INTRODUCTION

Recently, studies on the thermoreversible gelation of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVF₂) have gained considerable momentum1−3 because of the piezo and pyroelectric properties of the polymer4. Different polymorphs of PVF₂ are produced during the gelation and different mechanisms have been proposed for the gelation processes1−3. Crystallization is the cause of gelation for PVF₂ gels in acetonitrile and in ethyl benzoate, whereas liquid−liquid phase separation has been considered as the cause of gelation for PVF₂ gels in acetophenone and in ethyl benzoate2, whereas liquid−liquid phase separation has been considered as the cause of gelation for PVF₂ gels in acetophenone and in ethyl benzoate. The former deals with the nature of the connectedness (physical crosslinking) whereas the latter sheds light on the mechanism of gelation. On the other hand, keeping the gelation rate constant and studying the variation of the gelation rate with temperature suggests that the polymer−solvent system obeys the three dimensional percolation mechanism. On the other hand, keeping the gelation rate constant and studying the variation of the gelation rate with temperature suggests that the polymer−solvent system obeys the three dimensional percolation mechanism. Also, from the temperature dependence of the gelation rate it has been concluded that crystallites are involved in the formation of the three dimensional crosslinking.

The purpose of the present study is to elucidate both the macroscopic and microscopic mechanisms of PVF₂ gels in glyceryl tributyratate (GTB). Since the morphology of these gels is quite different from the PVF₂ gels so far studied4−13, it is important to know the mechanism by which the fibrillar gels of PVF₂ are produced and also whether the percolation mechanism of gelation is suitable for the formation of these fibrillar gels. Apart from the gelation rate measurement, wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and differential scanning calorimetry (d.s.c.) have been used to elucidate the mechanism of gelation.

EXPERIMENTAL

Three commercial PVF₂ samples—Sol-10, Sol-12 and KY-201—are used in this work. The characteristics of the samples are presented in Table 1. The samples are
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Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVF₂) gels in glyceryl tributyratate (GTB) with fibrillar morphology in the dried state. The gels are transparent and WAXS results indicate the presence of α-phase PVF₂ crystals in the gels. The gelation rate (tɨgel⁻¹) has been measured by the test tube tilting method and has been analysed with the equation
tɨgel⁻¹ ~ f(c)(T), where f(c) = concentration function and f(T) = temperature function. At a fixed temperature, the variation of tɨgel⁻¹ with concentration suggests that the nature of the connectedness in this system obeys the three dimensional percolation mechanism. On the other hand, at a fixed concentration, the variation of the gelation rate with temperature suggests that the gelation is a two step concerted process of conformational ordering and crystallization, the former acting as the rate determining step. The formation of fibrillar gels in this system has been attributed to the solvation of the TGTG conformer of PVF₂ through compound formation in a 3:1 molar ratio of the monomeric units of PVF₂ and GTB. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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recrystallized from dilute solution (~0.3% w/v) in acetophenone, washed repeatedly with methanol and finally dried in vacuum at 60°C for three days. The Sol-10 and Sol-12 PVF₂ samples are chosen to investigate the influence of molecular weight on the gelation mechanism, whereas the KY-201 and Sol-12 samples are chosen to study the influence of chain structure [Head to Head (H–H) defect] on the gelation mechanism of PVF₂. The solvent, glyceryl tributyrate (GTB) (Sigma, USA), is used as received.

The gels are usually prepared in sealed glass tubes except for the gels used in thermal measurement. Appropriate amounts of polymer and solvent are taken in glass tubes, degassed by a freeze–thaw technique and are sealed in vacuum (10⁻³ mm Hg). The solutions are homogenized at 180°C and are quenched to the desired temperature for gelation. The gelation rates are measured by the test-tube tilting method 1–3,13–16 †. For the SEM study the gels are removed from the sealed tubes, dried at 50°C under vacuum, gold coated and then micrographs are taken through a SEM apparatus (Hitachi, S-415A). For the WAXS study a Philips powder diffraction apparatus (model PW1710) is used with nickel filtered Cu Kα radiation. The same mass of the freshly prepared gel for each sample is taken into the same glass groove and the instrument is scanned from 10° to 45° at the rate of 0.9°/min.

