Measurement of the High-Mass Drell-Yan Cross Section and Limits on Quark-Electron Compositeness Scales
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We present a measurement of the Drell-Yan cross section at high dielectron invariant mass using 120 pb$^{-1}$ of data collected in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV by the DØ collaboration during 1992–96. No deviation from standard model expectations is observed. We use the data to set limits on the energy scale of quark-electron compositeness with common constituents. The 95% confidence level lower limits on the compositeness scale vary between 3.3 TeV and 6.1 TeV depending on the assumed form of the effective contact interaction.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Re, 13.85.Qk, 13.85.Rm
In $p\bar{p}$ collisions, dielectron pairs can be produced through the Drell-Yan process\(^1\) over a large range of available partonic center of mass energies. In the standard model (SM), the process occurs to first order via quark-antiquark annihilation into a virtual photon or $Z$ boson. If quarks and leptons are composite with common constituents, the interaction of these constituents would likely be manifested through an effective four fermion contact interaction at energies below the compositeness scale. We consider a general contact-interaction Lagrangian\(^2\) of the form

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{4\pi}{\Lambda^2} \left[ \eta_{LL}(\bar{q}_L\gamma^\mu q_L)(\bar{e}_L\gamma^\mu e_L) + \eta_{LR}(\bar{q}_L\gamma^\mu q_L)(\bar{e}_R\gamma^\mu e_R) + \eta_{RL}(\bar{q}_R\gamma^\mu q_R)(\bar{e}_L\gamma^\mu e_L) + \eta_{RR}(\bar{q}_R\gamma^\mu q_R)(\bar{e}_R\gamma^\mu e_R) \right]
\]

where $q=(u, d)$ represents the first generation quarks, $\Lambda$ is the compositeness scale, $\eta_{ij} = \pm 1$, and $L$ ($R$) denotes the left (right) helicity projection. The addition of this contact term to the SM Lagrangian modifies the dominant $\gamma/Z$ boson matrix element, with the strongest effects at high dielectron invariant mass. Composite quarks and electrons have been proposed as a possible explanation of the high-$Q^2$ anomaly at HERA\(^3\). Previous results on quark-electron compositeness set lower limits on the energy scale $\Lambda$ in the range of 2.5–5.2 TeV\(^4\) and 2.1–5.2 TeV\(^5\). In this Letter, we report the measurement of the Drell-Yan cross section at high mass and set the stringent limits to date on the quark-electron compositeness scale.

The results presented here used 120 pb\(^{-1}\) of data collected in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV by the DØ detector\(^6\) during the 1992–1996 run at the Fermilab Tevatron. The detector consists of a tracking system, a highly linear, granular and stable uranium/liquid-argon calorimeter, and a muon spectrometer. Electron candidates are accepted in the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta| < 1.1$ for electrons detected in the central calorimeter (CC) and $1.5 < |\eta| < 2.5$ for electrons detected in the forward calorimeters (EC), where $\eta = -\log\tan(\theta/2)$ and $\theta$ is the polar angle with respect to the beam axis. CC electrons within 0.0098 radians in azimuth of any calorimeter module edge are removed to ensure uniform calorimeter response. At least two electrons are required to have transverse energy $E_T > 20$ GeV at the trigger level and $E_T > 25$ GeV offline. The two highest-$E_T$ electrons in the event are selected for analysis.

Offline, a “loose” electron must satisfy three requirements: (i) the electron must deposit at least 95% of its energy in the 21 X0 electromagnetic calorimeter, (ii) the transverse and longitudinal shower shapes must be consistent with those expected for an electron, and (iii) the electron must be isolated in energy in a cone of radius $R = \sqrt{\Delta\eta^2 + \Delta\phi^2} = 0.4$, such that $E_{tot}(R=0.4) - E_{EM}(R=0.2) < 0.15$. Here $E_{tot}$ and $E_{EM}$ are the total and EM calorimeter energies respectively. A “tight” electron is additionally required to have a matching track in the drift chambers. In this analysis, any forward electron is required to be “tight” and at least one member of each electron pair must be “tight.”

