

ON GF STRUCTURE MANIFOLD

BY R. S. MISHRA AND H. B. PANDEY

(Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi)

Received December 3, 1973

1. INTRODUCTION

LET us consider a differentiable manifold M_n of class C^∞ . Let there exist on M_n a vector valued linear function F of class C^∞ such that

$$\bar{X} = a^2 X \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\bar{X} = F(X) \quad (1.2)$$

and a is any number. Then F is said to give a differentiable structure briefly GF structure to M_n defined by (1.1). It is well known that M_n is endowed with an almost product structure or an almost complex structure or an almost tangent structure according as $a = 1, -1$ or $a = i, -i$ or $a = 0, 1$.

If the given GF structure is endowed with a Hermite metric g such that

$$g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + a^2 g(X, Y) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

then we say that (F, g) gives to M_n a Hermite structure briefly H-structure subordinate to GF structure¹.

Note (1.1).—In this paper we will take ' a ' such that $a^4 = 1$.

Definition (1.1).—A connection D in M_n equipped with a GF structure will be called a F -connection³ if

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.4) this equation is equivalent to

$$D_X \bar{Y} = D_X Y, \quad D_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} = \overline{D_X Y}$$

$$\overline{D_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}} = a^2 D_{\bar{X}} Y, \quad \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} = a^2 D_X Y.$$

Definition (1.2).—A connection D in M_n equipped with a GF structure will be called a semi F-connection if³

$$(\operatorname{div} F)(X) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\operatorname{div} F)(\bar{X}) = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

Definition (1.3).—A connection D in M_n equipped with an H structure³ will be called an almost F connection if

$$(D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(Z, X) + (D_Z' F)(X, Y) = 0$$

where 'F' is a tensor of type (0, 2)

$$'F(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, Y). \quad (1.6)$$

Let S be the torsion tensor of D

$$S(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y] \quad (1.7)$$

then D is called half symmetric if³

$$a^2 S(X, Y) + S(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + \overline{S(\bar{X}, Y)} + \overline{S(X, \bar{Y})} = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

or equivalently

$$a^2 \overline{S(X, Y)} + \overline{S(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})} + a^2 S(\bar{X}, Y) + a^2 S(X, \bar{Y}) = 0.$$

The torsion tensors S and s of the respective connections D and E are related by

$$S(X, Y) - s(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - E_X Y + E_Y X. \quad (1.9)$$

Remark (1.1).—Taking $\hat{a} = i$ or $-i$ in (1.8) we get the definition of half symmetric connection D in almost complex manifold.

Definition (1.4).—In GF structure manifold a connection D is called 0^* connection if

$$(D_X F)(Y) + (D_{\bar{X}} F)(Y) = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.10) we get

$$D_X Y - D_{\bar{X}} Y + a^2 D_{\bar{X}} Y - \overline{D_X Y} = 0$$

or equivalently

$$D_{\bar{x}}\bar{Y} - \overline{D_x Y} + D_x Y - a^2 \overline{D_x \bar{Y}} = 0.$$

THEOREM (1.1).—If D and E are related as

$$\begin{aligned} D_x Y &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \phi E_x Y + \theta E_x \bar{Y} + \sigma E_{\bar{x}} Y + \rho E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \alpha E_x \bar{Y} + \beta E_x Y \\ &\quad + \gamma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \delta E_{\bar{x}} Y \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

then the connection D defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_x Y &= (a^2 \alpha + \delta - \rho) E_x Y + (\beta + \gamma - a^2 \sigma) E_x \bar{Y} + \sigma E_{\bar{x}} Y \\ &\quad + \rho E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \alpha E_x \bar{Y} + \beta E_x Y + \gamma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \delta E_{\bar{x}} Y \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

is 0* connection.

