## arXiv:hep-ex/0302014 v1 11 Feb 2003

## 

V.M. Abazov,<sup>21</sup> B. Abbott,<sup>55</sup> A. Abdesselam,<sup>11</sup> M. Abolins,<sup>48</sup> V. Abramov,<sup>24</sup> B.S. Acharya,<sup>17</sup> D.L. Adams,<sup>53</sup> M. Adams,<sup>35</sup> S.N. Ahmed,<sup>20</sup> G.D. Alexeev,<sup>21</sup> A. Alton,<sup>47</sup> G.A. Alves,<sup>2</sup> E.W. Anderson,<sup>40</sup> Y. Arnoud,<sup>9</sup> C. Avila,<sup>5</sup> V.V. Babintsev,<sup>24</sup> L. Babukhadia,<sup>52</sup> T.C. Bacon,<sup>26</sup> A. Baden,<sup>44</sup> S. Baffioni,<sup>10</sup> B. Baldin,<sup>34</sup> P.W. Balm,<sup>19</sup> S. Banerjee,<sup>17</sup> E. Barberis,<sup>46</sup> P. Baringer,<sup>41</sup> J. Barreto,<sup>2</sup> J.F. Bartlett,<sup>34</sup> U. Bassler,<sup>12</sup> D. Bauer,<sup>26</sup> A. Bean,<sup>41</sup> F. Beaudette,<sup>11</sup> M. Begel,<sup>51</sup> A. Belyaev,<sup>33</sup> S.B. Beri,<sup>15</sup> G. Bernardi,<sup>12</sup> I. Bertram,<sup>25</sup> A. Besson,<sup>9</sup> R. Beuselinck,<sup>26</sup> V.A. Bezzubov,<sup>24</sup> P.C. Bhat,<sup>34</sup> V. Bhatnagar,<sup>15</sup> M. Bhattacharjee,<sup>52</sup> G. Blazey,<sup>36</sup> F. Blekman,<sup>19</sup> S. Blessing,<sup>33</sup> A. Boehnlein,<sup>34</sup> N.I. Bojko,<sup>24</sup> T.A. Bolton,<sup>42</sup> F. Borcherding,<sup>34</sup> K. Bos,<sup>19</sup> T. Bose,<sup>50</sup> A. Brandt,<sup>57</sup> R. Breedon,<sup>29</sup> G. Briskin,<sup>56</sup> R. Brock,<sup>48</sup> G. Brooijmans,<sup>34</sup> A. Bross,<sup>34</sup> D. Buchholz,<sup>37</sup> M. Buehler,<sup>35</sup> V. Buescher,<sup>14</sup> V.S. Burtovoi,<sup>24</sup> J.M. Butler,<sup>45</sup> F. Canelli,<sup>51</sup> W. Carvalho,<sup>3</sup> D. Casey,<sup>48</sup> Z. Casilum,<sup>52</sup> H. Castilla-Valdez,<sup>18</sup> D. Chakraborty,<sup>36</sup> K.M. Chan,<sup>51</sup> S.V. Chekulaev,<sup>24</sup> D.K. Cho,<sup>51</sup> S. Choi,<sup>32</sup> S. Chopra,<sup>53</sup> J.H. Christenson,<sup>34</sup> D. Claes,<sup>49</sup> A.R. Clark,<sup>28</sup> L. Coney,<sup>39</sup> B. Connolly,<sup>33</sup> W.E. Cooper,<sup>34</sup> D. Coppage,<sup>41</sup> S. Crépé-Renaudin,<sup>9</sup> M.A.C. Cummings,<sup>36</sup> D. Cutts,<sup>56</sup> H. da Motta,<sup>2</sup> G.A. Davis,<sup>51</sup> K. De,<sup>57</sup> S.J. de Jong,<sup>20</sup> M. Demarteau,<sup>34</sup> R. Demina,<sup>42</sup> P. Demine,<sup>9</sup> D. Denisov,<sup>34</sup> S.P. Denisov,<sup>24</sup> S. Desai,<sup>52</sup> H.T. Diehl,<sup>34</sup> M. Diesburg,<sup>34</sup> S. Doulas,<sup>46</sup> L.V. Dudko,<sup>23</sup> S. Duensing,<sup>20</sup> L. Duflot,<sup>11</sup> S.R. Dugad,<sup>17</sup> A. Duperrin,<sup>10</sup> A. Dyshkant,<sup>36</sup> D. Edmunds,<sup>48</sup> J. Ellison,<sup>32</sup> J.T. Eltzroth,<sup>57</sup> V.D. Elvira,<sup>34</sup> R. Engelmann,<sup>52</sup> S. Eno,<sup>44</sup> G. Eppley,<sup>59</sup> P. Ermolov,<sup>23</sup> O.V. Eroshin,<sup>24</sup> J. Estrada,<sup>51</sup> H. Evans,<sup>50</sup> V.N. Evdokimov,<sup>24</sup> D. Fein,<sup>27</sup> T. Ferbel,<sup>51</sup> F. Filthaut,<sup>20</sup> H.E. Fisk,<sup>34</sup> Y. Fisyak,<sup>53</sup> F. Fleuret,<sup>12</sup> M. Fortner,<sup>36</sup> H. Fox,<sup>37</sup> S. Fu,<sup>50</sup> S. Fuess,<sup>34</sup> E. Gallas,<sup>34</sup> A.N. Galyaev,<sup>24</sup> M. Gao,<sup>50</sup> V. Gavrilov,<sup>22</sup> R.J. Genik