The detector acceptance for dielectron events is defined as the fraction of produced events in which both electrons pass our kinematic and fiducial cuts. To calculate the acceptance, Drell-Yan events are generated using PYTHIA\(^7\). The parton showering parameters in PYTHIA were tuned for good kinematic modeling of the data using the distribution of the $Z$ boson transverse momentum ($p_T$) observed at DØ. The detector response is simulated using a parameterized Monte Carlo program\(^8\). The sampling and noise terms in the electron energy resolution are derived from test beam data and the calorimeter pedestal distribution in $W \rightarrow e\nu$ collider data, respectively. The constant term is constrained by the observed width of the $Z \rightarrow ee$ mass peak. The known $Z$ boson mass is used to set the electromagnetic energy scale.

The acceptance, calculated using the Drell-Yan Monte Carlo model and MRS(A)\(^9\) parton distribution functions, is $\approx 53\%$ and does not depend strongly on mass above $m_{ee} = 250$ GeV/$c^2$. This makes the analysis relatively model-independent. The systematic uncertainty on the acceptance due to the production model is estimated to be 1.5%. The effect of energy smearing, included in the acceptance calculation, is small because the energy resolution (15%/\(\sqrt{E} \text{ (GeV)} \oplus 1\%\)) is much smaller than the bin width at high mass.

The electron trigger and offline selection efficiency is determined using $Z \rightarrow ee$ data. One of the electrons is required to satisfy the “tight” selection criteria. The second electron then provides an unbiased sample to measure the efficiencies. Background subtraction is performed using the sidebands of the $Z$ boson mass distribution. The trigger is found to be fully efficient for high mass dielectrons ($m_{ee} > 120$ GeV/$c^2$). The single-electron efficiency for the CC “loose” selection criteria is $(92.9\pm0.7)\%$ and for the “tight” selection criteria is $(74.1\pm0.6)\%$. The efficiency for EC “tight” selection criteria is $(52.6\pm1.0)\%$. The dependence of dielectron selection efficiency on invariant mass was studied using a detailed GEANT-based Monte Carlo\(^{10}\) simulation of dielectron events. The Monte Carlo events were embedded in unbiased data events to simulate the effects of multiple interactions and detector noise, and then reconstructed.

No dependence of the selection efficiency on dielectron mass was found.

The most important sources of background to $p\bar{p} \rightarrow ee + X$ are QCD multijet events with two jets misidentified as electrons and direct-photon events where both the photon and a jet are misidentified as electrons. Jets with a leading $p_T$ or $\eta$ may produce an isolated and energetic photon that passes the “loose” or “tight” electron selection criteria, depending on the presence of an associ-
dN/dm to contribute less than 10% of the total background. The background from the Drell-Yan process, obtained by processing background processes, "loose" electron selection cuts, we evaluated five possible parameterized detector simulation, to estimate these predictions are normalized to the total luminosity. Error bars indicate only statistical uncertainties. There are no events with m_{ee} > 400 GeV/c^2.

FIG. 1. Mass distribution dN/dm for dielectron data. The corresponding distributions for expectations from Drell-Yan and Drell-Yan + contact term process (both including all other backgrounds) are also shown. The effects of kinematic and fiducial cuts, dielectron identification efficiency, and detector smearing are folded into the predictions, and the predictions are normalized to the total luminosity. Error bars indicate only statistical uncertainties. There are no events with m_{ee} > 400 GeV/c^2.