The thermal study of the gels has been done using a Perkin–Elmer differential scanning calorimeter (DSC-7) fitted with a 3700 data station. The instrument is calibrated with indium before use. Measured quantities of polymer and solvent are put into LVC capsules fitted with o-rings to prevent any solvent loss during heating. The samples are melted at 180°C and homogenized by shaking. Then they are quenched to the desired gelation temperature. After standing for a definite time (3 hrs) for each sample the instrument is scanned at the rate of 10°C/min. The peak temperature(s)

**Table 1** Characteristics of the samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$M_w^{*} \times 10^5$</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>H–H defect (mol. %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sol-10</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol-12</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-201</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Weight average molecular weight
b PDI = polydispersity index
c H–H defect = Head to Head defect

The enthalpy of gel formation is measured from the exotherm obtained by cooling a homogeneous solution from 180°C at the rate of 5°C/min. In Figure 1 representative d.s.c. thermograms for gel fusion and gel formation are presented.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphology and structure

The PVF₂ gels in GTB are transparent at all the gelation temperatures studied here. This is in sharp contrast to the PVF₂ gels in acetophenone or in ethyl benzoate where they are turbid in nature. In Figure 2 the SEMs of dried PVF₂ gels in GTB, acetophenone and ethyl benzoate are compared for the KY PVF₂ at the same magnification. It is apparent from the Figure that in the former solvent the gels have fibrillar morphology. In the latter two cases the gels have spheroidal morphology, though in the ethyl benzoate system some fibrillar morphology is also found.

In Figure 3 the WAXS patterns of the freshly prepared gels of the three systems are compared. It is clear from the Figure that the overall nature of the X-ray pattern is the same for the three solvents, indicating the presence of α-phase crystals of PVF₂ in the gels. However, there is a gradation in the broadening of the amorphous scattering (lower) zone and it follows the order acetophenone > ethyl benzoate > GTB. The polymer solvent interaction parameter (x) of the three solvents is determined using the depression of the equilibrium dissolution temperature and has been found to be 0.09, 0.31 and 0.39, for acetophenone, ethyl benzoate and GTB, respectively.

From the peak position, d_{hkl} values are calculated and are presented in Table 2. The d_{001} values of the acetophenone and ethyl benzoate systems are the same as those of pure PVF₂ crystallized from the melt. On the other hand, the d_{001} values of GTB are slightly higher than the others, indicating a small expansion of the unit cell. This small expansion of the unit cell may be due to the fibrillar nature of the crystal, where a larger fraction of H–H defects enter into the lattice causing the unit cell expansion.

Gelation rate

Figures 4a and b represent the gelation rate–concentration diagrams of PVF₂-GTB systems at indicated gelation temperatures (T_g). At a particular T_g the gelation rate increases in a nonlinear way with concentration but at higher concentrations it slowly levels up. At a fixed concentration the gelation rate gradually decreases with increase in temperature. To explain the concentration and temperature dependency of the gelation rate, an explicit expression (equation (1)) is necessary. Earlier, it has been shown that f(c) ~ f^b where f is the reduced overlapping concentration and is equal to [C – C_{eta=0}(T)]/C_{eta=0}(T); C_{eta=0}(T) is the critical gelation concentration at temperature T and can be measured from the extrapolation of the plots of Figures 4a and b to the zero gelation rate, 'n' is an exponent and it has been argued that it is equal to the percolation exponent β of the expression$^{13,22}$$^{12,24}$:

\[ G = \frac{\eta}{C_{eta=0}(T)} \]

where G is the gel fraction, P is the conversion factor and P_c is its critical value. The nature of f(T) is dependent on the process by which gelation occurs. Since gelation may occur through crystallization, coil to helix transition, spinodal decomposition, etc., f(T) cannot be given a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>d_{010}</th>
<th>d_{200}</th>
<th>d_{001}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTB</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetophenone</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl benzoate</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
generalized form. Details of these functions will be discussed later.

