Non-Syndromic Hearing Impairment in India: High Allelic Heterogeneity among Mutations in *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, *USHIC*, *CDH23* and *TMIE*

Aparna Ganapathy¹, Nishtha Pandey¹, C. R. Srikumari Srisailapathy², Rajeev Jalvi³, Vikas Malhotra⁴, Mohan Venkatappa¹, Arunima Chatterjee¹, Meenakshi Sharma¹, Rekha Santhanam¹, Shelly Chadha⁴, Arabandi Ramesh², Arun K. Agarwal⁴, Raghunath R. Rangasayee³, Anuranjan Anand¹*

1 Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, India, 2 Department of Genetics, Dr. ALM Post Graduate Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chennai, India, 3 Department of Audiology, Ali Yavar Jung National Institute for the Hearing Handicapped, Mumbai, India, 4 Department of ENT, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi, India

Abstract

Mutations in the autosomal genes *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, *USHIC*, *CDH23* and *TMIE* are known to cause hereditary hearing loss. To study the contribution of these genes to autosomal recessive, non-syndromic hearing loss (ARNSHL) in India, we examined 374 families with the disorder to identify potential mutations. We found four mutations in *TMPRSS3*, eight in *TMC1*, ten in *USHIC*, eight in *CDH23* and three in *TMIE*. Of the 33 potentially pathogenic variants identified in these genes, 23 were new and the remaining have been previously reported. Collectively, mutations in these five genes contribute to about one-tenth of ARNSHL among the families examined. New mutations detected in this study extend the allelic heterogeneity of the genes and provide several additional variants for structure-function correlation studies. These findings have implications for early DNA-based detection of deafness and genetic counseling of affected families in the Indian subcontinent.

Citation: Ganapathy A, Pandey N, Srisailapathy CRS, Jalvi R, Malhotra V, et al. (2014) Non-Syndromic Hearing Impairment in India: High Allelic Heterogeneity among Mutations in *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, USHIC, CDH23 and *TMIE*. PLoS ONE 9(1): e84773. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773

Editor: Jörg D. Hoheisel, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Germany

Received June 22, 2013; Accepted November 19, 2013; Published January 8, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Ganapathy et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Funds for this work were provided by Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi (BT/PR4449/Med/12/172/2003) and JNCASR, Bangalore. AG and NP received research fellowships from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: anand@jncasr.ac.in

Introduction

Hearing impairment is the most common sensory defect in humans, occurring at a frequency of about one in 1000 live births, of which 50% are due to genetic causes [1]. About 70% of hereditary hearing loss is non-syndromic, wherein hearing impairment is not associated with any additional clinical phenotype. To date, 65 genes for non-syndromic hearing loss (NSHL) have been identified (http://hereditaryhearingloss.org/) [2]. Mutations in TMPRSS3 (transmembrane serine protease 3) [3], TMC1 (transmembrane cochlear-expressed gene 1) [4], USHIC (Usher 1C) [5], CDH23 (cadherin 23) [6] and TMIE (transmembrane inner ear) [7] are known to play a causative role in NSHL. Indeed, TMPRSS3 [3], TMC1 [4] and TMIE [7], were identified in studies involving a few multi-affected families from the Indian subcontinent. However, a detailed study evaluating the contribution of these genes has not been carried out for Indian populations. In this study, we describe the spectrum of mutations in TMPRSS3, TMC1, USHIC, CDH23 and TMIE in 374 families with ARNSHL from India.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

A total of 1739 individuals from 374 families with at least two members affected with recessive, prelingual, severe-to-profound NSHL were included in this study. Of these 316 were families with two affected sibs, 54 with three affected sibs and 4 with four or more affected sibs. These families had been ruled out for mutations in Cx26 (connexin 26, GJB2), which is known to be the most common cause of hereditary hearing loss in India [8]. A detailed clinical history of each affected member was collected to ensure that hearing loss was not due to infections, ototoxic drugs, trauma or premature birth and was not accompanied by any apparent ear, eye, head, neck, skin, skeletal or neurological abnormalities. The degree of hearing loss was ascertained by audiological evaluation involving pure tone audiometry, which included bone conduction. Hearing thresholds were obtained between 250-8000 Hz in a sound-treated room. Ten milliliters of venous blood was collected from members of the families. Fiftyfour healthy unaffected individuals, above 20 years of age without any apparent family history of hearing impairment, were also included in this study as controls to estimate allele frequencies of the sequence variants found during the course of this work. Genomic DNA was extracted using the phenol-chloroform method [9]. This study was approved by the Institutional Human Bioethics and Biosafety Committees of the four institutes involved in the work and informed written consent was obtained from all participating individuals and from the parents of those affected individuals who were younger than 18 years of age.

Genetic analysis

To identify families that may harbour mutations in TMPRSS3, TMC1, USHIC and CDH23, we carried out concordance/ discordance tests using polymorphic microsatellite markers flanking the genes (Table 1) in 374 families. These markers were amplified using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with 50 ng of genomic DNA, 25 pmol primers, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase. PCR was carried out using a GeneAmp PCR 9700 and genotyping using an ABI PRISM 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Allele sizing was done using GENEMAPPER v3.7 (Applied Biosystems). For the families that could not be excluded on the basis of marker discordance among affected siblings, complete TMPRSS3 (13 exons), TMC1 (24 exons), USH1C (28 exons) and CDH23 (70 exons) transcript structures, comprising exonic and flanking intronic regions, were analyzed by direct sequencing. For TMIE (DFNB6), direct sequencing of its four exons and flanking intronic regions for an affected member, in each of the 374 families, was carried out. The primers for sequencing were designed using PRIMER3 (http://primer3.ut.ee) [10]. PCR was performed and the amplified products were purified by Montage PCR96 Cleanup reagents (Millipore). Cycle sequencing was performed using 20 ng of purified PCR products, 3.2 pmol of each primer and ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing reagents. Following cycle sequencing, the samples were loaded onto an ABI PRISM 3730 DNA Analyzer. Each amplicon was sequenced in both directions and analyzed using DNASTAR SeqmanII 5.01.

