

# MEASURABLE SUBSPACES AND SUBALGEBRAS<sup>1</sup>

R. R. BAHADUR

1. **Introduction.** Let  $(X, S, \mu)$  be a probability measure space. Here  $X$  is a set of points  $x$ ,  $S$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ , and  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on  $S$  with  $\mu(X) = 1$ . Let  $V = \mathcal{L}_2(X, S, \mu)$  be the real Hilbert space of  $S$ -measurable functions  $f(x)$  with  $\int_X f^2 d\mu < \infty$ .

For  $f$  and  $g$  in  $V$ , we write  $(f, g) = \int_X f \cdot g d\mu$  where  $(f \cdot g)(x) \equiv f(x) \cdot g(x)$ , and  $\|f\| = (f, f)^{1/2}$ . Convergence in  $V$  is defined as usual in the norm topology, that is to say,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$  means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = 0$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are functions in  $V$  such that  $\|f - g\| = 0$ , they are regarded as identical and we write  $f = g$ .  $f \geq g$  means that  $\mu \{x: f(x) < g(x)\} = 0$ . For any real  $\alpha$ , the function which is equal to  $\alpha$  for every  $x$  is also denoted by  $\alpha$ . A function  $f$  in  $V$  is said to be bounded if there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha \leq f \leq \beta$ .

A function on  $X$  which takes only the values 0 and 1 is called a characteristic function. For any set  $A \subset X$ ,  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function which equals 1 on  $A$  and vanishes on  $X - A$ . If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subclasses of  $S$ , we write  $S_1 \subset S_2$  if corresponding to each set  $A \in S_1$  there exists a  $B \in S_2$  such that  $\chi_B = \chi_A$ ; we write  $S_1 = S_2$  if  $S_1 \subset S_2$  and  $S_2 \subset S_1$ .

Let  $W$  be a subspace ( $\equiv$  closed linear manifold) in  $V$ .  $W$  is *algebraic* if it contains the function 1 (and therefore every constant function) and if, for any two bounded functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $W$ , the function  $f \cdot g$  is also in  $W$ .  $W$  is *bounded* (so to speak) if the set of bounded functions in  $W$  is dense in  $W$ .  $W$  is *measurable* if there exists a (necessarily unique)  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $S$ ,  $S^*$  say, such that  $W = \mathcal{L}_2(X, S^*, \mu)$ , that is to say,  $W$  is the set of all  $S^*$ -measurable functions in  $V$ .

Let  $T$  be the (orthogonal) projection to the subspace  $W$ .  $T$  is *constant-preserving* if  $T\alpha = \alpha$  for every constant function  $\alpha$ .  $T$  is *positive* if  $f \geq 0$  implies  $Tf \geq 0$ .

Let  $S^0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets of  $X$  such that each  $f$  in  $W$  is an  $S^0$ -measurable function. Let  $S_0$  be the class of all sets  $A \subset X$  such that  $\chi_A$  is in  $W$ . While  $S_0$  is not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra, it is a nonempty class of sets, with  $S_0 \subset S^0 \subset S$ .

The main result of this note can then be stated as follows.

**THEOREM.** *The following propositions are equivalent:*

---

Received by the editors November 18, 1954.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under contract N6onr-271, T.O. XI.

- (i)  $T$  is constant-preserving and positive.
- (ii)  $W$  is algebraic and bounded.
- (iii)  $S_0 = S^0$ .
- (iv)  $W = \mathcal{L}_2(X, S^0, \mu)$ .

The proof of the theorem is given in the next section.

By the equivalence of (ii) and (iv) we have

**COROLLARY 1.** *A subspace is algebraic and bounded if and only if it is measurable.*

This result is essentially about the set  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  of bounded functions in  $V$ , and it may be worthwhile to state it entirely in terms of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  as follows. Regard  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  as a linear algebra under pointwise multiplication of functions, and for the moment suppose closure in  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  to mean closure in the usual  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  topology. Then Corollary 1 can be shown to be equivalent to the proposition that a closed linear subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  contains 1 if and only if it is a measurable algebra, that is to say, it is the closure of the linear algebra generated by a set of characteristic functions including 1.