II,<sup>25</sup> K. Genser,<sup>34</sup> C.E. Gerber,<sup>35</sup> Y. Gershtein,<sup>56</sup> G. Ginther,<sup>51</sup> B. Gómez,<sup>5</sup> P.I. Goncharov,<sup>24</sup> H. Gordon,<sup>53</sup> L.T. Goss,<sup>58</sup> K. Gounder,<sup>34</sup> A. Goussiou,<sup>26</sup> N. Graf,<sup>53</sup> P.D. Grannis,<sup>52</sup> J.A. Green,<sup>40</sup> H. Greenlee,<sup>34</sup> Z.D. Greenwood,<sup>43</sup> S. Grinstein,<sup>1</sup> L. Groer,<sup>50</sup> S. Grünendahl,<sup>34</sup> S.N. Gurzhiev,<sup>24</sup> G. Gutierrez,<sup>34</sup> P. Gutierrez,<sup>55</sup> N.J. Hadley,<sup>44</sup> H. Haggerty,<sup>34</sup> S. Hagopian,<sup>33</sup> V. Hagopian,<sup>33</sup> R.E. Hall,<sup>30</sup> C. Han,<sup>47</sup> S. Hansen,<sup>34</sup> J.M. Hauptman,<sup>40</sup> C. Hebert,<sup>41</sup> D. Hedin,<sup>36</sup> J.M. Heinmiller,<sup>35</sup> A.P. Heinson,<sup>32</sup> U. Heintz,<sup>45</sup> M.D. Hildreth,<sup>39</sup> R. Hirosky,<sup>60</sup> J.D. Hobbs,<sup>52</sup> B. Hoeneisen,<sup>8</sup> J. Huang,<sup>38</sup> Y. Huang,<sup>47</sup> I. Iashvili,<sup>32</sup> R. Illingworth,<sup>26</sup> A.S. Ito,<sup>34</sup> M. Jaffré,<sup>11</sup> S. Jain,<sup>17</sup> R. Jesik,<sup>26</sup> K. Johns,<sup>27</sup> M. Johnson,<sup>34</sup> A. Jonckheere,<sup>34</sup> H. Jöstlein,<sup>34</sup> A. Juste,<sup>34</sup> W. Kahl,<sup>42</sup> S. Kahn,<sup>53</sup> E. Kajfasz,<sup>10</sup> A.M. Kalinin,<sup>21</sup> D. Karmanov,<sup>23</sup> D. Karmgard,<sup>39</sup> R. Kehoe,<sup>48</sup> A. Khanov,<sup>42</sup> A. Kharchilava,<sup>39</sup> B. Klima,<sup>34</sup> B. Knuteson,<sup>28</sup> W. Ko,<sup>29</sup> J.M. Kohli,<sup>15</sup> A.V. Kostritskiy,<sup>24</sup> J. Kotcher,<sup>53</sup> B. Kothari,<sup>50</sup> A.V. Kozelov,<sup>24</sup> E.A. Kozlovsky,<sup>24</sup> J. Krane,<sup>40</sup> M.R. Krishnaswamy,<sup>17</sup> P. Krivkova,<sup>6</sup> S. Krzywdzinski,<sup>34</sup> M. Kubantsev,<sup>42</sup> S. Kuleshov,<sup>22</sup> Y. Kulik,<sup>34</sup> S. Kunori,<sup>44</sup> A. Kupco,<sup>7</sup> V.E. Kuznetsov,<sup>32</sup> G. Landsberg,<sup>56</sup> W.M. Lee,<sup>33</sup> A. Leflat,<sup>23</sup> C. Leggett,<sup>28</sup> F. Lehner,<sup>34,\*</sup> C. Leonidopoulos,<sup>50</sup> J. Li,<sup>57</sup> Q.Z. Li,<sup>34</sup> J.G.R. Lima,<sup>3</sup> D. Lincoln,<sup>34</sup> S.L. Linn,<sup>33</sup> J. Linnemann,<sup>48</sup> R. Lipton,<sup>34</sup> A. Lucotte,<sup>9</sup> L. Lucking,<sup>34</sup> C. Lundstedt,<sup>49</sup> C. Luo,<sup>38</sup> A.K.A. Maciel,<sup>36</sup> R.J. Madaras,<sup>28</sup> V.L. Malyshev,<sup>21</sup> V. Manankov,<sup>23</sup> H.S. Mao,<sup>4</sup> T. Marshall,<sup>38</sup> M.I. Martin,<sup>36</sup> A.A. Mayorov,<sup>24</sup> R. McCarthy,<sup>52</sup> T. McMahon,<sup>54</sup> H.L. Melanson,<sup>34</sup> M. Merkin,<sup>23</sup> K.W. Merritt,<sup>34</sup> C. Miao,<sup>56</sup> H. Miettinen,<sup>59</sup> D. Mihalcea,<sup>36</sup> C.S. Mishra,<sup>34</sup> N. Mokhov,<sup>34</sup> N.K. Mondal,<sup>17</sup> H.E. Montgomery,<sup>34</sup> R.W. Moore,<sup>48</sup> Y.D. Mutaf,<sup>52</sup> E. Nagy,<sup>10</sup> F