rRNA genes of *Entamoeba histolytica* are organized as palindromic ribosomal DNA (rDNA) units (I and II) in a 24.5-kb circle. Although the two rDNAs are identical in sequence, their upstream spacers are completely different. Since the intergenic sequences (IGS) of all rDNA copies in other organisms are conserved and contain transcription regulatory sequences, the lack of sequence conservation in the IGS prompted the question of whether both rDNAs are indeed transcriptionally active. We mapped the transcriptional start points (tsp's) and promoters of the two rDNAs. A 51-bp sequence immediately upstream of the tsp's was highly conserved in both units. In addition, both units had an A+T-rich stretch upstream of the 51-bp core. Analysis of reporter gene transcription showed promoter activity to reside in the regions from positions −86 to +123 (rDNA I) and positions −101 to +140 (rDNA II). The promoter-containing fragments from both units could bind and compete with each other for protein(s) from nuclear extracts. Protein binding was especially dependent on the A+T-rich region upstream of the 51-bp core (positions −53 to −68). The requirement of >80 bp downstream of the tsp was striking. Although this sequence was not conserved in the two units, it could potentially fold into very long stem-loops. Both rDNAs transcribed with comparable efficiency, as measured by nuclear runon. Thus, both rDNAs share very similar organization of promoter sequences, and in exponential culture both rDNAs are transcribed. It remains to be seen whether the different IGS affect the regulation of the two units under adverse conditions.

Cell division rate is intimately linked with cellular ability to synthesize ribosomes. Many oncoproteins and tumor suppressor proteins are known to modulate ribosome biogenesis (11). This control is primarily exercised at the level of rRNA gene transcription (9, 20). Studies on this important regulatory mechanism are currently limited to a few model systems. Since ribosomes perform a highly conserved function in all cell types, it will be interesting to understand the diversity of mechanisms that nature may have evolved to regulate ribosome biogenesis in organisms adapted to a variety of niches. The unicellular parasitic protist *Entamoeba histolytica* resides in the human gut. Its rRNA genes are organized in an unusual manner on extrachromosomal circular molecules (2). Some strains of *E. histolytica* (e.g., HK-9) contain only one transcription unit per circle, while in other strains (e.g., HM-1:IMSS) each 24.5-kb circle (EhR1) contains two palindromic ribosomal DNA (rDNA) units (rDNA I and II) separated by upstream and downstream intergenic spacers (IGS) (Fig. 1). While the coding sequence of the two rDNAs of EhR1 is identical, the sequence of the upstream IGS of the rDNA II is different. The tsp's of rDNA I and II in EhR1 are therefore significant. The promoter-containing fragments from both units could bind and compete with each other for protein(s) from nuclear extracts. Protein binding was especially dependent on the A+T-rich region upstream of the 51-bp core (positions −53 to −68). The requirement of >80 bp downstream of the tsp was striking. Although this sequence was not conserved in the two units, it could potentially fold into very long stem-loops. Both rDNAs transcribed with comparable efficiency, as measured by nuclear runon. Thus, both rDNAs share very similar organization of promoter sequences, and in exponential culture both rDNAs are transcribed. It remains to be seen whether the different IGS affect the regulation of the two units under adverse conditions.
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### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### E. histolytica culture

Trophozoites of strains HM-1:IMSS and Rahman were axenically cultured in TYI-S-33 medium at 35.5°C (4). Primer extension. Assays were carried out by using mMuLV reverse transcriptase (Pharmacia) and an oligonucleotide (5'-CATACTACGTAACCT ACTTAT-3') complementary to the nucleotides at positions +115 to +139 with respect to the tsp of rDNA II. A portion (40 μg) of total *E. histolytica* RNA was incubated with γ-32P-labeled oligonucleotide (6 × 106 cpm). Annealing was carried out at 65°C for 2 min, followed by 37°C for 30 min and extension at 37°C for 90 min with 200 U of reverse transcriptase. The products were separated on agarose gels and autoradiographed.
denaturing 6% urea-polyacrylamide gels, together with the sequencing reaction using the same oligonucleotide (15).

