# The fit genes and transcription control in Escherichia coli

R JAYARAMAN

School of Biological Sciences, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625 021, India

MS received 8 March 1994; revised 10 June 1994

**Abstract.** This article reviews the work done in the author's laboratory on the genetics and physiology of the *fit* mutants of *Escherichia coli*. Isolaton of the *fit* mutants, genetic mapping, transcription abnormalities of the *fit* mutants, the possible involvement of the *fit* gene products in transcription control and identity of the *fit*A gene as *phe*S are described.

Keywords. Transcription; RNA polymerase; *fit* genes; gene expression.

#### 1. Introduction

Transcription is a finely regulated process in prokaryotes as well as eukaryotes. In their natural habitat, bacteria constantly face several situations of stress which threaten survival. The common stresses include nutrient limitations, stress due to changes in temperature, osmolarity and pH, DNA damage, etc. The organism has to counter these in order to survive. Entry into stationary phase and cessation of growth also demand special mechanisms to maintain survival and resumption of growth when favourable conditions are encountered. Even under normal conditions of exponential growth the organism has to exercise utmost discretion in gene expression to derive maximum advantage from the environment and avoid wasteful expenditure of resources. A large body of evidence accumulated over the years show that global regulation of gene expression, under normal as well as abnormal physiological conditions, is brought about by RNA polymerase through its interactions with DNA and other elements which might influence such interaction. Reviews on this subject are available (Ishihama 1988, 1991, 1993; Collado-Vides *et al* 1991; Matin 1991; Siegale and Kolter 1992)

# 2. Functional differentiation of RNA polymerase in Escherichia coli

RNA polymerase of *Escherichia coli* is a complex enzyme consisting of four non-identical subunits ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta'$  and  $\sigma$ ) in molar portions of 2:1:1:1. The complexity of the structure of RNA polymerase is a reflection of not only the complexity of its function but also the complexity of regulation of its function. The genetic loci coding for the subunits, namely *rpoA* ( $\alpha$ ), *rpoB* ( $\beta$ ), *rpoC* ( $\beta'$ ) and *rpoD* ( $\sigma$ ) as well as the role of the individual subunits in the overall process of transcription have been well documented (reviewed by Yura and Ishihama 1979). The  $\sigma$  subunit (now called  $\sigma^{70}$ ) was originally discovered (Burgess *et al* 1969) as a protein factor which could be taken off the  $\alpha_2\beta\beta'$  complex (the core enzyme) by phosphocellulose chromatography with concomitant loss of the enzyme's ability to transcribe physiologically relevant templates. In recent years many more  $\sigma$ subunits have been discovered. One of these, called  $\sigma_{32}$ , encoded by the *rpoH* 

gene, has been identified in E. coli during heat shock response (Grossman et al 1984). The genes expressed during heat shock are transcribed better by the core enzyme complexed with  $\sigma^{32}$ . Another sigma factor ( $\sigma^{54}$ ) has been identified in nitrogen starved cells (Hirschman *et al* 1985; Hunt and Magasanik 1985).  $\sigma^{54}$ encoded by the rpoN locus, resembles eukaryotic TATA binding proteins (TBP) in that it can bind to DNA in the absence of core RNA polymerase (Buck and Cannon 1992). Sporulating *Bacillus subtilis* has several  $\sigma$  factors in addition to the major  $\sigma$  found in vegetative cells (Doi and Wang 1986; Loscik *et al* 1986). As pointed out earlier, when cells enter the stationary phase of growth cycle a set of genes are expressed, some, if not all, of which could ensure survival during the non-dividing phase (Siegele and Kolter 1992). A positive regulator of these genes has been identified to be the product of the *rpoS* (earlier called *kat*F) gene, coding for another sigma factor,  $\sigma^{38}$  (Mulvey and Loewen 1989). Recent evidence (reviewed by Ishihama 1993) indicates that  $\sigma^{38}$  might be a major sigma factor during the stationary phase, just as  $\sigma^{70}$  is during the exponential phase. Specific sigma factors have also been implicated in flagellar synthesis, Chemotaxis, etc. An excellent review on sigma factors is available (Helmann and Chamberlin 1988). Mutant forms of E. coli  $\sigma^{70}$  with altered promoter recognition have been described (Gradella et al 1989; Siegele et al 1989). These studies have shown that different segments of the  $\sigma^{70}$  polypeptide chain contact -35 and -10 regions of promoters.

