

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Cell. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 August 11.

Published in final edited form as:

Cell. 2016 August 11; 166(4): 907-919. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.004.

GPCR-G Protein-β-Arrestin Super-Complex Mediates Sustained **G** Protein Signaling

Alex R.B. Thomsen^{1,8}, Bianca Plouffe^{2,8}, Thomas J. Cahill III^{1,3,8}, Arun K. Shukla^{1,9}, Jeffrey T. Tarrasch⁴, Annie M. Dosey⁴, Alem W. Kahsai¹, Ryan T. Strachan¹, Biswaranjan Pani¹, Jacob P. Mahoney⁵, Liyin Huang¹, Billy Breton^{2,10}, Franziska M. Heydenreich^{2,11}, Roger K. Sunahara^{5,6}, Georgios Skiniotis⁴, Michel Bouvier^{2,*}, and Robert J. Lefkowitz^{1,3,7,*}

¹Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

²Department of Biochemistry and Institute for Research in Immunology and Cancer, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC H3C 3J7, Canada

³Department of Biochemistry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

⁴Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

⁵Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

⁶Department of Pharmacology, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

⁷Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

SUMMARY

Classically, G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) stimulation promotes G protein signaling at the plasma membrane, followed by rapid β -arrestin-mediated desensitization and receptor internalization into endosomes. However, it has been demonstrated that some GPCRs activate G proteins from within internalized cellular compartments, resulting in sustained signaling. We have used a variety of biochemical, biophysical, and cell-based methods to demonstrate the existence, functionality, and architecture of internalized receptor complexes composed of a single GPCR, β arrestin, and G protein. These super-complexes or "megaplexes" more readily form at receptors that interact strongly with β -arrestins via a C-terminal tail containing clusters of serine/threonine phosphorylation sites. Single-particle electron microscopy analysis of negative-stained purified megaplexes reveals that a single receptor simultaneously binds through its core region with G

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

^{*}Correspondence: michel.bouvier@umontreal.ca (M.B.), lefko001@receptor-biol.duke.edu (R.J.L.). *Co-first author

⁹Present address: Department of Biological Sciences and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India ¹⁰Present address: Domain Therapeutics NA, Montreal, QC H4S 1Z9, Canada

¹¹Present address: Laboratory of Biomolecular Research, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., G.S., M.B., and R.J.L.; Investigation, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., A.K.S., J.T.T., A.M.D., A.W.K., R.T.S., B. Pani, and F.M.H.; Resources, A.R.B.T., A.K.S., R.T.S., J.P.M., L.-Y.H., and B.B.; Writing - Original Draft, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., G.S., M.B., and R.J.L.; Writing - Review and Editing, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., A.K.S., R.T.S., J.P.M., R.K.S., G.S., M.B., and R.J.L.; Visualization, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., J.T.T., and G.S.; Funding Acquisition, A.R.B.T., B. Plouffe, T.J.C., J.P.M., R.K.S., G.S., M.B., and R.J.L.; Supervision, R.K.S., G.S., M.B., and R.J.L.

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and seven figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.004.

protein and through its phosphorylated C-terminal tail with β -arrestin. The formation of such megaplexes provides a potential physical basis for the newly appreciated sustained G protein signaling from internalized GPCRs.

In Brief

Megaplexes containing a GPCR simultaneously engaged with a G protein and β -arrestin sustain G protein signaling following internalization into endosomes.



INTRODUCTION

G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling ensues when an agonist binds to and stabilizes an active receptor conformation. This agonist bound GPCR, acting through its transmembrane core, promotes interaction with heterotrimeric G proteins ($G\alpha\beta\gamma$), thus stimulating guanine nucleotide exchange and separation of the Ga subunit from the G $\beta\gamma$ subunits (Gilman, 1987). G protein subunits then interact with a variety of effectors, such as enzymes and ion channels, to initiate downstream responses (Gilman, 1987; Pierce et al., 2002).

To terminate G protein signaling, cells have devised a specialized desensitization mechanism that includes phosphorylation of receptors by GPCR kinases (GRKs) and subsequent recruitment of β -arrestins (β arrs) to the phosphorylated receptor (Moore et al., 2007). β arrs engage both the phosphorylated C-tail and the transmembrane core of the receptor. The latter interaction overlaps with the G protein-binding site and thus sterically hinders further G protein activation (Kang et al., 2015; Shukla et al., 2014; Szczepek et al., 2014). Additionally, β arr binding initiates receptor internalization by interaction with the endocytic machinery (i.e., clathrin, adaptin-2, etc.) (Goodman et al., 1996; Laporte et al., 1999). Depending on the strength of the GPCR- β arr interaction, the receptor may either undergo transient internalization, followed by recycling to the plasma membrane for weak interactions (class A GPCRs), or sustained internalization into endosomes for stronger

interactions (class B GPCRs) (Oakley et al., 1999, 2000). Furthermore, β arrs themselve serve as an alternative signaling system by acting as adaptors and scaffolds to interact with numerous signaling molecules (Pierce et al., 2002).

Thus, our current understanding features G protein signaling originating at the cell surface, followed by rapid β arr-mediated quenching of G protein signaling, both by competition with G proteins for receptor interaction and by internalization of the receptors. However, recent findings have begun to challenge these paradigms. A number of GPCRs have been reported to engage in sustained G protein signaling, rather than being desensitized after initial agonist stimulation (Calebiro et al., 2009; Feinstein et al., 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Irannejad et al., 2013; Mullershausen et al., 2009). Interestingly, this newly appreciated sustained phase of G protein activation appears to be mediated by internalized receptors in endosomes, where they modulate effectors, such as adenylyl cyclase (Calebiro et al., 2009; Feinstein et al., 2011, 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Irannejad et al., 2011, 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Irannejad et al., 2011, 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Irannejad et al., 2013; Mullershausen et al., 2009). These findings cannot be accommodated within the traditional model of GPCR signaling systems since prolonged residence of a GPCR in endosomes requires a persistent "class B" interaction of β arr with the receptors, which should prevent G protein activation (Feinstein et al., 2011, 2013; Webbi et al., 2013).

Recent X-ray crystallographic findings of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor (β_2AR) in complex with Gs have indicated that the receptor forms a highly engaged complex with Gs that involves interactions of both the N-terminal and C-terminal domains of the Gas subunit with intracellular loop 2, transmembrane domain 5 (TM5), and TM6 of the β_2AR (Rasmussen et al., 2011). A negative-stain electron microscopy (EM) study of GPCR- β arr complexes using a β_2V_2R receptor chimera (β_2AR where the C-terminal tail was exchanged for the vasopressin type 2 receptor [V₂R] C-terminal) revealed that β arr assumes two different conformations (Shukla et al., 2014); one in which the β arr is bound only to the phosphorylated receptor C-terminal tail and appears to hang from the receptor ("tail" conformation) and a second more fully engaged conformation, in which, in addition to the tail interaction, a flexible loop in β arr, termed the fingerloop, inserts into the transmembrane core of the receptor ("core" conformation). An arrangement similar to this "core" conformation was recently described in a crystal structure of a rhodopsin-visual arrestin complex (Kang et al., 2015).

