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#### Abstract

We apply the exchange operator formalism in polar coordinates to a one-parameter family of three-body problems in one dimension and prove the integrability of the model both with and without the oscillator potential. We also present exact scattering solution of a new family of three-body problems in one dimension.
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[^0]In recent years, the Calogero-Sutherland type [1], [2] $N$-body problems in one dimension have attracted a lot of attention [3]. Some time ago, Brink et al. [4] and Polychronakos (5] independently introduced an exchange operator formalism, leading to covariant derivatives, known in the mathematical literature as Dunkl operators [6], and an $S_{N}$-extended Heisenberg algebra [7, 8]. In terms of this formalism, the $N$-body quantum Calogero model has been shown to be equivalent to a set of free modified oscillators and hence integrable.

In the last few years, there has been renewed interest in three-body Calogero-MarchioroWolfes (CMW) problem [9, 10], and some other related three-body problems [11, 12], all of which include a three-body potential. It is then natural to enquire if the exchange operator formalism [4, [5] can also be extended to these problems and further if using it, one can show the integrability of these models. Recently, one of us (CQ) took the first step in that direction when she showed the integrability of the quantum CMW problem by using the exchange operator formalism [13].

The purpose of this letter is to extend the exchange operator formalism to the class of three-body problems, with and without the oscillator potential, discovered recently by Sukhatme and one of the author (AK) [14], and hence to prove the integrability of the quantum model. It is worth pointing out that in this model there is an interesting relationship between the incoming and outgoing momenta of the three particles. In particular, we show that introducing an exchange operator formalism in polar coordinates is very useful in analyzing this model (both with and without the oscillator potential) and proving its integrability. Finally, we discuss the scattering solution for a new one-parameter family of three-body problems and show that even for these problems there is a very simple relationship between the incoming and outgoing momenta of the particles.

The exchange operator formalism in polar coordinates has not been discussed so far in the literature, hence it may be worthwhile to first discuss the CMW three-body problem (a known integrable model [13]) using this formalism. We shall see that the generalization of the formalism to the class of three-body problems to be discussed below 14] is then straightforward.

The three-particle Hamiltonian for the CMW problem is given by 9,10

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(-\partial_{j}^{2}+\omega^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)+g \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{3} \frac{1}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}}+3 f \sum_{\substack{i, j, k=1 \\ i \neq j \neq k \neq i}}^{3} \frac{1}{\left(x_{i}+x_{j}-2 x_{k}\right)^{2}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ denotes the particle coordinates, $\partial_{i} \equiv \partial / \partial x_{i}$, and the inequalities $g, f>-1 / 4$ are assumed to prevent collapse. Let $x_{i j} \equiv x_{i}-x_{j}$ and $y_{i j} \equiv x_{i}+x_{j}-2 x_{k}$ $(i \neq j \neq k \neq i)$, where in the latter we suppress index $k$ since it is entirely determined by $i$ and $j$.