Regulation by multiple sigma factors is' but one of the several ways by which gene expression is controlled. Another regulatory mechanism is through phosphorylation of RNA polymerase subunits and/or proteins interacting with RNA polymerase (for references, see Ishihama 1988). Perhaps more relevant to the present article is the interaction of the so called "accessory factors" with RNA polymerase. Experimental evidence showing the binding of extraneous proteins to RNA polymerase have come from many laboratories. Several years ago Ratner (1974) showed the binding of many proteins to RNA polymerase immobilized on agarose. In the crude state RNA polymerase exhibits physical and functional heterogeneity (Travers and Buckland 1973; Snyder 1973; Pitale and Jayaraman 1975). Many non-protein factors such as ppGpp, tRNA<sub>f</sub>met and Met-tRNA<sub>f</sub>met have also been shown to interact with RNA polymerase. The interchange of sigma factors coupled with the association of different accessory factors with a given holoenzyme (E  $\sigma^{70}$ , E  $\sigma^{32}$ , E  $\sigma^x$  <sub>E</sub>  $\sigma^y$  etc.) could be a fine mechanism of control of transcription. The accessory factors are believed to interact either with free RNA polymerase or with RNA polymerase-DNA binary complexes and activate transcription from specific promoters through protein-protein contacts. By screening mutant RNA polymerases for activation negative phenotype, Ishihama and co-workers have identified two contact sites on the enzyme. Site I covers the carboxy terminal regions of the  $\alpha$  subunit while site II is located at the carboxy terminal region of  $\sigma^{70}$ . Accordingly specific accessory factors have been classified into class I and class II factors (reviewed by Ishihama 1993). It would also be rewarding to isolate mutations in the loci coding for one or more of the accessory factors and correlate transcription defects(s) in vivo with data from in vitro experiments. The main difficulty in this approach is the selection of such mutants. We have been successful in isolating a transcription mutant in which the lesion maps at a locus distinct from the loci coding for the subunits of RNA polymerase. A brief account of our work on the genetics of this locus which we have named *fit* (factor involved in transcription) is presented below.

## 3. Isolation of a temperature sensitive, transcription defective mutant of E. coli

When this work began in 1974 the map positions of only the loci which code for the  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  subunits (*rpoB* and *rpoC*) were known. Since RNA polymerase is a vital enzyme, we reasoned that any mutation which totally inactivates it would be lethal. Therefore conditional lethal mutations, such as temperature sensitives (Ts), have to be obtained. We thought of a strategy to first pick up mutants which are likely to be transcription defective at  $42^{\circ}$ C and then actually screen them for that property. The strategy is based on the difference in the extent to which bacteriophages T4 and T7 use the host RNA polymerase for their development. While T4 utilizes the host transcription machinery throughout the developmental programme, T7 utilizes it only briefly, to transcribe a few "immediate early" genes. One of the immediate early gene products is a T7-specific RNA polymerase which is structurally very distinct from E. coli RNA polymerase and which is responsible for all subsequent transcriptional events during T7 development (Chamberlin and McGrath 1970; Summers and Siegel 1970). Therefore a temperature sensitive mutant in which T4 growth is sensitive to 42°C throughout the growth cycle but T7 growth 'escapes' temperature inhibition within the first few minutes of growth at the permissive temperature, could be a potential transcription mutant. With this rationale we screened approximately 200 mutants (obtained by nitorsoguanidine mutagenesis as non-growers at 42°C) for this phenotype. We found one which behaved as predicted (Jabbar and Jayaraman 1976). The mutation was named ts76. Figure 1 shows the escape of T7 development at 42°C after varying, times of preincubation at 30°C in a ts76 mutant.

# 4. The *ts76* mutation causes transcription abnormalities at the restrictive temperature

If the rationale outlined above is correct, the ts76 mutant should show transcription abnormalities at 42°C, but should be normal at 30°C. This was shown to be true (Jayaraman and Jabbar 1980). The results of our experiments could be summarized as follows:

(i) The rate and extent of gross RNA synthesis at  $42^{\circ}$ C was reduced by approximately 50% relative to that at  $30^{\circ}$ C.