TABLE I. The observed number of events N, total detection efficiency, expected total background, and the dielectron production cross section in the given mass bins. In the three highest mass bins, we quote the 95% (84%) CL upper limits on the cross section. The last column gives the value m of mass at which, for a NNLO SM calculation, dσ/dm equals σ^{18}/dm. Here σ^{18} denotes the total theoretical cross section in the bin and ∆m denotes the bin width.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m_{ee} bin (GeV/c^2)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Total Efficiency Background (pb)</th>
<th>σ (GeV/c^2)</th>
<th>m (GeV/c^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120–160</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.32±0.01</td>
<td>64.0±10.0</td>
<td>1.93±0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160–200</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.34±0.01</td>
<td>22.0±3.5</td>
<td>0.49±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–240</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.36±0.01</td>
<td>6.34±0.96</td>
<td>0.28±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240–290</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.37±0.01</td>
<td>3.61±0.56</td>
<td>0.066±0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290–340</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.38±0.01</td>
<td>1.37±0.23</td>
<td>0.033±0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340–400</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.39±0.01</td>
<td>0.75±0.13</td>
<td>0.057±0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.40±0.01</td>
<td>0.23±0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.063 (0.039)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.41±0.01</td>
<td>0.06±0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.060 (0.037)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600–1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42±0.01</td>
<td>0.03±0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.058 (0.035)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PYTHIA-generated events through the parametric detector simulation, and includes contributions from summed background, which is also shown separately. Our data show no significant discrepancy from SM expectations.

The measurement of the inclusive dielectron cross section is performed in independent mass bins using a Bayesian technique. In each bin k, we determine the posterior probability density P(σ^k|N^k_b) for the cross section σ^k, given the observed number of events N^k_b. The expected number of events in the kth mass bin is given by N^k_b = b^k + L^k e^k σ^k, where b^k is the expected background, L^k is the luminosity, e^k is the total signal efficiency (including acceptance, selection efficiency, and smearing correction), and σ^k is the total cross section in that bin. The posterior probability density for the cross section σ^k is

P(σ^k|N^k_b) = \frac{1}{A} \int db L dε \epsilon^{-N^k_b} N^k \frac{b^k}{N^k_b} P(b^k, L, ε) P(σ^k),

where A is the normalization. The prior probability density P(b, L, ε) is taken to be a product of independent Gaussian distributions in b, L and ε, with the measured value in each bin defining the mean and the uncertainty defining the width. The prior distribution P(σ^k) in any bin is chosen to be uniform in σ. The measured value of the cross section for each bin is taken to be the mode of the posterior probability density (maximum likelihood estimate). The interval of minimum width containing 68% of the area defines the uncertainty on the cross section. Table I shows the observed number of events, the product of detector acceptance and efficiency, and the expected background for dielectron events. The second-to-last column shows the measured dielectron cross section and the associated uncertainty, dominated by event.

In addition to misidentification backgrounds, other high p_T processes contribute to dielectron final states. We use PYTHIA Monte Carlo events, passed through the parameterized detector simulation, to estimate these backgrounds. Since electrons and photons will pass the "loose" electron selection cuts, we evaluated five possible background processes, Wγ → eγγ, Zγ → eeγ, t̄t → eeX, WW → eeX and γγ/Z → ττ → eeX, and found them to contribute less than 10% of the total background. Figure 1 displays the differential mass distribution dN/dm for dielectron data. It also shows the expectation from the Drell-Yan process, obtained by processing.
TABLE II. 95% CL lower limit on energy scale of compositeness Λ in TeV for different contact interaction models. The superscript on Λ indicates the sign of η_{ij}, which governs the nature of the interference (negative sign for constructive interference) between the contact interaction and the SM Lagrangian.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>LL + RR</th>
<th>LR + RL</th>
<th>LL − LR</th>
<th>RL − RR</th>
<th>VV</th>
<th>AA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Λ⁺ (TeV)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Λ⁻ (TeV)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

statistics in the high-mass bins. In bins with no observed events, we quote the 95% and 84% confidence level (CL) upper limits on the cross section, defined by \( \int_0^\Lambda P(\sigma' | N_0) d\sigma' = 0.95 \) (0.84). The measured differential cross sections \( d\sigma/dm \) are compared with predictions of a next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) SM calculation [13] in Fig. 2. We find no significant deviation between the measurement and theory.

