Effect of Pregnancy on Anti-HEV Antibody Titres, Plasma Cytokines and the Corresponding Gene Expression Levels in the PBMCs of Patients Presenting with Self-Recovering Clinical and Subclinical Hepatitis E



Ashwini Y. Ramdasi, Ravi P. Arya, Vidya A. Arankalle*

Hepatitis Division, National Institute of Virology, Pashan, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

High mortality in pregnant women (PR) is a characteristic of hepatitis E in developing countries. To understand the pathogenesis of HEV infection in self-limiting disease during pregnancy, we compared clinical (PR-patients) and subclinical-HEV-infections in pregnant women in the first (SC-PR-1) and later (2nd and 3rd, SC-PR-2+3) trimesters with the respective healthy controls and acute non-PR patients. The SC-PR-2+3 exhibited lower ALT, bilirubin levels, anti-HEV-IgM/IgG titres than the acute-PR/non-PR-patients (p < 0.05 - 0.0001). IFN γ /IL4ratios indicated Th2/Th1 bias in non-PR and PR-patients respectively. Raised levels of 10/20 plasma cytokines in the non-PR-patients reflect predominant inflammatory response, unaltered- IFN γ /reduced-IFN α responses and a robust chemokine secretion. On contrary, the acute-PR-patients exhibited drastic reduction in majority of the cytokines relative to in the non-PR-patients. Importantly, diminished or unaltered response was noted in the acute-PR-group when compared to the corresponding controls. The only exception was sIL2RA, increasing in both patient categories. Of the 14 genes evaluated, the expression of IFNγ/IL10/IL1A/IL7/CCL2/CCL3/CXCL8/ CXCL10 was higher in the non-PR patients. Of these, the expression of IFNy/IL10/IL1A/CCL2/CCL3/CXCL8 and, additionally, IL2/IL6/TNF genes was higher in the clinical-PRs. Almost identical pattern was noted in the control-PR-2+3 category indicating no influence of HEV infection. Comparison of patient-categories identified significant elevation of IFN_Y(P<0.001), CCL2(p<0.01), CXCL8(P<0.05), IL1B(p<0.05) and IL10(P<0.0001) and decrease in CXCL10(<0.05) in the PR-patients. The results suggest antibody-dependent disease severity and impaired immune response in the PR patients. Higher expression of cytokine-genes in the PBMCs did not correlate with the plasma-cytokine levels in the PR-patients.

Citation: Ramdasi AY, Arya RP, Arankalle VA (2014) Effect of Pregnancy on Anti-HEV Antibody Titres, Plasma Cytokines and the Corresponding Gene Expression Levels in the PBMCs of Patients Presenting with Self-Recovering Clinical and Subclinical Hepatitis E. PLoS ONE 9(8): e103257. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257

Editor: Ravi Jhaveri, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, United States of America

Received March 27, 2014; Accepted June 30, 2014; Published August 1, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Ramdasi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability: The authors confirm that all data underlying the findings are fully available without restriction. All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

Funding: Financial support for this study was provided by the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Ministry of health and family welfare, Government of India. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* Email: varankalle@yahoo.com

Introduction

Pregnant women (PR) are at increased risk of both morbidity and mortality from a variety of viral infections such as CMV, SARS, Varicella Zoster and influenza [1–4]. Hepatitis E, an epidemic as well as sporadic disease prevalent in the developing countries is characterized by high mortality in pregnant women, increasing with the pregnancy trimester [5–8]. In the sporadic setting, men and non-pregnant women succumb to fulminant hepatitis E [9].

During pregnancy, the maternal immune system is modified to accommodate the foetus. The unique changes in hormone levels include the huge production of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), a placental glycoprotein, which is supposed to influence the immune system [10]. hCG induces the production of progesterone and estrogen during early pregnancy and drive the immunologic alterations both at the foeto-maternal interface and in the systemic circulation.

Pathogenesis of fulminant hepatitis E in pregnant women is poorly understood [11–13]. One of the important factors is the absence of severe liver disease in the pregnant rhesus monkeys, the widely used and accepted animal model for hepatitis E [14-15]. We have two important observations as far as hepatitis E during pregnancy is concerned. Firstly, in accordance with the reports of high mortality, in the sporadic setting, we did observe 80% (4/5) mortality during the third trimester whereas one each in the first and second trimesters survived [11]. Secondly, during a commonsource epidemic of hepatitis E at Karad, in addition to the high mortality in pregnant women, we showed that a large number of pregnant women in the third trimester develop subclinical infections, the ratio of clinical: subclinical infections being 1:13 [16]. The investigation included >800 pregnant women demonstrating that despite being a high risk category, majority of these women do develop subclinical infection or self-limiting clinical disease. These observations suggest that pregnancy is not the sole important factor for the fulminant and fatal outcome of Hepatitis

E virus (HEV) infection. If a large number of HEV-infected pregnant women in the third trimester clear the infection, it is important to understand the factors determining differential outcomes of HEV infection, i.e., subclinical infection, uneventful clinical disease and fulminant hepatitis leading either to recovery or death. It was thought logical to first investigate the milder forms of the disease, generate data that will form basis for a comprehensive comparison with the fulminant disease and the outcome, death v/s recovery.