(ii) When the *ts*76 mutant was pulse labelled with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine at 30°C or an hour after shift up to 42°C and the decay of such pulse-labelled RNA measured at intervals following the pulse, a very interesting result was obtained. At 30°C the pulse-labelled RNA could be distinguished into unstable species (mRNA's) and stable species (ribosomal RNA's tRNA's, etc.). The unstable species could be further distinguished into a fast decaying component and a slow decaying component. At 42°C, the stable species were totally absent while the fast decaying and slow decaying species could be observed. Figure 2 shows the results of a typical experiment.

(iii)When pulsed with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine (RNA) or [<sup>3</sup>H]leucine (protein) at various times after shift-up 42°C, reduction in RNA labelling was greater in magnitude and occurred sooner than that in protein labelling. This suggested that the primary lesion at 42°C could be transcription defect, affecting translation perhaps as a



**Figure 1.** Kinetics of escape of T7 development from temperature inhibition in a ts76 (fitA76) mutant after preincubation at 30°C. Cells were infected with T7 at an m.o.i. of > 5 at 30°C (to). At the indicated times an aliquot was shifted to 42°C and another lysed with chloroform (to measure intracellular and extracellular phage present at the time of shift-up). After 90 min the tubes at 42°C were shaken with chloroform. Phage titers in all the tubes were determined. The points on the curve indicate phage titres at the time of shift-up and the bars indicate the same at 90 min. (Adapted from Jabbar and Jayaraman 1978).

consequence. Table 1 presents the data from a typical experiment.

(iv) While a small fraction of the RNA pulse labelled at  $42^{\circ}$ C sedimented in association with ribosomes as polysomes (> 70s) a substantial fraction sedimented lighter than 70s and was extremely RNase sensitive (figure 3). This was not seen with RNA pulse labelled at  $30^{\circ}$ C (data not shown).



**Figure 2.** Kinetics of decay of pulse labelled RNA in a *ts*76 (*fit*A76) mutant. Log phase cultures were pulse labelled at 30°C or 60 min after shift-up to 42"C with [<sup>3</sup>H]-uridine (sp. act. 16·5 Ci/mniol; 1  $\mu$ Ci/ml) for 20 s. The pulse was terminated by the addition of rifampicin and non-radioactive uridine to 100  $\mu$ g/ml and 10 mM, respectively. Acid precipitable counts were measured at the indicated times after chase by conventional methods. (•) Pulse at 30°C; (O) pulse at 42°C. (Data from Sankaran 1993).

Time after	Relative rates of precursor incorporation (%)		
shift-up (min)	RNA	Protein	
Pre-shift up	100	100	
5	71	92	
10	60	87	
15	49	82	
20	44	79	
30	29	63	
45	23	60	

**Table 1.** Kinetics of inhibition of RNA and protein synthesis at  $42^{\circ}$ C in a *ts*76 (*fit*A76) mutant.

Precursor incorporations were determined with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine (for RNA) and [<sup>3</sup>H]leucine (for protein) as counts of precursor incorporated during a 20 s pulse. The post-shift-up incorporations were normalized with respect to the pre-shift-up incorporation, taken as 100%. Data from Sankaran (1993).



**Figure .3.** Sedimentation profile of RNA, pulse labelled at 42°C in a *ts*76 (*fit*A76) mutant. The cells were grown at 30°C in minimal medium containing [<sup>14</sup>C]uracil (sp. act. 47 mCi/mmol; 2  $\mu$ Ci/ml) for 8 h (approximately 8 generations), shifted to 42°C for 1 h and pulse labelled with [<sup>3</sup>H]uridine (2·3 Ci/mmol; 1  $\mu$ Ci/ml) for 20 s. A lysate of pulse labelled cells was centrifuged on a 15-30% sucrose gradient with and without RNase. Acid precipitable counts in fractions collected from the bottom of the gradients were measured by conventional methods. (Data from Jabbar 1979).

An important fact to emerge from these experiments was that the ts76 mutation does not result in total abolition of transcription, as would be expected normally, but not necessarily, of a mutation in the genes coding for the subunits of RNA polymerase subunits, but only causes a reduction. If the mutant made all the species of RNA at 42°C but at half the rate as at 30°C, one would expect it to grow slowly at 42°C (also see below). However, inhibition of growth at 42°C was total.

#### Transcription control in E. coli

This implied that there could be a selective inhibition of transcription as opposed to global inhibition, leading to imbalance in RNA synthesis and consequent inhibition of growth. The ts76 mutation could define a locus whose product could be an accessory transcription factor essential for the expression of some but not all genes and gene groups.

