NONEXTENDED QUADRATIC FORMS OVER POLYNOMIAL RINGS OVER POWER SERIES RINGS

RAMAN PARIMALA

ABSTRACT. If R is a complete discrete valuation ring, then every quadratic space over R[T] is extended from R. We here show by an example that a corresponding result for higher-dimensional complete regular local rings is not valid.

It was proved in [3] that if R is any complete discrete valuation ring, every quadratic space over R[T] is extended from R. We show in this note that if $R = \mathbf{R}[[X, Y]]$, there exist anisotropic quadratic spaces over R[T] which are not extended from R. This is in contrast to a result of Mohan Kumar and Lindel in the linear case [2, Theorem 5.1, p. 150].

Let $R = \mathbb{R}[[X, Y]]$, R denoting the field of real numbers. Let H be the quaternions over \mathbb{R} and $A = \mathbb{H}[[X, Y]]$ the ring of formal power series in the variables X and Y over H. We have an A[T]-linear map $A[T]^2 \rightarrow A[T]$ defined by $(1, 0) \rightarrow XT + i$, $(0, 1) \rightarrow YT + j$ which is clearly a surjection. Let P be the kernel of η .

PROPOSITION. The module P is nonfree projective over A[T].

PROOF. The first projection of $A[T]^2$ onto A[T] maps P isomorphically onto the left ideal \mathfrak{A} of A[T] generated by $1 + Y^2T^2$ and $1 + (iX + jY)T - kXYT^2$ [4, p. 143]. We prove that P is not free by showing that \mathfrak{A} is not principal. We note first that \mathfrak{A} is not the unit ideal since it is generated modulo X by 1 + jYT which is not a unit in H[[Y]][T]. Suppose \mathfrak{A} is principal, generated by f. Then $\deg_T f < 2$. If $\deg_T f = 0$, then it follows that \mathfrak{A} is the unit ideal, which is not the case. If $\deg_T f = 2$, then $1 + Y^2T^2$ and $1 + (iX + jY)T - kXYT^2$ are unit left multiples of each other, which is again not possible. Let $\deg_T f = 1$ and f = a + bT, $a, b \in H[[X, Y]]$. Then a is a unit and we assume a = 1 so that f = 1 + bT, $b \in H[[X, Y]]$. We then have

$$1 + Y^2 T^2 = (1 + cT)(1 + bT),$$

$$1 + (iX + jY)T - kXYT^2 = (1 + dT)(1 + bT)$$

From the first equation we get c = -b, $-b^2 = Y^2$. This implies that $b = \lambda Y$, $\lambda \in H[[X, Y]]$. From the second equation we get d + b = iX + jY, db = -kXY so that we have

$$(iX + jY)\lambda = -(kX + Y).$$

Received by the editors September 4, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 15A63, 18F25.

Key words and phrases. Projective modules, quadratic spaces, extendibility.

^{© 1981} American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/81/0000-0502/\$01.50

If $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 X + \lambda_2 Y + \dots$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{H}$, we have $i\lambda_0 = -k$, $j\lambda_0 = -1$, a contradiction, which proves the proposition.

The reduced norm on P [1, Theorem 2.1] gives rise to a quadratic space of rank 4 and discriminant 1 over R[T]. This space is anisotropic and not extended from R (in fact indecomposable) in view of [1, Theorem 4.6].

References

1. M. A. Knus, M. Ojanguren and R. Sridharan, Quadratic forms and Azumaya algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 303/304 (1978), 231-248.

2. T. Y. Lam, Serre's conjecture, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 635, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978.

3. Raman Parimala, Quadratic forms over polynomial rings over Dedekind domains, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 913-928.

4. S. Parimala and R. Sridharan, Projective modules over polynomial rings over division rings, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 15 (1975), 129-148.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400 005, INDIA