Let us introduce the Jacobi coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}}{3}, \quad x \equiv \frac{x_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}=r \sin \phi, \quad y \equiv \frac{y_{12}}{\sqrt{6}}=r \cos \phi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then easily verified that $r^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i<j}^{3}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j}=\sqrt{2} r \sin \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi k}{3}\right), \quad y_{i j}=\sqrt{6} r \cos \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi k}{3}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i j k)=(123)$. One can show that the differential operators $\partial_{R}, \partial_{r}$ and $\partial_{\phi}$ are given in terms of $\partial_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{R}=\sum_{j} \partial_{j}, \quad \partial_{r}=-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{j} \cos \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) \partial_{j}, \quad \partial_{\phi}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} r \sum_{j} \sin \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) \partial_{j} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, in polar coordinates the CMW Hamiltonian (丩) takes the form $H=H_{R}+H_{r}$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{R}=-\frac{1}{3} \partial_{R}^{2}+3 \omega^{2} R^{2}  \tag{5}\\
H_{r}=-\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \partial_{\phi}^{2}+\omega^{2} r^{2}+\frac{9}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{g}{\sin ^{2} 3 \phi}+\frac{f}{\cos ^{2} 3 \phi}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Ref. [13], the CMW problem was analyzed in terms of some exchange operators belonging to a $D_{6}$-group. The latter is generated by the particle permutation operators $K_{i j}$, and the inversion operator $I_{r}$ in relative coordinate space. Let us now consider the effect of $K_{i j}$ and $I_{r}$ on the polar coordinates $R, r, \phi$. Using the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i j} x_{j}=x_{i} K_{i j}, \quad K_{i j} x_{k}=x_{k} K_{i j}, \quad I_{r} x_{i}=\left(2 R-x_{i}\right) I_{r}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i j} R & =R K_{i j}, \quad K_{i j} r=r K_{i j}, \quad K_{i j} \phi=\left(-\phi+\frac{2 \pi}{3} k\right) K_{i j} \\
I_{r} R & =R I_{r}, \quad I_{r} r=r I_{r}, \quad I_{r} \phi=(\phi+\pi) I_{r} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j} R=R L_{i j}, \quad L_{i j} r=r L_{i j}, \quad L_{i j} \phi=\left[-\phi+(2 k+3) \frac{\pi}{3}\right] L_{i j}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{i j} \equiv K_{i j} I_{r}$. We thus see that $K_{i j}, I_{r}$, and hence all the operators of the $D_{6}$-group act only on $\phi$ (and not on $r$ and $R$ ). Furthermore, the operations may be written in terms of the two operators $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\exp \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \partial_{\phi}\right), \quad \mathcal{I}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \pi \phi \partial_{\phi}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ denote the rotation operator by angle $\pi / 3$ and the inversion operator, respectively, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \psi(\phi)=\psi(\phi+\pi / 3) \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathcal{I} \psi(\phi)=\psi(-\phi) \mathcal{I} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, in terms of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ the 12 generators of the $D_{6}$-group are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& I, \quad K_{i j}=\mathcal{I R}^{2 k}, \quad K_{123}=\mathcal{R}^{2}, \quad K_{132}=\mathcal{R}^{4} \\
& I_{r}=\mathcal{R}^{3}, \quad L_{i j}=\mathcal{I R}^{2 k+3}, \quad L_{123}=\mathcal{R}^{5}, \quad L_{132}=\mathcal{R} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{123} \equiv K_{12} K_{23}, K_{132} \equiv K_{23} K_{12}, L_{123} \equiv K_{123} I_{r}$, and $L_{132} \equiv K_{132} I_{r}$.
Following Eq. (4), it is natural to define the covariant derivatives $D_{R}, D_{r}$, and $D_{\phi}$ in polar coordinates by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{R}=\sum_{j} D_{j}, \quad D_{r}=-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{j} \cos \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) D_{j}, \quad D_{\phi}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} r \sum_{j} \sin \left(\phi+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) D_{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are those in cartesian coordinates.
On using the fact that in CMW model the generalized derivatives are defined by 13

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}=\partial_{i}-\kappa\left(\frac{1}{x_{i j}} K_{i j}-\frac{1}{x_{k i}} K_{k i}\right)-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{y_{i j}} L_{i j}+\frac{1}{y_{k i}} L_{k i}-\frac{2}{y_{j k}} L_{j k}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\kappa(\kappa-1)$, and $f=\lambda(\lambda-1), D_{R}, D_{r}$, and $D_{\phi}$ can be shown to be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{R}= & \partial_{R}, \quad D_{r}=\partial_{r}-\frac{\kappa}{r}\left(\sum_{j} \mathcal{R}^{2 j}\right) \mathcal{I}-\frac{\lambda}{r}\left(\sum_{j} \mathcal{R}^{2 j+1}\right) \mathcal{I}, \\
D_{\phi}= & \partial_{\phi}-\kappa \sum_{j} \cot \left[\phi+(3-j) \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right] \mathcal{R}^{2 j} \mathcal{I} \\
& +\lambda \sum_{j} \tan \left[\phi+(4-j) \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right] \mathcal{R}^{2 j+1} \mathcal{I} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that the covariant derivatives for the pure three-body case $(\kappa=0)$ can be obtained from those for the pure two-body one $(\lambda=0)$ by making the rotation $\phi \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}=\phi+\pi / 6$. In the proof, one uses the fact that the operators $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}$, defined in terms of $\phi^{\prime}$ in the same way as $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ in terms of $\phi$ (see Eq. (10)), can be expressed in terms of the latter as $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}$, respectively.