# 5. Mapping of the *ts*76 mutation

It was necessary to know for sure whether or not the ts76 mutation mapped in the locus coding for any one of the subunits of RNA polymerase. Our mapping data showed that the mutation could be mapped to 37.5 min on the linkage map (Jabbar and Jayaraman 1978; also see below). None of the loci coding for the subunits of RNA polymerase maps at this position (Yura and Ishihama 1979). Therefore the ts76 mutation could define a gene whose product is involved in transcription but is not a subunit of the enzyme.

# 5. Isolation of an intragenic suppressor of the ts76 mutation

If the hypothesis outlined above is true, it should be possible to obtain evidence showing interaction between RNA polymerase and the product of the gene defined by the ts76 mutation. One way of obtaining such evidence is to isolate mutations which map in the genes coding for the subunits of RNA polymerase and which could suppress the temperature sensitivity of the ts76 mutation. The logic behind this classical genetic approach is that in interacting systems it is often possible to overcome the effect(s) of mutation in one of the components by a mutation in the other component(s) such that normal interaction could be restored. This has been shown in many systems. In the present case, although the compensatory (suppressor) mutation could, in principle, fall in the genes encoding any of the RNA polymerase subunits, rpoB was chosen mainly because it is easy to isolate rpoB mutants based on rifampicin resistance. Moreover, the rpoB gene product (\beta-subunit) has several Pleiotropic properties (see Dass and Jayaraman 1985a; Munavar and Jayaraman 1987). With this rationale in mind, Dass isolated a temperature-insensitive, rifampicin-resistant derivative of a ts76 mutant. Genetic analysis of this derivative (Dass and Jayaraman 1985a) showed that the Ts<sup>+</sup> phenotype of this derivative was not due to the rpoB mutation (named rpoB240) but due to a second mutation in the same gene defined by the ts76 mutation. The second mutation, by itself, inhibited growth and RNA synthesis at 42°C. Thus, the suppression was intragenic wherein two mutations, each conferring mutant phenotype in isolation, restore wild type phenotype in combination. At this point the locus of the ts76 mutation was named as fit (factor involved in transcription) and the mutations were designated as fit76 and fit24 (Dass and Jayaraman 1985a).

Although the rpoB240 mutation (which accompanied the *fit24* mutation during the isolation of the latter) had no role in the suppression of temperature-sensitivity due to *fit76*, it did have interesting properties in a *fit<sup>+</sup>* background. A *fit<sup>+</sup>* rpoB240 mutant was normal with respect to growth and rifampicin resistance at 30 and 42°C in rich media such as LB. In minimal medium the mutant was rifampicin sensitive but not temperature sensitive at 42°C (Dass and Jayaraman 1985b).

#### 572 R Jayaraman

Rifampicin resistance in minimal medium at 42°C could be largely restored in the presence of certain divalent cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup>) as well as ion chelators (EDTA, EGTA and *0a*phenanthrolein). Table 2 presents a summary of the results. These observations suggested, albeit indirectly, an interaction between the *fit* gene product and RNA polymerase, especially the  $\beta$ -subunit, and modulation of such interaction by rifampicin, ions and chelators.

	Relative viability (42°C/30°C) in minimal medium		
Additions	– Rif	+ Rif	
None	1.0	$5 \times 10^{-4}$	
MgSO4 (10 mM)	1.0	0.35	
MnCl <sub>2</sub> (10 mM)	1.0	0.03	
CaCl <sub>2</sub> (1 mM)	1.0	0.32	
BaCl <sub>2</sub> (10 mM)	1.0	0.83	
EDTA (01 mM)	1.0	0.29	
EGTA (0·1 mM)	1.0	0.32	
o-phenanthrolein (0-1 mM)	1.0	0.23	

**Table 2.** Conditional rifampicin sensitivity and its relief in a  $fit^+$  *rpo*B240 mutant in minimal medium.

Relative viability was 1.0 in LB medium under all conditions listed above. Adapted from Dass and Jayaraman (1985b).