. Nang,<sup>27</sup> M. Narain,<sup>45</sup> V.S. Narasimham,<sup>17</sup> N.A. Naumann,<sup>20</sup> H.A. Neal,<sup>47</sup> J.P. Negret,<sup>5</sup> A. Nomerotski,<sup>34</sup> T. Nunnemann,<sup>34</sup> D. O'Neil,<sup>48</sup> V. Oguri,<sup>3</sup> B. Olivier,<sup>12</sup> N. Oshima,<sup>34</sup> P. Padley,<sup>59</sup> K. Papageorgiou,<sup>35</sup> N. Parashar,<sup>43</sup> R. Partridge,<sup>56</sup> N. Parua,<sup>52</sup> A. Patwa,<sup>52</sup> O. Peters,<sup>19</sup> P. Pétroff,<sup>11</sup> R. Piegaia,<sup>1</sup> B.G. Pope,<sup>48</sup> E. Popkov,<sup>45</sup> H.B. Prosper,<sup>33</sup> S. Protopopescu,<sup>53</sup> M.B. Przybycien,<sup>37,†</sup> J. Qian,<sup>47</sup> R. Raja,<sup>34</sup> S. Rajagopalan,<sup>53</sup> P.A. Rapidis,<sup>34</sup> N.W. Reay,<sup>42</sup> S. Reucroft,<sup>46</sup> M. Ridel,<sup>11</sup> M. Rijssenbeek,<sup>52</sup> F. Rizatdinova,<sup>42</sup> T. Rockwell,<sup>48</sup> C. Royon,<sup>13</sup> P. Rubinov,<sup>34</sup> R. Ruchti,<sup>39</sup> J. Rutherfoord,<sup>27</sup> B.M. Sabirov,<sup>21</sup> G. Sajot,<sup>9</sup> A. Santoro,<sup>3</sup> L. Sawyer,<sup>43</sup> R.D. Schamberger,<sup>52</sup> H. Schellman,<sup>37</sup> A. Schwartzman,<sup>1</sup> E. Shabalina,<sup>35</sup> R.K. Shivpuri,<sup>16</sup> D. Shpakov,<sup>46</sup> M. Shupe,<sup>27</sup> R.A. Sidwell,<sup>42</sup> V. Simak,<sup>7</sup> V. Sirotenko,<sup>34</sup> P. Slattery,<sup>51</sup> R.P. Smith,<sup>34</sup> G.R. Snow,<sup>49</sup> J. Snow,<sup>54</sup> S. Snyder,<sup>53</sup> J. Solomon,<sup>35</sup> Y. Song,<sup>57</sup> V. Sorín,<sup>1</sup> M. Sosebee,<sup>57</sup> N. Sotnikova,<sup>23</sup> K. Soustruznik,<sup>6</sup> M. Souza,<sup>2</sup> N.R. Stanton,<sup>42</sup> G. Steinbrück,<sup>50</sup> D. Stoker,<sup>31</sup> V. Stolin,<sup>22</sup> A. Stone,<sup>43</sup> D.A. Stoyanova,<sup>24</sup> M.A. Strang,<sup>57</sup> M. Strauss,<sup>55</sup> M. Strovink,<sup>28</sup> L. Stutte,<sup>34</sup> A. Sznajder,<sup>3</sup> M. Talby,<sup>10</sup> W. Taylor,<sup>52</sup> S. Tentindo-Repond,<sup>33</sup> S.M. Tripathi,<sup>29</sup> T.G. Trippe,<sup>28</sup> A.S. Turcot,<sup>53</sup> P.M. Tuts,<sup>50</sup> R. Van Kooten,<sup>38</sup> V. Vaniev,<sup>24</sup> N. Varelas,<sup>35</sup> L.S. Vertogradov,<sup>21</sup> F. Villeneuve-Seguier,<sup>10</sup> A.A. Volkov,<sup>24</sup> A.P. Vorobiev,<sup>24</sup> H.D. Wahl,<sup>33</sup> Z.-M. Wang,<sup>52</sup> J. Warchol,<sup>39</sup> G. Watts,<sup>61</sup> M. Wayne,<sup>39</sup> H. Weerts,<sup>48</sup> A. White,<sup>57</sup> J.T. White,<sup>58</sup> D. Whiteson,<sup>28</sup> D.A. Wijngaarden,<sup>20</sup> S. Willis,<sup>36</sup> S.J. Wimpenny,<sup>32</sup> J. Womersley,<sup>34</sup> D.R. Wood,<sup>46</sup> Q. Xu,<sup>47</sup> R. Yamada,<sup>34</sup> P. Yamin,<sup>53</sup> T. Yasuda,<sup>34</sup> Y.A. Yatsunenko,<sup>21</sup> K. Yip,<sup>53</sup> S. Youssef,<sup>33</sup> J. Yu,<sup>57</sup> M. Zanabria,<sup>5</sup> X. Zhang,<sup>55</sup> H. Zheng,<sup>39,@</sup> B. Zhou,<sup>47</sup> Z. Zhou,<sup>40</sup> M. Zielinski,<sup>51</sup> D. Zieminska,<sup>38</sup> A. Zieminski,<sup>38</sup> V. Zutshi,<sup>36</sup> E.G. Zverev,<sup>23</sup> and A. Zylberstejn<sup>13</sup>