Let us now consider the exchange operator formalism for the one-parameter family of three-body problems [14] characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(-\partial_{j}^{2}+\omega^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)+g \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{3} \frac{1}{x_{i j}^{\prime 2}}+3 f \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{3} \frac{1}{y_{i j}^{\prime 2}}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j}^{\prime} \equiv x_{i j} \cos \delta+\frac{y_{i j}}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \delta, \quad y_{i j}^{\prime}=-\sqrt{3} x_{i j} \sin \delta+y_{i j} \cos \delta, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0 \leq \delta \leq \pi / 6$. On following Eqs. (2) to (5), the Hamiltonian (16) takes the form $H=H_{R}+H_{r}^{\prime}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}^{\prime}=-\partial_{r}^{2}-\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \partial_{\phi}^{2}+\omega^{2} r^{2}+\frac{9}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{g}{\sin ^{2}(3 \phi+3 \delta)}+\frac{f}{\cos ^{2}(3 \phi+3 \delta)}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $H_{R}$ is again as given by Eq. (5).
Now notice that H for this problem can be obtained from the CMW Hamiltonian (Eqs. (5), (6)) by making the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \rightarrow R^{\prime}=R, \quad r \rightarrow r^{\prime}=r, \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}=\phi+\delta . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the exchange operator formalism developed above for the CMW case remains valid for even this case, provided we replace all coordinates and operators by the corresponding primed ones. For example, by using Eq. (15), the generalized derivatives in the primed polar coordinates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{R}^{\prime}= & D_{R}=\partial_{R}, \quad D_{r}^{\prime}=\partial_{r}-\frac{\kappa}{r}\left(\sum_{j} \mathcal{R}^{\prime 2 j}\right) \mathcal{I}^{\prime}-\frac{\lambda}{r}\left(\sum_{j} \mathcal{R}^{\prime 2 j+1}\right) \mathcal{I}^{\prime} \\
D_{\phi}^{\prime}= & \partial_{\phi}-\kappa \sum_{j} \cot \left[\phi+\delta+(3-j) \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right] \mathcal{R}^{\prime 2 j} \mathcal{I}^{\prime} \\
& +\lambda \sum_{j} \tan \left[\phi+\delta+(4-j) \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right] \mathcal{R}^{\prime 2 j+1} \mathcal{I}^{\prime} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}, \mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ are again defined in terms of $\phi^{\prime}$ as $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{I}$ in terms of $\phi$. From Eq. (13), it follows that $D_{R}^{\prime}, D_{r}^{\prime}, D_{\phi}^{\prime}$ can be expressed in terms of generalized derivatives $D_{i}^{\prime}$ in some primed coordinates $x_{i}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{R}^{\prime} & =\sum_{j} D_{j}^{\prime}, \quad D_{r}^{\prime}=-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{j} \cos \left(\phi+\delta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) D_{j}^{\prime} \\
D_{\phi}^{\prime} & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} r \sum_{j} \sin \left(\phi+\delta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} j\right) D_{j}^{\prime} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $x_{i}^{\prime}$ is defined in terms of $R^{\prime}(=R), r^{\prime}(=r), \phi^{\prime}$ in the same way as $x_{i}$ in terms of $R, r, \phi$.

