STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY

Distinct phosphorylation sites in a prototypical GPCR differently orchestrate β -arrestin interaction, trafficking, and signaling

Hemlata Dwivedi-Agnihotri¹*, Madhu Chaturvedi¹*, Mithu Baidya¹*, Tomasz Maciej Stepniewski^{2,3}, Shubhi Pandey¹, Jagannath Maharana¹, Ashish Srivastava¹, Natarin Caengprasath⁴, Aylin C. Hanyaloglu⁴, Jana Selent^{2†}, Arun K. Shukla^{1†}

Agonist-induced phosphorylation of G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) is a key determinant for their interaction with β -arrestins (β arrs) and subsequent functional responses. Therefore, it is important to decipher the contribution and interplay of different receptor phosphorylation sites in governing β arr interaction and functional outcomes. Here, we find that several phosphorylation sites in the human vasopressin receptor (V₂R), positioned either individually or in clusters, differentially contribute to β arr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 activation. Even a single phosphorylation site in V₂R, suitably positioned to cross-talk with a key residue in β arrs, has a decisive contribution in β arr recruitment, and its mutation results in strong G-protein bias. Molecular dynamics simulation provides mechanistic insights into the pivotal role of this key phosphorylation site in governing the stability of β arr interaction and regulating the interdomain rotation in β arrs. Our findings uncover important structural aspects to better understand the framework of GPCR- β arr interaction and biased signaling.

INTRODUCTION

The interaction of β -arrestins (β arrs) with G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) is a versatile mechanism to regulate agonist-induced downstream signaling and trafficking of these receptors (1-3). In addition to their well-established contribution in terminating G-protein signaling and driving activated receptors to endocytic routes, ßarrs are now also appreciated to facilitate the formation of receptor-Gprotein- β arr megaplexes (4, 5). Furthermore, β arrs also contribute positively toward downstream signaling cascades such as activation of MAP kinases, although a complete G-protein dependence of this phenomenon is currently discussed and debated (2, 6-9). The recruitment of Barrs involves two distinct but interlinked features of GPCRs, namely, agonist-induced receptor activation and receptor phosphorylation, which engage different interfaces on β arrs (10, 11). Recent studies have demonstrated an appreciable level of functional distinction associated with the two sets of interactions between GPCRs and βarrs, i.e., through the receptor core and phosphorylated C terminus and resulting conformations of GPCR-βarr complexes (12-14).

On the basis of the temporal stability of their interaction with β arrs and trafficking patterns, GPCRs are typically categorized into two broad classes referred to as class A and B (15). While class A GPCRs have transient interaction with β arrs resulting in rapid recycling, class B GPCRs exhibit a relatively stable and sustained interaction leading to their slow recycling and proteosomal degra-

+Corresponding author. Email : jana.selent@upf.edu (J.S.); arshukla@iitk.ac.in (A.K.S.)

Copyright © 2020 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

dation (15, 16). Cumulative phosphorylation of GPCRs, especially in clusters of serine and threonine residues, is typically conceived to determine the stability of β arr binding (15, 17). A recent study has also proposed the presence or absence, and relative frequencies, of specific phosphorylation codes in the receptors as an important determinant of the stability patterns of GPCR- β arr interaction (18). In addition, it is also established that specific phosphorylation patterns in GPCRs arising from phosphorylation by different kinases can drive distinct β arr conformations leading to different functional outcomes, a framework that is referred to as phosphorylation "barcode" (19, 20).

While these studies have collectively established the current conceptual framework of GPCR- β arr interaction, a clear structural understanding of how specific receptor phosphorylation sites are linked to β arr recruitment, activation, and conformational changes still remains relatively less well understood. A key limitation until recently has been the lack of structural templates of GPCR- β arr complexes to design structure-guided systematic strategies, to probe and directly correlate the contribution of specific phosphorylation sites in β arr recruitment and functional outcomes. However, there has been a notable progress on direct structural visualization of GPCR-arrestin interaction over the last few years using x-ray crystallography and cryo–electron microscopy (*11*, *18*, *21–25*). These advances now allow structure-guided experimental design and interpretation of data to better understand the intricate details of GPCR- β arr interaction and their functional relevance.