It is easy to show (cf. the last paragraph of the following section) that if  $S^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $S$  then the projection to  $\mathcal{L}_2(X, S^*, \mu)$  is exactly the conditional expectation operator relative to  $S^*$ . In other words, a transformation  $T$  is a conditional expectation if and only if  $T$  is the projection to a measurable subspace.<sup>2</sup> In consequence, the equivalence of (i) and (iv) yields the following characterization of conditional expectation.

**COROLLARY 2.** *A transformation  $T$  on  $V$  into itself is a conditional expectation if and only if  $T$  is linear, idempotent, self-adjoint, constant-preserving, and positive.*

This result is closely related to a characterization of conditional expectation operators on  $\mathcal{L}_1$  which was obtained by Moy [1, Theorem 2.2]. An essential part of the proof which follows is based on an argument of Moy.

**2. Proof of the theorem.** Since  $T$  is a projection, we have

$$(1) \quad T(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g),$$

$$(2) \quad T^2 f = T f,$$

and

$$(3) \quad (T f, g) = (f, T g)$$

---

<sup>2</sup> This fact, which motivated the work presented here, was pointed out to the writer by L. J. Savage.

for all  $\alpha, \beta, f$  and  $g$ ; moreover, since  $T$  is the projection to  $W$ ,

$$(4) \quad W = \{Tf: f \in V\},$$

and also

$$(5) \quad W = \{f: Tf = f, f \in V\}.$$

(Cf., e.g., [2].)

We shall prove the theorem by showing that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv)  $\rightarrow$  (i).

Suppose then that (i) holds, that is,

$$(6) \quad T\alpha = \alpha \quad \text{for every } \alpha$$

and

$$(7) \quad Tf \geq 0 \quad \text{whenever } f \geq 0.$$

It follows from (1) that (6) and (7) are equivalent to

$$(8) \quad \alpha \leq Tf \leq \beta \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \leq f \leq \beta$$

and

$$(9) \quad Tf \geq Tg \quad \text{whenever } f \geq g.$$

Consider a fixed  $g$  in  $W$ . Define  $f_n(x) = g(x)$  if  $|g(x)| \leq n$  and  $=0$  otherwise, for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly,  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $V$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = g$ . Set  $g_n = Tf_n$ . Then, for each  $n$ ,  $g_n \in W$  by (4), and  $-n \leq g_n \leq n$  by (8). Since  $\|Tf\| \leq \|f\|$  for all  $f$  (by (3)), and since  $Tg = g$  by (5), we have  $\|g_n - g\| = \|Tf_n - Tg\| = \|T(f_n - g)\| \leq \|f_n - g\|$ , so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$ . Since  $g$  is arbitrary,  $W$  is thus shown to be bounded.

According to (5) and (6),  $W$  does contain every constant function. It remains therefore to show that  $f \in W, g \in W$  implies  $f \cdot g \in W$ , provided that  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded. It will suffice to show that

$$(10) \quad f \in W \text{ implies } f^2 \in W \text{ provided that } f \text{ is bounded.}$$

For, if  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded functions in  $W$  then  $f + g$  is such a function also, and it will follow from (10) that  $f^2, g^2$ , and  $(f + g)^2$  are in  $W$ ; consequently  $f \cdot g = (f + g)^2/2 - f^2/2 - g^2/2$  is in  $W$ .

We proceed to establish (10). Choose and fix a bounded  $f \in W$ , and define  $g = Tf^2 - f^2$ . In view of (5), we have to show that  $g = 0$ .

Consider the parabola  $v = u^2$  in the  $uv$ -plane, and for any real  $r$  let  $v = a_r u + b_r$  be the tangent to the parabola at the point  $u = r, v = r^2$  ( $a_r = 2r, b_r = -r^2$ ). Let  $R$  be a countable everywhere dense set (e.g. the rational points) of the real line. Then, for each  $u, -\infty < u < \infty, u^2 \geq a_r u + b_r$  for every  $r$ , and  $u^2 = \sup_{r \in R} \{a_r u + b_r\}$ .