This study reports preliminary analysis of the association of anti-HEV titres, cytokine profile in the plasma and mRNA levels in the PBMCs of pregnant women presenting with subclinical or clinical HEV infection with uneventful recovery.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

The study was approved by the "Institutional Human Ethics Committee", National Institute of Virology. The National Institute of Virology is invited by various state governments/local health authorities to investigate epidemics of viral diseases, including hepatitis. For any research component during epidemics of hepatitis E, a written informed consent is obtained from all the study subjects by the local health authorities/National Institute of Virology. The healthy pregnant women were bled on the request of the health authorities for the identification of IgM-anti-HEV positives so that they can be monitored for the symptoms and severity of the disease.

Table 1 provides details of the study population. Diagnosis of hepatitis E was based on the presence of anti-HEV-IgM antibodies in ELISA [17] and only IgM-anti-HEV positives were included in the study. The patient categories included (a) non-PR hepatitis E patients during the acute (n = 36, non-PR-patients) and (b) convalescent (n = 18, non-PR-convalescent) phases of the disease (c) pregnant women in the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} trimester of pregnancy suffering with acute hepatitis E (PR-patients, n = 17). The mean duration of the onset of clinical symptoms and blood collection was 7.2+0.7 days (acute, non-PR), 9.7+2.3 days (acute, PR) and 36.1+3.3 days (convalescent, non-PR). The subclinical categories included, (d) subclinical HEV infections among pregnant women in the first (n = 14, SC-PR-1) and (e) 2nd and 3rd trimesters (n = 32, SC-PR-2+3). These patients were identified during 3 epidemics of hepatitis E in the rural areas of the state of Maharashtra, India (2008–2010). Two types of apparently healthy anti-HEV antibodies negative control groups included (a) Nonpregnant subjects (n = 25) and (b) Pregnant women in the first (n = 15) and later (n = 28) trimesters. Sample collection, transportation, processing and storage were identical for all the study groups. All the study subjects were screened for IgG and IgM-anti-HEV antibodies, IgM-anti-HAV antibodies, HBsAg, IgM-anti-HBc, anti-HCV and anti-HIV antibodies (ELISA, Abbott, USA). The patients were negative for the serological markers for HAV, HBV, HCV and HIV, while the controls were negative for all these markers as well as IgM and IgG anti-HEV antibodies.

A detailed clinical examination was done for all the AVH cases. All AVH-E patients had typical symptoms of acute viral hepatitis, such as sudden onset of fever, nausea, vomiting, weakness and jaundice. A subclinical case was defined as an IgM anti-HEV positive with or without elevated ALT levels, no typical symptoms of jaundice and no development of symptoms upto 2 months follow-up.

Anti-HEV antibodies and biochemical parameters

The titres of anti-HEV antibodies were determined by two-fold dilutions of the sera and testing in ELISA [17]. Liver function (Bilirubin total/conjugated/unconjugated, Protein/Albumin/Globulin, AST/ALT), kidney function (Creatinine/Urea) tests and the levels of pregnancy hormones, i.e., Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (Beta-HCG), Progesterone and Prolactin were determined in the plasma samples employing Dimension RxL Max (Siemens Healthcare, USA) and Architect (Abbott, USA) respectively.

Cytokine measurements

Plasma cytokines and chemokines (referred mainly as cytokines) levels were determined using 22-Bio-Plex Protein Array System (Bio-Rad, Herculus, CA, USA) using Milliplex Map Kit according to manufacturer's instructions For statistical analysis, a value of 0.2 pg/ml was used for samples showing undetectable concentrations.

Immune response gene expression analysis

Gene expression analysis was done from the frozen PBMCs according to the protocol described previously [18]. Relative gene expression values were calculated using comparative Ct method using Life Technologies (USA) Relative Quantification (RQ) Manager Software v1.2 and >2.5 fold difference was considered significant. c-DNA from healthy non-pregnant controls were used as calibrators. 18SrRNA was used as endogenous control. Mean RQ values were calculated for each study group. For Cluster analysis relative quantitation values were log2 transformed and hierarchically clustered with analysis software (Cluster 3.0).

Statistical Analysis

The Mann-Whitney U test was used for group comparisons. For cytokine analysis, significance level for p-value was adjusted to 0.007 using Bonferroni correction. For other analyses, a P value of less than 0.05 derived from a two-tailed-test was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed with 'SPSS11.0 for Windows' software (SPSS Inc.). Association between ALT levels and antibody titres/cytokine levels was determined by computing Karl-Pearson correlation coefficient (r). For this, log values were used and magnitude greater than 0.5 were considered valid.

Results

Patient characteristics and biochemical parameters

Table 1 provides the details of the study groups. As there was no difference between the male and female controls as well as patients (data not shown), the non-PR category included both genders. Non-PR and PR-controls exhibited normal liver and kidney functions. ALT levels were higher in the non-PR-patients than the PR-patients (p<0.01) that in turn was higher than the SC-PR-2+3 category (p<0.0001). The SC-PR-1 group exhibited higher ALT levels than in the controls (p<0.05). Bilirubin levels were raised and comparable among PR and non-PR-patients and normal in both the subclinical groups. The levels of creatinine, urea and globulins were within the normal range in all the groups examined. The albumin levels were lower in non-PR-patients and SC-PR-1 group than in the corresponding controls while the levels decreased significantly in the PR-patients than in the non-PR patients.

Irrespective of the disease status, prolactin and progesterone levels were higher in the later trimesters than the first trimester (P<0.05 and 0.001 respectively) while HCG levels were lower (p<

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population*.

