

## **Homospecific and heterospecific transformation with chimeric plasmid DNA in *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae***

S M KHANOLKAR and N K NOTANI

Molecular Biology and Agriculture Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400085, India

MS received 12 May 1986

**Abstract.** A gene for resistance to novobiocin has been self-cloned in *H. parainfluenzae*, using a high-efficiency plasmid vector pJ1-8. Homospecific and heterospecific transformation using chimeric plasmids containing an insert of the *nov<sup>r</sup>* gene of *H. influenzae* or *H. parainfluenzae* has revealed some novel features. There is some evidence from our observations that *H. parainfluenzae* also recognises specific uptake sites in the incoming DNA. Transformation with chimeric and non-chimeric plasmids suggests that the homology of the insert to the resident DNA has a major role in the extrachromosomal fixation of chimeric plasmids. It is also inferred that apart from the (passive) internalisation of input DNA from transformasomes, there is also recombination-assisted internalisation.

**Keywords.** Gene cloning; homospecific/heterospecific transformation; DNA homology; chimeric plasmid fixation.

### **1. Introduction**

Heterospecific transformation between *H. influenzae* and *H. parainfluenzae* occurs with a low efficiency and is marker-dependent. Uptake of donor DNA seemed relatively normal and could not account for the two- to five-order of magnitude reduction in heterospecific as compared to homospecific transformation (Notani and Setlow 1972). From isopycnic sedimentation analysis, two other observations were also noteworthy: i) even in *H. parainfluenzae* homo- or heterospecific transformation, intracellular donor DNA was recoverable in a biologically-active (double-stranded) form and ii) while substantial association of donor DNA could be detected with resident DNA, recombination was probably not completed since this association seemed to break-down upon further incubation. Recently, the physical fate of chimeric DNA in *H. parainfluenzae* has been examined by Barany and Kahn (1985). Their main observations are that DNA in *H. parainfluenzae* also first enters into transformosome (s) from where it translocates into the cell (by the mechanism of internalisation) integrating the homologous DNA into the resident chromosome.

We have self-cloned in *H. parainfluenzae* a gene (*nov<sup>r</sup>*) which conditions resistance to novobiocin. Fixation of this chimeric plasmid was also followed in *H. parainfluenzae*. Our observations are generally consistent with the physical picture of Barany and Kahn (1985) but, in addition, we propose a role for *rec* genes or recombination in translocation and/or fixation of donor DNA in the recipient cells. Our experiments throw some light on the features of extrachromosomal fixation of plasmid DNA as well.

## 2. Materials and methods

### *Bacterial strains, plasmids and media*

*H. parainfluenzae* resistant to 2.5 µg/ml of novobiocin, *H. parainfluenzae* resistant to 2000 µg/ml of streptomycin, *H. influenzae* Rd (wild type) and *H. influenzae* Rd resistant to 2.5 µg/ml of novobiocin were obtained from Drs S H Goodgal and J K Setlow. *H. influenzae* Rd strains carrying the following plasmids were also used: pRSF 0885 (De Graaff *et al* 1975), pD7 (Notani 1981), pJ1-8 (Joshi and Notani 1983), pJ1-8N19 (Joshi and Notani 1984) and pJ1-8S38 (Samiwala and Notani, unpublished)

Cells were grown at 37°C in 3% brain heart infusion (BHI) broth supplemented with 2 µg/ml NAD in case of *H. parainfluenzae* and 10 µg/ml hemin in addition to 2 µg/ml NAD in case of *H. influenzae*.

### *Isolation of DNA*

Chromosomal DNA was extracted according to the procedure of Marmur (1961). Plasmid DNA was isolated as described by Notani (1981).

### *Competence development*

*H. parainfluenzae* and *H. influenzae* cells were made competent by the methods of Nickel and Goodgal (1964) and Goodgal and Herriott (1961), respectively.

### *Genetic transformation*

The transformation mixture consisted of 0.8–0.9 ml BHI broth + 0.1 ml of DNA to which 0.1 ml competent cells (either *H. influenzae* or *H. parainfluenzae*) were added. Uptake was allowed at 37°C for 10–15 min after which the cells were diluted, plated in BHI agar and incubated at 37°C for 1.5–2 hr. Plated bacteria were then challenged with appropriate antibiotic(s). Transformants were counted after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C.