Bioinformatic analysis

The amino acid and nucleotide residue conservations across species were examined using NCBI BLAST (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) and conservation across protein or gene families using ClustalW (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/) [11] and ConSeq (consurf.tau.ac.il/) [12]. Splice site prediction was done using NetGene2 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/ NetGene2) [13]; disulphide bond prediction, using DISULFIND (http://disulfind.dsi.unifi.it/) [14]. The possible pathogenic effect of protein-coding variants was examined using two prediction tools: SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/) [15] and Polyphen-2 (http:// genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) [16].

Results

Pathogenic and apparently benign variants in TMPRSS3, TMC1, CDH23, USH1C and TMIE

For the aforementioned genes, concordance/discordance test were carried out in the 374 ARNSHL families. Based on this test, the possibility for mutation could not be excluded in 48 families for *TMPRSS3*, in 50 families for *TMC1*, in 24 families for *CDH23* and

in 46 families for USH1C. Further analysis of one affected member in each of the families revealed 12 variants in TMPRSS3, 20 in TMC1, 36 in USH1C and 44 in CDH23. In TMIE, 11 variants were identified. To assess their pathological potential, these variants were evaluated for (i) segregation among additional affected members of the families, (ii) evolutionary conservation of nucleotide or amino acid residue and (iii) frequency among individuals with apparently normal hearing. These criteria helped identify 33 potential mutations: four in TMPRSS3, eight in TMC1, ten in USH1C, eight in CDH23 and three in TMIE (Table 2, Figure 1 and 2). Additionally, 90 apparently benign gene variants were found, which included 77 known polymorphisms (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and 13 new ones. Of the new ones, five were in TMC1, two in USH1C, two in CDH23 and four in TMIE. The variants classified as benign satisfied one or more of the following criteria: (i) allele frequency of 0.01 or more among the control individuals; (ii) lack of segregation with the deafness phenotype; (iii) poor evolutionary conservation and (iv) apparently no effect on transcript or protein function (Table S1).

Exonic mutations

Among the mutations likely to affect protein structure or function were the nonsense mutations: p.R34X in TMC1 and p.Q362X in USH1C and, 20 missense mutations: p.V116M, p.G243R and p.C386R in TMPRSS3; p.G267E, p.V372M and p.R445C in TMC1; p.R63W, p.R89H, p.G200S, p.R620C, p.A804T and p.A871T in USH1C; p.V139I, p.D918N, p.D990N, p.E1701K, p.T1887I and p.S2527L in CDH23; p.E31G and p.R84W in TMIE. In addition, a deletion, p.I210del in TMC1 and two insertions: CDH23, c.189 190insC and TMIE, c.125 126insCGCC were also identified. Several of the substitution mutations (p.V116M, p.G243R, p.C386R, p.V372M, p.R445C, p.R63W, p.R89H, p.R620C, p.D918N, p.E1701K, p.S2527L, p.E31G and p.R84W) were predicted to have severe detrimental effects by SIFT and POLYPHEN analysis (Table 2). Further, many of the identified coding mutations (p.V116M, p.G243R, p.C386R, p.I210del, p.V372M, p.R445C, p.R620C, p.A804T, p.V139I, p.D918N, p.D990N, p.E1701N and p.T1887I) reside in structurally or functionally important protein domains (Table 2, Figure 3). Upon sequence comparison across species and protein families, a high degree of evolutionaryconservation was observed for the amino acid residues at the mutation sites (Figure 1 and 2). For example, in TMPRSS3, p.G243R and p.C386R mutations occur in the highly conserved catalytic serine protease domain. Disulfide bond prediction and available crystal structure of the extracellular region of Hepsin (TMPRSS1, pdb 1z8g) suggest the presence of a disulfide bridge involving Cys386 and Cys370 in TMPRSS3, similar to the disulphide bond of corresponding Cys338 and Cys322 in Hepsin

Table 1. Genes and locations of microsatellite markers used in the concordance/discordance tests.

Gene (locus)	Polymorphic microsatellite markers and their locations
TMPRSS3 (DFNB8/10)	D21S1260 (900 kb centromeric), D21S1225 (128 kb centromeric), D21S49 (83 kb telomeric) and D21S1411 (344 kb telomeric)
TMC1 (DFNB7/11, DFNA36)	D9S789 (1.3 Mb centromeric), D9S1822 (300 kb telomeric) and D9S1876 (an intragenic marker).
USH1C (DFNB18)	D11S902 (25 kb telomeric), D11S4130 (180 kb centromeric), D11S1888, (190 kb centromeric) and D11S4138 (175 kb centromeric)
CDH23 (DFNB12)	D10S537 (1 Mb centromeric), D10S1688 (860 kb centromeric), D10S412 (147 kb centromeric) and D10S218 (300 kb telomeric).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.t001

A TMPRSS3



B TMC1



Figure 1. Analysis of segregation and conservation of novel variants in *TMPRSS3* and *TMC1*. A) *TMPRSS3* and B) *TMC1*. Top panel shows the family structure and segregation of the variants; in cases where the variants were seen in more than one family, a single representation is provided; middle panel shows the electropherogram and lower panel shows the conservation of the mutated residue. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.g001

[17]. Mutation p.V116M, is predicted to be a damaging substitution in the SRCR domain involved in binding of TMPRSS3 to the cell surface and in its interaction with the extracellular molecules [18]. In p.I210del, conservation analysis by

A USH1C

ConSeq, showed that the deleted isoleucine is located in a stretch of conserved and buried hydrophobic residues of the first transmembrane domain of TMC1. Mutation p.A804T resides in the third PDZ domain and, mutation p.A871T in the C-terminal



B CDH23



Figure 2. Analysis of segregation and conservation of novel variants in USH1C and CDH23. A) USH1C and B) CDH23. Top panel shows the family structure and segregation of the variants; in cases where the variants were seen in more than one family, a single representation is provided; middle panel shows the electropherogram and lower panel shows the conservation of the mutated residue. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.g002

