Structural, Magnetic and Transport Properties of Ni-Fe-Al Alloys
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\textbf{Abstract.} Melt spun ribbons (MSR) of the Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Al\textsubscript{25} alloy exhibit a first-order martensitic transition (MT) in the vicinity of a second-order ferromagnetic-to-paramagnetic phase transition. Contrasted with a sharp, complete and thermoelastic MT in MSR, a partial, sluggish and non-thermoelastic MT occurs in annealed Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Al\textsubscript{25} alloy that possesses a much higher degree of atomic order. However, the annealed samples have stronger local magnetocrystalline anisotropy and higher Curie temperature ($T_C$) than MSR. Negative magnetoresistance, $\Delta \rho || / \rho$, is two times larger in MSR than in the annealed case. $\Delta \rho || / \rho$ vs. $H$ isotherms in MSR change curvature from concave-upwards to concave-downwards as the temperature is raised through $T_C$ whereas concave-downward curvature persists over the entire temperature range in the annealed counterpart.

\textbf{Introduction}

Thermoelastic martensitic transformation from a body-centered austenite high-temperature phase to monoclinic martensite low-temperature phase and the concomitant shape memory effect have been established recently [1, 2] in a ternary ferromagnetic alloy Ni\textsubscript{55}Fe\textsubscript{20}Al\textsubscript{25} (prepared in different states of site disorder by suitable annealing treatment and by melt-quenching,) based on the results of a detailed neutron diffraction and electrical resistivity investigations. Such a study revealed that (i) the austenite and martensite phases coexist over an extremely wide temperature range (extending from 10 K to $>300$ K upon heating) in the well-ordered (‘annealed’) sample whereas the ‘quenched’ sample (which possesses a high degree of site disorder) exhibits a sharp well-defined martensitic phase transformation at around $T \sim 230$ K, (ii) the characteristic temperatures for the beginning, $T_{Ms}$ ($T_{As}$), and end, $T_{Mf}$ ($T_{Af}$), of the growth of martensite (austenite) phase at the expense of austenite (martensite) phase while cooling (heating) are: $T_{Ms} \approx 260$ K [ill-defined], $T_{Mf} \approx 150$ K [10 K], $T_{As} \approx 170$ K [48 K] and $T_{Af} \approx 280$ K [$>300$ K] for the ‘quenched’ [‘annealed’] sample.

Melt-spun ribbons (MSR) of ferromagnetic shape memory alloys (FSMA) of Heusler-type are gaining increased attention as materials with superior functional properties due to the formation of new metastable and non-equilibrium phases by fine-tuning composition. Recently, excellent thermo-mechanical properties such as abnormally low elastic modulus, record-breaking strength $>350$ MPA and large recoverable strains (up to 5\%) have been reported [3] in the MSR of site-disordered Ni-Fe-Al alloys with B2 structure. These properties are far superior to those of other well-known FSMAs such as Ni-Mn-Ga, Ni-Fe-Ga, Co-Ni-Al, etc., [4 - 6].
In this paper, we present the microstructural results and correlate them with the magnetic and magneto-transport properties in order to gain more physical insight into the role of site disorder in affecting this transformation.

Experimental

Polycrystalline rods with dimensions of 10 mm in diameter and 100 mm in length with nominal composition Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{25}$ were prepared by radio frequency induction-melting technique. Rectangular strips of dimensions 40 x 2.5 x 0.5 mm$^3$ and spheres of 3 mm diameter were spark-cut from the rods. The spark-cut samples were annealed at 520 °C for 16 days in a sealed quartz tube filled with 99.999% purity argon gas and subsequently quenched in ice water. The remaining portions of these rods were melt-quenched to form ribbons of width 2 mm and thickness ~ 30 µm. The “annealed” and “quenched” samples of the Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{25}$ alloy are henceforth referred to as a-Fe$_{20}$ and q-Fe$_{20}$, respectively. More details about the sample preparation and actual sample composition are furnished elsewhere [7]. The morphology and microstructure of q-Fe$_{20}$ and a-Fe$_{20}$ samples have been examined using LEO 440i scanning electron microscope (SEM) with an OXFORD ISIS300 ultracool energy dispersive x-ray silicon (EDS) crystal doped with lithium [Si(Li)] as detector. The annealed sample was mounted on a bakelite mold and polished with emery papers, and finally with cloth and diamond paste with particle size of 1µm to get mirror polish with even surface. The observations were carried out after ultrasonic-cleaning of the sample surface in the unattached condition. The melt-spun ribbons were directly mounted on a conducting copper stub. Qualitative analysis was carried out to identify the individual phases followed by a quantitative analysis for the estimation of the phase composition. Longitudinal magnetoresistance, $\Delta \rho / \rho = [\rho(T,H) - \rho(T,H = 0)] / \rho(T,H = 0)$, versus magnetic field, $H$, isotherms in fields up to 80 kOe and electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)$, were measured (by standard four-probe dc method) in the range of 3 K - 300 K on rectangular strips and ribbons of the length 40 mm. Field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) magnetization measurements were performed on spherical samples (several ribbons each of length of 10 mm) in external magnetic fields, $H$, up to 20 kOe (with $H$ directed along the length in the ribbon plane) and at temperatures ranging from 5 K to 300 K, using Superconducting Quantum Interference Device magnetometer.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 (a), (b) and (c) show the scanning electron micrographs of different regions of the a-Fe$_{20}$ sample at different magnifications, taken in the Back Scattered Electron (BSE) image mode, at room temperature. These micrographs indicate two-phase contrast with dark regions corresponding to the Al-rich/Fe-poor phase and light regions to the Al-poor/Fe-rich phase. By contrast, Fig. 1(d) represents the micrograph for the quenched sample at 12000x magnification where no secondary phase is observed. Thus, q-Fe$_{20}$ is a single-phase material while a-Fe$_{20}$ has a two-phase microstructure. Detailed EDAX analysis of different regions is presented in the table 1 below.