**RNase protection assay.** Fragments encompassing the putative tsp's were cloned in pGEM-T vector for in vitro transcription. The PCR primer pairs used to generate the desired fragments were as follows: for rDNA I 5'-TT GCCCGAGAAAAGATGACGT-3' and 5'-TTTGGATCCCTATTCTTCTA3'- were used for the fragment spanning positions 278 to +115 and 5'-TTGCCGAGAAAAGATGACGT-3' and 5'-ATTTTTGGAAAATCACA TCAAAC-3' were used for the negative control (positions 278 to 255); and for rDNA II, the fragments spanning positions 182 to +141 (5'-TGATACATGTGAATTGTTGT-3' and 5'-CAATACTACGTACTACCTACTTAT-3') were used and, for a negative control, the fragments spanning positions 182 to +28 (5'-TATGATACTGGAATTGTTGT-3' and 5'-ATTTGACCTTTGTTAGCTAAAC-3') were used. Cloned fragments were transcribed in antisense orientation (with respect to rRNA) in the presence of 20 μCi of [32P]UTP using the T3/T7 in vitro transcription system (Roche). The RNA probes (383 nucleotides for rDNA I and 323 nucleotides for rDNA II) were purified on a 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Total E. histolytica RNA (10 μg) was hybridized at 42°C for 16 h overnight with 90,000 cpm of the probes and then digested with an RNase A and RNase T1, mix at 37°C for 1 h as described in theAmbion RPAIII kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Transcripts were also obtained from control fragments corresponding to regions upstream of the tsp's and similarly processed. The protected fragments, along with the sequencing reaction (rDNA I), were separated on a 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gel and visualized by autoradiography.

**Methyl guanosine capping assay.** Total RNA (40 to 50 μg) was added to 50 μl of capping buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9], 1.25 mM MgCl2, 60 mM KCl, 0.1 mM S-adenosylmethionine, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mg of acetylated bovine serum albumin/ml, RNase inhibitor [Amerham] at 500 μU/ml, 100 μCi of [32P]GTP, and 8 U of guanylyltransferase (7). After incubation for 1 h at 37°C, the mixture was phenol extracted, and RNA was ethanol precipitated, centrifuged, and washed with 80% ethanol. The pellet was dissolved in hybridization buffer (80% deionized formamide, 1 mM EDTA, 40 mM PIPES [pH 7.4], and 0.2 M sodium acetate [pH 5.2]). Five different fragments from DNA (as indicated in Fig. 2) were cloned in pBS vector and in vitro transcribed by the T3/T7 in vitro transcription system (Roche). Transcribed products were phenol extracted and ethanol precipitated. The pellet was dissolved in hybridization buffer. Both in vitro-capped and in vitro-synthesized RNAs were pooled, denatured at 85°C for 5 min, and hybridized at 37°C for 16 h, followed by RNase One digestion for 1 h. The reaction was stopped (by adding 20 μl of 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate) and ethanol precipitated. The pellet was dissolved in formamide gel loading buffer and loaded on 5% urea-polyacrylamide gels.