Proof.—From (1.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_x \bar{Y} &= \phi E_x \bar{Y} + a^2 \theta E_x Y + \sigma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + a^2 \rho E_{\bar{x}} Y + a^2 \alpha E_x Y \\ &\quad + \beta E_x \bar{Y} + a^2 \gamma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \delta E_{\bar{x}} Y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_x Y} &= \phi E_x \bar{Y} + \theta E_x Y + \sigma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \rho E_{\bar{x}} Y + a^2 \alpha E_x \bar{Y} + a^2 \beta E_x Y \\ &\quad + a^2 \gamma E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + a^2 \delta E_{\bar{x}} Y \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_x F)(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha) (E_x \bar{Y} - \overline{E_x Y}) + (\theta - \beta) (a^2 E_x Y - \overline{E_x Y}) \\ &\quad + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma) (E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} - \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y}) + (\rho - \delta) (a^2 E_{\bar{x}} Y - \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

Barring X and Y in (1.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\bar{x}} F)(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha) (a^2 E_{\bar{x}} Y - \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y}) + (\theta - \beta) (a^2 E_x \bar{Y} \\ &\quad - a^2 \overline{E_x \bar{Y}}) + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma) (E_x Y - a^2 \overline{E_x \bar{Y}}) + (\rho - \delta) \\ &\quad \times (E_x \bar{Y} - \overline{E_x Y}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

Adding (1.15) and (1.16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (D_X F)(Y) + (D_{\bar{X}} F)(\bar{Y}) \\
 &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha + \rho - \delta) (E_X \bar{Y} - \overline{E_X Y} + a^2 E_{\bar{X}} Y - \overline{E_{\bar{X}} Y}) \\
 &+ (\theta - \beta + a^2 \sigma - \gamma) (a^2 E_X Y - \overline{E_X Y} + a^2 E_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y} - a^2 \overline{E_{\bar{X}} \bar{Y}})
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

If D is 0^* connection then the left hand side is zero hence we get

$$\phi = a^2 \alpha - \rho + \delta \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\theta = \beta + \gamma - a^2 \sigma. \tag{1.19}$$

Putting ϕ and θ in (1.11) we get (1.12).

THEOREM (1.2).— 0^* connection is semi F connection if and only if GF structure manifold is almost complex manifold.

Proof.—Let the connection D be 0^* connection. We have^s

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{Div } F)(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha - a^2 \rho + a^2 \delta) (\text{div } F)(Y) \\
 &+ (\theta - \beta - \sigma + a^2 \gamma) (\text{div } F)(\bar{Y}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

Let GF structure manifold be almost complex manifold then in that case we have

$$a = \pm i. \tag{1.21}$$

For these values of ' a ' we get in consequence of (1.18), (1.19) and (1.20)

$$(\text{Div } F)(Y) = 0$$

hence D is semi F -connection.

Conversely let D be semi F -connection, then we get

$$(\text{Div } F)(Y) = 0.$$

Hence from (1.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad \phi &= a^2 \alpha + a^2 \rho - a^2 \delta \\
 (b) \quad &= \beta + \sigma - a^2 \gamma.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

Thus we see that for $a = \pm i$ only (1.22) (a) and (b), Coincide with (1.18) and (1.19). Hence if 0^* connection is semi F-connection the manifold is almost complex.

THEOREM (1.3).—The condition for an 0^* connection to be an F-connection or an M-connection or an almost F-connection is

$$\phi = a^2 \alpha, \quad \theta = \beta, \quad \sigma = a^2 \gamma, \quad \rho = \delta. \quad (1.23)$$

Proof.—The connection D is the most general F-connection if³

$$\phi = a^2 \alpha, \quad \theta = \beta, \quad \sigma = a^2 \gamma, \quad \rho = \delta. \quad (1.24)$$

The statement follows from (1.18), (1.19) and (1.24).

2. N-CONNECTION

Let us define a connection in M_n as follows:

Definition (2.1).—A connection D in M_n equipped with a GF structure will be called a N-connection if

$$(a) \quad (D_{\bar{x}}F)(Y) + \overline{(D_x F)(Y)} = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

equivalently

$$(b) \quad D_{\bar{x}}\bar{Y} + \overline{D_x Y} - a^2 D_x Y - \overline{D_{\bar{x}} Y} = 0.$$

THEOREM (2.1).—If D and E are related as (1.11), then the connection D defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_x Y &= (a^2 \alpha + a^2 \rho - a^2 \delta) E_x Y + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma + \beta) E_x \bar{Y} + \sigma E_{\bar{x}} Y \\ &\quad + \rho E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} + \alpha E_x \bar{Y} + \beta \bar{E}_x Y + \gamma \bar{E}_{\bar{x}} Y + \delta \bar{E}_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

is N-connection.