Table 2. Mutat	ions in the	TMPRSS3, TMC1,	, USH1C, CDH23 and	<i>TMIE</i> gene:						
Gene sequence variant	Location	Domain	Possible effect on gene or protein	SIFT	PolyPhen-2	Hom/Het	Number of families: samples per family	Novel or known	dbSNP Accession	Frequency in control chromosomes
A. TMPRSS3										
c.323-6G>A	Intron 4	ı	Splice site regulation	ı		Hom + ompound het	3+1:4,4,3+4	Known[3]	T	
c.346G>A	Exon 5	SRCR	p.V116M	Damaging	Probably damaging	Compound het	1:4	Novel	rs200090033 ^a	0/102
c.727G>A	Exon 8	Serine Protease	p.G243R	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	1:5	Novel	ı	0/108
c.1156T>C	Exon 11	Serine Protease	p.C386R	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	1:2	Novel	ı	0/108
B. TMC1										
c.100C>T	Exon 7	N-TERM	p.R34X	ı	1	Hom	1:4	Known[4]	rs121908073 ^a	,
c.237-6T>G	Intron 7	ı	Splice site regulation	I	ı	Hom	1:4	Novel	I	0/100
c.453+2T>C ^b	Intron 9	I	Splice site regulation	ı	1	Het	1:4	Novel	,	0/102
c.628_630del	Exon 11	TM1	p.l210del			Compound het	1:4	Novel		0/102
c.800G>A	Exon 13	EC1-LOOP	p.G267E	Tolerated	Probably damaging	Compound het	1:5	Novel		0/108
c.1114G>A	Exon 15	TM3	p.V372M	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	2:6,6	Known[26]	ı	
c.1333C>T	Exon 16	TM4	p.R445C	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	1:2	Known[27]	,	0/108
c.1566+1G>A	Intron 17	ı	Splice site regulation	,	ı	Compound het	2:4,5	Novel	ı	0/106
c. USHIC										
c.187C>T ^b	Exon 3	N-TERM	p.R63W	Damaging	Probably damaging	Het	1:4	Novel		0/100
c.267G>A	Exon 4	N-TERM	p.R89H	Damaging	Probably damaging	Compound het	1:6	Novel		0/100
c.388-8T>A ^b	Intron 4		Splice site regulation			Het	1:4	Novel		0/100
c.496+1G>A	Intron 5		Splice site regulation			Hom	1:5	Known[20]		0/100
c.598G>A ^b	Exon 8	Proximal to PDZ	p.G200S	Tolerated	Benign	Het	1:4	Novel	ı	0/100
c.876+6T>C	Intron 11	I	Splice site regulation	ı	1	Hom	1:5	Novel	,	0/100
c.1084C>T	Exon 13	CC1	p.Q362X			Hom	1:5	Novel		0/100
c.1858C>T	Exon 19	PST	p.R620C	Damaging	Probably damaging	Compound het	1:6	Novel	rs143160805 ^a	0/100
c.2410G>A ^b	Exon 25	PDZ3	p.A804T	Tolerated	Probably damaging	Het	1:5	Novel	rs 150593932 ^a	0/100
c.2611G>A ^b	Exon 27	C-TERM	p.A871T	Tolerated	Benign	Het	1:4	Novel	rs56165709 ^a	0/100
D. <i>CDH23</i>										
c.189_190insC	Exon 4	EC1	Frameshift		1	Hom	2:6,5	Novel	,	0/96
c.415G>A	Exon 6	Between EC1 and EC2	p.V139I	Tolerated	Benign	Compound het	1:5	Novel	ı	96/0
c.429+4G>A ^b	Intron 6	1	Splice site regulation			Het	1:5	Novel		0/96
c.2752G>A	Exon 25	EC9	p.D918N	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	1:4	Novel		0/96
c.2968G>A	Exon 26	EC9	N066D.d	Tolerated	Probably damaging	Hom	1:4	Known[28]		
c.5101G>A	Exon 40	EC16	p.E1701K	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	1:4	Novel		0/96

Gene sequence variant	Location	Domain	Possible effect on gene or protein	SIFT	PolyPhen-2	Hom/Het	Number of families: samples per family	Novel or known	dbSNP Accession	Frequency in control chromosomes
c.5660C>T ^b	Exon 43	EC18	p.T1887I	Tolerated	Probably damaging	Het	2:4,3	Known[29]		0/96
c.7580C>T	Exon 54	EC24 proximal	p.S2527L	Damaging	Probably damaging	Compound het	1:5	Novel		0/96
e. <i>TMIE</i>										
c.92A>G	Exon 1	EC-LOOP	p.E31G	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	2:4,5	Known[30]		
c.125_126insCGCC	Exon 2	EC-LOOP	Frameshift			Hom	4:6,6, 4,5	Known[7]		
c.250C>T	Exon 3	C-TERM	p.R84W	Damaging	Probably damaging	Hom	2:5,6	Known[7]	rs28942097	
^a Allele frequency in TERM: C-Terminal, EC	the range of 0. -LOOP: Extrace	000– 0.003 is observ ellular loop, EC: Cadh	ved for the variant in the d	bSNP137 datal omain, Hom: H	oase. Gene sequence v. omozygous, Het: Heter	ariants shown are e ozygous, N-TERM: N	ither almost certainly p: Terminal, PDZ: <u>P</u> ost syr	athogenic alleles naptic density pr	, or ^b potentially pat otein-95, <u>D</u> rosophila	hogenic. CC: coiled-coiled, disc large tumor suppress

TMPRSS3: Gene ID: 64699; mRNA: NM_024022; Protein: NP_076927 022124.3; Protein: NP_071407 Protein: NP 671729. TMC1: Gene ID: 117531; mRNA: NM_138691; Protein; NP_619636 NM_153676; Protein: NP_710142 NM 147196.1; NΝ mRNA: mRNA: mRNA: 10083; 64072; 259236; USH1C: Gene ID: ë ä Gene I Gene CDH23: TMIE Mutations in Deafness Genes

tail of USH1C. p.D918N and p.E1701K in CDH23, disrupt the highly conserved peptide motifs, DXD and LDRE, respectively [19]. These motifs are involved in binding of calcium ions for the interdomain rigidification of the cadherin repeat domains. The c.189_190insC insertion mutation causes a frameshift leading to premature termination after an incorporation of 19 unrelated amino acids in CDH23.

Intronic mutations

The following novel intronic mutations were observed: c.237-6T>G, c.453+2T>C and c.1566+1G>A in *TMC1*; c.388-8T>A and c.876+6T>C in *USHIC* and c.429+4G>A in *CDH23*. Potential effects of the intronic variants on regulation of splicing were predicted by using NetGene2 and by examining evolutionary conservation of the nucleotide residues. c.453+2T>C, c.1566+1G>A and c.876+6T>C are predicted to affect the 5' splice-site donor usage. c.237-6T>G is a change in the conserved polypyrimidine tract flanking 3' splice acceptor site in intron 7. c.388-8T>A could generate a relatively strong splice acceptor site, which would introduce two additional residues, valine and lysine, at positions 129 and 130 in the conserved stretch of first PDZ domain. *TMPRSS3*, c.323-6G>A [3] and *USH1C*, c.496+1G>A are previously known splice-site mutation observed in this study [20].

