

*REPRESENTATIONS OF SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS. II*

BY HARISH-CHANDRA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Communicated by P. A. Smith, April 23, 1951

The object of this note is to announce some further results on representations of a connected semisimple Lie group on a Hilbert space. We shall omit all proofs and assume that the reader is familiar with the contents of an earlier note<sup>1</sup> (quoted henceforward as *RI*).

Let  $R$  and  $C$  denote the fields of real and complex numbers, respectively, and let  $G$  be a connected, simply connected, semisimple Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra over  $R$ . Let  $x \rightarrow Ad(x)$  ( $x \in G$ ) denote the adjoint representation of  $G$  and let  $K$  be the complete inverse image in  $G$  of some maxi-

mal compact subgroup of  $Ad(G)$ . Then  $K$  is a closed connected subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  be the Lie algebra of  $K$  and let  $X \rightarrow adX(X \in \mathfrak{g}_0)$  denote the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Put  $B(X, Y) = sp(adXadY)(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  be the set of all elements  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0$  such that  $B(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{P}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{P}_0 = \{0\}$  and there exists an automorphism  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  over  $R$  such that  $\theta(X + Y) = X - Y$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0, Y \in \mathfrak{P}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{P}_0$ . We extend  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$  to a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  and let  $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{R}}$  be the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{g}$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{P}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{h}_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ , respectively, over  $C$ . We extend the bilinear form  $B$  and the automorphism  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by linearity over  $C$ . Choose bases  $(H_1, \dots, H_p)$  and  $(H_{p+1}, \dots, H_l)$ , respectively, for  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}$  and  $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$  over  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the space of all linear functions on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Given  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  we can find a unique element  $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\lambda(H) = B(H, H_\lambda)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We shall say that  $\lambda$  is real if  $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0} + \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ . Moreover if  $\lambda$  is real and  $H_\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} c_i H_i (c_i \in R)$  we say that  $\lambda > 0$  if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $c_j > 0$  where  $j$  is the least index ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ) such that  $c_j \neq 0$ . We know that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\theta \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}$ . For every root  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$  let  $X_\alpha \neq 0$  denote an element in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[H, X_\alpha] = \alpha(H)X_\alpha (H \in \mathfrak{h})$ . Let  $P$  be the set of all roots  $\alpha > 0$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  let  $\theta\lambda$  denote the linear function given by  $\theta\lambda(H) = \lambda(\theta H) (H \in \mathfrak{h})$ . Then if  $\alpha$  is a root  $\theta\alpha$  is also a root. Let  $P_+$  be the set of all  $\alpha \in P$  such that  $\theta\alpha < 0$  and  $P$  the remaining set of positive roots. Iwasawa<sup>2</sup> has shown that  $X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{L}$  for  $\alpha \in P$ . Put

$$\mathfrak{N} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} CX_\alpha, \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{R}} + \sum_{\alpha \in P} CX_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in P} CX_{-\alpha}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{N}_0 = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{M}_0 = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0} + \mathfrak{N}_0$  where the sum is direct<sup>2</sup> and  $[\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}] \subset \mathfrak{N}, [\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}] = \{0\}$ . Moreover  $\mathfrak{L}$  and  $\mathfrak{M}$  are reductive algebras, i.e., they are the direct sums of their centers and their derived algebras which are semisimple. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{L}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{L}'_0 = [\mathfrak{L}_0, \mathfrak{L}_0]$ . Let  $D, K', A_+, M$  and  $N$ , respectively, be the analytic subgroups of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{C}_0, \mathfrak{L}'_0, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{P}_0}, \mathfrak{M}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ . Then  $M$  is closed and the mappings  $(\gamma, u) \rightarrow \gamma u (\gamma \in D, u \in K')$  and  $(v, h, n) \rightarrow vhn (v \in K, h \in A_+, n \in N)$  are analytic isomorphisms of  $DXK'$  with  $K$  and  $K \times A_+ \times N$  with  $G$ , respectively.

