

# CONGRUENCE PROPERTIES OF $\sigma_a(N)$

By K. G. RAMANATHAN\*

(Annamalainagar)

Received August 31, 1946

(Communicated by Prof. B. S. Madhava Rao, D.Sc., F.A.Sc.)

## § 1. INTRODUCTION

THE object of this paper is to investigate completely the congruence properties of  $\sigma_a(N)$ ,<sup>1</sup> the sum of the 'a' th powers of the divisors of the positive integer N. The two fundamental theorems of this theory were announced by me,<sup>2</sup> recently in the 'Mathematics Student'. They are

THEOREM A.—If  $k > 2$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  then a necessary condition that  $\sigma_a(km + l) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$  for every  $m > 0$  is

$$l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k} \quad (1)$$

THEOREM B.—If  $k > 2$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$  and  $l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$  then a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\sigma_a(km + l) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$  for every  $m > 0$  is

$$x^{2a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \quad (2)$$

for every  $x$  prime to  $k$ .

Thus the problem of congruence properties of  $\sigma_a(N)$  is solved if we are able to solve the two binomial congruences

$$l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$$

$$x^{2a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$$

for every  $x$  prime to  $k$ .

These congruences of  $\sigma_a(N)$  have not, as far as I know, been noticed before in mathematical literature. Mr. Hansraj Gupta,<sup>3</sup> to whom these results were communicated, has published proofs of these. Here I show that these results are natural consequences of Dirichlet's theorem on the infinitude of primes in an arithmetical progression.

\* The Contents of this paper formed part of a M.Sc. thesis of the Madras University (1946).

<sup>1</sup> The arguments of the paper hold good even if N is negative provided we define  $\sigma_a(N)$  as  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} \left[ \delta^a - \left(\frac{N}{\delta}\right)^a \right]$ ; 'a' may be also negative and then  $\sigma_a(N) = N^{-a} \sigma_{-a}(N)$ .

<sup>2</sup> *Mathematics Student*, 1945.

<sup>3</sup> *Mathematics Student*, 1945.

It is shown in the sequel that the moduli  $k$  for which congruences of  $\sigma_a(N)$  exist belong to a set of numbers called by me, sigma numbers. Also if  $k$  is a sigma number it is shown that 'a' has a least value  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$  all the other values of 'a' being got by multiplying this least value by an odd number. The converse problem of determining the value of  $k$  when 'a' is given is difficult. I give in this paper only some empirical solutions of this problem reserving detailed discussions for a future occasion.

I wish to express my thanks to Dr. Vaidyanathaswamy, Reader in Mathematics, Madras University, for his help in the preparation of this paper.

§2. ON THE GROUP  $R(k)$

We shall begin by deriving some simple results in the theory of the group  $R(k)$  of prime residue classes mod  $k$ .

With Hecke<sup>4</sup> we shall call this group  $R(k)$ . It is well known that if  $p$  is an odd prime and  $a$  is any integer greater than zero then  $R(p^a)$  is cyclic;  $R(2^a)$  is cyclic if  $a = 1$  or  $2$  but if  $a \geq 3$  then it is a direct product of two cyclic groups of orders  $2$  and  $2^{a-2}$  represented respectively by  $(1, -1) \pmod{2^a}$  and  $(1, 5, 5^2, \dots) \pmod{2^a}$ . If  $k = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  then  $R(k)$  itself is the direct product of  $R(2^a), R(p_1^{a_1}), \dots, R(p_r^{a_r})$ . The exponent<sup>5</sup> of  $R(k)$  is the least common multiple (l.c.m.) of the orders of all elements of  $R(k)$  and it is equal to  $\lambda = \lambda(k)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(k) &= \text{l.c.m.} [2, 2^{a-2}, \phi(p_1^{a_1}), \dots, \phi(p_r^{a_r})] && \text{if } a \geq 3 \\ &= \text{l.c.m.} [\phi(p_1^{a_1}), \dots, \phi(p_r^{a_r})] && \text{if } a \leq 2 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $\phi(n)$  is Euler's totient function.

From the definition of exponent it is evident that  $\lambda$  is the least value of  $y$  such that

$$x^y \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$$

for every  $x$  in  $R(k)$  i.e., every  $x$  prime to  $k$ .

Consider now the congruence

$$x^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{k} \tag{4}$$

This implies the congruences

$$x^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^a} \tag{5}$$

$$\equiv -1 \pmod{p_i^{a_i}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, r) \tag{6}$$

<sup>4</sup> E. Hecke. *Theorie der Algebraischen Zahlen*, Leipzig, p. 51 et seq.

<sup>5</sup> A. A. Albert. *Modern Higher Algebra*, p. 130.