Categories								
Parameters	Non-PR-control (A)	PR control-1 (B)	PR control-2+3 (C)	Acute-non-PR (D)	Convalescent non-PR (E)	Subclinical-PR-1 (F)	Subclinical-PR-2+3 (G)	Acute-PR-2+3 (H)
Number	25	15	28	36	18	14	32	17
Age/Sex ratio	22±0.6/0.79:1	22±0.7	24±0.7	29±1.8/1.57:1	30±3.4/1:1	23±1.0	22±0.3	23±0.7
Pregnancy (PR) status (trimester)	NA	Yes (1)	Yes (2+3)	NA	NA	Yes (1)	Yes (2+3)	Yes (2+3)
Serum ALT IU/ml (mean ± SE)	28.9±2.7	19.7±1.0	19.8±0.8	472.9±63.1	215.9±67.4	187.3±121.9	43.8±12.5	215.9±47.7
Bilirubin mg/dl (mean ± SE)	0.41±0.04	0.22±0.02	0.19±0.01	4.3±0.9	2.5±1.1	0.3±0.1	0.5±0.2	4.4±1.1
Prolactin ng/ml (mean ± SE)	QN	49.3±17.3	100.6±15.4	QN	QN	102.2±14.4	127.6±11.4	104.3±17.23
Beta HCG m IU/ml (mean ± SE)	ND	66706.4±23414.1	25880.4±5453.6	DN	QN	40530.4±11213.7	17433.1±2384.9	17729.2±2268.1
Progesterone ng/ml (mean ± SE)	ND	24.2±5.1	45.8±4.3	DN	QN	20.7±4.3	52.0±4.1	41.3±3.1
Serum Protein gm/dl (mean ± SE)	6.4±0.1	5.2±0.2	5.3±0.2	6.0±0.1	6.1±0.2	5.8±0.3	5.6±0.1	4.8±0.2
Serum Albumin gm/dl (mean \pm SE)	3.4±0.1	2.3±0.1	2.1±0.1	2.8±0.1	2.9±0.1	2.7±0.2	2.3±0.1	1.9±0.1
p Value among group Serum ALT: D>A (p<0.	35.).0001), F>B (p<0.05), H>	>C (p<0.0001)), G>C (p<	<0.05), D>E (p<0.05), D>	°H (p<0.01), H>G (p<0.	0001).			

 Bilirubin: D>A (p<0.0001), E>A (p<0.0001), G>C (p<0.01), H>C (p<0.0001), D>E (p<0.05), H>G (p<0.0001),</td>

 Prolactin: C>B (p<0.05), F>B (p<0.05), F>H (p<0.05).</td>

 Beta HCG: B>C (p<0.05), F>G (p<0.05), F>H (p<0.05).</td>

 Beta HCG: B>C (p<0.05), F>G (p<0.05), F>H (p<0.05).</td>

 Beta HCG: B>C (p<0.05), F>G (p<0.05), F>H (p<0.05).</td>

 Beta HCG: B>C (p<0.001), G>F (p<0.001).</td>

 Frogesterone: C>B (p<0.001), G>F (p<0.0001).</td>

 Serum Protein: D>H (p<0.0001).</td>

 Free levels of creatinie, urea and globulins were within normal range for all the groups.

 NA-Not applicable, ND-Not done.

 NA-Not applicable, ND-Not done.



Figure 1. Bar diagram depicting IgM and IgG-anti-HEV titres in different categories of HEV-infected individuals. p values are indicated by stars, ** p<0.05, ***p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.g001

0.05) (table 1). The prolactin levels were significantly higher in subclinical HEV infection during the first trimester of pregnancy than in the corresponding controls (p < 0.05).

Anti-HEV antibody titres

Titres of IgM and IgG anti-HEV antibodies were not different among acute non-PR and PR patients (p>0.1, figure 1). When clinical and subclinical HEV infections during the later trimesters were compared, both IgM and IgG antibody titres were significantly lower in the subclinical category (p<0.005 and 0.05 respectively). Both antibody titres were significantly lower in SC-PR-2+3 than the non-PR-convalescent group (p<0.005).

Plasma cytokines

To identify potential differences among the non-PR and PR categories, we quantitated 20 plasma cytokines during the acute and convalescent phases in the non-PR patients and compared with clinical and subclinical HEV infections among PRs and corresponding trimester-matched controls (figures 2, 3 and 4A).

Effect of pregnancy on the plasma cytokine levels in the control women

Throughout the healthy pregnancy, the levels of CCL2 (p< 0.0005) and CCL7 (p<0.001) were significantly reduced while a substantial increase was noted for TNF α and IL1b (p<0.0005), when compared to the non-PR-controls. IL2 (<0.0001) and CCL4 (p<0.007) were significantly higher during the later trimesters. The levels of IFN γ /sIL2RA/IL1A/IL1RA/IL4/IL6/IL10/IFN α /IL12/IL17A/IL7/CCL3/CXCL8 and CXCL10 were not different in the control pregnant women during the first as well as later trimesters (p>0.007).

Distinct patterns of cytokine production in the non-PR patients and PR categories

Th1/Th2 cytokines. Initial comparisons with respective controls documented no increase in IFN γ levels in both non-PR and PR-patients (p>0.1). As against no change in the non-PR group, diminished IL12 levels were noted in the PR-patients and SC-PR-2 category (p<0.0001). In the non-PR-patients, IL4 levels remained unaltered while IL10 levels increased (p<0.0001). IL10

was unaltered in all the HEV-infected pregnant women whereas lower levels of IL4 were recorded in the PR-patients (p<0.007). IL2 was unaltered in the clinical categories (p>0.01) and reduced in SC-PR-2+3 infections (<0.0001).When non-pregnant and pregnant patients were compared, a reduced secretion of IL12, IL10 and IL4 was noted in latter (p<0.0001).