#### 7. Isolation of an extragenic suppressor of the *fit*76 mutation

Another suppressor mutation which suppressed the temperature sensitivity of the fit76 mutation was isolated and characterized (Munavar and Jayaraman 1987). Genetic analysis showed that the second suppressor mutation defined a new locus, very close to *fit*. Therefore the locus of the original *fit* mutations (*fit76* and *fit24*) was designated as *fitA* and that of the extragenic suppressor as *fitB* (Munavar and Jayaraman 1987). The available genetic data indicated that the temperature sensitivity of a *fitA76* mutation could be suppressed intragenically by the *fitA24* mutation or extragenically by the *fitB* mutation. It is possible that the *fitA* and *fitB* gene products function as a complex *in vivo*.

Munavar and Jayaraman (1993) measured the kinetics of decay of RNA, pulse-labelled at 30°C and 42°C in the *fit* mutants. The data are presented in table 3. It could be seen that the *fit*A24 and *fit*B mutations counter the absence of stable RNA species in the *fit*A76 mutant, pulse labelled at 42°C. Vidya and Jayaraman (1992) tentatively described two more extragenic suppressor mutations which suppressed the temperature sensitivity of the *fit*A76 mutation. The existence of one of them has not been shown unequivocally, but that of the other has been and it seems to be located near *fit*A itself.

#### 8. Modulation of gene expression by *fit* genes

Several physiological parameters such as viability, gross RNA synthesis, induced

	30°C		42°C	
Mutant	Unstable species (%)	Stable species (%)	Unstable species (%)	Stable species (%)
fitA <sup>+</sup> fitB <sup>+</sup>	35	65	25	75
fitA76	35	65	100	0
fitA24	40	60	100	0
fitA76 fitA24	50	50	60	40
fitA76 fitB	35	65	50	50
fitB	35	65	50	50

 Table 3.
 Distribution of pulse labelled RNA counts into stable and unstable species in *fit* mutants.

Data from Munavar and Jayaraman (1993).

synthesis of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, development of phages ( $\lambda$ , T4 and T7) in strains bearing fitA76, fitA24, fitA76-fitA24 and fitA<sup>+</sup> alleles in rpoB<sup>+</sup> and rpoB240 genetic backgrounds were studied (Dass and Jayaraman 1987). The results showed that the efficiency of expression of these functions is influenced by the fitA and rpoB alleles depending upon the medium of growth and/or temperature. For instance, the fitA76 mutation resulted in a total inhibition of growth at 42°C while gross RNA synthesis, as measured by precursor incorporation, was down by only 50%. As pointed out earlier, if the mutation leads to partial inhibition of global transcription at 42°C one could expect a reduction in growth rate but not total inhibition of growth. A more likely possibility is that the inhibition of transcription in the *fit*A76 mutant is selective rather than global. If this were true there would be an imbalance of RNA synthesis at 42°C which could have adverse consequences. This was substantitated by the behaviour of the fitA76-fitA24 double mutant. In thefitA76-fitA24 double mutant viabilities in rich medium at 30°C and 42°C were not at all different and also the extent of gross RNA synthesis at 42°C relative to 30°C was as high as 80%. In spite of this, viability in minimal medium at 42°C was only 0.01%. Apparently the fitA24 mutation is not able to overcome the defect due to fitA76at 42°C in minimal medium although gross RNA synthesis seems to be normal. Likewise a fitA76-rpoB240 double mutant was rifampicin resistant in rich medium at 30°C but rifampicin sensitive in minimal medium at the same temperature. The same rpoB240 mutation conferred rifampicin resistance (at 30°C) in a  $fit^+$  or fitA24background irrespective of the medium.

Recently it was shown that the *fitB* mutation conferred temperature sensitivity in rich media which lack sodium chloride (Munavar and Jayaraman 1993). The temperature sensitivity was enhanced even more if such media included glucose. Rifampicin resistant mutations, isolated in a *fitB* genetic background displayed a spectrum of phenotypes. Some were rifampicin dependent for growth at 42°C but not at 30°C; some were rifampicin sensitive in minimal media even at 30°C (like *fitA*76 *rpoB2*40 strains); some were rifampicin sensitive in minimal media at 42°C (like *fit<sup>+</sup> rpoB2*40 strains). These results strongly suggested that there is some interaction between the *fit* gene products and RNA polymerase.

Available data on the genetics and physiology of *fit* mutants has been incorporated into a general model (Munavar and Jayaraman 1993). According to this model, the *fitA fitB* gene products may interact with each other as well as with RNA polymerase

#### 574 R Jayaraman

and modulate the efficiency of transcription. Such modulation may be mechanistically similar to that proposed by Ishihama (1988) for accessory transcription factors in general. He has suggested that promoter strength can be modulated by increasing the promoter-RNA polymerase affinity or by a more rapid isomerization of the "closed promoter complexes" to "open promoter complexes" or both. The efficiency of modulation of promoter strength may depend, among other factors, the integrity of the *fit* gene products as well as the subunits of RNA polymerase.