### *Restriction digestion and ligation*

Restriction enzymes *Eco* RI, *Bam* HI, *Pst* I, *Pvu* II and T<sub>4</sub> DNA ligase were purchased from Bethesda Research Laboratories and used according to Maniatis *et al* (1982). For partial *Eco* RI digestion of chromosomal DNA, incubation at 37°C was terminated after 15 min and the reaction mixture was kept at 65°C for 10 min to inactivate the restriction enzyme. Restriction digestion was monitored by agarose gel electrophoresis.

### *Agarose gel electrophoresis*

0.7% agarose gels were used to electrophorese whole plasmid DNA and 1% gels were used to electrophorese DNA digested with restriction endonucleases. Electrophoresis

**Table 1.** Transformants obtained from *H. parainfluenzae* exposed to *nov*<sup>f</sup> chimeric plasmid DNA.

Chimeric plasmid DNA	Transformants/5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> cells		
	Nov <sup>R</sup>	Amp <sup>R</sup>	Amp <sup>R</sup> Nov <sup>R</sup>
pJ1-8HpN1	2.0 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	4.1 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.9 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
pJ1-8N19	6.1 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	6.3 × 10 <sup>4</sup>	6.1 × 10 <sup>4</sup>

was carried out in tris acetate buffer (pH 8) for 4–5 hr. Gels were visualised by a UV (350 nm) lamp.

### 3. Experimental results

*H. parainfluenzae nov*<sup>f</sup> chromosomal DNA was partially digested with *Eco* RI and ligated to *Eco* RI-cut pJ1-8 DNA. Exposure of competent *H. parainfluenzae* Nov<sup>s</sup> cells to the spliced DNA yielded 8 Amp<sup>R</sup> Nov<sup>R</sup> transformants. These were screened further. Only one clone, named pJ1-8HpN1, turned out to have *nov*<sup>f</sup> marker linked to *amp*<sup>f</sup> marker of the plasmid. This was inferred by a) checking Amp<sup>R</sup>: Amp<sup>R</sup> Nov<sup>R</sup> transformant ratios, b) the ability of the electroeluted plasmid DNA band to yield double transformants (Amp<sup>R</sup> Nov<sup>R</sup>) and c) the lower reduction (8-fold versus 108-fold) in Nov<sup>R</sup> transformants from heat denatured pJ1-8HpN1 DNA than in those from the control i.e. chromosomal DNA (data not shown).

Chimeric plasmids pJ1-8HpN1 (≈ 20 kb) and pJ1-8N19 (21 kb) containing inserts carrying *nov*<sup>f</sup> markers of *H. parainfluenzae* and *H. influenzae*, respectively, were used in studying events in homo- and hetero-specific transformation. Transformants obtained from *H. parainfluenzae* or *H. influenzae* with pJ1-8HpN1 or pJ1-8N19 or chromosomal DNA are given in tables 1, 2 and 3.

pJ1-8HpN1 gives a high level of Nov<sup>R</sup> and Amp<sup>R</sup> transformants in *H. parainfluenzae* but transformation with pJ1-8N19 is lower by about two orders of magnitude (table 1). Also, whereas Nov<sup>R</sup> transformants are about five-fold higher than Amp<sup>R</sup> in *H. parainfluenzae*, those with pJ1-8N19 are equal for the two markers. Conversely, in *H. influenzae*, homospecific transformation is high but heterospecific transformation with pJ1-8HpN1 is high for Nov<sup>R</sup> but low for Amp<sup>R</sup> (table 2). This is somewhat surprising because heterospecific transformation is low in either direction with chromosomal DNA (table 3).