Next, we compared Th1/Th2 (IFN γ /IL4) ratios among different categories (table 2). Th1 bias (ratio = 3.02) was seen in the non-PR-controls and during the first trimester-controls (ratio = 4.3). The PR-controls in the later trimesters exhibited a shift to Th2-bias (ratio = 2.1). In the non-PR-patients, both cytokines increased while the ratio decreased to 1.7 suggesting Th2 response that was enhanced during the convalescent phase (ratio = 1.3). As IL4 levels diminished in HEV-infected pregnant women, accurate determination of Th1/Th2 bias was not possible. However, a Th1 bias was evident.

Proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. The levels of TNF α , IL1B and IL6 were higher in the non-PR-patients (p< 0.007–0.0001) while TNF α , IL1B and IL17 levels reduced in the PR-patient and PR-SC-2 groups (p<0.007–0.0001). In both patient and SC-PR-2 categories, IFN α levels were reduced (p< 0.007–0.0001) while a significant reduction was noted for IL1RA in clinical and subclinical infections during later trimesters (p< 0.0005). All these cytokines were reduced in PR-patients than in the non-PR patients (p<0.007–0.0001).

The levels of CCL3/CCL4/CXCL8/CXCL10 were significantly higher in the non-PR-patients than in the controls (p< 0.0005) while CCL2 and CCL7 were not different (p>0.1). In the PR-patients, CCL3/CCL4 levels were unchanged (p>0.1), CXCL10 was raised (p<0.0001) whereas CCL7 (p<0.0001) was reduced. SC-PR-2+3 group had significantly lower CCL4 (p< 0.001) and higher CCL2 (p<0.0001), CXCL10 (p<0.001) levels. Except CCL4 and CXCL10, all the other chemokines were reduced in PR-patients than in the non-PR-patients.

sIL2RA levels increased in all the HEV-infected individuals (p< 0.007–0.0001), except PR-SC-1 group. No difference was observed in the IL1A levels. When clinical categories were compared, sIL2RA and IL1A levels were reduced in patients with pregnancy (p<0.0001).



Figure 2. Mean cytokine levels (pg/ml) in the plasma of study subjects belonging to different categories. (A = non-pregnant controls, B = control-pregnant trimester1, C = control-pregnant trimester-2+3, D = acute non-pregnant patients, E = convalescent non-pregnant patients, F = subclinical pregnant trimester 1, G = subclinical pregnant trimester-2+3, H = acute pregnant trimester-2+3 patients). p values are indicated by stars, *p<0.007, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.g002



Figure 3. Mean cytokine levels (pg/ml) in the plasma of study subjects belonging to different categories. (A = non-pregnant controls, B = control-pregnant trimester1, C = control-pregnant trimester-2+3, D = acute non-pregnant patients, E = convalescent non-pregnant patients, F = subclinical pregnant trimester 1, G = subclinical pregnant trimester-2+3, H = acute pregnant trimester-2+3 patients). p values are indicated by stars, *p<0.007, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.g003



Figure 4. Heat maps showing cytokine patterns in different study groups at (A) protein and (B) gene expression levels. Values for 20 cytokines and 15 genes were hierarchically clustered on log2 transformation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.g004

Correlation of ALT rise with antibody titres/cytokine levels

We further analysed correlation of ALT levels (liver damage) with antibody titres and cytokine levels. ALT rise correlated with IgM-anti-HEV titres (r = 0.61, p < 0.0001) and CXCL10 (r = 0.79, p < 0.0001), IL10 (r = 0.6, p < 0.0001), sIL2RA (r = 0.512, p < 0.0001), IL6 (r = 0.507, p < 0.0001) levels.

Gene expression pattern in the PBMCs from different categories

Of the 14 genes evaluated, the expression of IFN γ , IL10, IL1A, IL7, CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8 and CXCL10 was higher in the non-PR-patients (\geq 2.5 fold, table 3).Of these, the expression of IFN γ , IL10, IL1A, CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8 and additionally, IL2, IL6 and TNF genes were higher in the clinical-PRs. However, almost identical pattern (except IL6) was noted in the control-PR-2+3 category indicating no influence of HEV infection on the pregnancy-induced alterations in the gene expression pattern.

Comparison of the patient categories identified significant elevation of IFN γ (P<0.001), CCL2 (p<0.01), CXCL8 (P<

0.05), IL1B (p<0.05) and IL10 (P<0.0001) and decrease in CXCL10 levels (<0.05) in the PR-patients. No difference was noted when PR patients and SC-PR-2+3 group were compared. In the control-PR-1 category, the expression levels of CCL2, CCL3, CXCL8, IFN γ , IL17, IL1A, IL1B and IL10 were increased while CXCL10 and IL7 were lower. No difference was observed when healthy pregnant (1and 2+3 trimesters) control groups were compared.

Comparison of heat maps for cytokines at protein and gene levels (figure 4) clearly differentiated non-PR and PR-categories. In the PR-patients, a distinct pattern, i.e., significant reduction at protein levels as against elevated/normal gene expression was evident. Except for the down-regulation of IL7 and CXCL10 in the PR-1 controls, the other genes in all the study groups were either up-regulated or expressed at normal levels, irrespective of the cytokine levels (table 4).