In this study, we set out to probe the contribution of different phosphorylation sites in the human vasopressin receptor (V₂R), a prototypical GPCR, toward β arr recruitment, trafficking, and extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 and 2 (ERK1/2) phosphorylation. We generate a set of systematically designed phosphorylation site mutants of the V₂R and find that several phosphorylation sites can have distinct contribution in β arr interaction and functional responses. Some phosphorylation sites work concertedly to affect β arr recruitment, while others can have a decisive contribution on β arr recruitment, trafficking, and signaling even at individual levels.

¹Department of Biological Sciences and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India. ²Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics (GRIB), Department of Experimental and Health Sciences of Pompeu Fabra University (UPF)-Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), 08003 Barcelona, Spain. ³Faculty of Chemistry, Biological and Chemical Research Centre, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland. ⁴Institute of Reproductive and Developmental Biology, Department of Metabolism, Digestion and Reproduction, Hammersmith Campus, Imperial College London, Du Cane Road, London, W12 0NN, UK. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulation provides structural insights into how specific phosphorylation sites on the receptor contribute toward the stability of β arr interaction and the interdomain rotation in β arrs upon activation. These findings help refine the conceptual framework of GPCR- β arr interaction and have direct implications for the paradigm of biased agonism.

RESULTS

Phosphorylation site mutants of human V₂R

Previous studies have measured the role of V₂R phosphorylation site clusters in β arr interaction and trafficking (26, 27); however, the contribution of individual phosphorylation sites has not been explored. Therefore, we generated a series of V2R constructs with mutations of the potential phosphorylation sites either individually or in specific combinations, based on previously determined crystal structure of β arr1 in complex with V₂R phosphopeptide (V₂Rpp) (21) (Fig. 1, A and B). In addition to the eight phospho-sites present in V₂Rpp, we also generated a mutant for the C-terminal Thr³⁶⁹/ Ser³⁷⁰/Ser³⁷¹ (V₂R^{TSS/AAA}) cluster that is not phosphorylated in V₂Rpp (Fig. 1B). We measured the surface expression of each of these mutants in human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells coexpressing either βarr1 or βarr2 using a previously described whole-cell enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) assay (28), and we observed that these mutants are expressed at comparable levels (fig. S1A). We then measured the interaction of $V_2 R^{\text{TSS}/\text{AAA}}$ mutant with $\beta arr1$ and βarr2 using a cross-linking-based coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay and observed that it interacts with β arrs at similar levels as the wild-type receptor $(V_2 R^{WT})$ (Fig. 1, C and D). We further corroborated the similar pattern of Barr2 interaction of this mutant with $V_2 R^{WT}$ using the Tango assay (Fig. 1G). We also evaluated the trafficking of β arrs upon stimulation of V₂R^{TSS/AAA} mutant and observed a typical "class B pattern" similar to that of V₂R^{WT} (Fig. 1, E and F, and fig. S2). Furthermore, agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation downstream of $V_2 R^{TSS/AAA}$ was comparable to $V_2 R^{WT}$ (Fig. 1, H and I). Together, these experiments suggest that the distal "TSS cluster" does not significantly contribute toward ßarr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

Contribution of Thr 347 , Ser 350 , and Ser 357 in β arr recruitment and trafficking