The observation of the "tail" conformation of the GPCR-βarr complex, in which the entire receptor cytoplasmic surface encompassing intracellular loops 1, 2, and 3 is exposed, raises the question of whether it might be possible for both Gs and βarr to simultaneously interact with the receptor and thus provide a molecular basis for sustained G protein signaling by GPCRs from endosomes. Accordingly, here we set out to test this hypothesis by using a variety of cellular, biochemical, and biophysical approaches.

RESULTS

Real-Time Cyclic AMP Kinetic Studies of Class A and Class B GPCRs

Sustained G protein signaling by internalized GPCRs has been demonstrated for a number of receptors. Interestingly, this feature has been more commonly observed in class B GPCRs,

including the parathyroid hormone type 1 receptor (PTHR) (Ferrandon et al., 2009), V_2R (Feinstein et al., 2013), and thyroid-stimulating hormone receptor (TSHR) (Calebiro et al., 2009). These receptors form more stable complexes with β arr compared to class A GPCRs, which only transiently interact with β arr (Oakley et al., 2000). Given that class B receptors bind β arr more tightly, and given the well-characterized role of β arr in desensitizing GPCRs, it seems paradoxical that class B receptors, rather than class A receptors, promote sustained G protein signaling.

To directly assess the relative propensity of class A and B GPCRs to promote sustained signaling, we monitored agonist-stimulated Gs signaling, measured here as cyclic AMP (cAMP) accumulation, in HEK293 cells stably expressing ICUE2, a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) biosensor detecting cytoplasmic cAMP (Violin et al., 2008). This ICUE2 biosensor measures cAMP concentration in real-time and thus represents an equilibrium between production and degradation of cAMP. These cells were transiently transfected with the β_2AR as a prototypical class A GPCR or the V_2R as a prototypical class B GPCR. In addition, we used a modified version of the β_2AR , the β_2V_2R , in which the β_2AR c-terminal tail has been exchanged with the V_2R C-terminal tail. The β_2V_2R maintains the pharmacological properties of the β_2AR , but has significantly higher affinity for β_{arr} than for β_2AR , and this increase in affinity manifests predominantly as a change in the receptor internalization pattern from class A to B (Oakley et al., 1999, 2000). In addition, we have successfully purified stable and functional GPCR- β_{arr} complexes using the β_2V_2R . Therefore, the β_2V_2R was used to study both the cellular and biophysical basis for sustained G protein signaling by internalized class B GPCR- β_{arr} complexes.

Within the first 5 min of agonist challenge β_2AR , β_2V_2R , and V_2R all stimulated cAMP production to a similar extent. Beyond 5 min, the cAMP responses were attenuated to different levels among these receptors and most prominently for the wild-type β_2AR (Figure 1A). In addition, the agonist-stimulated cAMP response was diminished slightly more at the β_2V_2R compared to the V_2R (Figure 1A). These results suggest that class B GPCRs promote sustained G protein signaling to a greater degree than does a prototypical class A GPCR.

To investigate whether sustained G protein signaling of class B GPCRs arises from internalized compartments β_2AR , β_2V_2R , or V_2R -expressing cells were agonist-stimulated for 10 min to allow for internalization to occur. Then Gs signaling arising from only the cell membrane was inhibited by the addition of 10 µM of a membrane-impermeable antagonist (CGP-12177 for β_2AR and β_2V_2R , or (d(CH₂)₅¹,D-Tyr(Et)²,Val⁴,Arg⁸,des-Gly⁹)-Vasopressin (H-3192) for V₂R; Figure 1B) (Jard et al., 1986; Staehelin et al., 1983). To inhibit Gs signaling arising from both the cell membrane and the internalized compartments, 10 µM of a cell-permeable antagonist (ICI-118551 for β_2AR and β_2V_2R or SR121463 for V₂R; Figure 1B) was used (Morello et al., 2000; O'Donnell and Wanstall, 1980).

Under these conditions, for the $\beta_2 AR$, both antagonists quickly inhibited almost all Gs signaling (Figure 1C). However, for the $\beta_2 V_2 R$, only ICI-118551 fully blocked Gs signaling, whereas CGP-12177 only partially inhibited it (Figure 1C). These results indicate that a significant fraction of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ -stimulated cAMP originates from internalized compartments. In a similar fashion, the V₂R-stimulated cAMP response was only partially

antagonized when exposed to the cell-membrane-impermeable antagonist, H-3192, but fully antagonized following the addition of the cell-membrane-permeable antagonist, SR121463 (Figure 1C). Therefore, V₂R-mediated Gs signaling at 10 min post-agonist-stimulation is, in part, due to internalized receptors. These results demonstrate that both the β_2 V₂R and V₂R stimulate Gs signaling from internalized compartments, whereas the β_2 AR does not seem to exhibit such behavior using this method.

Monitoring Heterotrimeric Gs Activation at Internalized Compartments by Class A and Class B GPCRs Using Bioluminescence Resonance Energy Transfer Biosensors

To confirm that the receptor-stimulated cAMP response from internalized compartments results from Gs activation, and not from other signaling cascades, we directly monitored agonist-stimulated heterotrimeric Gs activation by bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET), which detects the proximity between two proteins within a 10 nm range (Marullo and Bouvier, 2007). Following Gs activation, the Gas subunit separates from the G $\beta\gamma$ subunits. This separation was detected following agonist challenge of β_2 AR, β_2 V₂R, or V₂R-expressing cells as a decrease in BRET between the functionally validated BRET pair RlucII-117-Gas and GFP10-G γ 1 (Figures 2A, 2B, and S1). Such BRET-based assays have been developed as sensors of G protein activation (Galés et al., 2006).

To specifically monitor Gs activation/separation at internalized compartments, we developed an agonist washout protocol. In short, agonists were allowed to stimulate receptors for varying time intervals (0.5 to 14 min), followed by a washout of the agonists. Next, the cells were incubated for 20 min in agonist-free media, and responses were recorded (Figures 1B and 2C). Since washout only removes agonist from the extracellular environment, but not from the intracellular environment, which contains internalized receptors, the receptors insensitive to agonist washout must be activating the Gs from within internalized compartments (Figure 1B).

As shown in Figure 2C, the agonist washout protocol blunted Gs activation in β_2AR , β_2V_2R , and V_2R -expressing cells. However, by increasing the duration of stimulation prior to agonist washout, and thereby allowing more receptors to internalize, a substantially diminished signal reduction by agonist washout was observed (Figure 2C). This dampening in signal reduction was most prominent, and statistically significant, for β_2V_2R and V_2R , which still maintained 54% and 64% of their Gs activity, respectively, when cells were prestimulated for 14 min followed by agonist washout, as compared to the unwashed control conditions (Figure 2C). For the β_2AR , increasing the duration of stimulation prior to agonist washout did not result in a statistically significant difference in remaining Gs activity when compared to 0.5 min pulse stimulation (Figure 2C). In this setup, the class B GPCRs, β_2V_2R and V_2R , and V_2R , seem to activate heterotrimeric Gs from internalized compartments, whereas the class A GPCR, β_2AR , does not to any significant degree.

Co-localization of GPCR, βarr, and Gas in Endosomes by Confocal Microscopy

Receptor internalization from the plasma membrane into endosomes is driven by formation of a GPCR-βarr complex. Therefore, to investigate whether G protein may interact with GPCR-βarr complexes in endosomes, we began by tracking the cellular localization of

functionally validated N-terminal SNAP-tagged GPCRs (SNAP- β_2 AR, SNAP- β_2 V₂R, or SNAP-V₂R) pre-labeled with SNAP-Surface 649 fluorescence substrate, mStrawberry- β_{arr2} , and mEmerald-67-Gas expressed in HEK293 cells following agonist treatment using confocal microscopy (Figure S1).

