N. Mohan Kumar · Chris Peterson · A. Prabhakar Rao

Monads on projective spaces

Received: 6 November 2002 Published online: 16 July 2003

Abstract. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n . There is a strong relationship between \mathcal{E} and its intermediate cohomology modules. In the case where \mathcal{E} has low rank, we exploit this relationship to provide various splitting criteria for \mathcal{E} . In particular, we give a splitting criterion for \mathcal{E} in terms of the vanishing of certain intermediate cohomology modules. We also show that the Horrocks-Mumford bundle is the only non-split rank two bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 with a Buchsbaum second cohomology module.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbf{P}^n denote *n*-dimensional projective space. If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n then we have an associated locally free sheaf, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(\mathcal{E})$, of germs of sections of \mathcal{E} . In what follows, we will not make any distinction between a vector bundle and its associated locally free sheaf. We will say that a vector bundle, \mathcal{E} , *splits* if it decomposes as a sum of line bundles. For any sheaf \mathcal{E} , on \mathbf{P}^n , we will let $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(v))$. If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle, then the cohomology modules, $H^i_*(\mathcal{E})$, are of finite length whenever $1 \le i \le n - 1$. There is a strong relation between \mathcal{E} and these "intermediate cohomology modules". In this paper, we will be focusing on a few aspects of this relation. To begin with, the vanishing of various subsets of these modules force interesting conditions on \mathcal{E} . The strongest set of conditions in this direction are found in a theorem of Horrocks [Hor1] which states:

Theorem (Horrocks). If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le n - 1$.

Evans and Griffith [EG] established the following improvement of Horrocks' theorem:

Theorem (Evans, Griffith). If \mathcal{E} is a rank k vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n with $k \leq n$ then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$.

N.M. Kumar: Dept. of Mathematics, Washington University, Saint Louis, MO, USA 63130. e-mail: kumar@math.wustl.edu

C. Peterson: Dept. of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA 80523

A.P. Rao: Dept. of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Saint Louis, MO, USA 63121 Partially supported by NSF Grants. Imposing other restrictions on the cohomology modules may also force the bundle to split. For instance, in a paper by Mohan Kumar and Rao [KR], they established:

Theorem (Kumar, Rao). If \mathcal{E} is a rank 2 bundle on \mathbf{P}^n with $n \ge 4$ (resp. $n \ge 5$) then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^1_*(\mathcal{E})$ is Buchsbaum (resp. 2-Buchsbaum).

To the best of our knowledge, even for rank two bundles on projective spaces, the consequences of restrictions on cohomology modules other than the first have not been explored. In this note, our first result is the following cousin of the theorem of Horrocks and of the theorem of Evans and Griffith:

Theorem. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n . If $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}) < n$ and n is even then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for 1 < i < n - 1. If $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E}) < n - 1$ and n is odd then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for 1 < i < n - 1.

An immediate corollary of this theorem is:

Corollary. If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 and if rank $(\mathcal{E}) \leq 3$ then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^2_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Our final result, along the lines of [KR], is the following:

Theorem. If \mathcal{E} is a non-split rank two bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 and if $H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$ is Buchsbaum, then \mathcal{E} is a Horrocks-Mumford bundle.

2. Main results

Atiyah, Hitchin, Drinfeld and Manin introduced the notion of a mathematical instanton bundle on \mathbf{P}^3 [AHDM]. Okonek and Spindler generalized this idea to give a definition of mathematical instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} [OS]. In addition, they established the existence of these bundles for different Chern classes and studied their moduli space. Instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} were further studied by Spindler and Trautmann [ST] and by Ancona and Ottaviani [AO]. One criterion that these bundles satisfy is that they have rank 2n on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} and have vanishing i^{th} cohomology modules for 1 < i < 2n. Hence they appear as the homology in the middle of a "monad of sums of line bundles". To be precise, each such mathematical instanton appears as the homology of a complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-1)^k \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}^{2n+2k} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)^k,$$

where the map on the left is an inclusion of vector bundles and the map on the right is a surjection of vector bundles. Since the maps are given by matrices of linear forms, we may call such a monad a "linear monad of bundles".