Homo-, hetero- and compound hetero- zygotes, and a search of *CDH23* and *USH1C* interacting alleles

In five families with TMPRSS3 mutations, all affected members were homozygotes and in one family all affected members were compound heterozygotes (p.V116M and c.323-6G>A) (Table 2). In five families with TMC1 mutations, all affected members were homozygotes, whereas in two families affected members were compound heterozygotes (c.1566+1G>A/p.I210del and c.1566+1G>A/p.G267E). In one family with a TMC1 mutation, heterozygotes were affected. For USH1C mutations, affected individuals were homozygotes in three families, compound heterozygotes (p.R620C/p.R89H) in one family and heterozygotes in five families. In case of CDH23 mutations, affected members in five families were homozygotes; in three families they were heterozygotes and in one family they were compound heterozygotes (p.V139I/p.S2527L). For TMIE, all eight mutation positive families were homozygous for the observed mutations. Among the 40 families that were mutation positive, 26 were homozygous for the mutation; five, compound heterozygous and in nine families, the mutation occurred in a heterozygous condition. For five USHIC and three CDH23 families carrying heterozygous potentially pathogenic alleles, we examined sequences of the genes known to interact with USHIC and CDH23, for the possibility of the presence of a second mutation. Families carrying heterozygous variants in USHIC (Table 2C) were analyzed for the MYO7A [21], CDH23 [6] and SANS [22] genes. Similarly, USH1C, which is known to interact with *CDH23*, was analyzed in the three families carrying heterozygous changes in CDH23 (Table 2D). In the five USHIC families studied, six new changes (p.V66V, p.R412H, p.A1425V, p.N1667K, c.9510+13C>T and p.D3253A) were observed as heterozygous variants in addition to several known polymorphisms in CDH23. p.R412H, p.A1425V and p.N1667K did not segregate with the phenotype in the families studied; and p.V66V, c.9510+13C>T and p.D3253A were present in control chromosomes of unaffected individuals, implying that these changes were unlikely to be pathogenic. In an analysis of 48 exons of MYO7A in the families heterozygous for USH1C mutations, one new intronic variant c.736-73C>T was observed in four out of the five families examined. This change did not

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.t002



Figure 3. Schematic representation of TMPRSS3, TMC1, USH1C, CDH23 and TMIE. A) TMPRSS3, B) TMC1, C) USH1C, D) CDH23 and E) TMIE. Arrows point to the location of the mutations. Shown in red are the mutations identified in the study. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.g003

segregate with the phenotype. No variant was observed in the three exons of SANS. Similarly, no novel potentially pathogenic USHIC alleles were detected, in the three families with the heterozygous CDH23 variants.

Discussion

The contribution of mutations to ARNSHL has been found to be variable among populations from different parts of the world. Population-specific social parameters such as marriage among persons with hearing loss and consanguinity may affect the prevalence of a mutation, leading to certain mutations becoming common in one population and rare in another. Therefore, population-specific studies are necessary to understand the contribution of mutations to the genetic load. Studies carried out earlier on Indian families with ARNSHL have revealed that mutations in Cx26 are the most common cause of the disorder and account for about 25% of severe-to-profound hereditary hearing loss in India [8]. However, data on families from the Indian

Table 3. Genetic epidemiology of the TMPRSS3, TMC1, USH1C, CDH23 and TMIE genes.