In addition to phospho-site clusters, i.e., TT cluster (Thr³⁵⁹Thr³⁶⁰), SSS cluster (Ser³⁶²Ser³⁶³Ser³⁶⁴), and TSS cluster (Thr³⁶⁹Ser³⁷⁰Ser³⁷¹), there are three scattered phosphorylation sites present in the C terminus of the V2R, which were also phosphorylated in V2Rpp, i.e., Thr³⁴⁷, Ser³⁵⁰, and Ser³⁵⁷. Of these, only Ser³⁵⁷ interacts with Lys¹¹ on β strand I of βarr1 in the crystal structure of V₂Rpp-βarr1 complex (Figs. 1A and 3A). We generated phospho-site mutants of V₂R corresponding to each of these sites, i.e., Thr³⁴⁷, Ser³⁵⁰, and Ser³⁵⁷ and measured the interaction and trafficking of β arrs. We observed that $V_2 R^{T347A}$ and $V_2 R^{S350A}$ interacted efficiently with $\beta arr1$ and $\beta arr2$, similar to V₂R^{WT} (Fig. 2, A to D). Moreover, the overall trafficking pattern of $\beta arrs$ for the V₂R^{T347A} and V₂R^{S350A} was similar to that of V₂R^{WT} (Fig. 2, E and F, and fig. S2). However, V₂R^{S357A} exhibits a significant attenuation of Barr interaction compared to V₂R^{WT} as measured by co-IP assay (Fig. 3, B and C). We further confirmed the interaction pattern of $V_2 R^{S357A}$ with $\beta arr2$ using Tango assay and observed a significant reduction compared to $V_2 R^{WT}$ (Fig. 3D), similar to that observed by co-IP (Fig. 3, B and C).

We next measured agonist-induced trafficking of $\beta arrs$ for $V_2 R^{S357A}$ using confocal microscopy. While the trafficking patterns of βarrs were qualitatively similar to V₂R^{WT}, i.e., surface translocation followed by robust internalization (Fig. 3E), we observed a reduced level of βarr trafficking to internalized vesicles for V₂R^{S357A} compared to $V_2 R^{WT}$ (fig. S2). To exclude the possibility of β arr internalization independent of the receptor (i.e., after dissociation from the receptor), as observed for a couple of different GPCRs previously (29, 30), we also measured the colocalization of $V_2 R^{S357A}$ with $\beta arr2$ in internal-ized vesicles. As presented in Fig. 3F, $V_2 R^{S357A}$ was colocalized with βarr2 in internalized vesicles, suggesting that despite a reduced level of overall recruitment, the trafficking pattern of the receptor is not substantially altered. On the basis of the reduced level of βarr interaction, we anticipated a decrease in agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation for $V_2 R^{S357A}$. Unexpectedly, we did not observe a significant difference compared to V₂R^{WT}, although a slight reduction in some experimental replicates was noticeable (Fig. 3G). Together, these data suggest that Thr³⁴⁷ and Ser³⁵⁰ are dispensable for βarr recruitment, at least in HEK-293 cells, but Ser³⁵⁷ plays an important role in Barr recruitment and trafficking without affecting ERK1/2 phosphorylation.

Ser³⁶² and Ser³⁶³ of SSS cluster are critical for β arr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 activation