Discussion

Host factors are known to play crucial role in the outcome of infections. In order to understand the pathogenesis of HEV infection during pregnancy, we investigated self-limiting, subclinical and clinical HEV infections among pregnant women. Subclinical infections in the later trimesters were characterized by lower ALT and bilirubin levels and exhibited reduced anti-HEV-IgM and IgG titres. (table 1, figure 1). The possibility of HEV infection in the subclinical cases being much earlier than the clinical cases was ruled out as the antibody titres in the subclinical cases were significantly lower than even convalescent non-PRpatients. The clinical disease in both categories led to comparable anti-HEV antibody titres. Earlier, we reported a significant increase in the titres of IgM/IgG-anti-HEV antibodies in the PR and non-PR fulminant hepatitis E patients when compared to the patients with uneventful recovery [11]. Taken together, these findings reveal a clear relationship of antibody titres with severity of hepatitis E and suggest antibody-mediated liver damage. Importantly, a significant increase in the HEV antigen-specific, IgG antibody-producing B cells in patients with FHF-E than in the uncomplicated hepatitis E patients has been shown [19].

Cytokines, the important immunologic messenger molecules, are secreted in the blood stream and have multiple direct/ regulatory functions depending on the infecting pathogens [20]. Though most relevant, studying the affected organ is impractical, especially for self-limiting infections and blood remains the specimen of choice providing useful information. Variable cytokines are detected in individuals without apparent acute or

TADIE 2. $\Pi \Pi / \Pi Z (\Pi \Pi) / \Pi Z + I allos III uniterent categorie$	Та	ble	2.	Th1	/Th2	$(IFN\gamma)$	/IL4)	ratios	in	different	categorie
--	----	-----	----	-----	------	---------------	-------	--------	----	-----------	-----------

Categories	pg/ml		IFNγ/IL4 ratio
	IFNγ	IL4	
Non-PR-control	25.1	8.3	3.02
PR control-1	17.3	4.0	4.3
PR control-2+3	14.5	6.7	2.1
Acute-non-PR	34.9	20.8	1.7
Convalescent non-PR	35.7	26.5	1.3
Acute-PR-2+3	11.3	0.56	20.2
Subclinical-PR-2+3	10.1	3.31	3.1
Subclinical-PR-1	12.1	2.4	5.0

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.t002

chronic infection and these steady state cytokines are known to differ in urban and rural populations [21]. We have tried to minimize these variables as the both control and patient populations came from the same villages and communities.

It is believed that pregnancy is associated with a systemic shift toward a Th2 cytokine profile [22]. However, a prospective study [23] failed to demonstrate this effect. Comparison of IFN γ /IL4 ratios suggested Th2 bias during the later trimesters of healthy pregnancy and non-PR patients that increased during convalescent phase. Despite diminished levels of IL4 in pregnant patients, a Th1 bias was evident. In this context, our earlier observation of high cytokine levels secreted by the HEV-specific-antigen-stimulated PBMCs coupled with a Th2 bias in 3/4 fatal FHF-E in pregnant women in the later trimesters [11] is noteworthy. Though we have determined plasma cytokines, the results suggest Th1 to Th2 shift in fulminant disease during pregnancy. A partial attribution of shift from Th1 to Th2 to the development of acute lung injury in the pregnant rats infected with influenza virus is noteworthy [24].

Our results are partially at variance from a study in patients from north India probably because the authors have grouped all the pregnant women without mentioning trimesters [25]. Though Acute-PR patients in both studies exhibited Th1 response whereas as against higher antibody titres and shift to Th2 response in fulminant hepatitis E noted by us [11], a stronger Th1 response was observed by Borkakoti et al [26]. Similarly, as against low/ absence of viral load in the FHF patients from our series, a high viral load was observed [26]. The reasons for these differences are not clear, except for different population types examined.

The study generated for the first time, data on the levels of 20 plasma cytokines in healthy pregnant women from western India. We showed that the healthy pregnancy was associated with a significant lowering of CCL2/CCL7 and increase in TNF/IL1b

levels while IL2 and CCL4 increased during the later trimester. The pattern seems to vary with the population types [27–28].

The disease in pregnancy was distinctly different with respect to the circulating cytokine levels, 16/20 cytokines were reduced in pregnant patients than the non-PR-patient group. sIL2RA was the only cytokine raised in all HEV infected individuals (except SC-PR-1). As blood cytokines have multiple effects on different circulating cell types, it seems logical that the PR-patients may have dysregulated/impaired immune response. A further significant decrease in CXCL10, CCL4 and rise in IFN α , CCL7 levels differentiated pregnant women presenting with subclinical and clinical HEV infections respectively. Low levels of IFN γ even in the non-PR patients is noteworthy.

The systemic cytokine changes in HEV infection in the non-PR patients reflect predominant inflammatory response, unaltered/ reduced antiviral cytokines such as IFN γ /IFN α respectively and a robust chemokine secretion. On contrary, the PR-patients predominantly exhibited diminished or unaltered response. The only exception was sIL2RA (sCD25) showing increase in both patient categories when compared to the controls suggestive of enhanced Treg activity [29]. Earlier, based on the elevated levels of peripheral CD4⁺CD25⁺Foxp3⁺ and CD4⁺+CD25⁻Foxp3⁺ cells in non-pregnant hepatitis E patients [30], we suggested involvement of regulatory T cells (Treg) in hepatitis E. Further, the Treg cells were shown to be functional and could suppress/inhibit autologous effector T cells [31]. Taken together, the results suggest that HEV infection during pregnancy is associated with elevated levels of Treg cells.