One can create monads which are not "linear" by, for example, pulling back a linear monad by a finite map from \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} to itself. In fact, Horrocks [Hor2] shows that every rank two bundle on \mathbf{P}^3 is obtained as the homology of a monad of the form

$$\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$$

where \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are sums of line bundles. Additionally, the monad can be chosen to be minimal in the sense that it is built from a minimal resolution of the first cohomology module ([R2] and [D]). An analogous question can be asked for rank two bundles on \mathbf{P}^4 . We address some cases of this question (originally asked by W. Decker: see page 187) in this paper. As a consequence of our investigation, we are able to identify all rank two bundles on \mathbf{P}^4 which have Buchsbaum second cohomology module.

Recently, Fløystad [F] analyzed linear monads on \mathbf{P}^n of the form

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(-1)^a \to \mathcal{O}^b_{\mathbf{P}^n} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)^c.$$

While he was interested in linear monads for sheaves as well as for bundles, (i.e. he allowed the left hand map to be an inclusion of sheaves and not necessarily an inclusion of bundles), his results for linear monads for bundles show that under precise conditions on *a*, *b*, *c* and *n*, the homology of the monad gives rise to vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^n . He proves that if one obtains a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbf{P}^{2n} , then rank $(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2n$ and if one obtains a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} , then rank $(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2n$. Furthermore, he identifies the ones of rank 2n on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} with instanton bundles.

The main purpose of our note is to show that these rank limitations are true even with arbitrary monads and not just linear monads.

In the following paragraphs, when we deal with the projective space \mathbf{P}^n , *S* will denote the underlying polynomial ring and, for any sheaf \mathcal{F} , $H^i_*(\mathcal{F})$ will denote the *S*-module $\bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(\nu))$.

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n .

- 1. If n is even and if rank(\mathcal{E}) < n then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for 1 < i < n 1.
- 2. If n is odd and if rank(\mathcal{E}) < n 1 then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^i_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for 1 < i < n 1.

Proof. When \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^n , it is well known that the following two conditions are equivalent:

- a) $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for 1 < i < n 1.
- b) There exist sums of line bundles $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ on \mathbf{P}^n and a monad $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}$ where α is an injection and β is a surjection of vector bundles, such that \mathcal{E} is given by $ker(\beta)/image(\alpha)$.

This equivalence follows from Horrocks' theorem and his method of killing $H^1_*(\mathcal{E})$ and $H^{n-1}_*(\mathcal{E})$ ([Hor2]) to produce a monad.

Suppose \mathcal{E} is non-split and satisfies the two conditions. Then we can assume in condition a) that either $H_*^{n-1}(\mathcal{E})$ or $H_*^1(\mathcal{E})$ is non-vanishing and in condition b) that both α and β are minimal in the sense that no matrix entry is a non-zero scalar and at least one of these matrices is non-zero.

Now if one of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{C} is zero, then \mathcal{E} or its dual is a first syzygy module. In this case, \mathcal{E} must have rank at least *n* by the following well-known argument. Assume that \mathcal{C} is zero and let *r* be the rank of \mathcal{E} . From the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to S^r \mathcal{A} \to S^{r-1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1 \mathcal{B} \to \cdots \to \wedge^r \mathcal{B} \to \wedge^r \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

Chasing exact sequences tells us that when r < n, this long exact sequence is split at each place. In particular, the map $S^r \mathcal{A} \to S^{r-1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1 \mathcal{B}$ which is obtained from α as $a_1 a_2 \dots a_r \to \sum (\pm a_1 a_2 \dots \hat{a}_i \dots a_r \otimes \alpha(a_i))$, is split. This goes against our assumption that the matrix α had no non-zero scalars.