Although previous studies have suggested a critical role of SSS cluster in V₂R-βarr interaction and functional outcomes (26, 27), a systematic analysis of the contribution of each of these phospho-sites individually has not been reported. Therefore, we generated five different constructs with mutations at either individual phospho-sites or in combination (Fig. 4A). While Ser³⁶² interacts with Arg⁷ on β strand I in β arr1, Ser³⁶³ and Ser³⁶⁴ both are in direct contact with Lys¹⁰⁷ on α helix I (Fig. 4A). We observed that Ser³⁶² and Ser³⁶³ are important for Barr recruitment, while Ser³⁶⁴ does not seem to have a major role, when tested individually either by co-IP (fig. S3) or Tango assay (Fig. 4B). The double mutant, i.e., $V_2 R^{S362A/S363A}$ ($V_2 R^{SS/AA}$), is affected more markedly with respect to Barr recruitment compared to individual mutations (Fig. 4B and fig. S5A), while the triple mutant, i.e., $V_2 R^{S362A/S363A/364A}$ ($V_2 R^{SSS/AAA}$), is completely deficient in β arr recruitment (Fig. 4B and fig. S5B). We also observed that each of the individual phospho-site mutants exhibited typical "class B" pattern of βarr trafficking (fig. S4), similar to V₂R^{WT}. Quantification of confocal images, however, suggests a noticeable decrease in βarr localization, particularly β arr2, to internalized vesicles for V₂R^{S362A} and V₂R^{S363A} (fig. S2). The double mutant, i.e., V₂R^{SS/AA} displays a "class A" pattern of βarr recruitment reflected by translocation of βarrs to the surface at early time points followed by redistribution in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4C). The triple mutant, i.e., V₂R^{SSS/AAA}, failed to exhibit any detectable translocation of βarrs (Fig. 4C), which also agrees with the lack of interaction observed in co-IP and Tango assays. We also measured agonist-induced ERK1/2 MAP kinase phosphorylation upon agonist stimulation of the double (V2R^{SS/AA}) and the triple ($V_2R^{SSS/AAA}$) mutants and observed a significant reduction in $V_2R^{SSS/AAA}$ -mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared to V₂R^{WT} at 5-min time point (Fig. 4D). There was no significant change in ERK1/2 phosphorylation mediated by the double mutant (V2R^{SS/AA}) (Fig. 4E). Together, these data suggest that Ser³⁶² and Ser³⁶³ contribute toward βarr interaction, and their collective contribution is more pronounced than individual sites. Furthermore, while Ser³⁶⁴ appears to be less important when tested individually,

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 1. Phospho-site mutants of the V₂R and contribution of the TSS cluster. (**A**) Structural snapshot of V₂Rpp-βarr1 crystal structure depicting the interaction of phosphate groups with Lys/Arg (K/R) residues on βarr1, based on PDB ID: 4JQI. (**B**) C-terminal sequences of the V₂R phosphorylation site mutants generated in this study. Mutated S/T residues are highlighted in red. (**C** and **D**) Mutation of TSS cluster does not significantly affect agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) βarr recruitment as assessed by co-IP experiment in HEK-293 cells. Representative images from four independent experiments (five for βarr2), and densitometry-based quantification of data (means ± SEM), normalized with respect to βarr co-IP for the V₂R^{WT} at 30 min agonist stimulation (treated as 100%), are shown. (**E** and **F**) Agonist-induced trafficking of βarrs for the V₂R^{TSS/AAA} mutant is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} as assessed by confocal microscopy in HEK-293 cells expressing the receptor and βarr-mYFP. Cells were stimulated with 100 nM AVP, and representative images from three independent experiments at indicated time points are shown. Scale bars, 10 µm. (**G**) Agonist-induced recruitment of βarr2 for V₂R^{TS/AAA} mutant is also measured by Tango assay and found to be similar to that of V₂R^{WT}. Data (means ± SEM) from six independent experiments, each performed in duplicate and normalized with respect to the signal for V₂R^{WT} at 1 µM AVP concentration (treated as 100%), are shown here. (**H** and **I**) Agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) ERK1/2 phosphorylation for V₂R^{TS/AAA} mutant is comparable to V₂R^{WT} in HEK-293 cells at 5-min time point of agonist stimulation. A representative image from six independent experiments dust for the data, normalized with respect to the signal at 5 min for V₂R^{WT} (treated as 100%). Data in (C), (D), and (I) are analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). ns, nonsignificant.



Fig. 2. Mutations of T³⁴⁷ and S³⁵⁰ do not significantly affect β arr recruitment and trafficking. (A to D) Mutations of either T³⁴⁷ or S³⁵⁰ do not significantly affect agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) β arr recruitment as assessed by co-IP experiment in HEK-293 cells. Representative images from four independent experiments (five for S³⁵⁰ + β arr2), and densitometry-based quantification of data, normalized with respect to β arr co-IP for the V₂R^{WT} at 30-min agonist stimulation (treated as 100%), are shown. Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA. (**E** and **F**) Agonist-induced trafficking of β arrs for the V₂R^{T347A} and V₂R^{S30A} is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} as assessed by confocal microscopy. HEK-293 cells expressing the indicated receptor mutant and β arr-mYFP were stimulated with 100 nM AVP, and representative images from three independent experiments at indicated time points are shown. Scale bars, 10 µm.