PopulationSubjectsProvidence/Mutation <i>TMPRSS3</i> Caucasian448 NSHL probands negative for C262, 53 SdelG0.45%311Pakistan159 NSHL families, 449 ARNSHL families, 353 ARNSHL families25%, 1.8%, 10 familie321, [33], [34]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for C262 to AC10 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRMR</i> , 1555A>C, 66 ARNSHL families25%, 1.8%, 10 familie321, [33], [36]Tunkia39 ARNSHL families21milies27%, 8%381Tomisia39 ARNSHL families negative for C2622.8 ARNSHL families2.8%1.8India320 ARNSHL families negative for C2623.8 ARNSHL families1.2%381 <i>Turkey</i> 250 ARNSHL families negative for C2624.8 ARNSHL families negative for C2623.8 ARNSHL <i>Turkey</i> 250 ARNSHL families negative for C2624.8 ARNSHL families negative for C2624.8 ARNSHL <i>Turkey</i> 6.5 ARNSHL families negative for C2624.8 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C262</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2624.8 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C274</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2641.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C275</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2641.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C274</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2641.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C275</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2641.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C275</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C2641.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C275</i> , 507 ARNSHL families negative for C264 and MYO7A1.6 ARNSHL1.6 ARNSHL <i>C275</i> , 507 A				
TMPRSS3 Caucasian 448 NSHL problands negative for C26, SdelG 0.45% [31] Pakistan 159 NSHL families, 449 ARNSHL families, 353 ARNSHL families 2.5%, 1.8%, 10 families, 132, [34] Turkey 49 NSHL families, 449 ARNSHL families, 650 ARNSHL families 2.5%, 1.8%, 10 families, 132, [34] Turkey 49 NSHL families, regative for C26, 25 NBASHL families, 66 ARNSHL families 2 families 137] Tunisia 39 ARNSHL families, regative for C26 2.5%, 64 ARNSHL families, 168, ARNSHL families, 168, ARNSHL families negative for C26 2.5% 188 India 374 ARNSHL families, 168, ARNSHL families negative for C26 2.5% 189 149 Turkey C30 ARNSHL families, 168, ARNSHL families negative for C26, 57 ARNSHL large families 140 191 121 Turkey C30 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26 16% 189 191 121 Turkey C30 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26 16% 189 191 121 Turkey C34 ARNSHL families negative for C26 16% 16% 180 121 121 121 121 121 <th>Population</th> <th>Subjects</th> <th>Prevalence/Mutation Positives</th> <th>Reference</th>	Population	Subjects	Prevalence/Mutation Positives	Reference
caucasian 448 NSHL probands negative for C26, 33delG 0.45% [31] Pakktan 159 NSHL families, 494 ARNSHL families, 353 ARNSHL families 2.5%, 18%, 100 families 321, 133, 134, 134 Turkey antiochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G, 86 ARNSHL families 1.7%, 8% 331 Tunisia 3 PARNSHL families negative for C26 to C26, 86 ARNSHL families 2.5%, 18%, 100 millow 371 Tunisia 40 ARNSHL subjects 2.5%, 86 ARNSHL families, 188, 41%, 1555A>G, 86 ARNSHL families 2.5%, 18%, 100 millow 381 Norea 40 ARNSHL subjects 2.5%, 86 ARNSHL families, 188, 41%, 93, 45%, 3.4% 381 381 Norea 230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26, 49, 54%, 3.4% 391, 221, 235 381 Turkey 230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26, 49, 54%, 3.4% 391, 221, 235 391 Turkey 230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26, 49, 15%, 15%, 15%, 15%, 15%, 15%, 15%, 15%	TMPRSS3			
Pakistan 159 NSHL families, 449 ARNSHL families, 353 ARNSHL families 25%, 18%, 10 families [32], [33], [34] Turkey 49 NSHL families negative for C26 two C30 genomic deletions and amitochondrial mutation in MTRNN, 1555A>G, 66 ARNSHL families 1.7%, 8% [37] Tunisia 39 ARNSHL families [37] [38] Korea 40 ARNSHL subjects 2.5% [38] India 374 ARNSHL families negative for C26 2.5% [38] India 374 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26, 557 ARNSHL large families 5.4%, 4.4%, 3.4% [4], [26], [23] Turkey C36, 557 ARNSHL families negative for C26, 49 NSHL families negative for C26, two C20 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G, 66 ARNSHL families negative for C26 6%, 6.6%, 8.1% [39], [27], [35] Itan 54 ARNSHL families negative for C26 1 family [40] Other 22 64 ARNSHL families negative for C26 and MY07A 1 family [41] Caucasin 16 NSHL families negative for C26, two C20 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G [83] [34] Japan 64 ARNSHL families negative for C26, and MY07A 5%, 5.4% [43], [44]	Caucasian	448 NSHL probands negative for Cx26, 35delG	0.45%	[31]
Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26 two Cx30 genomic deletions and negative for Cx26, 25 ARNSHL families1.79%, 8%[35], [36]Tunisia39 ARNSHL families2 families[37]Korea40 ARNSHL subjects2.5%[38]India37 ARNSHL families negative for Cx262.5%[38]Turkey20 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26 and NSHL families negative for Cx26, 25 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families1 family[40]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families1 family[41][41]Cucacian1 family[41][41]Cucacian1 family[41][41]Cucacian1 family[41][41]Cucacian1 family[43], [44]Chica2 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26, 491 Oprobands<	Pakistan	159 NSHL families, 449 ARNSHL families, 353 ARNSHL families	2.5%, 1.8%, 10 families	[32], [33], [34]
Tunisia39 ARNSHL families37Korea40 ARNSHL subjects2.5%38]India37 ARNSHL families negative for Cx262.5%38] <i>IMCIMCI</i> Pakistan and India230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 557 ARNSHL large families54%, 4.4%, 3.4%[4], [26], [23]Turkey65 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 557 ARNSHL families negative for Cx266%, 6.6%, 8.1%[30], [27], [35]Turkey65 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 families[40](40]India54 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 families[40]India54 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 families[40]USH154 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 families[41]Caucasian1 families negative for Cx26 and MY07A0%[42]Cutcasian1 families negative for Cx26, 919 probands5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Japan64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands3.3%[35]Japan64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands1.8%TurkeyJapan64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands1.8%1.8%MaintainPakistan618 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands1.8%1.5%1.5%Turkey94 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands1.8%1.5%1.5%1.5% </td <td>Turkey</td> <td>49 NSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> two <i>Cx30</i> genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i>, 1555A>G, 86 ARNSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i>, 25 ARNSHL families</td> <td>1.7%, 8%</td> <td>[35], [36]</td>	Turkey	49 NSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> two <i>Cx30</i> genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i> , 1555A>G, 86 ARNSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , 25 ARNSHL families	1.7%, 8%	[35], [36]
Korea40 ARNSHL subjects2.5%[38]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.2%This study $TMC1$ Pakistan and India230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 557 ARNSHL large families5.4%, 4.4%, 3.4%[4], [26], [23]TurkeyC52 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx265%, 6.6%, 8.1%[39], [27], [35]TurkeyC52 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx261 family[40]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 family[40]India32 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 family[41]China32 recessive NSHL families0%[42]Cucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, Strance, Italy, Ireland38 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A5%[39]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Japan64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands3.3%[35]India34 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands[36][35]India34 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands[36][35]India34 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL f	Tunisia	39 ARNSHL families	2 families	[37]
India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.2%This studyTMC1	Korea	40 ARNSHL subjects	2.5%	[38]
TMC1 Pakistan and India 230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26 families 54,84,449,3,499 [4], [26], [23] Turkey G5 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26 6%, 6.6%, 8.1% [39], [27, [35] tran 54 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26 1 family [40] India 374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26 1 family [40] India 32 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 1 family [41] Caucaian 1 6 NSHL sip pairs + 2 NSHL families 1 family [41] Caucaian 1 6 NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MY07A 0% [29] America, Sweden, Spain, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland [38], [44] [39] Japan 64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 191 probands 5%, 5.4% [43], [44] Turkey 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G [39] [31] India 164 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G [39] [31] India 164 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial	India	374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26	1.2%	This study
Pakistan and India230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 557 ARNSHL large families5.4%, 4.4%, 3.4%[4], [26], [23]Turkey65 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 155SA>G, 86 ARNSHL families negative for Cx266%, 6.6%, 8.1%[39], [27], [35]Iran54 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 family[40]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.6%This studyUSH1CUU[41]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families9%[42]CDH23UU[41]America, Sweden, Dutch, German, Spain, From ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands5%, 5.4%[33], [44]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 19 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands3.3%[35]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands3.3%[35]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands1.8%This studyTurkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, 19 probands deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyTurkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx261.7%[30]Turkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.7%[30]Turkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.7%[30]Turkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.	TMC1			
Turkey65 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR 1, 1555A>G, 68 ARNSHL families negative for Cx266%, 6.6%, 8.1%[39], [27], [35]Iran54 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261 family[40]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.6%This studyUSHIC52 recessive NSHL families1 family[41]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDI358 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A0%[29]Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Japan64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyIndia16 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyIndia64 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyIndia168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]India168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]India169 ASHNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]India169 ASHL families negative	Pakistan and India	230 ARNSHL families, 168 ARNSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , 557 ARNSHL large families	5.4%, 4.4%, 3.4%	[4], [26], [23]
Iran54 ARNSHL families1 family[40]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.6%This studyUSHIC11 family[41]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]Coucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDH23	Turkey	65 ARNSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , 49 NSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , two <i>Cx30</i> genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i> , 1555A>G, 86 ARNSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i>	6%, 6.6%, 8.1%	[39], [27], [35]
India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.6%This studyUSHIC11 family[41]China32 recessive NSHL families0%[42]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDH23	Iran	54 ARNSHL families	1 family	[40]
USH1CChina32 recessive NSHL families1 family[41]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDH23Sa recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A5%[29]Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands3.3%[35]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic 	India	374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26	1.6%	This study
China32 recessive NSHL families1 family[41]Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDH23 </td <td>USH1C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	USH1C			
Caucasian16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families0%[42]CDH23America, Sweden, Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland38 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A5%5%[29]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, 919 probands5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyPakistan168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[45]Turkey250 NSHL subjects1 subject1 subject[45]	China	32 recessive NSHL families	1 family	[41]
CDH23America, Sweden, Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland38 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A5%[29]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.8%This studyPakistan168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[30]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]Turkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[45]Turkey250 NSHL subjects1 subject1 subject145	Caucasian	16 NSHL sib pairs + 2 NSHL families	0%	[42]
America, Sweden, Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland38 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A5%[29]Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.8%This studyTurkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]Turkey250 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1 subject[45]	CDH23			
Japan64 ARNSHL probands negative for Cx26, 919 probands from ARNSHL families5%, 5.4%[43], [44]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.8%This studyTMIE77168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1.7%[30]Turkey168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G6.6%[35]Turkey250 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G1 subject[45]	America, Sweden, Dutch, German, Spain, Pakistan, South Africa, France, Italy, Ireland	38 recessive NSHL families negative for Cx26 and MYO7A	5%	[29]
Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G3.3%[35]India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.8%This studyTMIE	Japan	64 ARNSHL probands negative for <i>Cx26</i> , 919 probands from ARNSHL families	5%, 5.4%	[43], [44]
India374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.8%This study <i>TMIE</i> (30)Pakistan168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx261.7%[30]Turkey49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i> , 1555A>G6.6%[35]Taiwan250 NSHL subjects1 subject[45]	Turkey	49 NSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , two <i>Cx30</i> genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i> , 1555A>G	3.3%	[35]
TMIE Pakistan 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26 1.7% [30] Turkey 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G 6.6% [35] Taiwan 250 NSHL subjects 1 subject [45] Iadia 274 ADNUL families negative for Cx26 10% This is a section for Cx26	India	374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26	1.8%	This study
Pakistan 168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26 1.7% [30] Turkey 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G 6.6% [35] Taiwan 250 NSHL subjects 1 subject [45] India 274 ADMCHL families negative for Cx26 1 cm	TMIE			
Turkey 49 NSHL families negative for Cx26, two Cx30 genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in MTRNR1, 1555A>G 6.6% [35] Taiwan 250 NSHL subjects 1 subject [45] India 274 ADMUL formilies acception for Cx26 1 cm/s	Pakistan	168 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26	1.7%	[30]
Taiwan 250 NSHL subjects 1 subject [45] Ladia 274 ADMULU (militing properties for Grade 1 cm/s This is a left	Turkey	49 NSHL families negative for <i>Cx26</i> , two <i>Cx30</i> genomic deletions and a mitochondrial mutation in <i>MTRNR1</i> , 1555A>G	6.6%	[35]
	Taiwan	250 NSHL subjects	1 subject	[45]
India 3/4 AKINSTIL TAMILIES NEGATIVE TOT CX26 1.0% This study	India	374 ARNSHL families negative for Cx26	1.6%	This study