Table 1: Elemental compositions for the annealed and quenched samples of Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{25}$ alloy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ni (at.%)</th>
<th>Al (at.%)</th>
<th>Fe (at.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a-Fe$_{20}$ (Global)</td>
<td>55.0(1)</td>
<td>24.0(5)</td>
<td>21.0(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Fe$_{20}$ (Dark phase)</td>
<td>50.5(6)</td>
<td>28.2(4)</td>
<td>21.3(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a-Fe$_{20}$ (Bright phase)</td>
<td>55.3(2)</td>
<td>15.4(3)</td>
<td>29.3(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q-Fe$_{20}$ (Global)</td>
<td>55.6(4)</td>
<td>23.8(2)</td>
<td>20.6(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The global composition of both the samples matches well with the nominal composition. The detailed analyses of Selective Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) patterns using transmission electron microscopy performed at 300 K confirmed that q-Fe$_{20}$ crystallizes into B2 structure while a-Fe$_{20}$ has two crystalline phases with B2 and L1$_2$ crystal structures at 300 K. Thus, the prolonged annealing at 520 °C results in a phase-segregation of Fe-rich L1$_2$ (bright) phase along the grain boundaries of Al-rich B2 (Dark) matrix phase. These results are in agreement of earlier studies of bulk Ni-Fe-Al alloys [8].

Both the samples undergo first-order MT at lower temperatures, as evidenced from the thermal hysteresis in the electrical resistivity, $\rho(T)$, shown in figure 2. A width of ~ 25 K (~ 100 K) of the thermal hysteresis and a drop of $\rho(T)$ ~ 16 % (~ 2 %) in q-Fe$_{20}$ (a-Fe$_{20}$) across the austenite-martensite phase transformation suggest that a complete and sharp (partial and sluggish) MT occurs and the martensite-austenite phase coexistence region is narrow (very broad). An elaborate analysis of the neutron diffraction patterns taken in the temperature range 50 K to 298 K revealed that B2...
phase [B2 (volume fraction 70%) + L12 (volume fraction 30%)] at 298 K in q-Fe20 [a-Fe20] samples has completely [partially] transformed into 7M monoclinic modulated phase [ B2 (volume fraction 60 %) + L12 ( volume fraction 30%) + 7M (10%)] as T = 50 K is reached. These results are consistent with those reported by us earlier [1, 2].

Figure 3 displays FC-ZFC magnetization, M(T, H), of a-Fe20 and q-Fe20 samples as a function of temperature over the range 5 K ≤ T ≤ 300 K at a few selected values of the external magnetic field, H = 60 Oe, 500 Oe and 1 kOe. The irreversibility in magnetization, observed in both the samples, is mainly due to the anisotropy of ferromagnetic phases [9, 10], however, the thermal hysteresis, due to first-order martensitic transformation, is pronounced only in q-Fe20. The Curie temperature, T_c, as determined from the dip in the temperature derivative of low-field (~ 20 Oe) magnetization, dM(T)/dT, is T_c ≈ 225 K and T_c > 300 K for the q-Fe20 and a-Fe20 samples. A steady increase in magnetization along the ZFC curve at H = 60 Oe in q-Fe20 as the sample temperature increases from 5 K to T_{Ab} = 115 K (identified as the temperature below which M(T, H) has a steep fall in Fig 3(b)), essentially reflects the fact that H becomes more and more effective in polarizing the spins as the magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA) associated with the martensite phase decreases with increasing temperature [1, 9-11]. Note that at H = 0, T_{Ab} = 170 K in q-Fe20. As H increases, the MCA field in q-Fe20 becomes comparable in strength to H at lower and lower temperatures and hence T_{Ab} shifts rapidly to lower temperatures so much so that it falls below 5 K for H = 1 kOe. By contrast, in a-Fe20, M_{ZFC}(T, H = 60 Oe) continues to increase with temperature up to temperatures as high as T ~ 280 K. It is important to note that for H ≥ 0.5 kOe, M_{ZFC}(T, H) in a-Fe20 decreases with increasing temperature (except for temperatures T < T_{Ab} ≈ 18 K, where it increases rapidly) but M(T, H) decreases by less than 20 % in the entire temperature range of 18 K ≤ T ≤ 300 K and T_{Ab} does not depend on H (at least up to H ≤ 1 kOe). These observations suggest that MCA is stronger in a-Fe20 than in q-Fe20.