The congruences (6) can be satisfied if and only if  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  is an odd multiple of  $\phi(p_1^{a_1}), \phi(p_2^{a_2}), \dots$  which means that  $p_1 - 1, p_2 - 1, \dots$  must all contain the same even elementary block factor.<sup>6</sup> We shall call such primes  $p$  similar primes. Taking (5) we see that  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  must be odd and  $x \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ . Further since  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  involves  $\phi(p_1^{a_1}), \dots$  etc., we see that if  $a \geq 2$  then  $a \leq 3$  and all the odd prime factors of  $k$  must be of the form  $4n - 1$ . Hence the important

**THEOREM 1.**—The necessary and sufficient condition that the congruence

$$x^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$$

is solvable is

(i) If  $k$  is odd or twice an odd number then all the odd prime factors of  $k$  are similar.

(ii) If  $k$  is divisible by 4 then it should not be divisible by 16 and all the odd prime factors of  $k$  must be of the form  $4n - 1$ .

We shall call these numbers, the 'sigma numbers'. It is seen that any solution of the congruence when it exists is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv t_s \pmod{p_s^{a_s}} \quad (s=1, \dots, r) \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod{2^a} \end{aligned}$$

where  $t_s$  is any quadratic non-residue  $\pmod{p_s^{a_s}}$ . The number of solution is thus  $\frac{\phi(k)}{2^a}$  where<sup>7</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r \text{ if } a = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ &= r + 1 \text{ if } a = 2 \\ &= r + 2 \text{ if } a \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

$k$  being equal to  $2^a p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$ .

### § 3. PARITY OF $\sigma_a(N)$

Before proving the fundamental theorems A and B we shall consider the parity of  $\sigma_a(N)$  i.e., the oddness or evenness of  $\sigma_a(N)$ . We shall also prove some simple elementary congruences of  $\sigma_a(N)$ .

**THEOREM 2.**— $\sigma_a(N) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  (7)

if and only if the complete odd block factor of  $N$  is a perfect square.

<sup>6</sup>  $b$  is a block factor of  $N$  if  $\left(b, \frac{N}{b}\right) = 1$ . It is an elementary block factor if it is a prime power.

<sup>7</sup> H. Weber, *Lehrbuch der Algebra*, Bd. 2, I Chapter.

*Proof.*—

$$\sigma_a(N) = \sum_{\delta|N} \delta^a \equiv \sum_{\substack{\delta|N \\ \delta \text{ odd}}} 1 \pmod{2}$$

Thus  $\sigma_a(N)$  has the same parity as the number of odd divisors of  $N$ . But if  $N = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \dots p_r^{a_r}$  then the number of odd divisors of  $N$  is  $(1 + a_1)(1 + a_2) \dots (1 + a_r)$ . This is odd if and only if  $\frac{N}{2^a}$  is a perfect square.

Since  $x^\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  for every  $x$  prime to  $k$  we easily deduce that

$$\sigma_a(N) \equiv \sigma_b(N) \pmod{k} \quad (k, N) = 1 \tag{8}$$

if  $a \equiv b \pmod{\lambda}$ .

In particular if  $b = 0$  then

$$\sigma_\lambda(N) \equiv d(N) \pmod{k} \quad (N, k) = 1 \tag{9}$$

$d(N)$  being the number of divisors of  $N$ .

#### § 4. PROOF OF THEOREMS A AND B

We shall make use of the following theorem of Dirichlet in proving our theorems.

*Dirichlet's theorem.*—If  $l < k$  and  $(k, l) = 1$  then there are an infinity of values of  $m$  for which  $km + l$  is a prime number.

*Proof of theorem A.*—Consider the series of numbers  $l, k + l, 2k + l, \dots$  and the corresponding series of numbers  $\sigma_a(l), \sigma_a(k + l), \dots$ . If all the numbers of the second series are divisible by  $k$  then whenever  $km + l$  is a prime,  $\sigma_a(km + l)$  is also divisible by  $k$ . For then

$$\sigma_a(km + l) = 1 + (km + l)^a \equiv 1 + l^a \equiv 0 \pmod{k}.$$

*Proof of theorem B.*—To prove this we require the following:

*Lemma.*—If  $k > 2$ ,  $(k, l) = 1$ ,  $l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$  and  $x^{2a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  for every  $x$  prime to  $k$  then  $km + l$  is not a perfect square for any value of  $m > 0$ .

For if  $\delta$  and  $\delta^1$  be two conjugate divisors of  $km + l$  then  $\delta\delta^1 \equiv l \pmod{k}$  and

$$(\delta\delta^1)^a = l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$$

But if  $\delta = \delta^1$  then  $1 \equiv \delta^{2a} \equiv (\delta\delta^1)^a \equiv l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$  which is absurd since  $k > 2$ .

We shall now prove theorem B.

