup> has pointed to the rather interesting connection between the *l*-forbidden selection rule for  $M_1$  transitions imposed by the shell model and the approach towards nuclear deformation. This conclusion was reached by Berlovich *et al.* on the basis of just three examples.

The availability of compilation of more complete data on *l*-forbidden  $M_1$  transitions has prompted us to examine this trend in more detail.

Table I gives an analysis of the available data. The various columns are self-explanatory.

which characterizes the onset of nuclear deformation, the  $M_1$  retardation factors decrease. The trend in the  $E_2$  enhancement and hence the surface tension parameter  $C$  cannot be discussed due to lack of data on  $M_1$ - $E_2$  mixing ratios.

Department of Physics, S. M. BRAHMAVAR.  
Karnatak University, M. K. RAMASWAMY.  
Dharwar, December 24, 1962.

1. Berlovich, E. Ye, *et al.*, *Nuclear Physics*, 1962, **37**, 469
2. Bohr A. and Mottelson, B. R., *Kgl. Danske Selskab Mat. Fys. Medd.*, 1953, **27**.

TABLE I

No.	Nucleus	Energy of $\gamma$ -Transition Mev.	$\delta^2 = (E_2/M_1)^2$	Conversion coefft. $\alpha$	$\tau$ = lifetime (Expt.) Sec.	$M_1$ -retardation factor	$E_2$ -enhancement	Surface-tension parameter $C$
1	$^{121}_{52}\text{Te}$	0.213	0.058	0.09	$< 5 \times 10^{-9}$	$> 225$	$> 0.37$	..
2	$^{133}_{55}\text{Cs}$	0.081	0.026	1.82	$6 \times 10^{-9}$	370	6	600
3	$^{123}_{52}\text{Te}$	0.159	0.012	0.19	$1.9 \times 10^{-10}$	37	8	450
4	$^{141}_{59}\text{Pr}$	0.145	0.006	0.41	$1.9 \times 10^{-9}$	330	0.44	..
5	$^{147}_{61}\text{Pm}$	0.091	0.062	1.84	$2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	220	17	290
6	$^{201}_{81}\text{Tl}$	0.330	2.24	..	$7 \times 10^{-11}$	380	15	425
7	$^{203}_{81}\text{Tl}$	0.279	2.25	0.18	$2.7 \times 10^{-10}$	920	10	580
8	$^{117}_{63}\text{Eu}$	0.295	..	0.195	$2.20 \times 10^{-10}$	115	..	..
9	$^{149}_{63}\text{Eu}$	0.150	..	0.63	$5.20 \times 10^{-10}$	78	..	..
10	$^{151}_{63}\text{Eu}$	0.217	..	29.1	$1.02 \times 10^{-7}$	47	..	..

Included in the analysis are the recent data of Berlovich *et al.*<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, since the mixing ratio for those transitions was not given it was not possible to compute the  $E_2$  enhancements. Qualitatively, one should expect a correlation between the  $E_2$  enhancements and the approach to nuclear deformation. The last column lists the surface tension parameters  $C$  computed using the weak coupling model of Bohr<sup>2</sup> and the computed  $E_2$  enhancements. In this theory the enhancement is related to the surface tension parameter according to the relation,

$$\frac{e_{eff}}{e} = 1 + \frac{5Zk}{4\pi C}$$

where  $k$  = constant (= 40 Mev.)  
 $Z$  = atomic number.

From an examination of Table I we note that as the neutron number approaches 90,