**RESULTS**

**Mapping the tsp of rDNA I and II.** Primer extension analysis was performed to map the tsp's. The tsp of rDNA I is already mapped in strain HK-9 to lie 2.67 kb upstream of the mature 18S rRNA (10). We confirmed that the rDNA I tsp in strain HM-1:IMSS also mapped to the same position (data not shown). We further mapped the tsp of rDNA II to a position 1.224 kb upstream of the mature 5' end of 18S rRNA by primer extension (Fig. 2A). The nucleotide sequence of the region in which each of the tsp's mapped is highly conserved in the E. histolytica strains sequenced in our lab, although polymorphism exists in the numbers (but not sequence) of tandem repeats that are found further upstream and downstream of the tsp's (2, 17). The tsp assignment of both rDNA units was further confirmed by RNase protection (Fig. 2B) and by methyl guanosine capping of 5'-NTP (Fig. 2C) using guanylly
transferase. The tsp assignment of rDNA I was identical by both primer extension and RNase protection. For rDNA II, the tsp assignment by RNase protection compared to primer extension was shifted by two nucleotides, that is, 1.226 kb upstream of the mature 5′ end of 18S rRNA. Upon manual inspection of the sequence immediately upstream of the tsp’s (arrow at position +1) in rDNA I and II.

**FIG. 2.** Identification of tsp’s and putative core promoters of rDNA I and II. (A) Primer extension products obtained with a primer located upstream of the expected tsp of rDNA II were analyzed by electrophoresis alongside a sequencing ladder extended with the same primer. Lane R shows the primer-extended products. The size of the largest extended product was 139 nucleotides. (B) Tsp assignment was done by RNase protection assay. The sizes of protected fragments were determined by running a sequencing reaction (using primer for rDNA I) alongside. The size of the largest protected fragment (indicated by an asterisk) for rDNA I (lane I) was 115 nucleotides, while that for rDNA II (lane II) was 141 nucleotides. Fragments upstream of the tsp’s were also used for RNase protection as detailed in Materials and Methods. These fragments did not give any protection (not shown). The tsp for each rDNA, as inferred from these data, is indicated by an arrow in panel D. (C) Methyl guanosine capping confirmed the tsp assignment. Total RNA was labeled with [α-32P]GTP and guanylyl transferase and hybridized with in vitro-transcribed RNA corresponding to regions 1 to 5 in rDNAs I and II, as indicated. The single-stranded RNA remaining after hybridization was removed by treatment with RNase One, and the sizes of the protected fragments were determined by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1 to 5 correspond to fragments protected by regions 1 to 5, respectively. The expected size of the fragment protected in lane 1 is 321 nucleotides, and that in lane 3 is 682 nucleotides. (D) Sequence homology in a 51-nucleotide stretch (putative core promoter) upstream of the tsp’s (arrow at position +1) in rDNA I and II.
The luciferase and DraI repeat sequence were introduced at KpnI/BamHI and BamHI/PstI sites, respectively, in the MCS of pBS+ phagemid vector. Different deletion fragments to be tested for promoter activity were cloned upstream of luciferase reporter sequence using EcoRI/KpnI sites, and the plasmids transiently transfected into E. histolytica. Total RNA was isolated from transfectants, treated with DNase I and amplified by RT-PCR with gene-specific primers for luciferase (reporter gene) and actin (endogenous control for RNA). (No luciferase enzyme activity was detectable in the transfected cells, possibly because the polymerase I (Pol I) transcript could not be suitably modified for translation. The same luciferase gene sequence when cloned downstream of E. histolytica lectin promoter gave 2,000 U of luciferase activity per mg of cell lysate in transiently transfected cells.)

Results of RT-PCR showed that a region of similar length, spanning nucleotides −86 to +123 (in rDNA I) and nucleotides −101 to +140 (in rDNA II) was required to support luciferase transcription (Fig. 3). Genomic PCR was done with DNA from all samples to confirm that transfection had indeed occurred efficiently in samples scoring negative in RT-PCR. In addition, at least three independent transfections were done for each deletion and similar results were obtained. These data show that, apart from the conserved 51-nucleotide putative core promoter, the sequence between positions −51 to −86 (for rDNA I) and positions −51 to −101 (for rDNA II) was also required for transcription. Although this sequence is not conserved in the two units, it is highly A+T-rich in both rDNAs (83% A+T in rDNA I and 92% A+T in rDNA II). Sequences downstream of tsp were also essential for promoter activity. Transcription was not found in constructs that included only +80 of the downstream region.