<sup>s</sup> This Condition though necessary is not sufficient. For if  $k = 35$  and  $a = 3$  then  $l^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{35}$  has solutions 19, 24, 34.  $\sigma_3(3 \cdot 35 + 19)$ ,  $\sigma_3(2 \cdot 35 + 24)$ ,  $\sigma_3(35 + 34) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{35}$ .

The condition is sufficient. For if  $\delta$  and  $\delta^1$  be any two conjugate divisors of  $km + l$  then

$$\delta^a (\delta^a + \delta^{1a}) = \delta^{2a} + (\delta\delta^1)^a \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$$

Thus  $\delta^a + \delta^{1a} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$  for every two conjugate divisors of  $km + l$  and  $km + l$  has an even number of divisors.

The condition is necessary.

Let us choose a prime  $p$  not dividing  $k$ . Then there is a prime  $q$  (in fact an infinity of them) such that

$$pq \equiv l \pmod{k}.$$

Now let  $\sigma_a(pq) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a(pq) &= (1 + p^a)(1 + q^a) = 1 + p^a + q^a + (pq)^a \\ &\equiv p^a + q^a \pmod{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $p^a$  which does not divide  $k$ , we get

$$p^{2a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$$

But  $p$  is any prime not dividing  $k$  and in every prime residue class there are an infinity of such primes. Thus the necessity of the condition.

Thus the theory of congruences of  $\sigma_a(N)$  is reduced to a study of the binomial congruences

$$l^a \equiv -1 \pmod{k} \tag{10}$$

$$x^{2a} \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \tag{11}$$

for every  $x$  prime to  $k$ .

### § 5. SOLUTION OF THE CONGRUENCES

From (11) it is evident that  $2a$  must be a multiple of  $\lambda = \lambda(k)$ , the exponent of the group of prime residue classes mod  $k$ . Let  $2a = s \cdot \lambda$  where  $s$  is an integer. Then (10) shows that  $s$  is an odd number. Now  $k > 2$  and hence  $\lambda$  is even and greater than 1. Let  $s = 2b + 1$ . Then

$$-1 \equiv l^{\frac{(2b+1)\lambda}{2}} = l^{b\lambda} \cdot l^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \equiv l^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \pmod{k}.$$

so that (10) implies the congruence  $l^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$ .

The least value of ' $a$ ' is thus  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  and  $k$  is a sigma number. Thus

**THEOREM 3.**—If  $\sigma_a(km + l) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$  for  $(k, l) = 1$  then

- (i)  $k$  is a sigma number
- (ii) ' $a$ ' is an odd multiple of  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ .

It may be remarked that Mr. Hansraj Gupta in his paper does not get all the values of  $k$  and arrives at the wrong conclusion that  $k$  cannot contain odd prime factors of the form  $4n+1$ . We shall give some examples illustrating the above theory.

(i)  $k = 3 \cdot 7 = 21$ .  $\lambda(21) = 6$ . Solutions of  $l^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{21}$  are 5, 17, 20. Thus  $m \geq 0$ .

$$\sigma_3(21m+5), \sigma_3(21m+17), \sigma_3(21m+20) \equiv 0 \pmod{21}.$$

(ii)  $k = 2^3 \cdot 7 = 56$ .  $\lambda(56) = 6$ . Solutions of  $l^3 \equiv -1 \pmod{56}$  are 31, 47, 55.

$$\sigma_3(56m+31), \sigma_3(56m+47), \sigma_3(56m+55) \equiv 0 \pmod{56}.$$

§ 6. DETERMINATION OF  $k$  WHEN 'a' IS GIVEN

We have so far been concerned with the determination of 'a' and 'l' when  $k$  is given. We shall now take the converse problem. Given 'a' what are the congruences or what are the possible values of  $k$ . It was observed that  $k$  is a sigma number; also 'a' is an odd multiple of  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  so that  $2a$  is an odd multiple of  $\lambda$ . Let us denote by  $N(t)$  the number of solutions in sigma numbers of

$$l = \lambda(x)$$

then it is easily seen that the number of  $k$ 's for a given 'a' is given by

$$\sum N\left(\frac{2a}{\delta}\right)$$

where  $\delta$  runs through all odd divisors of  $2a$ . The solution of this problem is very difficult. But if  $2^a$  is the even elementary block factor of  $2a$  then each one of the prime factors of  $k$  must be such that  $p-1$  contains  $2^a$  as the even elementary block factor. Let us take some important examples.

(i) Let  $a$  be an odd number. Then the number of values of  $k$  is

$$\sum_{\delta|a} N\left(\frac{2a}{\delta}\right)$$

If  $a = 15$  then solutions in sigma numbers should be found of

$$2 = \lambda(x), 6 = \lambda(x), 10 = \lambda(x), 30 = \lambda(x).$$

The solutions are

3	7·11	3·7·31
7	7·31	7·11·31
11	11·31	3 <sup>2</sup> ·7·11

<sup>o</sup> For solution of similar Problems see another paper by the author.

