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#### Abstract

Regiospecificity of 3,5 -disubstituted pyrazoles derived from reaction of phenylhydrazine with $\alpha$-acyl $\beta$-aminocrotononitriles and esters is primarily deduced on the basis of spectral analyses. The present work provides direct and unambiguous evidences in support of the regiospecificity of these pyrazoles. In addition, this work also shows that $\alpha$-acyl- $\beta$ enaminones derived from enaminonitriles and enaminoesters both afford 5 -substituted pyrazoles in contrast to earlier observation by Benary.
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## IPC: Int.Cl. ${ }^{7}$ C 07 D

Enaminones are versatile synthetic intermediates particularly in heterocyclic chemistry ${ }^{1}$. Heterocycles prepared from enaminones include among others carbazolequinone alkaloids ${ }^{2}$, tricyclic benzo $[a] q u i n o-$ lizines ${ }^{3}$, benzodiazepines ${ }^{4}$, quinolines ${ }^{5}$, pyrimidines ${ }^{6}$, pyrazoles ${ }^{7,8}$, isoxazoles ${ }^{9}$, isothiazoles ${ }^{10}$ and pyrroles ${ }^{11}$.

## Results and Discussion

Our continuing interest to develop a general method ${ }^{8-10}$ for synthesis of 1,2 -azoles centres around $\alpha$-cyano- $\beta$-enaminones obtained from regioselective acylation of $\beta$-aminocrotononitrile ${ }^{12,13}$. However, regiospecificity of 3(5), 5(3)-disubstituted-4-cyanopyrazoles prepared from enaminones leaves scope for ambiguity as these can be formed in either of the two ways i.e. path-A or path-B (Scheme I). The suggested mechanism for path-A or path- $B$ is shown in Scheme II. In our earlier work ${ }^{8}$, the regiospecificity of 3,5-disubstituted-4-cyanopyrazoles was deduced on the basis of NOE experiment and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. In order to obtain a direct proof with regard to regiospecificity of 3,5-disubstituted pyrazoles derived from C -acylated enaminoesters and nitriles, enaminones $\mathbf{1 a}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ were treated with phenyl hydrazine. Pyrazole 2a/3a was expected from 1a ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ) whereas $\mathbf{1 b}$ should afford either $\mathbf{2 b} / \mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ depending on the reaction path followed.

However, pyrazoles thus obtained on basic hydrolysis afforded acids which were found to be identical as evidenced from extensive ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral analyses as well as from comparative IR spectra and mixed m.p. determination $178^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(1 \mathrm{lit}^{19}\right)$. This acid could be either 2c or 3c (Scheme I). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 3c, methyl proton absorbs at 2.57 ppm as a singlet and methylene protons absorb at 5.23 ppm . The aromatic protons appear as a multiplet in the region 6.88-7.56 ppm (Table I).

The classical pyrazole synthesis consisting of addition of hydrazine derivative to $\alpha, \beta$-ethynyl ketones or $\beta$-chlorovinyl ketones often results in the formation of mixtures of isomers since hydrazine can react either with the carbonyl group or across the unsaturation ${ }^{14}$.

To obtain an insight to the likely course of the reaction of nucleophile with enaminones, 1c and 1d were treated with benzyl amine in refluxing ethyl alcohol when compounds $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ were obtained in excellent yields. These experiments clearly demonstrate the exclusive preference for Michaeltype addition of the nucleophile to the electrondeficient unsaturated linkage present in enaminones 1c and 1d. In addition, these results also provide indirect support in favour of compound 3c rather than 2c as the most likely structure for the pyrazole acid.


Scheme I

Path A:



| Table I - Characterization data of compounds 1a-d, 3a-d, 4a-b and 5-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd | Yield (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mp} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MS} \\ & \left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{EtOH}) \\ & \lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm}, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) |
| 1a ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ | 35 | 112-14 | 249 | $\begin{aligned} & 289(8221), \\ & 240(5323) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.74\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \text {, } \\ & \text { 6.94-7.29 }(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 10.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1b | 80 | 165-66 | 216 | $\begin{aligned} & 297 \text { (8948), } \\ & 227 \text { (3220) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \text {, } \\ & 6.91-7.31(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.65\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1c | 85 | 95-96 | 225 | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \text { (16769), } \\ & 245(14509) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \text {, } \\ & 7.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right), 11.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d | 71 | 154-55 | 192 | $\begin{aligned} & 303 \text { (9685), } \\ & 222(7430) \end{aligned}$ | $2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right), 6.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 10.57$ <br> ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) |
| 3 a | 70 | 48-49 | 322 | $\begin{aligned} & 245 \text { (18515), } \\ & 215(22958) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \text {, } \\ & 6.91-7.72(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3b | 72 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \left(\text { lit }^{19} 72-73\right) \end{gathered}$ | 289 | $\begin{aligned} & 248 \text { (16762), } \\ & 214 \text { (19276) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99-7.50 \\ & (10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3c | 73 | $\begin{gathered} 178 \\ \left(\text { lit }^{19} 178\right) \end{gathered}$ | 308 | 251(9688) | $2.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.88-7.56$ ( $\left.10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right)$ |
| 3d | 75 | 92-93 | 298 | $\begin{aligned} & 247 \text { (26013), } \\ & 214 \text { (21079) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.57(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), \\ & 7.75\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4a | 71 | 63-64 | 315 | $\begin{gathered} 318 \text { (12054), } \\ 250(9597) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.61\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \\ & 6.71\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right), 7.21(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 11.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4b | 65 | semi-solid | 282 | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \text { (13057), } \\ & 229 \text { (12889) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.62\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right), \\ & 7.28-7.51(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 11.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ \left(\mathrm{lit}^{13} 148\right) \end{gathered}$ | 186 | $\begin{gathered} 234 \text { (15190), } \\ 307 \text { (20832) } \end{gathered}$ | $2.37\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.40-7.81$ <br> ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 11.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ |
| 6 | 67 | $\begin{gathered} 152 \\ \left(\mathrm{lit}^{21} 152\right) \end{gathered}$ | 186 | $\begin{aligned} & 304 \text { (10962), } \\ & 212 \text { (10701) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.37-7.61 \\ & (5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 190 \\ \left(\text { lit }^{15 \mathrm{a}} 192\right) \end{gathered}$ | 259 | $\begin{aligned} & 322(10826), \\ & 237(14011) \end{aligned}$ | 2.50 (3H, s, CH3), 7.24-7.52 (10H, m, Ar-H) |
| 8 | 64 | $\begin{gathered} 133 \\ \left(\mathrm{lit}^{15 \mathrm{~b}} 134\right) \end{gathered}$ | 259 | 255 (12432) | $2.54\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.41-8.06(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$ |

${ }^{13}$ C NMR: 1c: $24.66,51.63,69.61,98.05,168.10,171.79$, 185.32; 3c: 14.18, 59.13, 111.70, 114.99, 121.58, 125.18,128.85, $129.22,129.49,138.41,142.39,152.71,157.85,169.26$; 3d: 13.75, 51.85, 59.04, 109.54, 127.01, 129.05, 129.82, 138.84, 142.11, $149.82,162.87$; 4a: 17.95, $48.05,51.75,69.64,127.20,128.23,129.15,135.15,168.64,171.27$; $7: 12.58,93.71,114.30,125.15$, $126.89,128.87,128.94,129.11,129.88,131.46,138.62,147.78,152.64 ; 8: 11.96,91.31,115.01,125.14,126.67,128.88,129.12$, $129.42,129.52,130.67,138.38,147.32,152.52$

Direct proof regarding regiospecificity of 3,5-disubstituted-4-cyanopyrazoles was obtained from the unambiguous synthesis of pyrazoles 7 and 8 from enaminones ${ }^{13} \mathbf{5}$ and 6, respectively (Table I). The preparations of 7 (ref. 15a) from 9 and 8 (ref. 15b) from 10 were previously described in the literature and the positions of C-3 and C-5 substituents are firmly secured in both the cases (Scheme III).