## 9. Identity of the *fitA* gene and its implications

In spite of the extensive data accumulated on the genetics and physiology of the fit mutants, the identity of the fit loci had remained equivocal. Conventional genetic mapping placed the *fitA* and *fitB* mutations in the  $37\cdot3-37\cdot5$  min region of the E. coli genetic map, cotransducible with the aroD and pps markers (figure 4). This region has several genes involved in translation besides other functions (see Bachmann 1990). For instance the *phe*S and *phe*T genes, coding for the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits of phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase, respectively, are obviously genes involved in translation. Besides, the gene for translation initiation factor III (infC) also maps in this region. The rplT locus codes for a ribosomal protein. Similarly, the himA gene, coding for the host integration factor, also maps here. It was quite possible that the *fitA* and *fitB* mutations could define new, unidentified genes mapping in this region or could be novel alleles of the already characterized genes located around 37.3–37.5 min. Dass and Jayaraman (1985a) had ruled out many genes as the possible loci of the *fit* mutations, based on reasoning rather than direct experiment. Even then they could not rule out infC. Perhaps this was the reason for listing the fit(A) gene as a possible allele of *inf*C by Bachmann (1990). In order to resolve this ambiguity Ramalingam and coworkers (manuscript in preparation) undertook



**Figure 4.** Partial genetic map of the *E. coli* chromosome spanning the *fit* genes. The numbers in parentheses indicate map position (in minutes) and the ones above indicate distance between markers (in minutes). (Data from Munavar and Jayaraman 1987).

cloning and molecular characterization of fitA and its protein product. The fitAgene was cloned as a 2.1 kb DNA fragment from which a 33 kDa protein was shown to be synthesized. Sequencing the 2.1 kb DNA fragment led to a surprising result, namely, the fragment contained the entire sequence of pheS and the initial portion of pheT genes. As pointed out above, the pheS and pheT loci code for the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  a subunity of phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase, respectively. As could be expected, plasmids harbouring the 21 kb fragment complemented temperature sensitive mutations characterized as *fitA* mutations or *pheS* mutations, but did not complement a fitB mutation. These data showed that fitA and pheS genes are one and the same, thus clarifying a longstanding uncertainity on the identity of the fitA gene. At the same time it also raised a very basic question, namely, whether the characteristics of *fit* mutants (aberrant transcription at  $42^{\circ}$ C, postulated interaction with RNA polymerase, modulation of gene expression, etc.) documented over the years, are primary effects or secondary consequences of translational inhibition. In order to settle this question Ramalingam and coworkers (manuscript in preparation) studied the behaviour of isogenic strains harbouring temperature sensitive mutations characterised as fit (fitAl6) or pheS (pheS5) mutations. Their results showed that the characteristic abnormality of the fitA76 mutant, namely the absence of stable species in the RNA pulse labelled at 42°C was not manifested in the pheS5 mutant. Moreover RNA synthesis was inactivated at 42°C faster than protein synthesis in the *fit*A76 mutant whereas the reverse was the case in the *phe*S5 mutant. These results indicated that the two mutations lead to different phenotypic consequences although both define the same gene. Several years ago, Jabbar and Jayaraman (1978) reported that pheS5 and pheT mutants do not elicit the "T7 escape" phenotype, characteristic of *fit*A76 mutants. This has also been verified recently (Sankaran 1993). Therefore the  $\alpha$ -subunit of phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase complex can be imagined to have two domains, one involved in transcription control and the other in charging phenylalanyl tRNA.

The role of the *phe*S (*fitA*) gene product in translation is obvious since it forms parts of the enzyme complex that activates phenylalanine for protein synthesis. However, its role as a transcription factor is not so obvious. The available genetic data suggests interaction between the *fit* gene products among themselves and also with RNA polymerase (see Munavar and Jayaraman 1993). Although the phenotype of *fit* mutants are strongly influenced by *rpoB* (rifampicin-resistant) mutations (Munavar and Jayaraman 1993) it has not been possible so far to obtain a *rif* <sup>r</sup> mutation which could suppress the temperature sensitivity of a *fitA76* mutant. Since rifampicin resistance defines only a segment of the *rpoB* gene (Jin and Gross 1988) it is possible that other regions of *rpoB* could be involved in interaction with the *fit* gene products. Similarly *rpoA* and *rpoD* could also be involved. These possibilities are currently being investigated.

Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase of *E. coli* is unique among aminoacyl tRNA synthetases in having a complex quaternary structure ( $\alpha_2$   $\beta_2$ ), the only other enzyme of the type being glycyl tRNA synthetase (Schimmel 1987). While the significance of such quaternary structure is not known, it is believed (Schimmel 1987) that the subunits could have other functions. In the likely event of *fit*B turning out to be *phe*T (see below), it is possible that the respective gene products could function both as transcription factors as well as parts of an aminoacylating enzyme.

The genetic data of Munavar and Jayaraman (1987) indicated that fitB maps to

#### 576 R Jayaraman

the right of fitA, at 37.3 min. Munavar (1991) also observed that Tn5 insertions in *fitA* were polar on *fitB*, implying that the two genes constitute an operon or are parts of one. The *pheS* and *pheT* genes are also organized into an operon, the direction of expression being *pheS*  $\rightarrow$  *pheT*. Since *fitA* has turned out to be *pheS*, it is tempting to speculate that *fitB* could be *pheT*.

The elucidation of the identity of *fitA* as being the same as *pheS* has opened up several avenues for future experimentation which were hitherto not possible. It is now possible to obtain corroborative evidence *in vitro* to support the conclusions derived earlier by *in vivo* (genetic and physiological) experimentation. Such studies are in progress in our laboratory.

#### Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the contributions of my students, past and present, towards the development of this work. But for their zeal and labour this work could not have progressed thus far. In particular I wish to thank Abdul Jabbar, Balachandra Dass, Hussain Munavar, Sandhya Ramalingam, Sudha Sankaran and Vidya Farook. Financial assistance from the University Grants Commission and the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

Bachmann B J 1990 Linkage map of Escherichia coli K-12; Microbiol. Rev. B54 130-197

- Buck M and Cannon W 1992 Specific binding of the transcription factor sigma-54 to promoter DNA; *Nature (London)* **358** 422–424
- Burgess R R, Travers A A, Dunn J J and Bautz E K F 1969 Factor stimulating transcription by RNA polymerase; *Nature (London)* **221** 43–44
- Chamberlin M J and McGrath J 1970 Characterization of a T7 specific RNA polymerase isolated from *E. coli* infected with T7 phage; *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant*. *Biol.* **35** 259–262
- Collado-Vides J, Magasanik B and Gralla J D 1991 Control site location and transcription regulation in *Escherichia coli; Microbiol. Rev.* **55** 371–374
- Dass S B and Jayaraman R 1985a Intragenic suppression of the temperature sensitivity conferred by a mutation controlling transcription (*fit*) in *E. coli; Mol. Gen. Genet.* **198** 299–303
- Dass S B and Jayaraman R 1985b Conditional rifampicin sensitivity of a *rif* mutant of *E. coli*: Rifampicin-induced changes in transcription specificity; *J. Biosci.* **9** 213–221
- Dass S B and Jayaraman R 1987 Modulation of gene expression by the *fit* gene product of *E. coli; J. Biosci.* **12** 229–237
- Doi R H and Wang L H 1986 Multiple prokaryotic RNA polymerase sigma factors; *Microbiol. Rev.* 50 227-243
- Gardella T, Hoyle M and Susskind M M 1989 A mutant *E. coli* sigma 70 subunit of RNA polymerase with altered promoter specificity; *J. Mol. Biol.* **206** 579–590
- Grossman A D, Erickson J W and Gross C A 1984 The *htp*R gene product of *E. coli* is a sigma factor for heat shock promoters; *Cell* **38** 383–390
- Helmann J D and Chamberlin M J 1988 Structure and function of bacterial sigma factors; Annu. Rev. Biochem. 57 839-872
- Hirschman J, Wong J, Sei P K, Keener K and Kutsu S 1985 products of nitrogen regulatory genes *ntrA* and *ntrC* genes of enteric bacteria activate *glnA* transcription *in vitro*: evidence that *ntrA* gene product is a sigma factor; *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** 7525–7529
- Hunt T P and B Magasanik 1985 Transcription of glnA by purified E. coli components; Core RNA polymerase and the products of glnE, glnG and glnD; Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82** 8453-8457