Prior to agonist stimulation, SNAP-tagged receptors (β_2AR , β_2V_2R , or V_2R) and mEmerald-67-Gas were predominantly located at the plasma membrane, whereas mStrawberry- β arr2 was evenly distributed throughout the cytoplasm (Figures 3A, S2, and S3A). Following agonist stimulation, mEmerald-67-Gas rapidly translocates from the plasma membrane to the cytoplasm, and after 5 min of receptor stimulation mEmerald-67-Gas was predominantly distributed within the cytoplasm (Figures 3A, S2, and S3A). In contrast, following agonist stimulation, mStrawberry-Barr2 was recruited from the cytoplasm to the activated SNAP-tagged receptors (5 min post-stimulation) at the plasma membrane followed by GPCR-Barr complex internalization into endosomes (>20 min poststimulation) (Figures 3A, S2, and S3A). At longer agonist exposure times (>20 min poststimulation), increased mEmerald-67-Gas intensity can be visualized in $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β_{arr2} and V_2 R- β arr2 containing endosomes (Figures 3 and S3), but this was not observed for the β_2 AR, which is likely because it only forms transient complexes with β_{arr2} (Figure S2). Line-scan analysis of all three fluorophores within these endosomes demonstrates colocalization of $\beta_2 V_2 R/V_2 R$, β_{arr2} , and $G\alpha_s$, supporting the hypothesis that "megaplexes" of class B GPCRs, βarr, and heterotrimeric Gs form in endosomes (Figures 3B, 3C, S2B, and S3C).

Agonist-Stimulated Interaction between βarrs and Gs Subunits

To confirm close molecular proximity between different megaplex components following receptor stimulation, we utilized BRET. If megaplexes form, G protein and βarr will simultaneously interact with a single active receptor, and thus, measurement of BRET between functionally validated Gs subunits (Gas or G γ 2) and β arr1/2 following agonist stimulation can serve to detect these complexes (Figures 4A and S1). In BRET titration experiments, agonist-stimulation for 20 min of either $\beta_2 V_2 R$ or $V_2 R$ increased the BRET signal between RlucII-67-Gas and GFP10-Barr1/2 (Figures 4B and 4D). A significant agonist-promoted BRET increase between RlucII-G γ 2 and GFP10- β arr1/2 was also detected, indicating that the agonist-promoted recruitment of $\beta arr1/2$ to the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ or $V_2 R$ brings $\beta arr1/2$ into close proximity to both the Gas and G $\beta\gamma$ subunits. In contrast, no change in the BRET signal was detected between GFP10-βarr1/2 and either RlucII-67-Gas or RlucII-G γ 2 following ISO treatment of β_2 AR-transfected HEK293 cells (Figures 4B and 4D). Interestingly, in BRET kinetic experiments, which are slightly more sensitive than titration experiments, a weak ISO-promoted BRET signal was observed between GFP10- $\beta arr 1/2$ and RlucII-67-Gas but not RlucII-G $\gamma 2$ in cells expressing $\beta_2 AR$. (Figures 4C and 4E). In BRET kinetic experiments, for both $\beta_2 V_2 R$ and $V_2 R$, the agonist-mediated signals between $\beta arr1/2$ and both RlucII-67-Gas and RlucII-Gy2 were pronounced and rapidly increased until plateauing ~10 min after agonist treatment.

In the resting state heterotrimeric Gs is initially located at the cell membrane and β arr is dispersed within the cytoplasm, thus, we tested whether the BRET signals detected

following agonist stimulation could have arisen from random collisions between the plasma membrane-translocated β arr and any membrane proteins. To control for this possibility, BRET was measured between the membrane protein RlucII-CD8 and GFP10- β arr1/2 following agonist-stimulation of β_2AR , β_2V_2R or V_2R (Figure 4A). In this setup, no BRET response was observed following agonist stimulation of any of the receptors indicating that the BRET detected between β arr and both Gas and G γ 2 reflects molecular proximity consistent with the formation of megaplexes (Figures 4B–4E). Taken together, these experiments provide further evidence that megaplexes containing receptor, β arr and Gs form robustly at both the β_2V_2R and V_2R .

GPCR-βarr Fusion Proteins Activate Heterotrimeric G Protein following Agonist Stimulation

The BRET and confocal data shown thus far support the existence of megaplexes and suggest that these complexes occur more readily for the class B GPCRs, $\beta_2 V_2 R$ and $V_2 R$, and minimally for the class A $\beta_2 A R$. However, these results do not demonstrate whether GPCR- β arr complexes can directly activate G protein. To investigate whether GPCR- β arr complexes can interact with, and activate, G protein in a cellular environment we generated fusion proteins of GPCR- β arr and investigated their ability to activate Gs in HEK293 cells. We used the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ as our model class B GPCR to be consistent with the biophysical experiments in this study where we assessed the ability of a purified $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β arr1 complex to interact with, and activate, Gs (see below). To date, we have been unable to purify biochemically functional GPCR- β arr complexes using other class B GPCRs, such as the $V_2 R$. The $\beta_2 V_2 R$ has been rigorously characterized both herein and in previous studies; it displays similar biological properties to the $V_2 R$, as well as to other class B GPCRs, and has been routinely used as a robust model class B GPCR (Lee et al., 2016; Oakley et al., 1999, 2000; Tohgo et al., 2003).

Initially, we tested the functionality and stability of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta_{arr1/2}$ fusions. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of the FLAG-tagged fusion proteins, expressed in HEK293 cells, confirmed that the fusions were intact and not subjected to cellular cleavage (Figures S4A and S4B). When compared with $\beta_2 V_2 R$, ISO-promoted displacement of ¹²⁵I-cyanopidolol (CYP) binding for both $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta_{arr1/2}$ fusions revealed a biphasic curve reflecting a higher affinity state for agonist (Figure 5A): $\beta_2 V_2 R - \beta_{arr1}$ dissociation constant $logKi_{Hi} = -7.11$ \pm 0.03 (41%) and log \textit{Ki}_{Lo} = 6.01 \pm 0.03 (59%); and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ – $\beta arr2$ dissociation constant $\log Ki_{Hi} = -8.29 \pm 0.03$ (49%) and $\log Ki_{Lo} = -6.47 \pm 0.03$ (51%). These results are characteristic of the allosteric effect of βarr on receptor binding properties, as previously reported (Gurevich et al., 1997), and confirm the functional interaction between the two moieties of the fusions. Fusion to Barr had no effect on the affinity of the antagonist ICI-118551 (Figure 5A). When expressed in HEK293 cells both fusions constitutively internalize resulting in a relatively low amount of $\beta_2 V_2 R - \beta_{arr1/2}$ fusions being present at the cell membrane (Figure 5B). This internalization pattern further shows that β arr, as a fusion partner, retains its functionality to promote ßarr-mediated receptor endocytosis. Furthermore, when stimulated with ISO, the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1/2$ fusions promote ERK1/2 phosphorylation at 10 min post-stimulation (Figures 5C and S4C). These results indicate that

both individual proteins, of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr 1/2$ fusions, are functional when expressed in cells.