Proof.—From (1.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\bar{x}}F)(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha) (E_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y} - \bar{E}_{\bar{x}} Y) + (\theta - \beta) (a^2 E_{\bar{x}} Y - \bar{E}_{\bar{x}} \bar{Y}) \\ &\quad + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma) (a^2 E_x \bar{Y} - a^2 \bar{E}_x Y) + (\rho - \delta) \\ &\quad \times (E_x Y - a^2 \bar{E}_x \bar{Y}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{D_x F})(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha) (\overline{E_x Y} - a^2 E_x Y) + (\theta - \beta) \\ &\quad \times (\rho^2 \overline{E_x Y} - a^2 E_x \overline{Y}) + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma) \\ &\quad \times (\overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} - a^2 \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y}) + (\rho - \delta) (a^2 \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} - a^2 \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Adding (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{D_x F})(Y) + (\overline{D_{\bar{x}} F})(Y) &= (\phi - a^2 \alpha - a^2 \rho + a^2 \delta) (\overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} - \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} + \overline{E_x Y} - a^2 E_x Y) \\ &\quad + (\sigma - a^2 \gamma - \theta + \beta) (\overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} - a^2 \overline{E_{\bar{x}} Y} + a^2 E_x \overline{Y} + a^2 \overline{E_x Y}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

D is N connection if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \phi - a^2 \alpha - a^2 \rho + a^2 \delta &= 0 \\ \sigma - a^2 \gamma - \theta + \beta &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad \phi &= a^2 \alpha + a^2 \rho - a^2 \delta \\ (b) \quad \theta &= \sigma - a^2 \gamma + \beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Putting (2.7) in (1.11) we get (2.2).

THEOREM (2.2).— In M_n equipped with GF structure the N connection is semi F-connection.

Proof.— Putting the values of ϕ and θ from (2.7) (a) and (b) in (1.20) we get

$$(\text{Div } F)(Y) = C. \quad (2.8)$$

Hence the connection is semi F-connection.

3. RIEMANNIAN CONNECTION

Let us now suppose that D is Riemannian connection. Barring X in (1.3) we get

$$a^2 g(X, \bar{Y}) + a^2 g(\bar{X}, Y) = 0,$$

Hence

$$'F(X, Y) + 'F(Y, X) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Thus $'F$ is skew symmetric bilinear tensor. Differentiating (1.3) covariantly we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D_x g)(F(Y), F(Z)) + g((D_x F)(Y), F(Z)) + g(F(D_x Y), (FZ)) \\ + g(F(Y), (D_x F)(Z)) + g(F(Y), F(D_x Z)) + a^2 D_x(g)(Y, Z) \\ + a^2 g(D_x Y, Z) + a^2 g(Y, D_x Z) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In consequence of (1.3) and (3.2) we get

$$g((D_x F)(Y), F(Z)) + g(F(Y), (D_x F)(Z)) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

or

$$(D_x F)(Y, \bar{Z}) + (D_x F)(Z, \bar{Y}) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus we have

THEOREM (3.1).—In H structure manifold we have

$$(a) (D_x F)(Y, \bar{Z}) = (D_x F)(\bar{Y}, Z)$$

$$(b) (D_x F)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = a^2 (D_x F)(Y, Z). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof.—(3.5) (a) follows from (3.4) and (3.5) (b) follows from (3.5) (a) by barring Y .

REFERENCES

1. Duggel, K. L. .. "On differentiable structures defined by algebraic equations, Nijenhuis tensor," *Tensor N.S.*, 1971, 22, 238-42.
2. Mishra, R. S. .. "On almost complex manifolds III," *Tensor N.S.*, 1969, 20, 361-66.
3. Duggel, K. L. .. "On differentiable structures defined by algebraic equations, II. F-connection," *Tensor N.S.*, 1971, 22,