Though IFN α levels were independent of healthy pregnancy and decreased in HEV infected pregnant women in the later trimesters, the levels were higher in subclinical category, whether the higher levels direct asymptomatic infection needs to be explored. Correlation of ALT levels with IgM-anti-HEV titres and rise in four cytokines, CXCL10, IL10, sIL2RA and IL6

Table 3. Cytokine gene expression fold changes (RQ values) in different categories (mean \pm SE).

Genes	Non-PR patients-A	PR patients-B	PR-2+3 controls-C	SC PR-2+3-D	PR-1 controls -E	SC PR-1-F
CCL2	4.7±1.3	11.7±2.1	6.8±1.2	9.2±2.0	4.8±2.0	10.7±3.0
CXCL10	2.6±1.2	0.7±0.1	1.4±0.6	1.1±0.5	0.3±0.1	1.3±0.3
CXCL8	3.2±0.6	7.1±1.4	8.1±1.5	8.4±1.3	3.7±1.0	9.2±2.8
CCL3	5.6±2.3	6.9±2.4	6.9±1.4	11.0±2.2	3.1±0.7	6.7±1.3
IFNG	2.7±0.6	17.9±5.8	10.3±1.3	15.0±4.8	5.5±1.6	16.3±2.1
IL2	1.8±0.2	3.3±0.9	2.6±0.5	2.7±0.6	1.4±0.2	4.5±1.4
IL2RA	1.3±0.3	1.3±0.2	1.1±0.1	1.4±0.2	0.9±0.1	1.3±0.2
IL17	1.1±0.2	2.3±1.4	1.5±0.3	4.5±1.7	2.8±1.7	7.6±4.7
IL1A	4.5±1.2	13.6±6.9	10.2±1.9	7.5±1.9	5.3±1.0	12.8±4.7
IL1B	2.3±0.7	6.3±2.0	6.7±1.4	6.5±1.2	4.4±1.6	9.9±3.8
IL6	1.6±0.3	2.5±0.6	1.8±0.3	3.9±0.9	0.9±0.2	3.0±1.3
IL7	3.2±1.6	0.7±0.1	0.5±0.1	1.0±0.2	0.3±0.0	0.6±0.1
TNF	2.4±0.4	3.5±1.3	3.4±0.9	7.5±1.6	1.2±0.3	5.6±1.8
IL10	4.6±1.0	14.0±3.9	6.6±1.0	12.2±2.7	3.3±0.9	12.4±2.1

Significant p values among groups.

CCL2: B>A (p<0.01), B>C(p<0.05).

CXCL10: B>A (p<0.05).

CXCL8: **B**>A (p<0.05).

IFNG: B>A (p<0.001).

IL1B: B>A (p<0.05).

TNF: C<D (p<0.05).

IL10: B>A (p<0.05), D>C(p<0.05), B>C(p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103257.t003

Cyclokienon-PR patientsPR patientsSC PR 2.43SC PR 2.43PR. ControlsPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPPMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMCPlasmaPBMC1 \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow PMC PlasmaPBMCnomal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow PMC PMC PMC Plasmanomalnomal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow PMC PMC PMC PMC Plasmanomalnomal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow PMC PMC PMC PMC PMC PMC Plasmanomalnomal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow PMC <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>											
HarmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCParmaPBMCPARmaPBMC <t< th=""><th>Cytokine</th><th>non-PR patients</th><th></th><th>PR patients</th><th></th><th>PR 2+3 controls</th><th></th><th>SC PR 2+3</th><th></th><th>PR-1 Controls</th><th></th></t<>	Cytokine	non-PR patients		PR patients		PR 2+3 controls		SC PR 2+3		PR-1 Controls	
FNGnormal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow normal \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow $homal\uparrowL2normalnormalnormal\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\uparrownormalnormalhomal$		Plasma	PBMC	Plasma	PBMC	Plasma	PBMC	Plasma	PBMC	plasma	PBMC
L2normal </td <td>IFNG</td> <td>normal</td> <td>←</td> <td>→</td> <td>←</td> <td>normal</td> <td>←</td> <td>→</td> <td>←</td> <td>normal</td> <td>¢</td>	IFNG	normal	←	→	←	normal	←	→	←	normal	¢
slitted \uparrow normal	IL2	normal	normal	normal	←	←	←	normal	←	normal	normal
L17normalnormal 1 1 normal 1 <	sIL2RA	←	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal
L1Anormal↑↓↑↓↑normal↓L1B↑normal↓↑↑11L1B↑normal↓↑↑11L1B↑normal↓↑↑11L1C↑↑normalnormalnormal11L5↑↑normalnormalnormalnormalnormalnormalL7↑↑normalnormalnormalnormalnormalnormalL10↑↑1↑111L10↑↓↑1111L10↑↓↑1111L10↑↓↑1111L10↑↓↑1111L10↑↓11111L10↑↓11111L10↑↓11111L10↑↓11111L11↓↓11111L11↓↓↓1111L11↓↓↓↓111L11↓↓↓↓↓11L11↓↓↓↓↓↓1 <td>IL17</td> <td>normal</td> <td>normal</td> <td>\rightarrow</td> <td>normal</td> <td>normal</td> <td>normal</td> <td>\rightarrow</td> <td>←</td> <td>normal</td> <td>¢</td>	IL17	normal	normal	\rightarrow	normal	normal	normal	\rightarrow	←	normal	¢
LIB 1 normal ↓ 1 1 normal ↑ 1 L6 ↑ normal normal normal normal normal 1 normal <	IL1A	normal	←	\rightarrow	←	normal	←	\rightarrow	¢	normal	¢
IL6 1 normal normal 1 normal norm	IL1B	←	normal	\rightarrow	←	←	←	normal	←	←	¢
L7 \uparrow \uparrow $hormal$ $horm$	IL6	←	normal	nomal	←	normal	normal	\rightarrow	←	normal	normal
TNF 1 normal normal 1 1 normal 1 L10 1 1 normal 1 1 normal 1 normal L10 1 1 1 normal 1 1 normal 1 L10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 CL2 normal 1 1 1 1 1 CL3 1 1 1 1 1 1 CCL3 1 1 1 1 1 1 CXL10 1 1 1 1 1 1 CXL11 1 1 1 1 1 1	IL7	←	←	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	normal	\rightarrow
L10 1 normal 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<>	TNF	←	normal	nomal	←	←	←	normal	¢	←	normal
CCL2 normal 1 1 1 1 1 CCL3 1 1 1 1 1 1 CCL3 1 1 1 1 1 1 CCL3 1 1 1 1 1 1 CCL10 1 1 1 1 1 1 CXCL18 1 1 1 1 1 1 CXCL18 1 1 1 1 1 1	IL10	←	←	normal	←	normal	←	normal	←	normal	¢
CCL3 1 1 normal 1 normal 1 normal 1 CXCL10 1 1 1 normal 1 normal 1 1 CXCL10 1 1 1 normal normal 1 1 1 CXCL10 1 1 1 1 normal 1 1	CCL2	normal	←	\rightarrow	←	\rightarrow	←	\rightarrow	¢	\rightarrow	¢
CXCL10 ↑ ↑ normal normal ↑ normal ↓ CXCL8 ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑ ↑	CCL3	←	←	normal	←	normal	←	normal	←	normal	÷
CXCL8 7 7 7 7 normal 7 normal 7	CXCL10	←	←	~	normal	normal	normal	¢	normal	normal	\rightarrow
	CXCL8	←	←	←	←	normal	←	normal	←	normal	÷