Further, following Eq. (14), $D_{i}^{\prime}$ can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i}^{\prime}=\partial_{i}^{\prime}-\kappa\left(\frac{1}{x_{i j}^{\prime}} K_{i j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x_{k i}^{\prime}} K_{k i}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{y_{i j}^{\prime}} L_{i j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{y_{k i}^{\prime}} L_{k i}^{\prime}-\frac{2}{y_{j k}^{\prime}} L_{j k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of the primed coordinates $x_{i}^{\prime}$, and some primed operators $K_{i j}^{\prime}, L_{i j}^{\prime}$. The latter have the same action on $x_{k}^{\prime}$ as $K_{i j}, L_{i j}$ on $x_{k}$, respectively, and belong to a tranformed $D_{6}$-group. The operators $D_{i}^{\prime}$ are covariant under this transformed $D_{6}$-group, and commute with one another (hence they may be called Dunkl operators [6]).

We now need to determine the relation between $x_{i}^{\prime}$ and $x_{i}$ to study the action of the transformed $D_{6}$-group on $x_{i}$. To this end we start from the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{3} y_{i j}^{\prime}+R=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(-x_{i j} \sin \delta+\frac{y_{i j}}{\sqrt{3}} \cos \delta\right)+R . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On using Eqs. (2) and (3), we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}^{\prime}=s_{2} x_{i}+s_{1} x_{j}+s_{3} x_{k}, \quad(i j k)=(123), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k} \equiv s_{k}(\delta)=\frac{1}{3}\left[1+2 \cos \left(\delta+\frac{2 \pi}{3} k\right)\right] . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a compact matrix form, we can write $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{S}$, where $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right), \boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime} x_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, and the matrix $\boldsymbol{S}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{S}(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
s_{3} & s_{1} & s_{2}  \tag{26}\\
s_{2} & s_{3} & s_{1} \\
s_{1} & s_{2} & s_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easily checked that $\boldsymbol{S}$ is orthogonal, i.e., $\boldsymbol{S} \tilde{\boldsymbol{S}}=\tilde{\boldsymbol{S}} \boldsymbol{S}=\boldsymbol{I}$, hence we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{S}}, \quad \partial^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{S}, \quad \partial=\boldsymbol{\partial}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{S}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\partial}=\left(\partial_{1} \partial_{2} \partial_{3}\right), \boldsymbol{\partial}^{\prime}=\left(\partial_{1}^{\prime} \partial_{2}^{\prime} \partial_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, by definition $\boldsymbol{S}$ is the matrix representing the operator $\mathcal{S}=\exp \left(\delta \partial_{\phi}\right)$ of rotation through an angle $\delta$.

Under the transformation $\phi \rightarrow \phi^{\prime}=\phi+\delta$, the functions transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\phi)=\psi\left(\phi^{\prime}-\delta\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence it is obvious that $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}$, while $\mathcal{I}^{\prime} \equiv \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \pi \phi^{\prime} \partial_{\phi}^{\prime}\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}^{\prime} \psi(\phi)=\mathcal{I}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(-\phi^{\prime}\right)=\psi\left(-\phi^{\prime}-\delta\right)=\psi(-\phi-2 \delta)=\mathcal{S}^{2} \mathcal{I} \psi(\phi) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}=\mathcal{S}^{2} \mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ is the operator of rotation through an angle $2 \delta$. Using these results, the operators $D_{R}^{\prime}, D_{r}^{\prime}$, and $D_{\phi}^{\prime}$ of Eq. (20) may be expressed in terms of unprimed variables and operators. On the other hand, from Eq. (12) and its primed counterpart, it follows that the operators of the transformed $D_{6}$-group may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& I, \quad K_{i j}^{\prime}=\mathcal{S}^{2} K_{i j}, \quad K_{123}^{\prime}=K_{123}, \quad K_{132}^{\prime}=K_{132} \\
& I_{r}^{\prime}=I_{r}, \quad L_{i j}^{\prime}=\mathcal{S}^{2} L_{i j}, \quad L_{123}^{\prime}=L_{123}, \quad L_{132}^{\prime}=L_{132} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

From these relations, their action on $x_{k}$ can be easily determined, but will not be given in detail here.