Dwivedi-Agnihotri et al., Sci. Adv. 2020; 6 : eabb8368 11 September 2020

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 3. Mutation of S³⁵⁷ reduces β**arr recruitment but does not affect trafficking patterns and ERK1/2 activation.** (**A**) Structural snapshot of V₂Rpp-βarr1 crystal structure depicting the interaction of the phosphate group at S³⁵⁷ with K¹¹ in the β strand I of βarr1. (**B** and **C**) V₂R^{S357A} mutant exhibits significant reduction in agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) βarr recruitment compared to V₂R^{WT} as assessed by co-IP assay in HEK-293 cells. A representative image from four independent experiments and densitometry-based normalized data (means ± SEM) with respect to the signal for V₂R^{WT} at 30 min (treated as 100%) is shown. Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA (****P* < 0.001 and *****P* < 0.001). (**D**) The reduction in agonist-induced βarr2 recruitment for V₂R^{S357A} mutant compared to V₂R^{WT} is further corroborated by Tango assay. Data (means ± SEM) from seven independent experiments, each performed in duplicate and normalized with respect to the signal for V₂R^{WT} at 1 µM AVP concentration (treated as 100%), are shown here. (**E**) S³⁵⁷A mutation does not significantly alter the agonist-induced trafficking pattern of βarrs as measured qualitatively by confocal microscopy in HEK-293 cells expressing the receptor and βarr-mYFP. Cells were stimulated with 100 nM AVP, and representative images from three independent experiments at indicated time points are shown. Scale bars, 10 µm. (**F**) V₂R^{S357A} exhibits agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) trafficking and colocalization with βarr2 in endosomal vesicles in HEK-293 cells. As visualized by confocal microscopy. Scale bar, 10 µm. (**G**) Agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) ERK1/2 phosphorylation downstream of V₂R^{S357A} is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} as measured in HEK-293 cells at indicated time points. A representative image from nine independent experiments, and densitometry-based quantification of data (means ± SEM), normalized with respect to the signal at 5 min for V₂R^{WT}, is shown in the bottom panel. Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA.



Fig. 4. Concerted action of the phosphorylation sites in SSS cluster toward βarr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 activation. (A) Structural snapshot of V_2 Rppβarr1 crystal structure depicting the interaction of receptor-bound phosphate groups with K¹⁰⁷/R⁷ in βarr1. The bottom panel shows the C-terminal sequences of V₂R mutants with mutated S/T residues highlighted in red. (B) Tango assay reveals a prominent contribution of S³⁶² and S³⁶³, but not of S³⁶⁴, in βarr2 recruitment. Simultaneous mutation of S³⁶²/S³⁶³ results in near-complete loss of βarr2 recruitment, which is reduced even further for the S³⁶²/S³⁶⁴ (SSS/AAA) mutation. Data represent means ± SEM of eight independent experiments (six for V₂R^{SS/AA}), each carried out in duplicate and normalized with respect to the response at 1 µM concentration of AVP for V₂R^{WT}. (**C**) V₂R^{SS/AA} mutant exhibits class A pattern of βarr translocation, and V₂R^{SSS/AAA} displays no detectable translocation of βarr. Representative images from three independent experiments on HEK-293 cells expressing the indicated receptor mutants and βarr-mYFP, stimulated with 100 nM AVP, are shown. Scale bars, 10 µm. (**D** and **E**) Agonist-induced (100 nM AVP) ERK1/2 activation downstream of V₂R^{SS/AA} is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} but V₂R^{SSS/AAA} exhibits a significant reduction at 5-min time point. Representative images from 12 (V₂R^{SSS/AA}) and 6 (V₂R^{SS/AA}) independent experiments, and densitometry-based quantification of data (mean ± SEM), normalized with response for V₂R^{SS/AAA} is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} (treated as 100%), are shown. Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA (****P < 0.0001). (**F**) Agonist-induced cAMP response for V₂R^{SSS/AAA} is similar to that of V₂R^{WT} as measured in HEK-293 cells using the GloSensor assay. Data (means ± SEM) from six independent experiments, each performed in duplicate, and normalized with respect to the response at 1 µM concentration of AVP for V₂R^{WT} (treated as 100%). Two-way

in the context of the triple mutant ($V_2 R^{SSS/AAA}$), it seems to act concertedly with the other sites toward overall β arr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 activation.