In Figure 5 the gelation rates of different PVF₂ samples are compared for a 6% (w/v) solution at different gelation temperatures. It is clear from the Figure that the higher molecular weight PVF₂ sample has the higher gelation rate. This is because the critical gelation concentration for the higher molecular weight sample is lower than that of the lower molecular weight sample. Consequently, \( \phi \) has a higher value for the same concentration yielding a higher gelation rate. Like the PVF₂ gels in acetophenone or in ethyl benzoate, here also the higher H–H defect content KY-201 PVF₂ has a higher gelation rate than the others. The reason for this higher rate will be presented later.

The concentration function

At a fixed temperature equation (1) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{t_{1 \text{gel}}}{t_0} \propto \phi^n
\]  

and, therefore, \( n \) can be measured from the slope of double logarithmic plots of \( t_{1 \text{gel}} \) and \( \phi \). In Figure 6 representative plots are shown for the KY-201 PVF₂ sample at indicated \( T_c \)s. It is clear from the Figure that the data are very well fitted by a straight line for each temperature. The slopes of these plots for all the PVF₂ samples are presented in Table 3 and vary from 0.40 to 0.64. The values are very similar to those obtained for PVF₂-acetophenone and PVF₂-ethyl benzoate gels and are close to the \( \beta \) value (0.45) for percolation in the three dimensional lattice. Thus, the exponent value is independent of the morphology of the gel and is completely different from the value '2' as proposed by Ohkura et al. It is also much lower than the classical value of unity, where gelation has been considered as a chain reaction. Recently, Pekcan et al. observed an '\( n \)' value equal to 0.38 during sol–gel transitions by free radical crosslinking copolymerization using a fluorescence technique. Therefore, it can be concluded that gelation, in general, obeys the three dimensional percolation mechanism and '\( n \)' in equation (3) may be replaced by 0.45.

The temperature function

When a polymer solution is cooled conformational changes occur. Since the WAXS of the gels corresponds to the \( \alpha \)-phase of PVF₂, it is evident that the PVF₂ coil first adopts the TGT̅G conformation and this conformer then produces the fibrillar \( \alpha \)-crystallites, causing gelation. Schematically

\[
\text{Coil} \rightarrow \text{TGT̅G conformer} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ crystallites} \quad (\text{fibrillar gel})
\]  

Since the formation of fibrillar \( \alpha \)-PVF₂ is very uncommon we want to search for the cause of inhibition of the chain folding process to produce the fibrillar gels. In most gelation processes solvent molecules form compounds with the conformer and thereby prevent chain folding yielding fibrillar morphology of the gel. As an evidence of the compound formation the enthalpy of gel fusion and the enthalpy of gel formation show a maximum with composition. In this system we also observed from the enthalpy vs composition plot an evidence of compound formation at a weight fraction of PVF₂ = 0.40. This corresponds to the molar ratio of 3:1 for the PVF₂ segment and GTB respectively. The dipole–dipole interaction of three \( \geq \text{C}=\text{O} \) groups of GTB and \( \geq \text{CF}_2 \) group of each monomeric unit of PVF₂ is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>KY-201</th>
<th>Sol-10</th>
<th>Sol-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Av.</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Dev.</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conformational ordering. Flory and Weaver\(^\text{38}\) gave an expression for the rate constant (\(K\)) for conformational ordering from coil to helix of a dilute aqueous collagen solution:

\[
K = \text{const. exp} \left( -\frac{\Delta A}{kT} \right)
\]

(5)

where \(A = 2\alpha\Delta F/\Delta S\), \(\alpha\) is the surface energy in the new surface produced due to conformational ordering, \(\Delta F\) is the free energy of activation at the melting temperature per repeating unit and \(\Delta S\) is the overall entropy change per repeating unit for the conformational transition. Though originally it was believed that PVF\(_2\) in the \(a\)-phase crystallizes in a \(2_1\) helical structure with an alternating cis and trans conformation, extensive research in this field suggests that TGTG is the appropriate conformation in the \(a\)-phase\(^\text{39}\). However, the above expression for the rate constant of the coil to helix transition can be applied to any change in conformation forming an ordered conformer. This is because, like a helix, this TGTG conformer also produces a new surface, different from the melt, with surface energy \(\sigma\), and there will be a loss of entropy for the formation of the ordered conformer. The absolute values of \(\sigma\), \(\Delta S\) and \(\Delta F\) may vary from conformer to conformer producing an ordered structure. Since, in the gelation process, conformational ordering is the first step, the gelation rate can be expressed as:

\[
t_{\text{gel}} = \text{const. exp} \left(-\frac{\Delta A}{kT} \right)
\]