Suppose we know the result of the theorem for *n* even. Let \mathcal{E} be a bundle on \mathbf{P}^n with rank(\mathcal{E}) < n - 1, $n \ge 3$, *n* odd. If \mathcal{E} satisfies condition a) and condition b) and if we restrict \mathcal{E} to a hyperplane *H* (of even dimension), then these two conditions are inherited by the restriction. By our assumption of the result of the theorem for *n* even, the restricted bundle is split. Since the restriction of the bundle is split, this forces the bundle on the larger space to be split ([OSS] Theorem 2.3.2). Thus, establishing the result of the theorem for the case of *n* even will also establish the result for *n* odd.

Suppose now that *n* is even with n = 2k. Let \mathcal{E} be a bundle on \mathbf{P}^n with rank $(\mathcal{E}) \le n - 1$. By adding line bundles to \mathcal{E} (if necessary), we may suppose that rank $(\mathcal{E}) = n - 1$. Let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the first Chern class of \mathcal{E} .

We will study $\wedge^{k-1} \mathcal{E}$ and $\wedge^k \mathcal{E}$. Let \mathcal{G} equal the kernel of the bundle surjection β in the monad. We get

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We claim that $H_*^k(\wedge^{k-1}\mathcal{E}) = 0$. To see this, first consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{\vee} \to \mathcal{B}^{\vee} \to \mathcal{G}^{\vee} \to 0.$$

From this short exact sequence, $\wedge^i \mathcal{G}^{\vee}$ has a resolution by sums of line bundles:

$$0 \to S^{i}(\mathcal{C}^{\vee}) \to S^{i-1}(\mathcal{C}^{\vee}) \otimes \wedge^{1}(\mathcal{B}^{\vee}) \to \cdots \to \wedge^{i}(\mathcal{B}^{\vee}) \to \wedge^{i}(\mathcal{G}^{\vee}) \to 0.$$

Therefore

$$H^J_*(\wedge^i \mathcal{G}^{\vee}) = 0$$
 for $1 \le j \le 2k - i - 1$

Hence

$$H^p_*(\wedge^i \mathcal{G}) = 0$$
 for $i+1 \le p \le 2k-1$.

Now from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to S^{k-1}\mathcal{A} \to S^{k-2}\mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1 \mathcal{G} \to \cdots \to \wedge^{k-1} \mathcal{G} \to \wedge^{k-1} \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

If we break this up into short exact sequences and use the vanishing of cohomology for $\wedge^i(\mathcal{G}^{\vee})$ then we obtain $H^k_*(\wedge^{k-1}\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

By Serre duality, $\wedge^{k-1}\mathcal{E}$ and $\wedge^k \mathcal{E}$ are dual to each other up to a twist by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(c)$ (since rank(\mathcal{E}) = 2k - 1), hence we get

$$H^k_*(\wedge^k \mathcal{E}) = 0.$$

Consider the resolution for $\wedge^k \mathcal{E}$ obtained as

$$0 \to S^k \mathcal{A} \to S^{k-1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1 \mathcal{G} \to \cdots \to \wedge^k \mathcal{G} \to \wedge^k \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

By our calculations, we conclude that the map

$$H^{2k}(S^k\mathcal{A}) \to H^{2k}(S^{k-1}\mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1\mathcal{G})$$

is an inclusion, hence its Serre dual

$$H^0_*(S^{k-1}\mathcal{A}^{\vee}\otimes\wedge^1\mathcal{G}^{\vee})\to H^0_*(S^k\mathcal{A}^{\vee})$$

is surjective. This tells us that

$$0 \to S^k \mathcal{A} \to S^{k-1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \wedge^1 \mathcal{G}$$

is a split inclusion. Hence the composite

$$0 \to S^k \mathcal{A} \to S^{k-1} \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$$

is also a split inclusion. This is a contradiction as we saw in the earlier part of the proof. \Box

As a particular case, we get

Corollary 1. If \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 and if rank $(\mathcal{E}) \leq 3$ then \mathcal{E} splits if and only if $H^2_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

This last corollary, in the rank two case, can be viewed as the simplest case of the following question due to Wolfram Decker.