Finally, we may introduce a Hamiltonian with exchange terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {exch }}=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(-\partial_{j}^{2}+\omega^{2} x_{j}^{2}\right)+\sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{3} \frac{1}{x_{i j}^{\prime 2}} \kappa\left(\kappa-K_{i j}^{\prime}\right)+3 \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{3} \frac{1}{y_{i j}^{\prime 2}} \lambda\left(\lambda-L_{i j}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $\omega=0$, since $\mathcal{S}$ is an orthogonal matrix, it is easily shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e x c h}=-\sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j}^{\prime 2} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noting that $H_{\text {exch }}$ may also be written as $H_{\text {exch }}=-\sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j}^{2}$ in terms of Dunkl operators in unprimed coordinates, defined as in Eq. (27) by $\boldsymbol{D}=\boldsymbol{D}^{\prime} \tilde{\boldsymbol{S}}$. Further, the operators $I_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Pi_{i}^{\prime 2 n}(n=1,2,3)$, where $\Pi_{i}^{\prime}=-\mathrm{i} D_{i}^{\prime}$ are generalized momenta, commute with one another, and are left invariant under the transformed $D_{6}$-group. Hence, their projection in the subspaces of Hilbert space characterized by $\left(K_{i j}^{\prime}, L_{i j}^{\prime}\right)=(1,1),(1,-1),(-1,1)$ or $(-1,-1)$ also commute. In these subspaces, $I_{1}=H_{\text {exch }}$ is nothing but the one-parameter family of Hamiltonians as given by Eq. (16) with $\omega=0$, corresponding to the parameter values $(\kappa, \lambda),(\kappa, \lambda+1),(\kappa+1, \lambda)$ or $(\kappa+1, \lambda+1)$, respectively, while $I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ become the integrals of motion of such Hamiltonians.

In case $\omega \neq 0$, i.e., when the oscillator potential is present, the Hamiltonian (31) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e x c h}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(-D_{i}^{\prime 2}+\omega^{2} x_{i}^{\prime 2}\right)=\omega \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{a_{i}^{\prime+}, a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left(\omega x_{i}^{\prime}+D_{i}^{\prime}\right), \quad a_{i}^{\prime+}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega}}\left(\omega x_{i}^{\prime}-D_{i}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can now show that $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime+}(i=1,2,3)$, and the operators of the transformed $D_{6}$ group, as given by Eq. (30), generate a $D_{6}$-extended oscillator algebra, whose commutation relations are entirely similar to Eq. (4.2) of Ref. [13]. It may also be noted that since $\boldsymbol{S}$ is an orthogonal matrix, $H_{\text {exch }}$ as given by Eq. (31) can also be written in terms of $D_{i}$, $x_{i}$, or $a_{i}, a_{i}^{+}$defined exactly as in Eqs. (33) and (34). Thus we see that Hamiltonian (16) corresponding to the parameter values $(\kappa, \lambda),(\kappa, \lambda+1),(\kappa+1, \lambda)$ or $(\kappa+1, \lambda+1)$ can be regarded as a free modified boson Hamiltonian. The corresponding conserved quantities are

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} h_{i}^{\prime n}, \quad h_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{a_{i}^{\prime+}, a_{i}^{\prime}\right\}, \quad n=1,2,3, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and following Ref. [13], it is easily shown that $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ are mutually commuting operators. Note that $I_{n}, n=1,2,3$, are invariant under the transformed $D_{6}$-group, and hence their
projections in the subspaces characterized by $K_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $L_{i j}^{\prime}$ equal to +1 or -1 still commute with one another.