**Table 2.** Transformants obtained from *H. influenzae* exposed to *nov*<sup>f</sup> chimeric plasmid DNA.

Chimeric plasmid DNA	Transformants/1 × 10 <sup>9</sup> cells		
	Nov <sup>R</sup>	Amp <sup>R</sup>	Amp <sup>R</sup> Nov <sup>R</sup>
pJ1-8N19	7.2 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	2.8 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.1 × 10 <sup>6</sup>
pJ1-8HpN1	5.6 × 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.8 × 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.0 × 10 <sup>3</sup>

**Table 3.** Transformants obtained from *H. influenzae* and *H. parainfluenzae* exposed to homospecific and heterospecific *nov<sup>r</sup>* chromosomal DNA.

Recipient species	Source of <i>nov<sup>r</sup></i> chromosomal DNA	Nov <sup>R</sup> transformants/ml
<i>H. parainfluenzae</i>	<i>H. parainfluenzae</i>	$9.0 \times 10^6$
	<i>H. influenzae</i>	$1.0 \times 10^4$
<i>H. influenzae</i>	<i>H. influenzae</i>	$1.0 \times 10^7$
	<i>H. parainfluenzae</i>	$1.0 \times 10^4$

Thus, with chimeric DNA, efficient integration of the *nov<sup>r</sup>* marker of *H. parainfluenzae* into resident *H. influenzae* is observed. In order to confirm that *H. parainfluenzae nov<sup>r</sup>* marker is being integrated in *H. influenzae* chromosome without any change, the DNA of pJ1-8HpN1-transformed cells was isolated and digested with *Bam* HI. *Bam* HI inactivates the *H. parainfluenzae nov<sup>r</sup>* marker but not the *H. influenzae nov<sup>r</sup>* (tables 4 and 5). It could thus be inferred that the *H. parainfluenzae nov<sup>r</sup>* marker is integrated in *H. influenzae* DNA unchanged enough to be inactivated by *Bam* HI.

Transformation of *H. parainfluenzae* was examined with several plasmids (table 6). These could be broadly grouped into 3 classes—low, medium or high—depending on their efficiency to transform for *amp<sup>r</sup>* marker. Thus, pJ1-8 gives little or no transformation (because it probably lacks the uptake sites), while pJ1-8S38, pD7 and pJ1-8N19 give medium-efficiency transformation. All these plasmids contain an *H. influenzae* DNA splice. Finally, pJ1-8HpN1 containing *H. parainfluenzae* DNA gives the highest transformation frequency in *H. parainfluenzae*.

#### 4. Discussion

Vector pJ1-8 is usable for self-cloning *H. parainfluenzae* DNA and we have successfully cloned the *nov<sup>r</sup>* gene on a (15–16) kb insert. This DNA clone was used in both homospecific and heterospecific transformation. From our observations, we construct the following picture of transformation in *H. parainfluenzae*: 1) the highest transform-

**Table 4.** Effect on transformation of restriction endonuclease digestion of *H. parainfluenzae nov<sup>r</sup>* chromosomal DNA.

<i>H. parainfluenzae nov<sup>r</sup></i> chromosomal DNA	Nov <sup>R</sup> transformants/ml in <i>H. parainfluenzae</i>	Relative survival of <i>nov<sup>r</sup></i> marker (%)
Uncut	$1.2 \times 10^5$	100.0
<i>Eco</i> RI digested	$1.0 \times 10^2$	0.08
<i>Bam</i> HI digested	$5.0 \times 10^3$	4.1
<i>Pst</i> I digested	$6.0 \times 10^2$	0.5
<i>Pvu</i> II digested	$1.0 \times 10^5$	83.0

**Table 5.** Effect on transformation of *Bam* HI digestion of *H. influenzae nov<sup>f</sup>* chromosomal DNA.

<i>H. influenzae</i> <i>nov<sup>f</sup></i> chromosomal DNA	Nov <sup>R</sup> transformants/ml in <i>H. influenzae</i>	Relative survival of <i>nov<sup>f</sup></i> marker
Uncut	$9.2 \times 10^5$	100
<i>Bam</i> HI digested	$1.0 \times 10^6$	108