## (DØ Collaboration)

<sup>1</sup>Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup>LAFEX, Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, People's Republic of China

<sup>5</sup> Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

<sup>6</sup>Charles University, Center for Particle Physics, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>7</sup>Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Center for Particle Physics, Prague, Czech Republic <sup>8</sup>Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

<sup>9</sup>Institut des Sciences Nucléaires, IN2P3-CNRS, Universite de Grenoble 1, Grenoble, France

<sup>0</sup> CPPM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

<sup>11</sup>Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, IN2P3-CNRS, Orsay, France

<sup>12</sup>LPNHE, Universités Paris VI and VII, IN2P3-CNRS, Paris, France

<sup>13</sup>DAPNIA/Service de Physique des Particules, CEA, Saclay, France

<sup>14</sup> Universität Mainz, Institut für Physik, Mainz, Germany

<sup>15</sup>Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

<sup>16</sup>Delhi University, Delhi, India

<sup>17</sup> Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India

<sup>18</sup>CINVESTAV, Mexico City, Mexico

<sup>19</sup> FOM-Institute NIKHEF and University of Amsterdam/NIKHEF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>20</sup> University of Nijmegen/NIKHEF, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>21</sup> Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

<sup>22</sup>Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

<sup>23</sup>Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

<sup>24</sup>Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

<sup>25</sup>Lancaster University, Lancaster, United Kingdom

<sup>26</sup>Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

<sup>27</sup> University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

<sup>28</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>29</sup> University of California, Davis, California 95616

<sup>30</sup>California State University, Fresno, California 93740

<sup>31</sup> University of California, Irvine, California 92697

<sup>32</sup> University of California, Riverside, California 92521

<sup>33</sup>Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306

<sup>34</sup> Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

<sup>35</sup>University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60607

<sup>36</sup>Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115

<sup>37</sup>Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208

<sup>38</sup>Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

<sup>39</sup> University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

<sup>®</sup>Now at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

<sup>40</sup>Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

<sup>41</sup>University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045

<sup>42</sup>Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506

<sup>43</sup>Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, Louisiana 71272

<sup>44</sup> University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

<sup>45</sup>Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215

<sup>46</sup>Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts 02115

<sup>47</sup> University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

<sup>48</sup> Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 <sup>49</sup> University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588