31	$3^2 \cdot 31$	$3^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31$
$3^2$	$3^2 \cdot 11$	$3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$
$3 \cdot 7$	$3^2 \cdot 7$	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31$
$3 \cdot 11$	$3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$	$3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31$
$3 \cdot 31$	$3 \cdot 11 \cdot 31$	

together with these multiplied by 2, 4 and 8. Also 4 and 8 are solutions so that there are 94 solutions.

(ii) Let  $a = 2^a$ ; since this cannot be an odd multiple of any number we must find a sigma number  $k$  such that  $\lambda(k) = 2^{a+1}$ . This means that  $2^{a+1} + 1$  is a prime number. Obviously this must be a Fermat prime.

(iii) Let  $S(a)$  denote the set of numbers  $k$  for which congruence properties of  $\sigma_a(N)$  with  $k$  as modulus exist. If 'a' is odd and  $b$  any divisor of 'a' then

$$S(b) \subset S(a)$$

Since unity divides every odd number

$$S(1) \subset S(a).$$

Thus the set  $S(1)$  consists of sigma numbers  $k$  for which congruence properties of  $\sigma_a(N)$  exist whatever odd number  $k$  is. We now prove the

**THEOREM 4.**—The set  $S(1)$  consists of the numbers 3, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 24 only.

*Proof.*—The only solutions of  $\lambda(x) = 2$  are  $x = 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$  and 24.

In this case there is only one value of  $l$  namely  $-1 \pmod{k}$  so that we have the

**THEOREM 5<sup>10</sup>.**—If  $k = 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$  or 24 then

$$\sigma_a(km - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}, \quad m > 70 \quad (13)$$

whatever odd number  $k$  is.

A companion to this theorem would be.

**THEOREM 6.**—If  $(n, k) = 1$  and  $k = 3, 4, 6, 8, 12$  or 24 then

$$\sigma_a(n) \equiv d(n) \pmod{k} \quad (14)$$

$d(n)$  being the number of divisors of  $n$  and 'a' any even number.

§7. We have so far been concerned with congruences of the type  $\sigma_a(km + l) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ ,  $(k, l) > 1$ . We shall now prove the

*Theorem.*—If  $(k, l) = 1$  and  $g > 0$  there are no values of  $k$  for which

$$\sigma_a(km + l) \equiv g \pmod{k} \quad (15)$$

for every  $m > 0$ .

<sup>10</sup> K. G. Ramanathan, *Mathematics Student*, 1943, 33-35.

*Proof.*—It is evident from the proof of theorem A that

$$l^a \equiv g - 1 \pmod{k}.$$

Let us choose two primes  $p$  and  $q$  not dividing  $g$  such that

$$pq \equiv l \pmod{k}.$$

Then  $\sigma_a(pq) \equiv g \pmod{k}$  implies

$$g \equiv 1 + p^a + q^a + (pq)^a \pmod{k}.$$

showing that  $p^a + q^a \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ .

Multiplying by  $p^a$  which does not divide  $k$  we get

$$p^{2a} \equiv 1 - g \pmod{k}. \tag{16}$$

It is easily seen from the group property of the residue classes as well as Dirichlet's theorem that this congruence cannot hold good unless  $g = 0$ .

§. In this last article I shall state a congruence property of Ramanujan's function  $\tau(n)$ .<sup>11</sup> Proof is published elsewhere.<sup>12</sup>

Ramanujan's function  $\tau(n)$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) x^n = x [(1-x)(1-x^2)\dots]^{24} \tag{17}$$

**THEOREM 8<sup>13</sup>.**— $\tau(n) \equiv n\sigma_2(n) \pmod{7}$ . (18)

This implies Ramanujan's congruence that

$$\tau(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

if  $n \equiv 0, 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$ . For  $\sigma_2(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  if  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue of 7. More generally

**THEOREM 9.**— $\sigma_{\frac{p-1}{2}}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (19)

if  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue of the odd prime  $p$ . This is a particular case of

**THEOREM 10.**—If  $p \nmid n$  then  $\sigma_{\frac{p-1}{2}}(n) \equiv \sum_{d|n} \left(\frac{\delta}{p}\right) \pmod{p} \sum_{p|d} \tag{20}$

$\left(\frac{\delta}{p}\right)$  being the Legendres-quadratic residue symbol.

<sup>11</sup> See G. H. Hardy, 'Ramanujan,' Cambridge, 1940, p. 169. Ramanujan has stated such congruences only for the moduli 5 and 691, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n) &\equiv n\sigma(n) \pmod{5} \\ \tau(n) &\equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>12</sup> Proofs of theorems 8, 9 and 10 can be found in my Paper to be published in the *Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society*, 1945.

<sup>13</sup> Theorem 8 is substantially equivalent to theorem 1 of J. R. Wilton, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1931, p. 1-11.