![FIG. 2. The differential inclusive dielectron production cross section. The 68% uncertainty intervals are shown for the data points. The last three bins, which have no events, show the 84% CL upper limit on the cross section corresponding to the upper end of the error bars in the preceeding bins. Also shown is the prediction of the SM at NNLO.](image)

To set limits on the compositeness scale Λ, we calculate the cross section for the Drell-Yan + contact term process by including terms from the contact interaction Lagrangian [40] with the SM Lagrangian. We correct the leading order (LO) cross section calculation for higher order QCD effects using a mass-dependent K-factor. The K-factor is defined as the ratio of the NNLO Drell-Yan cross section calculation from Ref. [13] to our calculated LO Drell-Yan cross section. Limits are set independently for each separate channel of the contact-interaction Lagrangian: LL, LR, RL and RR, and \( \eta_{ij} = \pm 1 \). The first letter indicates the helicity of the quark current and the second letter indicates the helicity of the lepton current. These terms are strongly constrained by atomic parity-violation measurements (APV) [14], implying Λ > 10 TeV. However, parity-conserving or other symmetric combinations of these terms, such as \( LL+RR, LR+RL \) [15,16], \( LL−LR, RL−RR \) [17], vector-vector (\( VV = LL+RR + LR+RL \)) [18], and axial vector - axial vector (\( AA = LL+RR−LR−RL \)) [15,16], are not constrained by APV. Our measurements impose strong constraints on all of these models.

The limit on the quark-electron compositeness scale is calculated using a Bayesian analysis of the shape of the mass distribution of events. The expected number of events in the \( k \)th mass bin is denoted by \( N_k \) = \( b^k + \mathcal{L}e^k\sigma^k\Lambda \), where \( \sigma^k\Lambda \) is the predicted cross section including compositeness (\( \Lambda \to \infty \) gives the SM cross section). To reduce the normalization uncertainty in the theory for the limit-setting analysis, the SM prediction for the number of events in the \( Z \) boson mass bin is normalized to the observed number of events. The posterior probability density for the compositeness scale \( \Lambda \), given the observed data distribution (\( D \)), is given by

\[
P(\Lambda|D) = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \int db \, dc \, \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e^{-N_k^\Lambda N_k^\Delta}}{N_k^{b\Lambda} N_k^{b\Delta}} P(b^k, c^k) P(\Lambda).
\]

The bin-to-bin correlations in the value and the uncertainty on the background are taken into account. The prior distribution \( P(\Lambda) \) is uniform in \( 1/\Lambda^2 \), to represent a prior approximately uniform in cross section. The resulting posterior density \( P(\Lambda|D) \) peaks at \( 1/\Lambda^2 = 0 \) and falls monotonically with increasing \( 1/\Lambda^2 \). The 95% CL lower limit is defined by \( \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} P(\Lambda|D) d\Lambda' = 0.95 \). The limits for various helicity options are shown in Table I.

In conclusion, we have measured the differential cross section for dielectron pair production at high dielectron mass. We find no significant deviation from the SM. We have used the data to set limits on the quark-electron compositeness scale in the context of an effective contact interaction. In the chiral channels (i.e., either the quark or the lepton current is not vector or axial-vector), the 95% CL lower limits on \( \Lambda^+ \) vary between 3.3 and 4.2 TeV, and limits on \( \Lambda^- \) vary between 3.6 and 5.1 TeV. The \( VV \) and \( AA \) limits are more stringent, varying between 4.7 and 4.9 TeV for \( \Lambda^+ \) and 5.5 and 6.1 TeV for...
These are the best limits to date on quark-electron compositeness and are fairly independent of the helicity structure of the contact interaction.
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