

## HOMOGENEOUS METRICS.

BY D. D. KOSAMBI,  
*Fergusson College, Poona.*

Received May 10, 1935.

USING the notation of my former papers on the same subject,<sup>1</sup> I discuss the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a metric homogeneous in the direction components  $\dot{x}^i$ . The general result may be restated as follows: *for trajectories described without an external force ( $\epsilon^i = 0$ ), the velocity is homogeneous in the velocity components  $\dot{x}^i$  of degree one or zero.* This is a loose dynamical equivalent of the discussion which follows in geometrical terminology. For the presupposed results and unproved identities, the paper referred to will be useful.<sup>2</sup>

The Euler equations associated with  $\delta \int f(x, \dot{x}) dt = 0$  may be written in the tensor-invariant form

$$[1] \quad \delta_i f \equiv \mathcal{D} f_{;i} - f_{;i} \equiv (\mathcal{D} f)_{;i} - 2 f_{;i} \equiv -\alpha^r f_{;r;i} + \dot{x}^r f_{;r;i} - f_{;i} = 0$$

Suppose the paths of the space to be given by

$$[2] \quad \ddot{x}^i + \alpha^i(x, \dot{x}) = 0 \quad i = 1 \dots n$$

Then [1] may be regarded as a system of partial differential equations for the unknown metric  $f$ . This system of the second order breaks up into  $n+1$  equations of the first order if and only if  $\mathcal{D} f = 0$  i.e.  $f = \text{const.}$  along the paths. The resulting equations

$$[3] \quad \mathcal{D} f \equiv -\alpha^r f_{;r} + \dot{x}^r f_{;r} = 0 \quad f_{;i} \equiv f_{;i} - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^r_{;i} f_{;r} = 0$$

may be discussed by the standard methods for linear partial differential equations of the first order, and the existence of  $f$  is a matter of pure algebra, the conditions involving merely the coefficient of successive derived equations:

$$[4] \quad \begin{aligned} \epsilon^r f_{;r} &= 0 \\ P^r_i f_{;r} &= 0 \\ R^r_{ij} f_{;r} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup> *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* [Oxford Series], 1935, 6, 1-12.

<sup>2</sup> *Journal Ind. Math. Society, Jubilee Volume*, 185-188, will also be of use in this connection. It should be kept in mind that the parameter  $t$  is taken as nowhere explicitly present in this note. The identities as used derive from those in the two papers cited, often for  $\epsilon^i = 0$ .

The matrix of all these coefficients must be of rank less than  $n$  for a solution to exist.

These special metrics have the fundamental property that any function of any given set of possible metrics which satisfy [3] is also a metric of the same type. We call these metrics "invariant metrics".

All metrics, whether invariant or not, must satisfy the energy integral

$$[5] \quad \dot{x}^r f_{;r} - f = \text{const. along the paths.}$$

Now if this and the integral  $f = \text{const.}$  (which an invariant metric allows) be not independent, there must subsist a relation of the type

$$[6] \quad \dot{x}^r f_{;r} = \phi(f)$$

In this case, we apply the following lemma:

LEMMA: A necessary and sufficient condition that a function  $f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  be of the type  $f(\lambda y_i) = \psi(\lambda, f)$  is that  $y_i \partial f / \partial y_i = \phi(f)$ . In this case, either  $f$  is homogeneous of degree zero in  $y$  ( $\phi = 0$ ), or there exist functions of  $f$  homogeneous of any given degree, one of the first degree being  $H(f)$  where  $H(z) = \exp. \int dz / \phi(z)$ .

This shows that if the two first integrals available when an invariant metric exists be not independent, there is a homogeneous metric for the space.

The foregoing results are necessary preliminaries to the main deductions of this note. It is clear that if there is a homogeneous metric of any degree but the first, then  $\epsilon^i = 0$ . This can be shown directly, as such a metric is automatically an invariant metric with related integrals as above. From [6], we obtain

$$[7] \quad \dot{x}^r f_{;r;i} = (\phi' - 1) f_{;r}$$

Applying the operator  $\mathcal{D}$ , and keeping in mind the results  $\mathcal{D} f_{;i;j} = 0$  (for any metric) and  $\mathcal{D} f_{;i} = 0$  (for invariant metrics) we obtain

$$[8] \quad \mathcal{D} \{ \dot{x}^r f_{;r;i} \} = - \epsilon^r f_{;r;i} = 0$$

This gives  $\epsilon^i = 0$ , provided the metric is non-degenerate *i.e.*  $| f_{;i;j} | \neq 0$  a necessary assumption. The Finsler metric, the most important of all, is left out of this deduction. But if we recall the fact that for a Finsler space the corresponding problem of the calculus of variations is not regular, and that it is usually regularized by the assumption that  $f = \text{const.}$  along the extremals, we see that the Finsler metric in use is also an invariant metric, to which the discussion then applies. Therefore,  $\epsilon^i = 0$  is a necessary condition for the homogeneity of the metric.

There is nothing new in this result, except the approach. It is the converse which is of interest and importance. Suppose  $\epsilon^i = 0$ . Then for any tensor,

$$[9] \quad \mathcal{D} T_{ij} = T_{ij|r} \dot{x}^r + \partial T_{ij} / \partial t$$

This gives, for the fundamental tensor,  $f_{;ij|r} \dot{x}^r = 0$ . For any vector, we have  $u_{i;j|k} - u_{i|k;j} = \frac{1}{2} u_r \alpha^r_{;ij;k}$ . For any metric,  $f_{;ij} - f_{;ji} = 0$ . These lead to  $f_{|i;j;r} \dot{x}^r = 0$ , i.e. either  $f_{|r} = 0$ , or  $f$  is the sum of a function homogeneous of degree one in  $\dot{x}$ , and a function independent of  $\dot{x}$ . It can be seen directly from [1] that when  $\epsilon^i = 0$ , the  $\alpha^i$  being homogeneous of degree two, the second part of  $f$  must be identically a constant. If there exist a metric, then, either it is an invariant metric, or a Finsler metric.

If the metric for  $\epsilon^i = 0$  is an invariant one, we can show at once that it must admit the integral  $\dot{x}^r f_{;r} = \phi(f)$ . In fact, regard this as a further equation for  $f$ , and add it to the system [3]. In the system [3], the first equation is a consequence of the remaining  $n$  when  $\epsilon^i = 0$ . The compatibility conditions for  $f_{;i} = 0$  and  $\dot{x}^r f_{;r} = \phi$  are identically satisfied. The condition  $\dot{x}^r f_{;r} = \phi$  and the equations [4] are seen to be compatible in virtue of the identities  $P^i_r \dot{x}^r = 0$ ,  $R^i_{jk;r} \dot{x}^r = R^i_{jk}$ , etc. which can be proved from the fundamental identities that hold between the differential invariants of the space, keeping in mind that here,  $\epsilon^i = 0$ ,  $\partial \alpha^i / \partial t = 0$ . Thus, if [3] has a solution, it has one with  $\dot{x}^r f_{;r} = \phi$  added on.

Therefore, even if the metric is an invariant metric, it must be homogeneous. All the results may be summed up as follows:

**THEOREM:** *If there exist a metric for a given path space where  $\frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial t} = 0$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a homogeneous metric giving the same paths as geodesics is that  $\alpha^i - \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^r \alpha^i_{;r} = 0$ . In this case, either a Finsler metric is available for the space, or the only possible metric is homogeneous of degree zero in  $\dot{x}$ .*