To test whether $\beta_2 V_2 R$, as part of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β arr fusions, retains its ability to activate Gs, changes in BRET were measured between RlucII-117-Gas and GFP10-G γ 1 in response to ISO stimulation as compared to vehicle treatment. As shown in Figure 5D, ISO stimulation of $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β arr1/2 induced a decreased BRET between RlucII-117-Gas and GFP10-G γ 1, reflective of the Gs subunits separation and activation, although to a lesser extent than non-fused $\beta_2 V_2 R$. These results demonstrate that both $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β arr1/2 fusions can activate Gs to some degree, following agonist-mediated receptor stimulation, despite their constant coupling to functional β arr1/2.

To further confirm the ability of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1/2$ fusions to activate Gs, real-time kinetic studies of ISO-stimulated cAMP production were undertaken. Both $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1/2$ fusions were able to initiate Gs signaling (Figure 5E), providing further support that G proteins are capable of being activated by GPCR- βarr complexes.

In Vitro Formation and Isolation of Megaplexes

To further investigate whether GPCRs can form megaplexes by simultaneously interacting with β arr and heterotrimeric G protein, we attempted to form such megaplexes in vitro and to then isolate them by co-immunoprecipitation (coIP). For this study, we used the FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ expression construct to form stable complexes with β arr1 in *st9* insect cells, as previously described (Shukla et al., 2014). Following stimulation with the high-affinity agonist, BI-167107 (BI), $\beta_2 V_2 R$ forms appreciable amounts of complex with β arr1 that can be purified by affinity purification and size-exclusion chromatography (SEC). To obtain highly stable $\beta_2 V_2 R$ – β arr1 complexes, that remain intact throughout the purification, we added the conformationally active antibody binder, Fab30, which binds to and stabilizes active β arr1 conformations (Shukla et al., 2013, 2014). We were unable to obtain monodispersed and functional V₂R– β arr1 complexes using this approach (data not shown).

To test whether this Fab30-stabilized BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - β_{arr1} complex (Fab30 complex) interacts with the heterotrimeric Gs, we added purified Gs in excess to the Fab30 complex and pulled-down the FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ using M1 anti-FLAG beads. As shown in Figure 6A, β_{arr1} and all three components of the heterotrimeric Gs (Gas, G β_1 , and G γ_2) were pulled-down together with FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ in a stoichiometric fashion. A similar result was observed when using protein A/G agarose beads, which bind Fab30, to pull-down the individual components of the megaplex, confirming that it forms in vitro (Figure 6A).

Megaplex In Vitro Functionality

To test the functionality of the receptor in the megaplex we first investigated whether binding of the heterotrimeric Gs to the Fab30 complex is agonist-dependent by FLAG-tag coIP (Figure 6C). The Fab30 complex was able to bind Gs to a similar extent as agonist BIbound $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (Figures 6C and S5A). In contrast, the antagonist carazolol (Cz)-bound $\beta_2 V_2 R$ had almost no ability to bind Gs indicating that the binding of the heterotrimeric Gs to $\beta_2 V_2 R$ in the Fab30 complex is dependent on an agonist-stabilized active receptor conformation (Figures 6C and S5A). We next investigated whether the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ maintains its guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) functionality with respect to the heterotrimeric Gs when residing in the megaplex. To assess GEF functionality, the megaplex was formed in the presence of either GDP or non-hydrolysable GTP γ S. An exchange of GDP to GTP γ S in the heterotrimeric Gs causes activation of the Gas subunit and separation from the G $\beta\gamma$ subunits (Figure 6B). This separation event was followed by a FLAG-tag coIP. The addition of GDP caused a small decrease in Gs binding to the BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ and Fab30 complex (Figures 6D and S5B). However, the addition of GTP γ S led to a nearly complete separation of the Gas subunit from both the BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ and Fab30 complex (Figures 6D and S5B). This dramatic effect indicates that the receptor retains its GEF functionality while residing in the megaplex and can promote G protein activation and separation. Interestingly, unlike the Gas subunit, the G $\beta\gamma$ subunits remained in complex with both the Fab30 complex and BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ after GTP γ S treatment (Figure S5B).

Once activated by the receptor, the Gas subunits display intrinsic GTPase activity. To further characterize the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ functionality in the megaplex, modulation of Gs, measured as GTPase activity by the Fab30 complex, was investigated. As shown in Figure 6E, the Fab30 complex does indeed positively modulate the GTPase activity of the Gs, and to a similar level as the BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ control.

These in vitro experiments clearly show that the receptor, in the megaplex, retains its full capability to both interact with and to activate heterotrimeric Gs.

Structural Studies of the Megaplex Using Electron Microscopy

To investigate the architecture of the megaplex, we formed complexes on a preparative scale and isolated them by SEC (Figures S6A and S6B). To increase the overall stability and homogeneity of the megaplex preparation, we employed two strategies, which were previously utilized to form stable β_2 AR–Gs complexes (Rasmussen et al., 2011): (1) we removed GDP from the heterotrimeric Gs by addition of apyrase; and (2) we stabilized the nucleotide-free transition state of the Gs, which is known to interact strongly with the β_2 AR transmembrane core region by adding the conformationally active nanobody binder, Nb35. These two strategies resulted in a stable and monodisperse preparation of megaplexes as assessed by SEC and conventional negative-stain EM (Figures 7 and S6) (Peisley and Skiniotis, 2015). The β_2 V₂R construct used for our in vitro studies was engineered to contain an N-terminal T4-lysozyme fusion, (T4L) β_2 V₂R, which can be used as a marker for receptor orientation in EM studies (Shukla et al., 2014; Westfield et al., 2011).

To visualize the structure of the in vitro reconstituted megaplex we applied classification and averaging of the EM particle projections (Figure S6C). The class averages revealed a complex architecture with distinct features that allow domain assignment, with the T4L marking the receptor extracellular face and the heterotrimeric Gs bound diametrically opposite at the intracellular side of $\beta_2 V_2 R$, in a configuration that appears identical to the previously characterized (T4L) $\beta_2 AR$ –Gs (Figures 7B and 7C) (Westfield et al., 2011). Additional density attributed to β_{arr1} -Fab30 is observed on the side of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ –Gs complex. This configuration of β_{arr1} , which interacts with the phosphorylated $\beta_2 V_2 R$ C-terminal tail, is reminiscent of the 'tail' conformation of the (T4L) $\beta_2 V_2 R$ – β_{arr1} -Fab30

complex that we previously reported by EM (Shukla et al., 2014). In fact, overlaying the averages of the (T4L) β_2 AR–Gs complex and the 'tail' configuration of the (T4L) β_2 V₂R– Barr1-Fab30 complex (using receptor and T4L densities as the common features) results in a projection that appears almost identical to the one of the megaplex (Figures 7B and 7C). In this super-complex, $\beta arr1$ is positioned adjacent to the G $\beta\gamma$ subunits and several class averages indicate a direct interface between $G\beta\gamma$ and $\beta arr1$ (Figure S6C). This possible $G\beta\gamma$ - β arr1 interaction was further explored by glutathione sepharose (GST) pull-down assays, whereby an interaction between the GST-βarr1, in complex with Fab30 and the phosphorylated V₂R C-terminal peptide (V₂Rpp), and heterotrimeric Gs was observed (Figure S7). Interestingly, when the $G\beta\gamma$ subunits were separated from the Gas subunit by addition of non-hydrolysable GTP surrogate, GDP·AlF₄⁻, GBy binds GST-Barr1 more prominently whereas Gas subunit association with GST-Barr1 diminishes (Figures S7B and S7C). These results suggest that a direct interaction occurs between βarr1 and Gβy subunits. Consistent with this finding, we further demonstrated that expression of the $G\beta\gamma$ scavenger, T8- β ARKct, significantly reduced the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ -stimulated BRET response between RlucII-Gγ2 and GFP10-βarr1 in HEK293 cells (Figure S7D).