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084773.t003

subcontinent have not been available previously for the genes examined in this study.

In TMPRSS3 three novel mutations, p.G243R, p.C386R and p.V116M, were detected. As mentioned earlier, Gly243 and Cys386 are located in the highly conserved catalytic serine protease domain of the protein. Glycine is a small amino acid, usually known to play a crucial role in protein structure. In p.G243R, the uncharged glycine is substituted by a large polar residue, arginine, which may affect protein-folding and, therefore, TMPRSS3 function. Six conserved cysteine residues, C242, C258, C370, C386, C397 and C425, present in the serine protease domain of TMPRSS3, are likely to form intra subunit disulfide bonds [17]. p.C386R is possibly altering the secondary structure of the serine protease domain of TMPRSS3 protein and affecting its function. In TMC1, nonsense mutation, p.R34X is known to occur at a high frequency in Pakistan and may be due to a founder effect: an SNP marker c.45C>T (rs2589615) was observed in all

the families with p.R34X from Pakistan [23]. Interestingly, in this study c.45C>T was also observed in the family in which p.R34X was found. The age of this mutation has been estimated to be between 1075 and 1900 years [24]. In TMC1, two mutations, c.237-6T>G and c.453+2T>C, are likely to affect splicing. p.I210del in TMC1 occurs in the first transmembrane domain. Deletion of isoleucine in the conserved region of the first transmembrane domain might affect its topology. A highly conserved uncharged glycine is mutated to an acidic amino acid glutamic acid in the extracellular region of TMC1 in p.G267E, which is likely to affect protein structure. Two intronic mutations, c.1566+1G>A and c.453+2T>C, in TMC1 are proposed to affect splicing, leading to a frameshift and formation of a non-functional protein. In TMC1, c.237-6T>G, a transition of thiamine to guanine, 4 bases before the splice acceptor site in intron 7, is likely to affect the polypyrimidine tract. The polypyrimidine tract is one of the cis-acting elements in the splicing machinery that is recognized by several protein factors to form a functional spliceosome [25]. In CDH23, five out of the eight mutations reside in the calcium-binding EC domains. EC domains are thought to have a critical role in rigidification, linearization and dimerization of cadherin proteins.