Let  $\Omega, \Omega'$  and  $\omega$ , respectively, be the set of all equivalence classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $K, K'$  and  $M$ . Then if  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}$  it is easily seen that  $\sigma(K')$  is irreducible and  $\sigma(M)$  is fully reducible. We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}'$  the equivalence class of the irreducible representation of  $K'$  defined by  $\sigma$ . Also if  $\delta \in \omega$  we say that  $\delta < \mathfrak{D}$  if  $\delta$  occurs in the reduction of  $\sigma(M)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \delta \in \omega$ . Then  $\alpha$  defines  $\alpha$  repre-

sentation  $\beta$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_0$  and therefore of  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be any two weights of  $\beta$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$ . We extend  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  by defining them to be zero on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{P}$ . Then it is easily seen that  $\lambda - \mu$  is a real linear function on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Hence  $\beta$  has a weight  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda - \mu > 0$  for every other weight  $\mu$ . Clearly  $\lambda$  depends on  $\delta$  alone and we shall call it the highest weight of  $\delta$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $C[x]$  denote the ring of all commutative polynomials in  $l$  independent variables  $x_1, \dots, x_l$  with coefficients in  $C$ . We denote by  $\beta$  the isomorphic mapping of  $C[x]$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  given by  $\beta(x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_l^{m_l}) = H_1^{m_1} \dots H_l^{m_l}$ . For any  $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$  there exists a unique element<sup>3</sup>  $\chi_z(z) \in C[x]$  such that  $z - \beta(\chi_z(z)) \in \sum_{\alpha \in P} \mathfrak{B}X_\alpha$ .  $\Lambda$  being any linear function on  $\mathfrak{h}$  we denote by  $\chi_\Lambda(z)$  the value of the polynomial  $\chi_z(z)$  at  $x_i = \Lambda(H_i) \mid i \leq l$ . Then the mapping  $\chi_\Lambda: z \rightarrow \chi_\Lambda(z) (z \in \mathfrak{Z})$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  into  $C$  and conversely every homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  into  $C$  is of the form<sup>3</sup>  $\chi_\Lambda$  for some  $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P} \alpha$  and let  $W$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then if  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\chi_{\Lambda_1} = \chi_{\Lambda_2}$  if and only if<sup>3</sup>  $s(\Lambda_1 + \rho) = \Lambda_2 + \rho$  for some  $s \in W$ .

We denote by  $\Omega_F$  the set of all  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  for which there exists a finite-dimensional representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}'$  occurs in the reduction of  $\pi(K')$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\pi$  be a quasisimple<sup>1</sup> representation of  $G$  on a Banach space with the infinitesimal character<sup>4</sup>  $\chi$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{D}$  is an element in  $\Omega_F$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}$  occurs<sup>5</sup> in  $\pi$ . Then there exists a linear function  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and a  $\delta \in \omega$  such that  $\delta < \mathfrak{D}$  and  $\chi = \chi_\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda(H) = \lambda_\delta(H) (H \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\lambda_\delta$  is the highest weight of  $\delta$ . Conversely suppose we are given a linear function  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  such that  $\Lambda$  coincides on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}$  with the highest weight  $\lambda_\delta$  of some  $\delta < \mathfrak{D}$  ( $\delta \in \omega$ ). Then there exists a quasisimple irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  on a Hilbert space with the infinitesimal character  $\chi_\Lambda$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}$  occurs in  $\pi$ .*

*Remarks.*—It seems likely that the first part of the above theorem is actually true for all  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  and not merely for  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega_F$ , but so far it has not been possible to prove this. Notice that if  $G$  is a complex semisimple group  $\Omega = \Omega_F$  and so in this case the theorem holds without any restriction on  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  be two quasisimple representations of  $G$  on the Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively. For any  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  let  $\mathfrak{H}_{i, \mathfrak{D}}$  denote the set of all elements in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  which transform<sup>1</sup> under  $\pi_i(K)$  according to  $\mathfrak{D} (i = 1, 2)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{H}_i^0 = \sum_{\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega} \mathfrak{H}_{i, \mathfrak{D}}$ . Then we get a representation  $\pi_i^0$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_i^0$  such that

$$\pi_i^0(X)\psi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \{ \pi_i(\text{expt } X)\psi - \psi \} \quad (\psi \in \mathfrak{H}_i^0, X \in \mathfrak{g}_0, t \in R).$$

We say that  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are infinitesimally equivalent if the representations  $\pi_1^0$  and  $\pi_2^0$  are algebraically equivalent, i.e., if there exists an isomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_1^0$  onto  $\mathfrak{S}_2^0$  such that  $\pi_2^0(b)\alpha\psi = \alpha\pi_1^0(b)\psi$  ( $b \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0$ ). Clearly if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are equivalent they are also infinitesimally equivalent. Conversely it can be shown that if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are both unitary, their infinitesimal equivalence implies their equivalence in the usual sense.