Additional proof in support of correctness of the assigned structure for 3,5-disubstituted pyrazoles also results from the HMBC and HSQC experiments of compound 3d as well as from the observation that
methyl carbon at C-3 of pyrazole showed signal at $\delta 13.75$ in conformity with the literature observation ${ }^{16}$. On the other hand, methyl carbon at C-5 of pyrazole 8 showed signal at $\delta 11.96 \mathrm{ppm}$ which is in agreement with the literature reported value ${ }^{17}$. HSQC spectrum correlates ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonance of directly attached nuclei whereas HMBC spectrum correlates ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ resonance of nuclei separated by two or three bonds. In HMBC of compound 3d, methyl proton correlates with C-3 ( $\delta 149.82 \mathrm{ppm}$, two-bond separation) and C-4 ( $\delta 109.54 \mathrm{ppm}$, three-bond separation) whereas $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ correlates with C-5


Scheme III
( $\delta 142.11 \mathrm{ppm}$, two-bond separation) and $\mathrm{C}-4$ ( $\delta$ 109.54 ppm , three-bond separation). If $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ is at $\mathrm{C}-$ 3 position, it would have correlated with C-3 ( $\delta$ 149.82 ppm , two-bond separation) and C-4 ( $\delta 109.54$ ppm, three-bond separation) (Table I). All these $\delta$ values for carbons are in consistent with literature ${ }^{18}$ reported values. Thus, the structure of the compound 3d was unequivocally established by HMBC and HSQC. In this connection it may be mentioned that Benary ${ }^{19}$ as early as 1922 investigated reaction of phenylhydrazine with ethyl $\alpha$-phenoxyacetyl- $\beta$ aminocrotonate 1a ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ) and $\alpha$-phenoxyacetyl-$\beta$-aminocrotononitrile 1b and reported isolation of pyrazoles 2c and 3b. Our investigation however, shows conclusively that Benary assigned structure of 2 c was incorrect and should correspond to 3 c .

In conclusion, it can be said with certainty that reaction of phenylhydrazine with $\alpha$-acyl- $\beta$-aminocrotononitriles as well as esters takes place via a Michael-type addition of the amino group of phenylhydrazine to the double bond of $\mathbf{1}$ followed by intramolecular ring closure to the carbonyl group yielding 5 -substituted pyrazoles (path B, Scheme II).

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected. UV spectra $\left(\lambda_{\max }\right.$ in $\mathrm{nm}, \varepsilon$ in
parentheses) in ethanol were taken on a Hitachi U-2000 spectrometer; IR spectra ( KBr ) on a Hitachi 270-30 spectrometer; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra on a dpx 400 , dpx 500 and Bruker AC-300 spectrometers using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as the solvent (chemical shifts in $\delta$, ppm relative to TMS); and mass spectra in Thermo Finnigan LCQ DUO. Elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyser. All compounds were purified either by crystallization from ethyl acetate-pet. ether or by column filtration (silica gel, 60-120 mesh). Homogeneity of compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ was established by HPLC (1: 3; ethyl acetate-pet. ether).

General method of acylation ${ }^{13}$. Acid chloride ( 0.024 mole) in dry benzene ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise under ice-cold condition to a magnetically stirred solution of $\beta$-aminocrotononitrile ( $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02$ mole) in dry benzene ( 15 mL ) and pyridine ( 4 g ). The reaction was allowed to attain room temperature and it was poured onto ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Excess pyridine was removed from organic layer by washing with cold hydrochloric acid $(2 N)$ and then made neutral by washing with saturated bicarbonate solution and finally washed with brine. The organic layer was then dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. Removal of the solvent afforded solid materials which on subsequent
crystallization from suitable solvent furnished pure acylated products.

Preparation of phenylhydrazine reagent. Phenylhydrazine reagent ${ }^{20}$ was prepared by dissolving colourless phenylhydrazine hydrochloride ( 2.5 g ) in water ( 25 mL ). Crystallised sodium acetate ( 4.5 g ) was added with shaking to the above prepared cold solution until dissolved. Decolourising carbon ( 0.05 g ) was added to the mixture and the solution was filtered into a dark bottle after shaking the solution thoroughly.

General method of pyrazole preparation ${ }^{8}$. A mixture of C-acylated enaminonitrile ( 0.003 mole) and phenylhydrazine reagent ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.009$ mole) was heated on a steam-bath for a period of 20-45 min, when a yellowish oily material was separated. The reaction mixture was cooled and acidified with acetic acid ( $50 \%$ ) followed by neutralization with solid sodium bicarbonate in cold condition. It was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solid material obtained on removal of the solvent was purified by crystallization with suitable solvent or by column filtration.
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