A total of 123 variants were observed in this study, of which 10 are known deafness mutations, 23 are previously unreported mutations, and 90, apparently neutral variants. Further, functional validation of the variants identified in this study is likely to result in a better understanding of their pathogenic potential. Before sequencing transcript structures of the TMC1, TMPRSS3, CDH23 and USH1C genes, we examined concordance/discordance of the microsatellite markers tightly linked to the gene of interest. Those families which showed a clear discordance of the markers among affected siblings were excluded from further analysis of the gene. However, families which showed marker-concordance as well as the ones which were uninformative for the markers, were examined for mutations by sequencing all known exonic and flanking intronic regions of the genes. We may have missed a mutation due to an intragenic recombination event, genetic heterogeneity, or when the mutation is present in deep intronic regions or cis-regulatory regions of the gene. Large exonic deletions or in/dels as well as those second site mutations which occur in heterozygous carriers may have also gone undetected.

Among the sequence variants found in this study, eight were found to occur in a heterozygous condition. These were rare variants and were present at conserved locations. Five of these were in *USHIC*, two in *CDH23* and one in *TMC1* (Table 2). These changes seem potentially pathogenic. It is possible that individuals with these variants are hearing impaired due to another unknown mutation at these genes or another gene.

Mutational survey of *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, *USH1C*, *CDH23* and *TMIE* genes have been carried out in certain world populations. These data suggest that the contribution of these genes to ARNSHL is not the same in all population and varies from 0.5-

References

- 1. Morton NE (1991) Genetic epidemiology of hearing impairment. Ann N Y Acad Sci 630: 16–31.
- Yan D, Liu XZ (2008) Cochlear molecules and hereditary deafness. Front Biosci 13: 4972–4983.
- Scott HS, Kudoh J, Wattenhofer M, Shibuya K, Berry A, et al. (2001) Insertion of beta-satellite repeats identifies a transmembrane protease causing both congenital and childhood onset autosomal recessive deafness. Nat Genet 27: 59– 63.
- Kurima K, Peters LM, Yang Y, Riazuddin S, Ahmed ZM, et al. (2002) Dominant and recessive deafness caused by mutations of a novel gene, TMC1, required for cochlear hair-cell function. Nat Genet 30: 277–284.
- Verpy E, Leibovici M, Zwaenepoel I, Liu XZ, Gal A, et al. (2000) A defect in harmonin, a PDZ domain-containing protein expressed in the inner ear sensory hair cells, underlies Usher syndrome type 1C. Nat Genet 26: 51–55.
- Bolz H, von Brederlow B, Ramirez A, Bryda EC, Kutsche K, et al. (2001) Mutation of CDH23, encoding a new member of the cadherin gene family, causes Usher syndrome type 1D. Nat Genet 27: 108–112.
- Naz S, Giguere CM, Kohrman DC, Mitchem KL, Riazuddin S, et al. (2002) Mutations in a novel gene, TMIE, are associated with hearing loss linked to the DFNB6 locus. Am J Hum Genet 71: 632–636.
- Ramshankar M, Ganapathy A, Jalvi R, Srikumari Srisailapathy CR, Malhotra V, et al. (2008) Functional consequences of novel connexin 26 mutations associated with hereditary hearing loss. Eur J Hum Genet 10: 502–509.
- 9. Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawertz S, Misener S Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology, New Jersey: Humana Press pp. 365– 386.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignments through sequence weighting, position specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673–4680.

5% (Table 3). Our observations suggest that the overall contribution of *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, *USH1C*, *CDH23* and *TMIE* mutations for ARNSHL is low in India: 1.2% of the hearing impaired examined showed mutations in *TMPRSS3*, 1.6% in *TMC1*, 1.8% in *USH1C*, 1.8% in *CDH23* and 1.6% in *TMIE*. Unlike mutations in the *Cx26* gene, which are the most common cause of hereditary impairment in India, the contribution of mutations in these five genes is rather small. The spectra of alleles in the *TMPRSS3*, *TMC1*, *USH1C* and *CDH23* genes in Indian populations seem to be quite different from those observed for other world populations; among the 33 mutations. These studies have implications for early detection of hearing loss, genetic counseling, and for implementation of suitable early intervention strategies.

Supporting Information

Table S1 New benign gene variants observed in *TMC1*, *USH1C*, *CDH23* and *TMIE*. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

We thank members of all the families who participated in this study. We thank Karen Avraham (Tel Aviv University) for her contribution to the early stages of the project. We thank Sharat Chandra for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful discussions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AA. Performed the experiments: AG NP MV AC MS RS. Analyzed the data: AA AG NP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CRSS RJ VM SC AKA AR RRR. Wrote the paper: AA AG NP.

- Berezin C, Glaser F, Rosenberg Y, Paz I, Pupko T, et al. (2004) ConSeq: The Identification of Functionally and Structurally Important Residues in Protein Sequences. Bioinformatics 20: 1322–1324.
- Hebsgaard SM, Korning PG, Tolstrup N, Engelbrecht J, Rouze P, et al. (1994) Splice site prediction in Arabidopsis thaliana DNA by combining local and global sequence information. Nucleic Acids Res 24: 3439–3452.
- Ceroni A, Passerini A, Vullo A, Frasconi P (2001) DISULFIND: A Disulfide Bonding State and Cysteine Connectivity Prediction Server. Nucleic Acids Res 34: W177–W181.
- Ng PC, Henikoff S (2001) Predicting deleterious amino acid substitutions. Genome Res 11:863–874.
- Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, et al. (2010) A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods 7: 248–249.
- Herter S, Piper DE, Aaron W, Gabriele T, Cutler G, et al. (2005) Hepatocyte growth factor is a preferred in vitro substrate for human hepsin, a membraneanchored serine protease implicated in prostate and ovarian cancers. Biochem J 390: 125–136.
- Guipponi M, Antonarakis SE, Scott HS (2008) TMPRSS3, a type II transmembrane serine protease mutated in nonsyndromicautosomal recessive deafness. Front Biosc 13: 1557–1567.
- Nollet F, Kools P, van Roy F (2009) Phylogenetic analysis of the cadherin superfamily allows identification of six major subfamilies besides several solitary members. J Mol Biol 299: 551–572.
- Ahmed ZM, Smith TN, Riazuddin S, Makishima T, Ghosh M, et al. (2002) Nonsyndromic recessive deafness DFNB18 and Usher syndrome type IC are allelic mutations of USHIC. Hum Genet 110: 527–531.
- Weil D, Blanchard S, Kaplan J, Guilford P, Gibson F, et al. (1995) Defective myosin VIIA gene responsible for Usher syndrome type 1B. Nature. 374: 60–61.
- 22. Weil D, El-Amraoui A, Masmoudi S, Mustapha M, Kikkawa Y, et al. (2003) Usher syndrome type IG (USH1G) is caused by mutations in the gene encoding SANS, a protein that associates with the USH1C protein, harmonin. Hum Mol Genet. 12: 463–471.