<sup>50</sup> Columbia University, New York, New York 10027 <sup>51</sup>University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

<sup>52</sup>State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794

<sup>53</sup>Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

<sup>54</sup>Langston University, Langston, Oklahoma 73050

<sup>55</sup> University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019

<sup>56</sup>Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912

<sup>57</sup> University of Texas, Arlington, Texas 76019

<sup>58</sup> Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 <sup>59</sup> Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005

<sup>60</sup> University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901 <sup>61</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195 We present a search for large extra dimensions (ED) in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.8 TeV using data collected by the DØ detector at the Fermilab Tevatron in 1994-1996. Data corresponding to  $78.8 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  are examined for events with large missing transverse energy, one high-p<sub>T</sub> jet, and no isolated muons. With no excess beyond the background prediction from the standard model, we place limits on the fundamental Planck scale of 1 TeV (0.6 TeV) for 2 (7) ED.

The standard model (SM) of particle physics is a spectacular scientific achievement, with nearly every prediction confirmed to a high degree of precision. Nevertheless, the SM still has unresolved unappealing characteristics, including the problem of a large hierarchy in the gauge forces, with gravity being a factor of  $10^{33}$  –  $10^{38}$  weaker than the other three. A new framework for solving the hierarchy problem was proposed recently by Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos, and Dvali [1], through the introduction of large compactified extra spatial dimensions in which only gravitons propagate. In the presence of n of these extra dimensions, the fundamental Planck scale in 4 + n dimensions is lowered to the TeV range, i.e., to a value comparable to the scale that characterizes the other three forces, thereby eliminating the puzzling hierarchy.

The radius (R) of the compactified extra dimensions can be expressed as a function of a fundamental Planck scale,  $M_D \approx 1$  TeV, the number of extra dimensions n, and the usual Planck scale  $M_{\rm Pl} = 1/\sqrt{G_N}$ . Assuming compactification on a torus, the relationship is [2]:

$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{8\pi}M_D} (M_{\rm Pl}/M_D)^{2/n}.$$

The value n = 1 is ruled out by the  $1/r^2$  dependence of the gravitational force at large distances. The current limits from tests of gravity [3], as well as stringent astrophysical and cosmological bounds [4], have significantly constrained the case of two extra dimensions. For n > 2, the constraints from direct gravitational measurements and cosmological observations are relatively weak, however, high-energy colliders can provide effective ways to test such models of large ED.

In the framework of large ED, the strength of gravity in four dimensions is enhanced through a large number of graviton excitations, or Kaluza-Klein modes  $(G_{\rm KK})$  [5] at high energies. This leads to new phenomena predicted for high energy collisions [2, 6]: virtual graviton exchange and direct graviton emission. Virtual graviton exchange leads to anomalous difermion and diboson production, and searches for these effects have been pursued at the Tevatron [7], LEP [8], and HERA [9]. For real graviton emission, since the graviton escapes detection, the signature involves large missing transverse energy  $\not{E}_T$  accompanying a single jet or a vector boson at large transverse momentum. LEP experiments [8] and the CDF collaboration [10] have recently set limits on  $M_D$  based on  $\gamma + G_{\rm KK}$  production. In this Letter, we report the results of the first search for large ED in the jet +  $\not{E}_T$  channel. The advantage of this channel is its relatively large cross section, with the tradeoff of large background. Besides  $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})$  + jets, which is the irreducible background, there are various instrumental backgrounds from mismeasurement of, e.g., jet  $E_T$ , vertex position, undetected leptons, cosmic rays, etc. The data used for this search were collected in 1994 – 1996 by the DØ collaboration [11] at the Fermilab Tevatron, using proton-antiproton collisions at a center-ofmass energy of 1.8 TeV. This sample, representing an integrated luminosity of 78.8 ± 3.9 pb<sup>-1</sup>, was obtained using  $\not{E}_T$  triggers with thresholds between 35 and 50 GeV.

The DØ detector [11] consists of three major components: an inner detector for tracking charged particles, a uranium/liquid-argon calorimeter for measuring electromagnetic and hadronic showers, and a muon spectrometer consisting of magnetized iron toroids and three layers of drift tubes. Jets are measured with an energy resolution of approximately  $\sigma(E)/E = 0.8/\sqrt{E}$  (Ein GeV).  $\not{E}_T$  is measured with a resolution of  $\sigma(\not{E}_T) =$  $a+b\times S_T+c\times S_T^2$ , where  $S_T$  is the scalar sum of all transverse energies in the calorimenter,  $a = 1.89 \pm 0.05$  GeV, b = $(6.7 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $c = (9.9 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$  GeV<sup>-1</sup> [12].