categor
different
.⊑
levels
expression
gene
PBMC
and
cytokine
plasma
of
Association

9

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

demonstrate association of these cytokines with ongoing liver damage in acute HEV infection.

When we compared different cytokines at protein and gene levels, discordance between gene expression and protein levels was evident in HEV-infected pregnant women in the later trimesters. As proposed by Keene [32], the role of an infrastructure between the genome and the proteome termed a ribonome may tightly regulate the early response genes such as cytokines.

In conclusion, the anti-HEV antibody titres were directly proportional to disease severity in pregnant women. The disease in pregnancy was associated with a significant reduction in the plasma cytokines despite increase in the corresponding gene expression in the PBMCs. The study needs to be extended to fulminant hepatitis E for the understanding of the pathogenesis of this form of infection with high mortality.

References

- Lederman MM (1984) Cell-mediated immunity and pregnancy. Chest. 86: 6S– 9S.
- Stockman LJ, Lowther SA, Coy K, Saw J, Parashar UD (2004) SARS during pregnancy, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 10: 1689–1690.
- Harger JH, Ernest JM, Thurnau GR, Moawad A, Momirova V, et al. (2002) Risk factors and outcome of varicella-zoster virus pneumonia in pregnant women. J Infect Dis, 185: 422–427.
- Rasmussen SA, Jamieson DJ, Bresee JS (2008) Pandemic influenza and pregnant women. Emerg Infect Dis.14: 95–100.
 Khuroo MS, Teli MR, Skidmore S, Sofi MA, Khuroo MI (1981) Incidence and
- Khuroo MS, Teli MR, Skidmore S, Sofi MA, Khuroo MI (1981) Incidence and severity of viral hepatitis in pregnancy. Am J Med. 70: 252–255.
 Chadha MS, Mehendale M, Arankalle VA, Athalye K, Banerjee K (1991) Water
- Chadha MS, Mchendale M, Arankalle VA, Athalye K, Banerjee K (1991) Water supply schemes and enteric transmitted non-A non-B hepatitis epidemics: an experience in Khadakwasla village of Pune district. Ind J Comm Med. 16: 153– 158.
- Acharya SK, Dasarathy S, Kumar TL, Sushma S, Uma Prasanna KS, et al. (1996) Fulminant hepatitis in a tropical population: Clinical course, cause, and early predictors of outcome. Hepatology. 23: 1448–1455.
- Madan K, Gopalkrishna V, Kar P, Sharma JK, Das UP, et al. (1998) Detection of hepatitis C and E virus genomes in sera of patients with acute viral hepatitis and fulminant hepatitis by their simultaneous amplification in PCR. J Gastroentrol. 13: 125–130.
- Arankalle VA, Jha J, Favorov MO, Chaudhari A, Fields HA, et al. (1995) Contribution of HEV and HCV in causing fulminant non-A, non-B hepatitis in Western India. J.Viral.Hepatitis. 2: 189–193.
- Keay SD, Vatish M, Karteris E, Hillhouse EW, Randeva HS (2004) The role of hCG in reproductive medicine. BJOG. 111: 1218–1228.
- Saravanabalaji S, Tripathy AS, Dhoot RR, Chadha MS, Kakrani AL, et al. (2009) Viral Load, Antibody Titres and Recombinant Open Reading Frame 2 Protein-Induced Th1/Th2 Cytokines and Cellular Immune Responses in Self-Limiting and Fulminant Hepatitis E. Intervirology. 52: 78–85.
- Jilani N, Das BC, Husain SA, Baweja UK, Chattopadhya D, et al. (2007) Hepatitis E virus infection and fulminant hepatic failure during pregnancy J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 22: 676–682.
- Kar P, Jilani N, Husain SA, Pasha ST, Anand R, et al. (2008) Does hepatitis E viral load and genotypes influence the final outcome of acute liver failure during pregnancy? Am J Gastroenterol. 103: 2495–2501.
- Tsarev SA, Tsareva TS, Emerson SU, Rippy MK, Zack P, et al. (1995) Experimental hepatitis E in pregnant rhesus monkeys: failure to transmit hepatitis E virus (HEV) to offspring and evidence of naturally acquired antibodies to HEV. J Infect Dis. 172: 31–37.
- Arankalle VA, Chadha MS, Banerjee K, Srinivasan MA, Chobe LP (1993) Hepatitis E virus infection in pregnant rhesus monkeys. Indian J Med Res. 97: 4–8.
- Arankalle VA, Chadha MS, Dama BM, Tsarev SA, Purcell RH, et al. (1998) Role of immune serum globulins in pregnant women during an epidemic of hepatitis E. J Viral Hepat. 5: 199–204.
- Arankalle VA, Lole KS, Deshmukh TM, Chobe LP, Gandhe SS (2007) Evaluation of human (genotype 1) and swine (genotype 4)-ORF2-based ELISAs