Question 1. Let \mathcal{E} be a non-split rank two bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 , and let \mathcal{P} be the second syzygy bundle for the module $H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$. It follows by the killing of $H^1_*(\mathcal{E})$ and $H^3_*(\mathcal{E})$ that \mathcal{E} can be expressed as the homology of a monad of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{B} \to 0$$

where \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} split and have ranks equal to the number of minimal generators of the module $H^1_*(\mathcal{E})$, and where \mathcal{L} is also split. Is it true that \mathcal{L} is always zero?

We shall show that this is true in some cases mentioned below.

Suppose $\mathcal{L} \neq 0$. Then $\alpha = [\alpha', l_1]^{\vee}$ and $\beta = [\beta', l_2]$ where l_1, l_2 are matrices of polynomials (with no non-zero scalar entries). Let \mathcal{G} be the kernel of β . Then by an elementary argument,

$$H^2_*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{G}) = H^2_*(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{G}) = A \otimes N,$$

where $A = H^0_*(\mathcal{A})$ and $N = H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$.

From the sequence

$$0 \to \wedge^2 \mathcal{G} \to \wedge^2 (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{L}) \to (\mathcal{P} \oplus \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{B} \to S_2 \mathcal{B} \to 0,$$

we get the exact sequence of maps on cohomology groups

$$H^2_*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{G}) \to H^2_*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{P}) \oplus H^2_*(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \to H^2_*(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

In other words, we get the exact sequence

$$A \otimes N \xrightarrow{[*,l_1 \otimes 1]^{\vee}} H^2_*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{P}) \oplus (L \otimes N) \xrightarrow{[*,l_2 \otimes 1]} B \otimes N.$$

Lemma 1. If $H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$ is Buchsbaum or has a Buchsbaum summand, then \mathcal{L} equals 0.

Proof. Recall that if $N = H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$ is Buchsbaum, it means that N is annihilated by multiplication by any positive degree form. So the map given by l_2 from $L \otimes N$ to $B \otimes N$ is the zero map. By exactness, $L \otimes N$ is in the image of $A \otimes N \xrightarrow{l_1} L \otimes N$, which however, is also the zero map. A similar contradiction is obtained if N has a Buchsbaum summand, by restricting the exact sequence to the Buchsbaum summand. \Box

With the aid of this result, we get the following amusing consequence.

Theorem 2. If \mathcal{E} is a non-split rank two bundle on \mathbf{P}^4 and if $H^2_*(\mathcal{E})$ is Buchsbaum, then \mathcal{E} is a Horrocks-Mumford bundle.

Proof. Suppose $H^2_*(\mathcal{E}) = N$ is equal to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t k(a_i)$, thus equal to *t* copies of the module *k* in different shifts. By the last corollary, a monad for \mathcal{E} then has the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} \Omega^2(a_i) \to \mathcal{B} \to 0,$$

where A and B have ranks equal to 3t - 1. Hence (as above) we get the exact sequence

$$A \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} k(a_i)) \to H^2_*(\wedge^2(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} \Omega^2(a_i))) \to B \otimes (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} k(a_i)).$$

The sum of the dimensions of the vector spaces on the sides is equal to 2t(3t-1), hence we must have 2t(3t-1) is greater than or equal to the dimension of the vector space V in the middle. Included in this vector space V are $\binom{t}{2}$ terms of the form $H_*^2(\Omega^2(a_i) \otimes \Omega^2(a_j))$. We can estimate $H_*^2(\Omega^2 \otimes \Omega^2)$ as follows: from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \otimes \Omega^2 \rightarrow 10\Omega^2(-2) \rightarrow 5\Omega^2(-1) \rightarrow \Omega^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

we see that $H^2(\Omega^2 \otimes \Omega^2(2))$ is 10 dimensional, and by Serre duality, so is $H^2(\Omega^2 \otimes \Omega^2(3))$.

Hence the dimension of V is at least $\binom{t}{2}20 = 10t(t-1)$.

It follows from the inequality $10t(t-1) \le 2t(3t-1)$ that t = 1 or t = 2.

The case t = 1 is ruled out by Corollary 17 in [R1]. Hence we look at the case t = 2.