We select events containing one central (detector pseudorapidity  $|\eta_d| \leq 1.0$  [13]) high- $E_T$  jet  $(j_1)$  and large  $\not\!\!E_T$ , both values > 150 GeV. Since there can be initial or final-state radiation (ISR or FSR), secondary jets can also be present. To increase signal efficiency, we allow for additional jets in the event, but require the second jet  $(j_2)$  to have  $E_T(j_2) < 50$  GeV, which reduces the dijet background, while retaining the signal containing ISR or FSR. In addition, we reject events with isolated muons,  $\Delta \mathcal{R}(j_1, \mu) > 0.5$ , to suppress W or Z production with a muon in the final state as well as to reduce the background from cosmic rays. (The separation between objects is defined as  $\Delta \mathcal{R} = \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$ , where  $\eta$  is the pseudorapidity and  $\phi$  is the azimuthal angle.) Backgrounds with isolated electrons are expected to be small, and we therefore do not need special criteria to suppress electrons. We also require  $\Delta \phi(j_2, E_T) > 15^\circ$ , to reduce the background from mismeasured jets in multijet ("QCD") events. A calorimeter-based cosmic-ray criterion is used to reject events containing cosmic rays either with the photons emitted at the junction between the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, or events with minimum-ionizing energy deposited by the cosmic muons. Jet "pointing", based on tracking information in the jet, is used to confirm the longitudinal position of the

primary vertex by requiring that  $\Delta z(j_1$ -vertex, primaryvertex)  $\leq 10$  cm. This suppresses background from cosmic rays as well as from misvertexed events. The requirements on  $\eta_d$  of the leading jet and on the event vertex confirmation are chosen to maximize the significance of signal relative to background. A total of 38 events remain in the data sample after all selections.

The PYTHIA Monte Carlo (MC) generator [14], with implementation of the ED signal via Ref. [15], is used to generate signal events, including the parton-level subprocesses  $qg \rightarrow qG_{\rm KK}, q\bar{q} \rightarrow gG_{\rm KK}$ , and  $gg \rightarrow gG_{\rm KK}$ . This is followed by the DØ fast detector simulation QSIM [16]. The signal is simulated for 2 to 7 extra dimensions, with  $M_D$  ranging from 600 GeV to 1400 GeV in 200 GeV steps. Signal acceptance varies from about 5% to 8%, depending on the values of n and  $M_D$ . The 13% uncertainty on acceptance is limited by the size of the MC samples, and is of the same order as the uncertainty from the jet energy scale [17], which is about 5% to 12%. The CTEQ3M set of parton distribution functions (PDFs) [18] is used for signal, and there is an uncertainty of about 3% to 5% from the choice of PDF.

The SM background from W and Z-boson production is also modeled by PYTHIA, followed by the QSIM detector simulation. We normalize the W and Z production cross sections to the published DØ measurements in the electron channel [19]. The sources of background are detailed in Table I. With our event selection, the contribution from other than  $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})$  + jets is small, and the background from all W and Z sources is estimated as  $30.2\pm6.4$ events. The dominant uncertainty on the  $Z(\nu\bar{\nu})$  + jets background estimate is from the jet energy scale. The residual background from mismeasured multijet events and cosmic muons is estimated from data, using the uncorrelated  $\Delta z$  and  $\Delta \phi$  variables described above: we define four data samples, depending on whether the events pass or fail the above criteria; we then normalize the events that fail the event vertex confirmation to the candidate sample, using the ratio of the number of events in the two data samples within  $\Delta \phi(j_2, E_T) \leq 15^\circ$ ; the background from QCD and cosmic rays in the candidate sample is thereby estimated as:

$$N_{\rm QCD + cosmics} = N_{\Delta\phi>15^{\circ}}^{\Delta z>10} \times N_{\Delta\phi\leq15^{\circ}}^{\Delta z\leq10} / N_{\Delta\phi\leq15^{\circ}}^{\Delta z>10},$$

İ

which corresponds to  $7.8 \pm 7.1$  events. The uncertainty is due primarily to low statistics of the data samples. The total background estimate is  $38 \pm 10$  events, and is dominated by the irreducible background from  $Z(\nu \bar{\nu})+$ jets. As shown in Fig. 1, the  $\not{E}_T$  distribution in the data is consistent with that expected for background. Closer examination of the event with  $\not{E}_T$  near 450 GeV reveals that the energy deposited by the jet is concentrated in only three calorimeter layers, typical of Bremsstrahlung from a cosmic muon, rather than from a true jet. Nevertheless, the event is kept in the candidate sample, as it passes all *a priori* selection criteria. From extrapolation,