Before ending this note, we would like to present a new one-parameter family of threebody problems in one dimension, and show that there is an interesting, simple relation between the incoming and outgoing momenta of the three particles. Since the philosophy is similar to that of Ref. [14], we avoid giving all the details here, but merely point out the steps that are different in the two cases. As in Ref. [14], we work in the centre-of-mass frame and consider the following relative Hamiltonian in polar coordinates ( $\hbar=2 m=1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{B^{2}}{r^{2}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\frac{9 d^{2} g}{\sin ^{2}(3 d \phi)}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi / 3 d$, and $d=1,2,3$. Note that for $d=1$, we obtain the famous Calogero problem, while for other $d$ values, we get some new three-body problems. For $d=2$, for instance, the potential in Eq. (36) is $V=12 g r^{2} \sum_{i<j}^{3} x_{i j}^{-2} y_{i j}^{-2}$. The angular Schrödinger equation is easily solved by following Calogero [1]], yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{l}=3 d(l+\kappa), \quad g=\kappa(\kappa-1) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d$ is even, then on running through the derivation in Refs. [1], 14], it is easily shown that if $p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2,3)$ are the incoming and outgoing momenta, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}^{\prime}=-p_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if $d$ is odd then one has to introduce a symmetry operation $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T r=r, \quad T \phi=\frac{\pi}{3 d}-\phi \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $T$ transforms $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi / 3 d$ into itself. One can easily show that this $T$ operator, when applied to the angular eigenfunctions $\Phi_{l}$ of the problem (see Eq. (2.17c) of Ref. [10]) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \Phi_{l}=(-1)^{l} \Phi_{l} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the steps in Refs. [10, 14], it is straightforward to prove that $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}$ are related by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
p_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{42}\\
p_{2}^{\prime} \\
p_{3}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -a & b \\
-a & b & 0 \\
b & 0 & -a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
p_{1} \\
p_{2} \\
p_{3}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\frac{\pi}{3 d}\right)}{\sin (\pi / 3)}, \quad b=\frac{\sin (\pi / 3 d)}{\sin (\pi / 3)} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that the relation between $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}$ is similar to that in the translation case [14, except that $a$ and $b$ are different in the two cases. As expected, for $d=1$, one recovers the known relation between $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}$ for the Calogero model [1].

A further generalization of Eqs. (16) and (17) is also possible, and in that case $B^{2}$ is given by $(0 \leq \delta \leq \pi / 6 d)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+\frac{9 d^{2} g}{\sin ^{2}(3 d \phi+3 d \delta)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can now show that for even $d, p_{i}^{\prime}=-p_{i}$, while for odd $d, p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}$ are related by Eq. (42), but where $a$ and $b$ are now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\frac{\pi}{3 d}+2 \delta\right)}{\sin (\pi / 3)}, \quad b=\frac{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3 d}-2 \delta\right)}{\sin (\pi / 3)} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\delta=\pi / 6 d$, we obtain $a=1, b=0$, so that $p_{1}^{\prime}=-p_{2}, p_{2}^{\prime}=-p_{1}, p_{3}^{\prime}=-p_{3}$ as in the CMW case 10.

Finally, one can also consider a generalization of the CMW problem characterized by Eqs. (36) and (37), but where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}+9 d^{2}\left[\frac{g}{\sin ^{2} 3 d \phi}+\frac{f}{\cos ^{2} 3 d \phi}\right] \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d=1,2,3, \ldots$. In this case one can show that for every integral $d, p_{i}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfy $p_{i}^{\prime}=-p_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. A further generalization consists in replacing $\phi$ by $\phi+\delta$ $(0 \leq \delta \leq \pi / 6 d)$, and one can show that irrespective of the values of $\delta$ and $d, p_{i}^{\prime}=-p_{i}$.

Thus one has obtained a wide class of new exactly solvable three-body problems where there is a simple but interesting relationship between $p_{i}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}$. In all these cases, one can also add either the oscillator or the Coulomb-like potential [11], and the full bound state problem is exactly solvable in both the cases.

One of us (AK) is grateful to Prof. C. Quesne for kind invitation and warm hospitality during his stay at Université Libre de Bruxelles.
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