DISCUSSION

Sustained G protein signaling by internalized GPCRs has been difficult to incorporate within the classical understanding of GPCR signaling since receptor internalization is thought to be driven by the formation of GPCR-βarr complexes and because βarr plays a fundamental role in the desensitization of GPCR-mediated G protein signaling. Thus, we found it surprising that class B GPCRs, including PTHR, V₂R, and TSHR, which are known to interact tightly with β arr, have been shown to promote sustained G protein signaling from internalized compartments (Calebiro et al., 2009; Feinstein et al., 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Wehbi et al., 2013). In the current study we directly demonstrate that by exchanging the C-terminal tail of the $\beta_2 AR$ with the V₂R C-terminal tail ($\beta_2 V_2 R$), the receptor internalization pattern changes from class A to B and that the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ chimera displays behavior similar to the $V_2 R$ (Figures 3A and S2) (Oakley et al., 1999, 2000). Interestingly, as observed with the V₂R, this modification significantly enhances the ability of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$, relative to $\beta_2 A R$, to promote sustained G protein activation and signaling from internalized compartments (Figures 1 and 2). Previous findings suggest a role for β arr in sustained G protein signaling by the PTHR and V₂R. Co-expression of a constitutively active version of βarr1 enhances sustained G protein signaling at the PTHR and V_2R , and such signaling was diminished by small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown of both βarr1/2 (Feinstein et al., 2011, 2013; Webbi et al., 2013). In contrast, for the class A GPCR, β_2 AR, desensitization of Gs signaling is enhanced by a constitutively active $\beta arr1$, but diminished by siRNA knockdown of $\beta arr1/2$ (Violin et al., 2008; Wehbi et al., 2013).

How is β arr involved in receptor-mediated G protein activation at internalized GPCRs? Several studies with the PTHR, V₂R, TSHR, δ opioid, and CCR1 (Audet et al., 2012; Calebiro et al., 2009; Feinstein et al., 2013; Ferrandon et al., 2009; Gilliland et al., 2013; Wehbi et al., 2013) indirectly support our megaplex hypothesis for GPCRs. In the present study, however, we provide direct evidence for formation of megaplexes; super-complexes in which the heterotrimeric Gs subunits come into close proximity with β arr1/2 following

stimulation of the $\beta_2 V_2 R$ or $V_2 R$ (Figure 4). This event seems to occur at internalized receptors (Figure 3). Furthermore, following agonist addition, $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1/2$ fusions retain the ability to activate Gs and promote signaling (Figures 5 and S4). We demonstrated in vitro that the receptor in a purified agonist-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1$ -Fab30 complex interacts strongly with heterotrimeric Gs through its transmembrane core, while it couples simultaneously with $\beta arr1$ through the receptor C-terminal tail (Figures 6 and 7). This megaplex architecture allows the receptor to promote GDP-GTP exchange and to activate Gs (Figures 6, S5, and S6) and thus explains how βarr can drive receptor internalization without interfering with G protein coupling to the receptor.

Why does β arr partake in sustained internalized G protein activation at class B GPCRs, while desensitizing it at others, such as the prototypical class A GPCR, β_2 AR? The current study suggests that a strong interaction between the GPCR C-terminal tail and ßarr is required to robustly form a megaplex (Figures 4, 6, and 7). To obtain a highly stable $\beta_2 V_2 R$ βarr1 complex, used for the aforementioned structural studies, this complex was engineered to have a strong interaction between the receptor C-terminal tail and ßarr1, which was accomplished by exchanging the $\beta_2 AR$ C-terminal tail with the V₂R C-terminal tail and stabilizing the active conformation of β arr1 with Fab30 (Shukla et al., 2014). β ₂V₂R and β arr1 only interact through the V₂R C-terminal tail region in the "tail" conformation, which is the arrangement that allows the receptor to interact with Gs. Thus, in order to form megaplexes, it might be a requirement for GPCRs to have a C-terminal tail that promotes a strong interaction with βarr following phosphorylation. Class B GPCRs have been reported to contain highly conserved serine/threonine phosphorylation site clusters in their C-terminal tails, which are critical for the formation of highly stable GPCR-Barr complexes (Oakley et al., 2001; Vilardaga et al., 2002). Therefore, a dependency on a strong C-terminal tail interaction, that promotes the "tail" conformation, could explain why class B GPCRs form megaplexes that lead to G protein signaling from internalized compartments. On the other hand, class A GPCRs lack serine/threonine clusters at their C-terminal tails and, thus, promote a transient interaction between the GPCR C-terminal tail and βarr (Oakley et al., 2001). Therefore, formation of class A GPCR-Barr complexes, might be dependent on the interaction between the receptor transmembrane core and β arr, which would explain why class A GPCRs only form megaplexes to a limited degree.

Overall, our results indicate that megaplexes of a single class B GPCR, β arr, and heterotrimeric G protein exist and may explain the recently appreciated phenomenon of sustained G protein signaling from endosomes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Real-Time Measurement of cAMP Production

HEK293-ICUE2 cell lines transiently transfected with GPCRs were imaged in the dark, on a 37°C temperature-controlled stage, and for the entire stimulation experiment by using a DeltaVision Deconvolution microscope (GE Healthcare) with a Coolsnap HQ2 CCD camera (Photometrics) controlled by SoftWoRx 6.1 (GE Healthcare). Dual-emission ratio imaging used a CFP/YFP dichroic mirror and 470 \pm 24 nm and 535 \pm 25 nm emission filters for CFP and YFP, respectively.

BRET Assay

Following agonist stimulation, transfected HEK293 cells were incubated at 37°C and luciferase substrate coelenterazine 400a was added 5 min prior to reading BRET in a Synergy Neo microplate reader (BioTek) equipped with an acceptor filter (515 ± 30 nm) and donor filter (410 ± 80 nm). The BRET signal was determined as the ratio of light emitted by GFP10-tagged biosensors (energy acceptors) and light emitted by RlucII-tagged biosensors (energy donors).

Confocal Microscopy

HEK293 cells transfected with fluorescence protein were fixed with ice-cold 6% formaldehyde diluted in DPBS prior to, or at different time points, during stimulation of the GPCRs. Confocal images were obtained on a Zeiss LSM510 laser-scanning microscope using multi-track sequential excitation (488, 568, and 633 nm) and emission (515–540, 585–615, and 650 nm) filter sets.