Background	N		
$Z(\nu\nu)$ + jets	$21.0 \pm 5.1$		
Z(ee)+ jets	< 0.01		
$Z(\mu\mu)$ + jets	$0.01\pm0.01$		
$Z(\tau\tau)$ (+ jets)	< 0.09		
$W(e\nu)$ + jets	$3.1\pm0.7$		
$W(\mu\nu)$ + jets	$0.8\pm0.3$		
$W(\tau\nu)$ (+ jets)	$5.2\pm2.3$		
QCD and cosmics	$7.8\pm7.1$		
Total background	$38.0\pm9.6$		
Data	38		

we expect about  $0.2 \pm 0.2$  background events for  $\not{\!\! E}_T > 300$  GeV.

As a cross check of our background estimate, we define a data sample with the less stringent requirements while maintaining roughly the same  $E_T(j_1)/E_T(j_2)$ :  $\not\!\!E_T$  and  $E_T(j_1) > 115$  GeV and  $E_T(j_2) < 40$  GeV, and estimate the background in this sample using the same techniques as described above. This yields an expectation of  $105 \pm$ 16 W/Z + jets events and  $16 \pm 9$  QCD and cosmic ray events, consistent with the 127 events observed in the data sample. The  $\not\!\!E_T$  distributions for this sample and for the expected background are shown in Fig. 2.



FIG. 1: Comparison of data (points with error bars), background prediction (dashed histogram), and combined signal  $(n = 2, M_D = 800 \text{ GeV})$  and background predictions (dotted histogram) for  $\not\!\!E_T$ , with  $\not\!\!E_T$  and  $E_T(j_1) > 150 \text{ GeV}$  and  $E_T(j_2) < 50 \text{ GeV}$ .

In the absence of evidence for large ED, we calculate upper limits on the cross section for contributions from the processes beyond the SM. Using a Bayesian approach



FIG. 2: Comparison of data (points with error bars) with the background prediction (solid histogram) for  $\not\!\!E_T$ , with  $\not\!\!E_T$  and  $E_T(j_1) > 115$  GeV and  $E_T(j_2) < 40$  GeV.

[20], we set limits using the leading-order (LO) cross sections, as well as possible effects of next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections, approximated via a constant K-factor of 1.34 [21], typical of similar processes, e.g., direct photon production. There are no NLO calculations for direct graviton emission which exist to date. The limits with the K-factor must be regarded as very rough approximations that only provide a measure of sensitivity to the unknown effects of NLO. The limits on the cross section can be interpreted as lower limits on the fundamental Planck scale  $M_D$  for different integer values of n, as listed in Table II. The exclusion contours at 95% confidence level, and a comparison with limits from LEP and CDF for the single-photon channel [8, 10], are shown in Fig. 3. While the DØ limits are slightly below those from LEP at low values of n, the sensitivity of the monojet search exceeds LEP sensitivity at large n, due to the higher center-ofmass energy at the Tevatron. The limits correspond to compactification radii ranging from R < 0.6 mm (n = 2)to R < 9 fm (n = 7) without correcting for the K-factor, and R < 0.5 mm (n = 2) to R < 9 fm (n = 7) with NLO effects taken into account. For all n, the sensitivity in the single-photon channel at the Tevatron is not as high as in the monojet channel, as the comparison with the CDF limits in 3 demonstrates.

TABLE II: 95% C.L. exclusion limits on  $M_D$ .

n	2	3	4	5	6	7
$M_D$ limit without K-factor	0.89	0.73	0.68	0.64	0.63	0.62
scaling $(TeV)$						
$M_D$ limit with K-factor	0.99	0.80	0.73	0.66	0.65	0.63
scaling (TeV)						



FIG. 3: The 95% C.L. exclusion contour on the fundamental Planck scale  $(M_D)$  and number of extra dimensions (n) for monojet production at DØ (solid line). Dashed curves correspond to limits from LEP, and the dotted curve is the limit from CDF, both for  $\gamma + G_{\rm KK}$  production.

In summary, we have performed the first search for large extra dimensions in the monojet channel. With no evidence for large extra dimensions, we set 95% confidence level lower limits on the fundamental Planck scale between 0.6 and 1.0 TeV, depending on the number of extra dimensions. Our limits are complementary to those obtained at LEP in the single photon channel, and are most restrictive on large extra dimensions to date for n > 5.