The rDNA I and II promoters transcribe with comparable efficiencies in their native location. In the transient-transfection analysis with the luciferase reporter, the rDNA promoters were removed from their native location in the rDNA circle. To compare transcription efficiency of the two rDNA promoters in their native location, nuclear runon analysis was performed. Radioactive RNA, labeled in the nuclear runon reaction, was hybridized with ETS probes from the two rDNAs (Fig. 4). Probes from both units hybridized with comparable intensity. The hybridization intensity obtained was proportional to the probe size. For example, probe 1B (389 bp) gave less signal than 2B (454 bp), while probe 1C (1111 bp) was brighter than probe 2C (855 bp). An average of five independent measurements showed similar hybridization intensity of the two rDNAs. Thus, no significant difference was found in the transcription efficiency of the two rDNA promoters.

Both promoters bind and compete for similar nuclear proteins in a gel shift assay. The assembly of the preinitiation complex of RNA Pol I takes place at the rRNA gene promoter, in conjunction with various transcription factors. Therefore, it is expected that promoter-containing DNA fragments should bind to specific proteins in nuclear extracts. To demonstrate this, radiolabeled double-stranded DNA probes from promoters of both rDNAs (positions −101 to +123 for rDNA I and positions −101 to +140 for rDNA II) were incubated with total nuclear extract, and a gel shift assay was performed. A prominent shift was observed with the rDNA I promoter fragment, which was efficiently competed with excess cold DNA of

FIG. 3. Mapping the promoter of rDNA I and rDNA II by measuring transcription of reporter constructs in transiently transfected cells. Deletions of sequences adjoining the tsp (indicated by a bent arrow) were cloned upstream of luciferase (Luc) gene. Deletions downstream of the tsp are indicated in panel A, and those upstream are indicated in panel B. The endpoints of each fragment are indicated with respect to the tsp. Plasmid constructs containing each deletion fragment were introduced into cells by electroporation, and transcription was measured by RT-PCR with luciferase-specific primers (as described in Materials and Methods). PCR was performed in the presence (+) or absence (−) of reverse transcriptase. RT-PCR was also performed with actin primers as an endogenous control for RNA.

divergent (17). This 51-nucleotide sequence could correspond with the core promoter, by analogy with rRNA genes in other systems.

Deletion analysis to define the promoter fragments of both rDNAs that support reporter gene transcription. To map the functional promoter, deletion constructs of DNA sequences adjoining the putative core promoter were made. Since proper transcription termination may be required for efficient initiation, we decided to introduce the sequence found downstream of the 28S rRNA (including the DraI repeats, Fig. 1) into our reporter construct, downstream of the luciferase gene. For this purpose, the luciferase gene and DraI repeat sequence were introduced at KpnI/BamHI and BamHI/PstI sites, respectively, in the MCS of pBS+ phagemid vector. Different deletion fragments to be tested for promoter activity were cloned upstream of luciferase reporter sequence using EcoRI/KpnI sites, and the plasmids transiently transfected into E. histolytica. Total RNA was isolated from transfectants, treated with DNase I and amplified by RT-PCR with gene-specific primers for luciferase (reporter gene) and actin (endogenous control for RNA). (No luciferase enzyme activity was detectable in the transfected cells, possibly because the polymerase I (Pol I) transcript could not be suitably modified for translation. The same luciferase gene sequence when cloned downstream of E. histolytica lectin promoter gave 2,000 U of luciferase activity per mg of cell lysate in transiently transfected cells.)