(6)

for a particular concentration. To analyse the gelation rate the equilibrium gel melting temperature \(T_{\text{gel}}\) is needed to calculate \(\Delta G_{\text{gel}} = T_{\text{gel}} - T_\text{g}\), and to measure \(T_{\text{gel}}\) the Hoffman–Weeks procedure\(^\text{40}\) is adopted for measuring the equilibrium melting point \(T_{\text{gel}}\) of polymer crystals which has been applied to PVF\(_2\) crystals (Table 4) and is shown in Figure 8. In the Figure, the gel melting temperature \(T_{\text{gel}}\) obtained by d.s.c. and also by the test tube tilting method are presented. It is apparent from Figure 8 that at some gelation temperatures \(T_{\text{gel}}\) two melting peaks are observed due to melt recrystallization, but at higher \(T_{\text{gel}}\) it shows one peak. Extrapolation of this plot to \(T_n = T_{\text{gel}}\) line corresponds to an equilibrium melting point \(T_{\text{gel}}\) equal to 130°C. The gel melting temperature determined by the test tube tilting method when extrapolated also gives \(T_{\text{gel}}\) at 130°C. But at higher temperatures no gel is produced; rather solution crystals are produced\(^\text{26}\).

The melting points of the solution crystals are dependent on \(T_c\) and extrapolation of this plot yields the equilibrium dissolution temperature \(T_{\text{gel}}\). \(T_{\text{gel}}\) is approximately equal to the value obtained by the visual method\(^\text{41,42}\). So there are two equilibrium melting points: (1) \(T_{\text{gel}}\) and (2) \(T^\text{gm}\). Now the question is why two equilibrium melting points arise in the same system. The reason is the critical gelation concentration which increases with increase in temperature (Figure 4)\(^\text{11-15}\). At higher temperatures, therefore, though crystals are produced no gelation occurs even after ageing the solution for 7 days. Taking these \(T_{\text{gel}}\) values, \(\log t_{\text{gel}}\) has been plotted against \(1/T_{\text{gel}}\) and is shown in Figure 9. The data fit a straight line very well. From the least square slopes of these lines the ‘A’ values are calculated and are presented in Table 4. It is clear from the Table that KY-201 PVF\(_2\) gels have a lower ‘A’ value than the others, explaining their higher gelation rate compared to the other samples at a particular temperature. The \(\Delta F\) values are calculated taking the experimental lateral surface free energy (\(\sigma\)) values of each sample from a crystallization kinetic study\(^\text{41,42}\) and taking \(\Delta S = 2.1 \text{ kcal/mol}\) and \(C_\text{r}\) is the chain characteristic ratio (5.5)\(^\text{\$}\) of PVF\(_2\). The \(\Delta F\) values calculated from these results are also presented in Table 4 and they vary from 11 to 14 kcal/mol, quite a reasonable value to permit the individual isolation of the conformers\(^\text{43}\). Moreover, from the potential energy diagrams of the isolated PVF\(_2\) chain the energy barrier between the lowest and the highest energy conformers is about 9 kcal/mol\(^\text{46}\). The \(\Delta F\) values show a composition dependency; the higher the polymer concentration, the higher its value. However, extrapolation of these values to zero polymer concentration yields \(\Delta F = 9–10\) kcal/mol. So the \(\Delta F\) values are in good agreement with the theoretical potential energy barrier of the isolated PVF\(_2\) chain. This analysis of the gelation rate supports the view that conformational ordering is the first step for this gelation process.

\(^\text{1}\) The gel melting temperature determined by this method corresponds approximately to the peak end temperature of the 2nd peak in d.s.c. thermograms.