Let  $\pi$  be a quasisimple irreducible representation of  $G$  on a Hilbert space. We know (see Theorem 3 of *RI*) that  $\dim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}} < \infty$  for all  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$ . Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that the subspaces  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}}$  are all mutually orthogonal for distinct  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Let  $E_{\mathfrak{D}}$  denote the orthogonal projection on  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}}$ . Put

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\tau}(x) = sp(E_{\mathfrak{D}}\pi(x)E_{\mathfrak{D}}) \quad (x \in G).$$

Then we can restate Theorem 7 of *RI* in a slightly improved form as follows.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be irreducible quasisimple representations of  $G$  on two Hilbert spaces. Suppose that for some  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  and  $c \in C$ ,  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\pi_1} = c\varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\pi_2} \neq 0$ . Then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are infinitesimally equivalent. Conversely if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are infinitesimally equivalent  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\pi_1} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\pi_2}$  for all  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$ .*

We have seen above that every element  $x \in G$  can be written uniquely in the form  $x = vhn$  ( $v \in K, h \in A_+, n \in N$ ). For any  $v \in K$  and  $x \in G$  let  $v_x$  and  $H(x, v)$  denote the unique elements in  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , respectively, such that  $xv = v_x(\exp H(x, v))n$  for some  $n \in N$ . Moreover let  $\Gamma(v)$  denote the element in  $\mathfrak{C}_0$  such that  $v = (\exp \Gamma(v))u$  for some  $u \in K'$ . Put  $\Gamma(x, v) = \Gamma(v_x) - \Gamma(v)$ . Let  $Z$  be the center of  $G$  and let  $z \rightarrow z^*$  denote the adjoint representation of  $G$ . Then  $K \supset Z$  and  $K^*$  is compact. It is easily seen that  $(va)_x = v_x a, H(x, va) = H(x, v), \Gamma(x, va) = \Gamma(x, v) (a \in Z)$ . Hence we may write  $H(x, v) = H(x, v^*), \Gamma(x, v) = \Gamma(x, v^*)$ . Let  $dv^*$  denote the Haar measure on  $K^*$  such that  $\int_{K^*} dv^* = 1$ . For any  $\mathfrak{D} \in \Omega$  let  $\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}$  denote the linear function on  $\mathfrak{C}$  such that

$$\sigma(\exp \Gamma) = e^{\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}(\Gamma)} \sigma(1) \quad (\Gamma \in \mathfrak{C}_0)$$

for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{D}$ . Also let  $d(\mathfrak{D})$  denote the degree of  $\sigma$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a quasisimple irreducible representation of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{S}$  and let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be an element in  $\Omega$  such that  $d(\mathfrak{D}) = 1$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  occurs<sup>5</sup> in  $\pi$ . Then  $\dim \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{D}} = 1$  and there exists a linear function  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\chi_{\Lambda}$  is the infinitesimal<sup>4</sup> character of  $\pi$  and*

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\tau}(x) = \int_{K^*} e^{\mu_{\mathfrak{D}}(\Gamma(x, v^*))} e^{\Lambda(H(x, v^*))} dv^* \quad (x \in G).$$

<sup>1</sup> Harish-Chandra, *PROC. NATL. ACAD. SCI.*, **37**, 170-173 (1951).

<sup>2</sup> Iwasawa, K., *Ann. Math.*, **50**, 507-558 (1949).

<sup>3</sup> Harish-Chandra, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **70**, 28-96 (1951).

<sup>4</sup> The infinitesimal character of  $\tau$  was called simply the character of  $\pi$  in *RI*.

<sup>5</sup> This means that  $\mathfrak{D}$  occurs in the reduction of  $\pi(K)$ .