Suppose $N = k(a) \oplus k(b)$, with a monad for \mathcal{E} given by

$$0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \Omega^2(a) \oplus \Omega^2(b) \to \mathcal{B} \to 0.$$

If \mathcal{E} has first Chern class c_1 , comparing the monad and its dual gives us that

1. $\mathcal{A}^{\vee} = \mathcal{B}(-c_1),$ 2. $\Omega^2(5-a) \oplus \Omega^2(5-b) = \Omega^2(a-c_1) \oplus \Omega^2(b-c_1)$ (since $\Omega^{2^{\vee}} = \Omega^2(5)$).

Hence if $a \leq b$ then $a - c_1 = 5 - b$.

In the case where a = b, without loss of generality, we assume they are both zero, and $c_1 = -5$. In the sequence

$$A \otimes (k \oplus k) \to H^2_*(\wedge^2(\Omega^2 \oplus \Omega^2)) \to B \otimes (k \oplus k),$$

we know that the vector space in the middle has dimension at least 10 in degrees 2 and 3. It follows that if $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} S(e_i)$, $B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{5} S(-e_i - 5)$, then the ten integers e_i , $-e_i - 5$ are concentrated at the values -2, -3. In the monad for \mathcal{E} , since Ω^2 has no sections in degree 2, each $e_i \leq -3$. It follows that each $e_i = -3$, hence giving the monad of a Horrocks-Mumford bundle ([HM]).

In the case where a < b, without loss of generality, assume a = 0. Repeating the argument, we conclude that the twenty integers e_i , $e_i + b$, $-e_i + c_1$, $-e_i + c_1 + b$ are concentrated at the values b - 2, b - 3. This is quite impossible: for example e_i would have to be the smaller value b - 3 since b > 0 and then b = 1. So $e_i = -2$. But it is impossible to have a monad of this form since only $\Omega^2(b)$ in $\Omega^2 \oplus \Omega^2(b)$ has sections in degree 2, negating the possibility of an inclusion of bundles on the left. Thus this case cannot occur. \Box

References

- [AO] Ancona, V., Ottaviani, G.: On moduli of instanton bundles on P^{2n+1} . Pacific J. Math. **171**, 343–351 (1995)
- [AHDM] Atiyah, M.F., Hitchin, N.J., Drinfeld, V.G., Manin, Ju.: Construction of instantons. Phys. Lett. 65 A, 185–187 (1978)
- [D] Decker, W.: Monads and cohomology modules of rank 2 vector bundles. Comp. Math. 76, 7–17 (1990)
- [EG] Evans, G., Griffith, P.: The syzygy problem. Annals of Math. **114**, 323–353 (1981)
- [F] Fløystad, G.: Monads on projective spaces. Comm. Algebra 2828, 5503–5516 (2000)
- [Hor1] Horrocks, G.: Vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a local ring. Proc. London Math. Soc. 14, 689–713 (1964)
- [Hor2] Horrocks, G.: Construction of bundles on Pⁿ. Les équations de Yang-Mills, A. Douady, J-L. Verdier, Astèrisque 71–72, 197–203 (1980)
- [HM] Horrocks, G., Mumford, D.: A rank 2 vector bundle on P⁴ with 15,000 symmetries. Topology 12, 63–81 (1973)
- [KR] Mohan Kumar, N., Rao, A.P.: Buchsbaum bundles on Pⁿ. J. Pure Applied Algebra 152, 195–199 (2000)
- [OS] Okonek, C., Spindler, H.: Mathematical instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} . J. Reine Angew. Math. **364**, 35–50 (1986)
- [OSS] Okonek, C., Schneider, M., Spindler, H.: Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Birkhäuser, Boston and Basel, 1980
- [R1] Rao, A.P.: On self-linked curves. Duke Math. J. 49, 251–273 (1982)
- [R2] Rao, A.P.: A note on cohomology modules of rank two bundles. J. Alg. 86, 23–34 (1984)
- [ST] Spindler, H., Trautmann, G.: Special instanton bundles on P_{2N+1} , their geometry and their moduli. Math. Ann. **286**, 559–592 (1990)