We thank Konstantin Matchev for providing us with the PYTHIA code for simulation of signal, and for many helpful discussions. We also thank the staffs at Fermilab and collaborating institutions, and acknowledge support from the Department of Energy and National Science Foundation (USA), Commissariat à L'Energie Atomique and CNRS/Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (France), Ministry for Science and Technology and Ministry for Atomic Energy (Russia), CAPES and CNPq (Brazil), Departments of Atomic Energy and Science and Education (India), Colciencias (Colombia), CONACyT (Mexico), Ministry of Education and KOSEF (Korea), CONICET and UBACyT (Argentina), The Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (The Netherlands), PPARC (United Kingdom), Ministry of Education (Czech Republic), A.P. Sloan Foundation, and the Research Corporation.

- [\*] Visitor from University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- [†] Visitor from Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, Poland.
- N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 429, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G.R. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B 436, 257 (1998); N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G.R. Dvali, Phys. Rev. D 59, 086004 (1999).
- G. Giudice, R. Rattazzi, and J. Wells, Nucl. Phys. B544, 3 (1999) and revised version 2, e-print hep-ph/9811291.
- [3] C.D. Hoyle et al. (Eöt-Wash Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1418 (2001); E.G. Adelberger et al. (Eöt-Wash Collaboration), e-print hep-ex/0202008; J. Chiaverini, S.J. Smullin, A.A. Geraci, D.M. Weld, and A. Kapitulnik, e-print hep-ph/0209325; J.C. Long, et al., e-print hep-ph/0210004.
- [4] S. Cullen and M. Perelstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 268 (1999); L. Hall and D. Smith, Phys. Rev. D 60, 085008 (1999); V.D. Barger, T. Han, C. Kao, and R.J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 461, 34 (1999); M. Fairbairn, Phys. Lett. B 508, 335 (2001); C. Hanhart, J.A. Pons, D.R. Phillips, and S. Reddy, Phys. Lett. B 509, 1 (2001); C. Hanhart, D.R. Phillips, S. Reddy, and M.J. Savage, Nucl. Phys. B595, 335 (2001); S. Hannestad and G.G. Raffelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 071301 (2002); M. Fairbairn and L.M. Griffiths, JHEP 0202, 024 (2002).
- [5] Th. Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Klasse, 996 (1921); O. Klein, Z. F. Physik **37**, 895 (1926); O. Klein, Nature **118**, 516 (1926).
- [6] T. Han, J.D. Lykken, and R.-J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 59, 105006 (1999) and revised version 4, e-print hep-ph/9811350; E.A. Mirabelli, M. Perelstein, and M.E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2236 (1999);

J.L. Hewett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4765 (1999).

- [7] B. Abbott *et al.* (DØ Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett.
  86, 1156 (2001); J. Zhou, e-print hep-ex/ 0205098.
- [8] For a summary of current collider limits, see, e.g. D. Bourilkov, e-print hep-ex/0103039; G. Landsberg, e-print hep-ex/0105039; C. Pagliarone, e-print hep-ex/0111063v2.
- C. Adloff *et al.* (H1 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B479, 358 (2000); AF. Zarnecki, in Proc. Conf. on Higgs and Supersymmetry, Orsay, March 19-21, 2001.
- [10] D. Acosta *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), e-print hep-ex/0205057.
- [11] S. Abachi *et al.* (DØ Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., A **338**, 185 (1994).
- [12] M. Paterno, Ph.D. Thesis, SUNY at Stony Brook, 1994 (unpublished).
- [13] Detector pseudorapidity  $\eta_d$  is defined as  $-\ln(\tan(\theta_d/2))$ , where  $\theta_d$  is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam, as measured relative to the center of the detector.
- [14] T. Sjöstrand, P. Edén, C. Friberg, L. Lönnblad, G. Miu, S. Mrenna and E. Norrbin, Computer Physics Commun. 135 (2001) 238. We used PYTHIA version 6.1.
- [15] J. Lykken and K. Matchev, private communication.
- [16] R.J. Genik II, Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, 1998 (unpublished).
- [17] B. Abbott, et al. (DØ Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., A 424, 352 (1999).
- [18] H.L. Lai *et al.* (CTEQ Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D. 51, 4763 (1995); *ibid.* D 55, 1280 (1997).
- [19] B. Abbott *et al.* (DØ Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **513**, 292 (2001); B. Abbott *et al.* (DØ Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2792 (2000); *ibid.* **84**, 5710 (2000).
- [20] I. Bertram *et al.*, Fermilab-TM-2104 (2000).
- [21] R. Harnberg, W.L. Van Neerven, and T. Matsura, Nucl. Phys. B359, 343 (1991).