Ishihama A 1988 Promoter selectivity of prokaryotic RNA polymerase; Trends Genet. 4 282-286

Ishihama A 1991 Global control of gene expression in bacteria; in Control of Cell growth and division

(eds) A Ishihama and H Yoshikawa (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) pp 121-140

- Ishihama A 1993 Protein-protein communication within the transcription apparatus; J. Bacteriol. 175 2483–2489
- Jabbar M A 1979 Isolation and characterizaton of a putative temperature sensitive mutant of Escherichia coli, Ph.D. Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai
- Jabbar M A and Jayaraman R 1976 A new approach to the isolation of potential transcription mutants of *E. coli; Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **72** 1490–1496
- Jabbar M A and Jayaraman R 1978 Genetic mapping of a putative, temperature sensitive transcription mutation in *E. coli; Mol. Gen. Genet.* **166** 211–216
- Jayaraman R and Jabbar M A 1980 Isolation and partial characterization of a temperature sensitive transcription mutant of *E. coli* using phages T4 and T7; in *Molecular basis of host-virus interaction* (*ed*). M Chakravorthy (Princeton: Science Press) pp 515–534
- Jin D J and Gross C A 1988 Mapping and sequencing of mutations in the *E. coli rpoB* gene that lead to rifampicin resistance; *J. Mol. Biol.* **202** 45–58
- Losick R, Youngman P and Piggot P J 1986 Genetics of endospore formation in *B. subtilis; Annu. Rev. Genet.* 20 625–669
- Matin A 1991 The molecular basis of carbon-starvation induced general resistance in *Escherichia coli;* Mol. Microbiol. **5** 3–10
- Mulvey M R and Lowen P C 1989 Nucleotide sequence of *kat*F of *Escherichia coli* suggests KatF protein is a novel sigma transcription factor; *Nucleic Acids Res.* **17** 9979–9991
- Munavar M H and Jayaraman R 1987 Extragenic suppression of the temperature sensitivity of a *fitA* mutation by a *fitB* mutation in *Escherichia coli; J. Genet.* **66** 123-132
- Munavar M H, 1991 Genetic regulation of metabolic processes in Escherichia coli: Genetic and physiological studies on fitB, a suppressor of fitA, Ph.D, thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai
- Munavar M H and R Jayaraman 1993 Genetic evidence for interaction between *fitA*, *fitB* and *rpoB* gene products and its implication in transcription control in *Escherichia coli; J. Genet.* **72** 21–33
- Pitale M P and Jayaraman R 1975 Transcription of bacteriophage T4 genome *in vitro*: Heterogeneity of RNA polymerase in crude extracts of normal and T4 infected *E. coli* B; *Biochemistry* **15** 1265-1270
- Ratner D 1974 The interaction of bacterial and phage proteins with immobilized *E. coli* RNA polymerase; *J. Mol. Biol.* **88** 373-383
- Sankaran S 1993 The fitA76 and pheS5 mutations of E. coli are alleles of the same gene but affect different functions; M.Sc. dissertation, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai
- Schimmel S 1987 Aminoacyl tRNA synthetases: General scheme of structure-function relationships in the polypeptides and recognition of transfer RNAs; *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **56** 125–158

Siegele D A and Kolter R 1992 Life after log; J. Bacteriol. 174 345-348

- Siegele D A, Hu J C, Walker W A and Gross G A 1989 Altered promoter recognition by mutant forms of the sigma 70 subunit of *E. coli* RNA polymerase; *J. Mol Biol.* **206** 591–603
- Snyder L 1973 Change in RNA polymerase associated with shut of of host transcription by T4; *Nature New Biol.* **243** 131–133
- Summers W C and Siegel R B 1970 Regulation of coliphage T7 RNA metabolism in vivo and in vitro; Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 35 253–257
- Travers A A and Buckland R 1973 Heterogeneity of E. coli RNA polymerase; Nature New Biol. 243 257-260
- Vidya S and Jayaraman R 1992 Tentative identification of two more suppressors of the *fit*A76 mutation of *Escherichia coli;* in *DAE Symposium on Molecular Biology of Microorganisms* (Pune: National Chemical Laboratory) pp 366–373
- Yura T and Ishihama A 1979 Genetics of bacterial RNA polymerase; Annu. Rev. Genet. 13 59-97