Figure 4 displays the Δρ/ρ versus H isotherms taken on a-Fe20 and q-Fe20 samples in fields up to 80 kOe at different but fixed values of temperature, T, in the heating cycle. Except at low magnetic fields (< 1 kOe) and low temperatures (< 10 K), where longitudinal magnetoresistance (MR) is positive only in the case of a-Fe20, MR is negative at all the measuring temperatures and magnetic

Fig. 3: FC-ZFC magnetization as a function of temperature at different but fixed magnetic fields (H) for (a) annealed-Fe20 and (b) quenched-Fe20.
fields in both a-Fe_{20} and q-Fe_{20}. MR at T = 3 K and H = 80 kOe is nearly two times larger in q-Fe_{20} than in a-Fe_{20}. Usually, negative MR in ferromagnets finds the following interpretation. The effect of the externally applied magnetic field, H, is to create a gap in the magnetic excitation spectrum and thereby suppress the magnetic excitations. As a consequence, the scattering of conduction electrons from magnetic excitations is reduced in the presence of H and hence resistivity reduces. Considering that the anisotropy field plays the same role as H, lower MCA of ferromagnetic martensite phase in q-Fe_{20} compared to that in a-Fe_{20} results in larger negative MR. In q-Fe_{20} at low temperatures, MR varies linearly with H for H < 10 kOe whereas for H > 40 kOe, the variation of MR with H slows down (see lower panel of Fig.4). As the temperature is raised through the Curie temperature, T \(_{C}\) ≅ 230 K, \(\Delta \rho_{||}/\rho\), as a function of H, changes curvature from concave-upward for T < T\(_{C}\) to concave-downward for T > T\(_{C}\). These features are reminiscent of an archetypal weak itinerant-electron (WI) ferromagnet [12, 13]. However, unlike a WI ferromagnet, negative MR does not peak near T\(_{C}\) and increases with decreasing temperature. These anomalous features are possibly due to the magnetic field-induced reorientation of the twin-variant magnetizations in the martensite phase. On the other hand in a-Fe_{20}, barring temperatures T < 10 K, the concave-downward curvature in \(\Delta \rho_{||}/\rho\) persists to temperatures as high as 300 K (indicating thereby that T\(_{C}\) lies well above 300 K for the annealed counterpart); a quadratic field variation of \(\Delta \rho_{||}/\rho\) at low fields goes over to a linear variation at high fields.

**Fig. 4:** Variation of the longitudinal magnetoresistance, \(\Delta \rho_{||}/\rho\), with applied magnetic field, H, at different but fixed temperatures, in the heating cycle.
Summary and conclusions

SEM and EDAX investigations reveal that at room temperature, melt-quenching (annealing) the Huesler-type Ni$_{55}$Fe$_{20}$Al$_{25}$ alloy results in a single-phase (two-phase) state with B2 (B2 + L1$_2$) crystallographic structure. Thus, site disorder in the quenched sample tends to stabilize B2 phase at the expense of the L1$_2$ phase. Melt-spun ribbons (annealed strips) undergo a sharp and complete (sluggish and partial) thermoelastic (non-thermoelastic) martensitic transformation near the Curie temperature, $T_C$, (over an extremely wide temperature range which lies well below $T_C$). Magnetization, $M(T, H)$, data assert that the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of the martensite phase in α-Fe$_{20}$ is considerably strong as compared to that in γ-Fe$_{20}$. A much larger volume fraction of the martensite phase of higher magnetocrystalline anisotropy in γ-Fe$_{20}$ results in a much higher magnetically-induced reorientation of twin-variant magnetizations, which, in turn, accounts for our observation of a considerably larger negative MR in γ-Fe$_{20}$.
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