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr A C Mishra, Director, NIV. Thanks are due to Mr Tilekar, Mr Jawalkar and Ms Thorat for excellent technical assistance. We appreciate the statistical help by Mr Walimbe and the health authorities, Maharashtra state for the valuable support. Mr Vaidya is acknowledged for computer assistance during the preparation of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: VAA. Performed the experiments: AYR RPA. Analyzed the data: VAA AYR RPA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: VAA. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: VAA AYR RPA.

for anti-HEV IgM and IgG detection in an endemic country and search for type 4 human HEV infections. J Viral Hepat. 14: 435–445.

- Arankalle VA, Lole KS, Arya RP, Tripathy AS, Ramdasi AY, et al. (2010) Role of host immune response and viral load in the differential outcome of pandemic H1N1 (2009) influenza virus infection in Indian patients. PLoS One. 5: e13099.
- Srivastava R, Aggarwal R, Sachdeva S, Alam MI, Jameel S, et al. (2011) Adaptive immune responses during acute uncomplicated and fulminant hepatitis E. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2011;26: 306–311.
- Fossum C (1998) Cytokines as markers for infections and their effect on growth performance and well-being in the pig. Domest Anim Endocrinol. 15: 439–444.
- Yajnik CS, Joglekar CV, Lubree HG, Rege SS, Naik SS, et al. (2008) Adiposity, inflammation and hyperglycaemia in rural and urban Indian men: Coronary Risk of Insulin Sensitivity in Indian Subjects (CRISIS) Study. Diabetologia. 51: 39–46.
- Wegmann TG, Lin H, Guilbert L, Mosmann TR (1993) Bidirectional cytokine interactions in the maternal-fetal relationship: is successful pregnancy a TH2 phenomenon? Immunol Today. 14: 353–356.
- Kraus TA, Engel SM, Sperling RS, Kellerman L, Lo Y, et al. (2012) Characterizing the pregnancy immune phenotype: results of the viral immunity and pregnPRy (VIP) study. J Clin Immunol. 32: 300–311.
- Gu X, Li P, Liu H, Li N, Li S, et al. (2011) The effect of influenza virus A on th1/th2 balPRe and alveolar fluid clearPRe in pregnant rats. Exp Lung Res 37: 445–451.
- Bose PD, Das BC, Kumar A, Gondal R, Kumar D, et al. (2011) High viral load and deregulation of the progesterone receptor signaling pathway: association with hepatitis E-related poor pregnancy outcome. J Hepatol. 54: 1107–1113
- Borkakoti J, Hazam RK, Mohammad A, Kumar A, Kar P (2013) Does high viral load of hepatitis E virus influence the severity and prognosis of acute liver failure during pregnancy? J Med Virol. 85: 620–626.
- Kraus TA, Sperling RS, Engel SM, Lo Y, Kellerman L, et al. (2010) Peripheral blood cytokine profiling during pregnancy and post-partum periods. Am J Reprod Immunol. 64: 411–426.
- Lygnos MC, Pappa KI, Papadaki HA, Relakis C, Koumantakis E, et al. (2006) Changes in maternal plasma levels of VEGF, bFGF, TGF-beta1, ET-1 and sKL during uncomplicated pregnancy, hypertensive pregnancy and gestational diabetes. In Vivo. 20: 157–163.
- Cabrera R, Ararat M, Eksioglu EA, Cao M, Xu Y, et al. (2010) Influence of serum and soluble CD25 (sCD25) on regulatory and effector T-cell function in hepatocellular carcinoma. Scand J Immunol. 72:293–301.
- Tripathy AS, Das R, Rathod SB, Gurav YK, Arankalle VA (2012) Peripheral T regulatory cells and cytokines in hepatitis E infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 31: 179–184.
- Rathod SB, Das R, Thanapati S, Arankalle VA, Tripathy AS (2014)Suppressive activity and altered conventional phenotype markers/mediators of regulatory T cells in patients with self-limiting hepatitis E. J Viral Hepat. 21: 141–151.
- Keene JD (2001) Ribonucleoprotein infrastructure regulating the flow of genetic information between the genome and the proteome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 98: 7018–7024.