We have  $f^2 \geq a_r f + b_r$  for each fixed  $r$ . Hence, for each  $r$ ,  $Tf^2 \geq T(a_r f + b_r) = a_r f + b_r$ , by (9), (1), (5), and (6). It follows that

$$Tf^2 \geq \sup_{r \in R} \{a_r f + b_r\} = f^2.$$

Thus  $g \geq 0$ . We observe next that, for any  $h \in V$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X h d\mu &= (h, 1) \\ (11) \quad &= (h, T1) \text{ by (6)} \\ &= (Th, 1) \text{ by (3)} \\ &= \int_X Th d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Tg = T^2 f^2 - Tf^2 = Tf^2 - Tf^2 = 0$  by (1) and (2), it follows from (11) that  $\int_X g d\mu = 0$ , and hence  $g = 0$ . This completes the proof that (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii).

Suppose now that (ii) holds. We shall show first that  $S_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since  $W$  contains the function 1,  $\chi_A \in W$  implies  $\chi_{X-A} = 1 - \chi_A \in W$ ; since  $W$  is closed under pointwise multiplication of functions,  $\chi_A \in W$ ,  $\chi_B \in W$  implies  $\chi_{A \cap B} = \chi_A \cdot \chi_B \in W$ ; and since  $W$  is a closed subset of  $V$ , if  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  is a sequence of characteristic functions in  $W$  such that  $f_r \cdot f_s = 0$  for  $r \neq s$ ,  $g = \sum f_r$  is a characteristic function in  $W$ . By referring to the definition of  $S_0$ , we see that the class  $S_0$  is closed under complementation, under intersection, and under countable union of disjoint sets;  $S_0$  is therefore a  $\sigma$ -algebra. We proceed to show that  $S^0 \subset S_0$ ; this will establish (iii), since  $S_0 \subset S^0$  in any case.

Let  $f$  be a bounded function in  $W$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \leq f \leq \beta$ . Let  $I$  denote the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , and let  $M$  be the class of Borel measurable sets of  $I$ . Define  $\nu(C) = \mu(f^{-1}(C))$  for  $C \in M$ . Then  $\nu$  is a probability measure on  $M$ . It is well known (cf., e.g., [3]) that the set of polynomial functions on  $I$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}_2(I, M, \nu)$ . Let us consider a fixed  $C \in M$ . There exists a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of polynomials on  $I$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \chi_C$ . Writing  $A = f^{-1}(C)$ , we have  $\|p_n - \chi_C\| = \|p_n(f) - \chi_A\|$  for every  $n$ , so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(f) = \chi_A$ . Since  $W$  is algebraic, and  $f$  is a bounded function in  $W$ , we have  $p_n(f) \in W$  for each  $n$ ; hence, since  $W$  is closed,  $\chi_A \in W$ , that is to say,  $A = f^{-1}(C) \in S_0$ . Since  $C$  is arbitrary,  $f$  is  $S_0$ -measurable.

We have therefore shown that every bounded  $f \in W$  is an  $S_0$ -measurable function. Since  $W$  is bounded, it follows that every  $f \in W$  is  $S_0$ -measurable. Consequently  $S^0 \subset S_0$ , by the definition of  $S^0$ . This completes the proof that (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii).

Suppose next that  $S_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, which is less than supposing that (iii) holds. Consider an  $f \in \mathcal{L}_2(X, S_0, \mu)$ . There exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  such that each  $f_n$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i$  where  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  are  $S_0$ -measurable characteristic functions, and also such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f$ . It follows from the definition of  $S_0$  that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $W$ ; hence  $f \in W$ , since  $W$  is closed. Since  $f$  is arbitrary, we have  $\mathcal{L}_2(X, S_0, \mu) \subset W$ . On the other hand,  $f \in W$  implies (by the definition of  $S^0$ ) that  $f$  is  $S^0$ -measurable and therefore in  $\mathcal{L}_2(X, S^0, \mu)$ , so that  $W \subset \mathcal{L}_2(X, S^0, \mu)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_2(X, S_0, \mu) \subset W \subset \mathcal{L}_2(X, S^0, \mu)$ , provided only that  $S_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra; if in fact  $S_0 = S^0$  the three subspaces must be identical. This proves, in particular, that (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (iv).