Results of RT-PCR showed that a region of similar length, spanning nucleotides −86 to +123 (in rDNA I) and nucleotides −101 to +140 (in rDNA II) was required to support luciferase transcription (Fig. 3). Genomic PCR was done with DNA from all samples to confirm that transfection had indeed occurred efficiently in samples scoring negative in RT-PCR. In addition, at least three independent transfections were done for each deletion and similar results were obtained. These data show that, apart from the conserved 51-nucleotide putative core promoter, the sequence between positions −51 to −86 (for rDNA I) and positions −51 to −101 (for rDNA II) was also required for transcription. Although this sequence is not conserved in the two units, it is highly A+T-rich in both rDNAs (83% A+T in rDNA I and 92% A+T in rDNA II). Sequences downstream of tsp were also essential for promoter activity. Transcription was not found in constructs that included only +80 of the downstream region.

The rDNA I and II promoters transcribe with comparable efficiencies in their native location. In the transient-transfection analysis with the luciferase reporter, the rDNA promoters were removed from their native location in the rDNA circle. To compare transcription efficiency of the two rDNA promoters in their native location, nuclear runon analysis was performed. Radioactive RNA, labeled in the nuclear runon reaction, was hybridized with ETS probes from the two rDNAs (Fig. 4). Probes from both units hybridized with comparable intensity. The hybridization intensity obtained was proportional to the probe size. For example, probe 1B (389 bp) gave less signal than 2B (454 bp), while probe 1C (1111 bp) was brighter than probe 2C (855 bp). An average of five independent measurements showed similar hybridization intensity of the two rDNAs. Thus, no significant difference was found in the transcription efficiency of the two rDNA promoters.

Both promoters bind and compete for similar nuclear proteins in a gel shift assay. The assembly of the preinitiation complex of RNA Pol I takes place at the rRNA gene promoter, in conjunction with various transcription factors. Therefore, it is expected that promoter-containing DNA fragments should bind to specific proteins in nuclear extracts. To demonstrate this, radiolabeled double-stranded DNA probes from promoters of both rDNAs (positions −101 to +123 for rDNA I and positions −101 to +140 for rDNA II) were incubated with total nuclear extract, and a gel shift assay was performed. A prominent shift was observed with the rDNA I promoter fragment, which was efficiently competed with excess cold DNA of
The competition was specific since DNA from a downstream region of rDNA I (positions 101 to 230) and sheared calf thymus DNA had no effect. A reciprocal experiment was performed with labeled rDNA II promoter as a probe. This promoter also showed a prominent shift when incubated with nuclear extract. The shift was competed with self-DNA and rDNA I promoter region, while there was no competition with sheared calf thymus DNA. This shows that both promoters bind to nuclear protein(s) and can compete with each other in this binding.

Thus, promoters of both rDNA I and II can support transcription and compete for binding to similar nuclear proteins.