Co-immunoprecipitation of In Vitro Complexes

Fab30 complex, BI- $\beta_2 V_2 R$, or Cz- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ were mixed with Gs in a molar ratio of 1:1.5 in presence of control buffer, 100 nM BI, 100 nM Cz, 20 μ M GDP, or 20 μ M GTP γ S. Next, FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ was immobilized on M1 anti-FLAG agarose beads followed by extensive wash. Finally, FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ and associated proteins were eluted by elution buffer containing 1 mg/ml FLAG peptide.

Megaplex Preparation for Structural Studies

To form stable megaplexes, Fab30 complex was incubated with Gs and Nb35 in a molar ratio of 1:1.5:3 for 1 hr at room temperature. Megaplex was treated with 25 mU/ml of apyrase for 1 hr, and the $CaCl_2$ concentration was adjusted to 4 mM. Finally, the megaplex was purified on an SEC column (Superdex 200, 16/600, GE Healthcare).

Electron Microscopy

Megaplexes were prepared for EM using conventional uranyl formate negative staining. The negative-stained sample was imaged at room temperature with a Tecnai T12 electron microscope operated at 120 kV using low-dose procedures. Images were recorded at a magnification of 71,138× and a defocus value of ~1.5 μ m on a Gatan US4000 CCD camera. All images were binned (2 × 2 pixels) to obtain a pixel size of 4.16 Å on the specimen level.

See the Supplemental Experimental Procedures for a detailed description of all experimental procedures.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to L. Barak and B. Kobilka for generous gifts of plasmids encoding mStrawberry- β arr2 and Nb35, respectively. We thank C.-R. Liang, L.-L. Gu, J.-M. Shan, and X. Chen for synthesizing BI-167107. We thank S.

Johnson, C. Le Gouill, A. Laperrière, M. Walters, M. DeLong, M. Plue, T. Milledge, D. Capel, X. Jiang, R. Irannejad, and M. von Zastrow for support and discussion. This work received supported from the Danish Council for Independent Research & Lundbeck Foundation (to A.R.B.T.), NIH grants (F30HL129803 to T.J.C.; RO1GM083118 to R.K.S.; T32GM007767 to J.P.M.; DK090165 to G.S.; and HL16037 to R.J.L.); CIHR grants (post-doctoral fellowship to B. Plouffe; SNSF P1EZP3_165219 to F.M.H.; and MOP10501 to M.B.). R.J.L. is a HHMI Investigator. R.J.L. is a co-founder and shareholder of Trevena. M.B. holds a Canada Research Chair in Signal Transduction and Molecular Pharmacology.

References

- Audet N, Charfi I, Mnie-Filali O, Amraei M, Chabot-Doré AJ, Millecamps M, Stone LS, Pineyro G. Differential association of receptor- $G\beta\gamma$ complexes with β -arrestin2 determines recycling bias and potential for tolerance of δ opioid receptor agonists. J Neurosci. 2012; 32:4827–4840. [PubMed: 22492038]
- Calebiro D, Nikolaev VO, Gagliani MC, de Filippis T, Dees C, Tacchetti C, Persani L, Lohse MJ. Persistent cAMP-signals triggered by internalized G-protein-coupled receptors. PLoS Biol. 2009; 7:e1000172. [PubMed: 19688034]
- Feinstein TN, Wehbi VL, Ardura JA, Wheeler DS, Ferrandon S, Gardella TJ, Vilardaga JP. Retromer terminates the generation of cAMP by internalized PTH receptors. Nat Chem Biol. 2011; 7:278– 284. [PubMed: 21445058]
- Feinstein TN, Yui N, Webber MJ, Wehbi VL, Stevenson HP, King JD Jr, Hallows KR, Brown D, Bouley R, Vilardaga JP. Noncanonical control of vasopressin receptor type 2 signaling by retromer and arrestin. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:27849–27860. [PubMed: 23935101]
- Ferrandon S, Feinstein TN, Castro M, Wang B, Bouley R, Potts JT, Gardella TJ, Vilardaga JP. Sustained cyclic AMP production by parathyroid hormone receptor endocytosis. Nat Chem Biol. 2009; 5:734–742. [PubMed: 19701185]
- Galés C, Van Durm JJ, Schaak S, Pontier S, Percherancier Y, Audet M, Paris H, Bouvier M. Probing the activation-promoted structural rearrangements in preassembled receptor-G protein complexes. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2006; 13:778–786. [PubMed: 16906158]
- Gilliland CT, Salanga CL, Kawamura T, Trejo J, Handel TM. The chemokine receptor CCR1 is constitutively active, which leads to G protein-independent, β-arrestin-mediated internalization. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288:32194–32210. [PubMed: 24056371]
- Gilman AG. G proteins: transducers of receptor-generated signals. Annu Rev Biochem. 1987; 56:615–649. [PubMed: 3113327]
- Goodman OB Jr, Krupnick JG, Santini F, Gurevich VV, Penn RB, Gagnon AW, Keen JH, Benovic JL. Beta-arrestin acts as a clathrin adaptor in endocytosis of the beta2-adrenergic receptor. Nature. 1996; 383:447–450. [PubMed: 8837779]
- Gurevich VV, Pals-Rylaarsdam R, Benovic JL, Hosey MM, Onorato JJ. Agonist-receptor-arrestin, an alternative ternary complex with high agonist affinity. J Biol Chem. 1997; 272:28849–28852. [PubMed: 9360951]
- Irannejad R, Tomshine JC, Tomshine JR, Chevalier M, Mahoney JP, Steyaert J, Rasmussen SG, Sunahara RK, El-Samad H, Huang B, von Zastrow M. Conformational biosensors reveal GPCR signalling from endosomes. Nature. 2013; 495:534–538. [PubMed: 23515162]
- Jard S, Gaillard RC, Guillon G, Marie J, Schoenenberg P, Muller AF, Manning M, Sawyer WH. Vasopressin antagonists allow demonstration of a novel type of vasopressin receptor in the rat adenohypophysis. Mol Pharmacol. 1986; 30:171–177. [PubMed: 3016500]
- Kang Y, Zhou XE, Gao X, He Y, Liu W, Ishchenko A, Barty A, White TA, Yefanov O, Han GW, et al. Crystal structure of rhodopsin bound to arrestin by femtosecond X-ray laser. Nature. 2015; 523:561–567. [PubMed: 26200343]
- Laporte SA, Oakley RH, Zhang J, Holt JA, Ferguson SS, Caron MG, Barak LS. The beta2-adrenergic receptor/betaarrestin complex recruits the clathrin adaptor AP-2 during endocytosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999; 96:3712–3717. [PubMed: 10097102]
- Lee MH, Appleton KM, Strungs EG, Kwon JY, Morinelli TA, Peterson YK, Laporte SA, Luttrell LM. The conformational signature of β-arrestin2 predicts its trafficking and signalling functions. Nature. 2016; 531:665–668. [PubMed: 27007854]