\(^\text{2}\) The \(C_r\) value of the PVF\(_2\) chain \(C_r = \frac{\ell_p}{\ell},\) where \(\ell_p\) is the mean square unperturbed end-to-end distance, \(\ell\) is the length of each monomeric unit, and \(I^\text{u}\) is the unperturbed end-to-end distance \((I^\text{u} = 4.1 \times 10^{-\text{10}} \text{ cm})\) from viscosity results.\(^\text{37}\)
Table 4  ‘A’ and $\Delta F$ values of PVF$_2$ gels in GTB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KY-201</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sol-10</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sol-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc. g/dL</td>
<td>$T^0$/C</td>
<td>$A$/kcal/mol</td>
<td>$\Delta F$/kcal/mol</td>
<td>Conc. g/dL</td>
<td>$T^0$/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>110.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5  $\alpha^{i,j}$ values (in erg/cm$^2$) of different PVF$_2$ samples in GTB gels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>KY-201</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sol-10</th>
<th></th>
<th>Sol-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc. g/dL</td>
<td>$T^0$/C</td>
<td>$\alpha^{i,j}$/erg/cm$^2$</td>
<td>$\sigma_\perp$/kcal/mol</td>
<td>Conc. g/dL</td>
<td>$T^0$/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>319.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>324.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>301.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0 (from melt)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>305.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10  Log $T^0$/C versus $(T^0/T)/((\Delta G)2)$ plots of PVF$_2$ gels (4 g/dL) in GTB: O—KY-201, △—Sol-12 and □—Sol-10

Aggregation of ordered conformers producing fibrillar crystals. Due to the cohesive force of attraction and adequate symmetry of the ordered conformers they immediately undergo crystallization. Since crystallization is a nucleation controlled process, gelation is also a nucleation controlled process. Therefore,

$$t_{gel}^{-1} = Const. \times \exp \left[ \frac{E_g(v_2) - \Delta F^*(v_2)}{RT} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $v_2$ is the volume fraction of polymer, $E_g(v_2)$ and $\Delta F^*(v_2)$ denote the free energy of transport and free energy for the formation of a critical size of nucleus, respectively, and

$$\Delta F^*(v_2) = \frac{8\pi\sigma_\perp^2\sigma_e^2}{4\pi kT\sigma_e^2 \ln v_2} (T^0)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\sigma_e$ and $\sigma_i$ are the lateral and end surface free energies, and $\Delta T = T_0 - T$. In Figure 10 $t_{gel}^{-1}$ has been plotted against $(T^0)^2/\Delta T$ and straight lines are observed. This supports the idea that the gelation is a nucleation controlled process. At a first approximation, the slope values of the plots are equated to $8\pi\sigma_\perp^2\sigma_e^2/\Delta H_t^2$ as earlier, and $\sigma_\perp\sigma_e$ values are calculated. In Table 5 $\sigma_\perp\sigma_e$ and the mean interfacial energy values ($\sigma_{\perp,\parallel}$) are presented. The values are very close to those of the PVF$_2$/acetophenone and PVF$_2$/ethyl benzoate gels and are also close to those of the pure PVF$_2$ samples. These results, therefore, conclude that crystallization is the 2nd or final step in the gelation process.

Now to conclude this section one must know the activation energy value of the crystallization process. At the lowest undercooling studied here, for a 4% solution, $\Delta E^*(v_2)$ calculated from equation (8) has values of 6.8 and 7.0 KCal/mol for KY-201 and sol.-PVF$_2$ samples, respectively. At higher undercooling and also for higher concentration, this activation energy decreases for each system. Consequently, in comparison with the activation energy of the conformational ordering, the activation energy of crystallization is less.

Therefore, crystallization follows immediately and it is difficult to distinguish the process responsible for gelation.

So, gelation occurs here by a concerted two step process, the conformational ordering being the rate determining step.

CONCLUSIONS

From the study it can be concluded that PVF$_2$ gels in GTB with fibrillar morphology. The formation of this fibrillar morphology is believed to be due to the dipole–dipole interaction of the >C=O group of the ester and the >CF$_2$ group of the PVF$_2$ chain, making a compound at a 3:1 molar ratio of each PVF$_2$ segment and GTB. Analysis of the gelation rate yields that the nature of the connectedness in this system obeys the three dimensional percolation mechanism and gelation in this system is a concerted two step process of conformational ordering and crystallization, the former acting as the rate determining step.
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