Suppose, finally, that (iv) holds. Let  $U$  be the conditional expectation operator relative to  $S^0$ , that is to say, for each  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1(X, S, \mu)$  let  $Uf$  be the unique function in  $\mathcal{L}_1(X, S^0, \mu)$  such that  $\int_A f d\mu = \int_A Uf d\mu$  for all  $A \in S^0$ . The existence of such a function follows easily from the Radon-Nikodym theorem (cf., e.g., [4]). Consider an  $f \in V$ . Since  $Tf \in W$  by (4), the hypothesis (iv) implies that

$$Tf \in \mathcal{L}_1(X, S^0, \mu).$$

Also, for any  $A \in S^0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A f d\mu &= (f, \chi_A) \\ &= (f, T\chi_A) \text{ by (iv) and (5)} \\ &= (Tf, \chi_A) \text{ by (3)} \\ &= \int_A Tf d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

It follows hence by the uniqueness of conditional expectation that  $Tf$  differs from  $Uf$  on a set of  $\mu$ -measure zero. Since  $f$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $T = U$  on  $V$ . (It follows incidentally that  $f \in V$  implies  $Uf \in V$ ,  $\|Uf\| \leq \|f\|$ ). As is well known,  $U$  is constant-preserving and positive, so that (i) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**3. Applications to a finite-dimensional function space.** By way of an example, let  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $S$  = the class of all sets of  $X$ , and  $\mu$  = the uniform distribution on  $X$ , that is to say,  $\mu(\{x\}) = 1/n$  for  $x = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In this case  $V$  is the real vector space of all real-valued functions on  $X$ , every  $f \in V$  is bounded, and every linear manifold in  $V$  is closed.

Let  $W$  be a linear manifold in  $V$ . By definition,  $W$  is algebraic if it contains every constant function, and if  $W$  is closed under pointwise

multiplication of functions. It follows from Corollary 1 that a  $k$ -dimensional linear manifold  $W$  is algebraic if and only if there exists a partition of  $X$  into  $k$  mutually exclusive nonempty sets,  $X = \bigcup_{r=1}^k X_r$ , say, such that  $W$  is the set of all functions of the form  $f(x) = \alpha_r$  for  $x \in X_r$  ( $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). The verification is omitted.

For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  let  $f_i(x) = 1$  for  $x = i$  and  $f_i(x) = 0$  for  $x \neq i$ . Then  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  is a basis in  $V$ . Let  $\{t_{ij}\}$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric probability matrix (i.e.  $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ ,  $t_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} = 1$ ), and for  $j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i$  let  $Tf = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Tf_i$ , where  $Tf_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} f_j$ . Then the transformation  $T$  is linear, self-adjoint, constant-preserving, and positive.

Clearly,  $T$  is idempotent if and only if the matrix  $\{t_{ij}\}$  is idempotent (i.e.  $\sum_{r=1}^n t_{ir} t_{rj} = t_{ij}$ ). Moreover, it follows easily from the definition of a conditional probability that  $T$  is a conditional expectation, with a  $k$ -dimensional subspace as its range, if and only if there exists a partition of  $n$  into  $k$  positive integers,  $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$  say, such that (except for a permutation of rows and columns)  $\{t_{ij}\}$  is the matrix

$$M_k(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{N_1} & & & 0 \\ & \boxed{N_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \boxed{N_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $N_r$  is the  $n_r \times n_r$  matrix with each element equal to  $1/n_r$ .

It now follows from Corollary 2 that a symmetric  $n \times n$  probability matrix of rank  $k$  is idempotent if and only if there exists a partition of  $n$  into  $k$  positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  such that the matrix is a permutation of the rows and columns of  $M_k(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Shu-Teh Chen Moy, *Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation on function spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics vol. 4 (1954) p. 47.
2. P. R. Halmos, *Introduction to Hilbert space and the theory of spectral multiplicity*, New York, Chelsea, 1951, pp. 1-48.
3. G. Szegö, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 23, 1939, pp. 10-11.
4. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, New York, Wiley, 1953, pp. 15-18.
5. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, New York, Van Nostrand, 1951.
6. G. Birkhoff, *Moyennes des fonctions bornées*, Congrès d'Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres, Paris, 1949, pp. 143-156.