Mapping the protein binding region in the rDNA I promoter. It is obvious from the gel shift analysis that one or more nuclear proteins bound specifically to the rDNA promoter fragments under our assay conditions. To determine the minimum region involved in DNA-protein interaction, we performed gel shift assays using various deletions of the rDNA I promoter (Fig. 5A). Deletion 1 (positions -101 to +15; Fig. 5A, lanes 5 and 6) competed with the total promoter (positions -101 to +123), whereas deletion 2 (positions +1 to +123, lanes 7 and 8) did not. Further deletions of region from -101 to +15 were done from both the 5' and the 3' directions. In Fig. 5B, deletions from -73 to +15 (lanes 5 to 8) could compete, while those from -60 to +15 (lanes 9 and 10) could not. From the 3' end (Fig. 5C), deletion of -73 to -32 (lanes 7 and 8) could compete, but further deletions (-73 to -42; lanes 9 and 10) could not compete. Hence, a minimum region of rDNA I promoter essential for protein binding in our gel shift assay condition was located between positions -73 and -32 with respect to the tsp. The same region from rDNA II (positions -77 to -32) could compete for protein binding with rDNA I (lanes 15 and 16; Fig. 5C). Mutation analysis of this region of rDNA I was done to determine the nucleotides essential for DNA-protein interaction. Site-directed mutants were generated by introducing base changes (A→C, T→G, and vice versa) in contiguous stretches of five nucleotides. A gel shift assay showed that protein binding was actually enhanced when the sequence between positions -73 to -69 was
FIG. 6. Gel shift assay with promoter mutants and (A+T)-rich oligonucleotide. (A) The sequences of the minimum fragment required for protein binding (positions −73 to −32) in rDNA I (and its counterpart in rDNA II) are shown at the top. Conserved nucleotides are in boldface. The sequence from positions −73 to −32 of rDNA I (marked W) was mutated (A→C, T→G, and vice versa) a stretch of five nucleotides at a time, as shown. (B) A gel shift assay was done with the wild-type probe and all of the mutants. W, wild-type probe; lanes 1 to 8, mutations 1 to 8, respectively. The binding percentage was calculated with reference to the W probe and is shown in panel A. The wild-type and mutant oligonucleotides were cloned upstream of luciferase reporter gene and introduced into E. histolytica by transient transfection (as in Fig. 3). The levels of luciferase RNA were measured by quantitative PCR. The relative transcription efficiency of mutants with respect to W is given in panel A. (C) A gel shift assay was performed with the W probe and competed with 20- and 100-fold excesses of rDNA II (positions −77 to −32) and a 35-bp oligonucleotide composed entirely of A's and T's. The sequence of the oligonucleotide was 5'-TAATAAATAATAATAATAATAATAAATAATAATAATAATAATAATAATAA-3'.

![Table]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Binding (%)</th>
<th>Relative transcription</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>37.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mutated, while mutating the sequence between positions \(-68\) to \(-53\) reduced the protein binding very significantly (Fig. 6). The percent binding values were calculated from an average of three independent gel shift experiments. The transcription efficiency of these mutants was determined by cloning the mutant promoters upstream of luciferase reporter gene as in Fig. 3. Transcription was measured by quantitative PCR of luciferase RNA from transiently transfected cells. Again, three independent measurements were made. A direct correlation was observed between protein binding and relative transcription efficiency (Fig. 6A). This experiment showed that nucleotides between positions \(-68\) and \(-53\) (\(5'\)-GGATTTTCCAAATT-3') are crucial in the DNA-protein interaction. Since this sequence is highly (A+T)-rich, it is possible that the nuclear proteins binding to this region are those that generally recognize such sequences. To check this, a 35-bp oligonucleotide composed exclusively of A's and T's was used in the gel shift competition assay. However, a 100-fold excess of this oligonucleotide failed to show any competition (Fig. 6C).

**DISCUSSION**

*E. histolytica* rRNA genes show two novel features not commonly found in other systems. First, these genes are exclusively located on extrachromosomal circular molecules, and in many strains each circle has two copies arranged as palindromes. Second, the IGS and ETS sequences, which in other systems contain important regulatory elements, are completely different in the two palindromic copies. However, the hundreds of rDNA copies in the cell are all identical, and there is no sequence divergence in the IGS and ETS sequences from various *E. histolytica* strains (2). Therefore, the sequence differences seen in the two palindromic copies are stably inherited and fixed in the population. Thus, the regulation of rRNA gene transcription in this parasite is likely to have some unique features. To explore this, it is necessary to first define the core promoter sequences required for transcription. Here we have identified the core promoter of both rDNA units and show that under exponential conditions of growth both units are transcriptionally active. The general organization of regulatory sequences of rRNA genes follows a common theme in most eukaryotes. *E. histolytica* also displays some of these conserved features. For example, (i) the tsp maps 1 to 2 kb upstream of the mature 18S rRNA (2.67 kb in rDNA I and 1.224 kb in rDNA II); (ii) the IGS of both rDNAs contain tandem repetitive elements (Fig. 1); and (iii) the promoter is located within 100 nucleotides upstream of the tsp. From deletion analysis we could assign the upstream limit of the rDNA I promoter at \(-86\) and rDNA II promoter at \(-101\). Of this, the region from position \(-1\) to position \(-51\) is highly conserved in the two rDNAs. Sequences further upstream (from positions \(-68\) to \(-53\)) are crucial for binding of nuclear protein/s. In rDNA I the sequence between \(-52\) and \(-66\) is entirely composed of tracts of A's and T's, with only one C residue. In rDNA II the sequence between positions \(-52\) and \(-74\) is entirely composed of tracts of A's and T's. Sequence changes in this region abolish DNA-protein interaction. However, this is probably not because nuclear proteins directly bind to these (A+T)-rich sequences, since a DNA fragment composed entirely of A's and T's did not compete in the gel shift (Fig. 6C).