ation is obtained when there is a homologous splice in the chimeric DNA. This seems to make a difference of about 2 orders of magnitude since transformation is markedly lower with plasmids containing *H. influenzae* inserts. At least two interpretations of these observations can be thought of: a) because of partial homology of *H. influenzae* DNA with *H. parainfluenzae* DNA, translocation is less efficient. However, physical homology is about 50% (Boling 1972) but the reduction here is about 99%. Thus, quantitatively, this would not be the most tenable explanation; b) besides translocation by internalisation, there might also be another mechanism which is mediated by recombination and which increases transformation about 100-fold. This argument is supported by the 1:1:1 ratio of Nov<sup>R</sup>: Amp<sup>R</sup>: Nov<sup>R</sup> Amp<sup>R</sup> transformants obtained with pJ1-8N19. 2) pJ1-8 gives little or no transformation and we assume that this is so because it lacks uptake sites. 3) Nov<sup>R</sup>: Amp<sup>R</sup> transformant ratios are higher in *H. influenzae* than in *H. parainfluenzae* homospecific transformations. Apparently, there is a higher relative rescue of the *nov<sup>f</sup>* marker in *H. influenzae* which could be attributed to the different mechanisms of translocation observed in the two species by Barany and Kahn (1985). 4) High Nov<sup>R</sup> transformation with pJ1-8HpN1 DNA in *H. influenzae* was unexpected but perhaps is explained on the basis of pJ1-8 containing short sequences of *H. influenzae* which facilitate recombination and rescue. This observation also suggests that chromosomal and plasmid transformations do not always go hand in hand.

The physical picture for *H. parainfluenzae* transformation as inferred by Barany and Kahn (1985) is as follows: DNA is first taken up into transformasomes of competent cells. A requirement is that incoming DNA contain uptake sites. DNA is then internalized along with the transformasomes. We have given evidence that apart from such (passive) internalisation of incoming DNA, recombination-assisted internalization may also occur. The role of recombination in fixation of Amp<sup>R</sup> transformants is not quite clear,

**Table 6.** Transformation of *H. parainfluenzae* with different plasmid DNA of *H. influenzae*.

Plasmid DNA	Chromosomal DNA marker	Amp <sup>R</sup> transformant/ml in <i>H. parainfluenzae</i>
pJ1-8	Unmarked	$0.0 \times 10^2$
pRSF0885	Unmarked	$5.0 \times 10^2$
pD7	Unmarked	$5.8 \times 10^4$
pJ1-8N19	<i>nov<sup>f</sup></i>	$6.0 \times 10^4$
pJ1-8S38	<i>str<sup>f</sup></i>	$3.0 \times 10^4$

i.e., whether once internalised by recombination their fixation is inevitable. It is likely that additional events are required but these are not clear at present.

## References

- Barany F and Kahn M 1985 Comparison of transformation mechanisms of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 161: 72-79
- Boling M E 1972 Homology between deoxyribonucleic acids of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 112: 745-750
- De Graaff J, Elwell L P and Falkow S 1976 Molecular nature of two beta-lactamase-specifying plasmids isolated from *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *J. Bacteriol.* 126: 439-446
- Goodgal S H and Herriott R M 1961 Studies on transformations of *Haemophilus influenzae*. I. Competence. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 44: 1201-1227
- Joshi V P and Notani N K 1983 A new DNA cloning vector for *Haemophilus influenzae* Rd. *J. Biosci.* 5: 339-345
- Joshi V P and Notani N K 1984 Cloning of *nov*<sup>f</sup> gene in *Haemophilus influenzae*. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 22: 625-628
- Maniatis T, Fritsch E F and Sambrook J 1982 in *Molecular cloning—a laboratory manual* (Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory) pp. 230-238
- Marmur J 1961 A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from the micro-organisms. *J. Mol. Biol.* 3: 208-218
- Nickel L and Goodgal S H 1964 Effect of interspecific transformation on linkage relationship of markers in *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 88: 1538-1544
- Notani N K and Setlow J K 1972 Molecular events accompanying the fixation of genetic information in *Haemophilus* heterospecific transformation. *J. Bacteriol.* 112: 751-760
- Notani N K 1981 Genetic and molecular events in transformation of *Haemophilus influenzae* with plasmid RSF0885 carrying cloned segments of chromosomal DNA. *J. Biosci.* 3: 431-438