- Kitajiri S, McNamara R, Makishima T, Husnain T, Zafar AU, et al. (2007) Identities, frequencies and origins of TMC1 mutations causing DFNB7/ B11deafness in Pakistan. Clin Genet 72: 546–550.
- Ben Saïd M, Hmani-Aifa M, Amar I, Baig SM, Mustapha M, et al. (2010) High frequency of the p.R34X mutation in the TMC1 gene associated with nonsyndromic hearing loss is due to founder effects. Genet. Test Mol Biomarkers. 14: 307–300.
- Wagner EJ, Garcia-Blanco MA (2001) Polypyrimidine tract binding protein antagonizes exon definition. Mol Cell Biol 21: 3281–3288.
- Santos RL, Wajid M, Khan MN, McArthur N, Pham TL, et al. (2005) Novel sequence variants in the TMC1 gene in Pakistani families with autosomal recessive hearing impairment. Hum Mutat 26: 396–404.
- Sirmaci A, Duman D, Oztürkmen-Akay H, Erbek S, Incesulu A, et al. (2009) Mutations in TMC1 contribute significantly to nonsyndromic autosomal recessive sensorineural hearing loss: a report of five novel mutations. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 73: 699–705.
- Bork JM, Peters LM, Riazuddin S, Bernstein SL, Ahmed ZM, et al. (2001) Usher syndrome 1D and nonsyndromic autosomal recessive deafness DFNB12 are caused by allelic mutations of the novel cadherin-like gene CDH23. Am J Hum Genet 68: 26–37.
- Astuto LM, Bork JM, Weston MD, Askew JW, Fields RR, et al. (2002) CDH23 mutation and phenotype heterogeneity: a profile of 107 diverse families with Usher syndrome and nonsyndromic deafness. Am J Hum Genet 71: 262–275.
- Santos RL, El-Shanti H, Sikandar S, Lee K, Bhatti A, et al. (2006) Novel sequence variants in the TMIE gene in families with autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing impairment. J Mol Med 84: 226–231.
- Wattenhofer M, Di Iorio MV, Rabionet R, Dougherty L, Pampanos A, et al. (2002) Mutations in the TMPRSS3 gene are a rare cause of childhood nonsyndromic deafness in Caucasian patients. J Mol Med 80: 124–131.
- Ben-Yosef T, Wattenhofer M, Riazuddin S, Ahmed ZM, Scott HS, et al. (2001) Novel mutations of TMPRSS3 in four DFNB8/B10 families segregating congenital autosomal recessive deafness. J Med Genet 38: 396–400.
- 33. Ahmed ZM, Li XC, Powell SD, Riazuddin S, Young TL, et al. (2004) Characterization of a new full length TMPRSS3 isoform and identification of mutant alleles responsible for nonsyndromic recessive deafness in Newfoundland and Pakistan. BMC Med Genet 5: 24.

- Lee K, Khan S, Islam A, Ansar M, Andrade PB, et al. (2012) Novel TMPRSS3 variants in Pakistani families with autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing impairment. Clin Genet 82: 56–63.
- Duman D, Sirmaci A, Cengiz FB, Ozdag H, Tekin M (2011) Screening of 38 genes identifies mutations in 62% of families with nonsyndromic deafness in Turkey Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 15: 29–33.
- Wattenhofer M, Sahin-Calapoglu N, Andreasen D, Kalay E, Caylan R, et al. (2005) A novel TMPRSS3 missense mutation in a DFNB8/10 family prevents proteolytic activation of the protein. Hum Genet 117: 528–535.
- Masmoudi S, Antonarakis SE, Schwede T, Ghorbel AM, Gratri M, et al. (2001) Novel missense mutations of TMPRSS3 in two consanguineous Tunisian families with nonsyndromic autosomal recessive deafness. Hum Mutat 18: 101– 108.
- Lee JW, Baek JI, Choi JY, Kim UK, Lee SH, et al. (2013) Genetic analysis of TMPRSS3 gene in the Korean population with autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss. Gene pii: S0378–1119.
- Kalay E, Karaguzel A, Caylan R, Heister A, Cremers FP, et al. (2005) Four novel TMC1 (DFNB7/DFNB11) mutations in Turkish patients with congenital autosomal recessive nonsyndromic hearing loss. Hum Mutat 26: 591–598.
- 40. Davoudi-Dehaghani E, Zeinali S, Mahdieh N, Shirkavand A, Bagherian H, et al. (2013) A transversion mutation in non-coding exon 3 of the TMC1 gene in two ethnically related Iranian deaf families from different geographical regions; evidence for founder effect. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 77: 821–826.
- Ouyang XM, Xia XJ, Verpy E, Du LL, Pandya A, et al. (2002) Mutations in the alternatively spliced exons of USH1C cause non-syndromic recessive deafness. Hum Genet 111: 26–30.
- Blaydon DC, Mueller RF, Hutchin TP, Leroy BP, Bhattacharya SS, et al. (2003) The contribution of USH1C mutations to syndromic and non-syndromic deafness in the UK. Clin Genet 63: 303–307.
- Wagatsuma M, Kitoh R, Suzuki H, Fukuoka H, Takumi Y, et al. (2007) Distribution and frequencies of CDH23 mutations in Japanese patients with nonsyndromic hearing loss. Clin Genet 72: 339–344.
- Miyagawa M, Nishio SY, Usami S (2012) Prevalence and clinical features of hearing loss patients with CDH23 mutations: a large cohort study. PLoS One 7: e40366.
- Yang JJ, Su MC, Chien KH, Hsin CH, Li SY (2010) Identification of novel variants in the TMIE gene of patients with nonsyndromic hearing loss. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 74: 489–493.