- Marullo S, Bouvier M. Resonance energy transfer approaches in molecular pharmacology and beyond. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2007; 28:362–365. [PubMed: 17629577]
- Moore CA, Milano SK, Benovic JL. Regulation of receptor trafficking by GRKs and arrestins. Annu Rev Physiol. 2007; 69:451–482. [PubMed: 17037978]
- Morello JP, Salahpour A, Laperrière A, Bernier V, Arthus MF, Lonergan M, Petäjä-Repo U, Angers S, Morin D, Bichet DG, Bouvier M. Pharmacological chaperones rescue cell-surface expression and function of misfolded V2 vasopressin receptor mutants. J Clin Invest. 2000; 105:887–895. [PubMed: 10749568]
- Mullershausen F, Zecri F, Cetin C, Billich A, Guerini D, Seuwen K. Persistent signaling induced by FTY720-phosphate is mediated by internalized S1P1 receptors. Nat Chem Biol. 2009; 5:428–434. [PubMed: 19430484]
- O'Donnell SR, Wanstall JC. Evidence that ICI 118, 551 is a potent, highly Beta 2-selective adrenoceptor antagonist and can be used to characterize Beta-adrenoceptor populations in tissues. Life Sci. 1980; 27:671–677. [PubMed: 6106143]
- Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Barak LS, Caron MG. Association of beta-arrestin with G proteincoupled receptors during clathrin-mediated endocytosis dictates the profile of receptor resensitization. J Biol Chem. 1999; 274:32248–32257. [PubMed: 10542263]
- Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Caron MG, Barak LS. Differential affinities of visual arrestin, beta arrestin1, and beta arrestin2 for G protein-coupled receptors delineate two major classes of receptors. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:17201–17210. [PubMed: 10748214]
- Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Barak LS, Caron MG. Molecular determinants underlying the formation of stable intracellular G protein-coupled receptor-beta-arrestin complexes after receptor endocytosis*. J Biol Chem. 2001; 276:19452–19460. [PubMed: 11279203]
- Peisley A, Skiniotis G. 2D projection analysis of GPCR complexes by negative stain electron microscopy. Methods Mol Biol. 2015; 1335:29–38. [PubMed: 26260592]
- Pierce KL, Premont RT, Lefkowitz RJ. Seven-transmembrane receptors. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2002; 3:639–650. [PubMed: 12209124]
- Rasmussen SG, DeVree BT, Zou Y, Kruse AC, Chung KY, Kobilka TS, Thian FS, Chae PS, Pardon E, Calinski D, et al. Crystal structure of the β2 adrenergic receptor-Gs protein complex. Nature. 2011; 477:549–555. [PubMed: 21772288]
- Shukla AK, Manglik A, Kruse AC, Xiao K, Reis RI, Tseng WC, Staus DP, Hilger D, Uysal S, Huang LY, et al. Structure of active β-arrestin-1 bound to a G-protein-coupled receptor phosphopeptide. Nature. 2013; 497:137–141. [PubMed: 23604254]
- Shukla AK, Westfield GH, Xiao K, Reis RI, Huang LY, Tripathi-Shukla P, Qian J, Li S, Blanc A, Oleskie AN, et al. Visualization of arrestin recruitment by a G-protein-coupled receptor. Nature. 2014; 512:218–222. [PubMed: 25043026]
- Staehelin M, Simons P, Jaeggi K, Wigger N. CGP-12177. A hydrophilic beta-adrenergic receptor radioligand reveals high affinity binding of agonists to intact cells. J Biol Chem. 1983; 258:3496– 3502. [PubMed: 6131886]
- Szczepek M, Beyrière F, Hofmann KP, Elgeti M, Kazmin R, Rose A, Bartl FJ, von Stetten D, Heck M, Sommer ME, et al. Crystal structure of a common GPCR-binding interface for G protein and arrestin. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:4801. [PubMed: 25205354]
- Tohgo A, Choy EW, Gesty-Palmer D, Pierce KL, Laporte S, Oakley RH, Caron MG, Lefkowitz RJ, Luttrell LM. The stability of the G protein-coupled receptor-beta-arrestin interaction determines the mechanism and functional consequence of ERK activation. J Biol Chem. 2003; 278:6258– 6267. [PubMed: 12473660]
- Vilardaga JP, Krasel C, Chauvin S, Bambino T, Lohse MJ, Nissenson RA. Internalization determinants of the parathyroid hormone receptor differentially regulate beta-arrestin/receptor association. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:8121–8129. [PubMed: 11726668]
- Violin JD, DiPilato LM, Yildirim N, Elston TC, Zhang J, Lefkowitz RJ. beta2-adrenergic receptor signaling and desensitization elucidated by quantitative modeling of real time cAMP dynamics. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:2949–2961. [PubMed: 18045878]

- Wehbi VL, Stevenson HP, Feinstein TN, Calero G, Romero G, Vilardaga JP. Noncanonical GPCR signaling arising from a PTH receptor-arrestin-Gβγ complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110:1530–1535. [PubMed: 23297229]
- Westfield GH, Rasmussen SG, Su M, Dutta S, DeVree BT, Chung KY, Calinski D, Velez-Ruiz G, Oleskie AN, Pardon E, et al. Structural flexibility of the G alpha s alpha-helical domain in the beta2-adrenoceptor Gs complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011; 108:16086–16091. [PubMed: 21914848]

Highlights

- Some GPCRs simultaneously interact with both G protein and β-arrestin (βarr)
- In these "megaplexes," G protein binds to the receptor transmembrane core
- Concurrent with G protein coupling, βarr binds to the receptor C-terminal tail
- G protein activation within megaplexes occurs from internalized compartments



Figure 1. Sustained Gs Signaling from Internalized Compartments by $\beta_2 AR$, $\beta_2 V_2 R$, and $V_2 R$ (A) Real-time cAMP measurements, using ICUE2-expressing HEK293 cells, in response to agonist stimulation of $\beta_2 AR$ (red), $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (blue), and $V_2 R$ (black). For $\beta_2 AR$ and $\beta_2 V_2 R$, 1 μ M ISO was used to stimulate cells. For $V_2 R$, 100 nM AVP was used to stimulate cells. Surface expression of all GPCRs was matched. Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 3 experiments and n 90 cells. Area under the curve (AUC) was used to calculate the total cAMP response for each GPCR, and one-way ANOVA was performed to determine statistical differences relative to $\beta_2 AR$ (**p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001) and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (##, p < 0.01) responses.

(B) Schematic representation of the experimental design used to demonstrate sustained Gs activation and signaling from internalized GPCRs.

(C) Real-time cAMP measurements utilized to demonstrate intracellular Gs signaling by GPCRs. Agonist-stimulated cAMP responses (100 nM ISO for β_2AR and β_2V_2R or 100 nM of desmopressin [DESMO] for V_2R) was antagonized at 10 min by the addition of 10 μ M of cell-membrane-impermeable antagonist (CGP-12217 for β_2AR and β_2V_2R , or H-3192 for V_2R ; shown in blue). The impact of cell-membrane-impermeable antagonists was measured relative to total antagonism caused by cell-membrane-permeable antagonists (ICI-118551 for β_2AR and β_2V_2R or SR121463 for V_2R). Data represent the mean ± SE of N = 3 experiments and n 87 cells. AUC was used to calculate the total cAMP response for each GPCR after the respective treatments. One-way ANOVA was performed to determine statistical differences among the antagonists compared to DMSO (*p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001; *****p < 0.0001) or compared to cell-membrane-impermeable antagonists (#, p < 0.05; ####, p < 0.0001).

See also Figure S1.



Figure 2. Sustained Gs Activation from Internalized Compartments by $\beta_2AR,\,\beta_2V_2R,\,and\,V_2R$ Assessed by BRET

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental design used to monitor agonist-promoted Gs activation, which leads to the separation of Gas and G $\beta\gamma$ subunits, measured by BRET between RlucII-117-Gas and GFP10-G γ 1.