It is possible that this region may adopt a favorable conformation required for DNA-protein interaction further downstream. A- and T-rich tracts are associated with bent DNA. rRNA promoter upstream sequences in a variety of organisms, including *Physarum polycephalum* (16), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (8), *E. coli* (23), and humans (21), have been shown to harbor regions of bent DNA.

Most RNA Pol I promoters contain two distinct sequence elements: a core promoter (spanning approximately positions \(-50\) to \(+10\)) and an upstream element (till about position \(-150\)), both of which are required in vivo (11). However, this bipartite arrangement is not seen in *Acanthamoeba castellani* (1) and *A. thaliana* (5). In these organisms the upstream element is absent. The promoter defined by us in *E. histolytica* is equivalent to the core promoter in other systems. No upstream sequences were found necessary for promoter activity. rRNA promoters in plants have a very highly conserved sequence between nucleotide positions \(-6\) to \(+6\) immediately surrounding the tsp (5). This sequence, which is A+T-rich from positions \(-6\) to \(-1\) and G (or A+G)-rich from positions \(+2\) to \(+6\) is also quite conserved in other organisms. It is proposed that this sequence may be equivalent to the RNA Pol II INR (initiator), which can assemble the Pol II transcription complex and determine transcription start site in the absence of other promoter domains (5). In *E. histolytica* this sequence in rDNA I is TACTATAACCGA and in rDNA II is ATAAATGTAAGAG (with the underlined positions being \(+1\)), showing a similar sequence arrangement as reported in plants. An unusual feature observed by us in the *E. histolytica* promoter is a requirement for a longer stretch of downstream sequences (>80 nucleotides) compared to other systems where sequences till \(+20\) are generally sufficient. In mouse rDNA the sequence from positions \(+10\) to \(+18\) is involved in stem-loop formation with a further downstream sequence (positions \(+31\) to \(+39\)). Removal of sequences between positions \(+23\) and \(+53\) reduces transcription efficiency due to disruption of the stem-loop (22). We checked for propensity to form stem-loop structures in the downstream region of *E. histolytica* rRNA genes, using mfold (http://mfold.bioinfo.rpi.edu). The region from \(+1\) to \(+80\) of rDNA I folded into a 25-bp long stem (from positions \(+13\) to \(+76\)), while in rDNA II a 16-bp stem formed between nucleotides \(+27\) and \(+69\), in addition to shorter stem-loops. It remains to be seen whether these long stems are inhibitory to the progression of RNA Pol I and whether further downstream sequences till position \(+123\) relieve this inhibition. Although the requirement for downstream sequences in rRNA promoter activity is not clearly understood in any system, it has been reported that the U3 proteins that are part of the SSU processome associate with this region in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and stimulate transcription, possibly by influencing chromatin structure (6).

Our data show that in exponentially growing cells of *E. histolytica* both rDNA I and II are transcribed with comparable efficiency (as measured by nuclear runon). Preliminary work from our lab has shown that the two units are differentially expressed during growth stress (unpublished data). Further work is required to understand transcription regulation during stress and to determine whether the two units are differentially expressed during conversion of actively dividing trophozoite to
the resting cyst stage. Our work has laid the basis for these future investigations.
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