(B) BRET titration curves obtained using a constant amount of RlucII-Gas and with increasing amounts of GFP10-G γ 1. BRET was measured 35 min following the addition of agonist or vehicle. Data are pooled from N = 4 experiments.

(C) Relationship between the duration of agonist stimulation time and Gs activation response 20 min after agonist washout. Gs activity was determined by assessing the reduction in BRET signal between RlucII-117-Gas and GFP10-G γ 1. Surface expression of all GPCRs was matched. Data are shown as a percent of BRET decrease observed in the unwashed condition (i.e., in the continuous presence of agonist) and represents the mean \pm SE of N = 4–5 experiments. One-way ANOVA was performed to assess significant differences in Gs response by increasing agonist stimulation time versus pulse stimulation (0.5 min) (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.0001). See also Figure S1.



Figure 3. Cellular Localization of SNAP- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ Pre-labeled with SNAP-Surface 649 Fluorescent Substrate, mStrawberry- β_{arr2} , and mEmerald-67-Gas Visualized by Confocal Microscopy (A) Cellular localization of SNAP- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (649), mStrawberry- β_{arr2} , and mEmerald-67-Gas prior to agonist addition (0 min) or 5 min and >20 min after 10 μ M ISO treatment (100× objective, N = 4 experiments, n = 49 cells).

(B) Representative endosome (orange dotted box) demonstrating co-localization of SNAP- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (649), mStrawberry- β arr2, and mEmerald-67-Ga.s at >20 min post-ISO addition. (C) Line-scan analysis of representative endosomal fluorophore intensities. See also Figures S1, S2, and S3.





Figure 4. Interaction between $\beta arr1/2$ and Either Gas or Gy2 following Agonist Stimulation of $\beta_2AR,\beta_2V_2R,$ or V_2R

(A) Schematic representation of the experimental design used to monitor agonist-promoted BRET between RlucII-67-Gas (1), RlucII-G γ 2 (2), or RlucII-CD8 (3) and GFP10- β arr1/2. (B and D) BRET titration curves using a constant amount of RlucII-67-Gas, RlucII-G γ 2, or RlucII-CD8 and increasing amounts of GFP10- β arr1 (B) or GFP10- β arr2 (D) monitored 20 min after agonist stimulation. Data are expressed as net BRET absolute values and represent the mean \pm SE and are pooled from N = 3–5 experiments. Surface expression of all GPCRs was matched.

(C and E) Kinetics of agonist-promoted BRET between GFP10- β arr1 (C) or GFP10- β arr2 (E) and RlucII-G α s, RlucII-G γ 2, or RlucII-CD8 obtained for all three GPCRs. Each kinetic point represents the mean \pm SE of BRET between agonist-stimulated and vehicle-treated conditions (N = 3–10 experiments). Two-way ANOVA was performed to determine significant differences between CD8 condition and G α s or G γ 2 for each time point (^a p < 0.05; ^b p < 0.01; ^c p < 0.001; ^d p < 0.0001). See also Figures S1 and S5.



Figure 5. Functionality and Capability of $\beta_2 V_2 R\text{-}\beta arr1/2$ Fusions to Activate Gs in HEK293 Cells

(A) Functional assessment of $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1/2$ fusions using radioligand competition binding experiments. Both agonist (ISO) and antagonist (ICI-118551) successfully competed off [¹²⁵I]-CYP at $\beta_2 V_2 R$, $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1$ and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr2$. Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 3–4 experiments.

(B) Cellular localization of SNAP- β_2 V₂R and SNAP- β_2 V₂R- β_a rr1/2 fusions pre-labeled with SNAP-Surface 549 fluorescent substrate (549) using confocal microscopy (100× objective, N = 3 experiments, and n 16 cells).

(C) Characterization of 1 μ M ISO-stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation response at 10 min post-stimulation in mock, $\beta_2 V_2 R$, $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta_a rr1$, and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta_a rr2$ -transfected cells (N = 6 experiments).

(D) ISO-stimulated Gs activation in mock (gray), $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (black), $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1$ (red), and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr2$ (blue) transfected cells determined by BRET titration curves 30 min after stimulation (N = 4 experiments).

(E) Real-time cAMP measurement, utilizing HEK293-ICUE2 cells, in response to ISOstimulation of $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (black), $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr1$ (red), and $\beta_2 V_2 R$ - $\beta arr2$ (blue). Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 3 experiments and n 93 cells. Surface expression of GPCRs was matched in all experiments.

See also Figures S1 and S4.



Figure 6. In Vitro Formation and Functional Characterization of the Megaplex

(A) Coomassie-stained gels of representative coIP experiments of the megaplex by either M1 anti-FLAG beads (to pull-down FLAG- $\beta_2 V_2 R$; left) or protein A/G agarose beads (to pull-down Fab30; right) (N = 4 experiments).

(B) Schematic presentation of the biochemical steps in G protein activation in the megaplex: (1) heterotrimeric G protein is recruited to the GPCR- β arr "tail" conformation to form the megaplex in an agonist-dependent manner; (2) activated receptor in the megaplex stimulates GDP-GTP exchange in the heterotrimeric G protein, causing activation and separation of the

Gas subunit; and (3) activated Gas subunit has intrinsic GTPase activity causing hydrolysis of GTP to GDP and inorganic phosphate (Pi).

(C) M1 anti-FLAG coIP experiment of BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$, Fab30 complex, or Cz-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ both with and without heterotrimeric Gs present. Gs binding was determined and quantified by western blot using an anti-Gas antibody. Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 4 experiments. One-way ANOVA was performed with pairwise comparison to BI- $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (****p < 0.0001).

(D) M1 anti-FLAG coIP experiment with either BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ -Gs complex or megaplex in presence of control buffer, 20 μ M GDP, or 20 μ M GTP γ S. Gas subunit separation was determined and quantified by western blot by using an anti-Gas antibody. Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 4 experiments. Two-way ANOVA was performed to assess significant differences between control buffer (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001) and GDP (####, p < 0.0001). There were no statistical differences between the BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ -Gs complex and the megaplex.

(E) Characterizing the ability of BI-occupied $\beta_2 V_2 R$ (top) or Fab30 complex (bottom) to modulate GDP-GTP exchange and Gs activity via GTPase activity. Data represent the mean \pm SE of N = 5–6 experiments. Two-way ANOVA was performed to test the effect of Gs presence at each receptor/complex concentrations (**p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001), and one-way ANOVA tests the effect on Gs modulation by different receptor/complex concentrations (#, p < 0.05; ####, p < 0.0001).

See also Figure S5.



Figure 7. Single-Particle EM Analysis of the (T4L) $\beta_2 V_2 R$ -Gs-Nb35- $\beta arr1$ -Fab30 Megaplex (A) Representative EM image of negative-stained megaplex.

(B) Representative class averages of the megaplex (135 total particle projections).

(C) Class averages of the previously published (T4L) β_2AR -Gs-Nb35 complex and the (T4L) β_2V_2R - β_{arr1} -Fab30 complex in the "tail" conformation (images reprinted and modified from Shukla et al., 2014; Westfield et al., 2011). Superimposition of these averages results in a density map identical to the one representing the megaplex. The scale bars in (A–C) correspond to 100, 10, and 10 nm, respectively. See also Figures S6 and S7.