SSS^{362/363/364}AAA mutant yields a G-protein-biased receptor

As the V₂R^{SSS/AAA} mutant exhibits near-complete loss of βarr recruitment, it may potentially behave as a G-protein–biased mutant, if it maintains efficient G-protein coupling. To test this hypothesis, we measured agonist-induced cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) response for this mutant and observed that it indeed exhibited a robust cAMP response, similar to V₂R^{WT} (Fig. 4F). At a low agonist dose, this mutant is even more efficient in producing cAMP response compared to V₂R^{WT}, and the cAMP response appears to be more sustained, as expected, due to lack of βarr-mediated desensitization (fig. S7A). Therefore, V₂R^{SSS/AAA} represents a βarr coupling– deficient, Gαs-biased V₂R mutant that can be used in the future to delineate the specific contributions of G-protein and βarrs downstream of V₂R.

Thr³⁶⁰, but not Thr³⁵⁹, is critical for overall β arr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 phosphorylation

We next focused on the TT cluster and generated three different mutants as depicted in Fig. 5A. While Thr³⁵⁹ is not involved in any interaction with Lys/Arg in β arrs, Thr³⁶⁰ interacts with Arg²⁵ in β strand II and Lys²⁹⁴ in the lariat loop (Fig. 5A). We observed that $V_2 R^{T359A}$ exhibits efficient interaction with $\beta arrs$ (fig. S6A); however, $V_2 R^{T360A}$ displays significantly reduced interaction with $\beta arrs$ (Fig. 5, B to D). The combination of these two phospho-sites, i.e., $V_2 R^{T359A/T360A}$ $(V_2 R^{TT/AA})$, exhibits even more pronounced loss of β arr interaction compared to $V_2 R^{T360A}$ (Fig. 5D and fig. S6B). Notably, we also observed that $V_2 R^{T360A}$ exhibits a typical class A pattern in terms of Barr trafficking as reflected by the surface translocation of Barrs followed by its redistribution in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5E). The double mutant $V_2 R^{TT/AA}$ exhibited a pattern similar to $V_2 R^{T360A}$ (Fig. 5E). On the other hand, $V_2 R^{T359A}$ displayed a typical class B pattern of βarr translocation upon agonist stimulation (fig. S6C), although there appears to be a noticeable increase in the localization of β arr2 in internalized vesicles, compared to $V_2 R^{WT}$ during the early time frame (fig. S2). We also measured agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation by $V_2 R^{T360A}$ and $V_2 R^{TT/AA}$ and observed a significant reduction compared to $V_2 R^{WT}$ for both of these mutants (Fig. 5F and fig. S6D). Together, these data suggest that Thr³⁶⁰ plays a critical role in driving the interaction of V₂R with βarrs as well as in determining the class B pattern of Barr trafficking and ERK1/2 phosphorylation, while Thr³⁵⁹ appears to be less important, at least in HEK-293 cells. Moreover, $V_2 R^{T360A}$ also maintains an efficient G-protein coupling profile, as measured using cAMP responses via the GloSensor assay (fig. S7, B and C), and thus represents another G-protein-biased V_2R mutant, similar to $V_2R^{SSS/AAA}$.

Structural insights into receptor- β arr interaction and conformation

To gain structural and mechanistic insights into our findings, we used MD simulation using the V₂Rpp- β arr1 crystal structure as a template (21). We first carried out classical unbiased simulation to monitor the dynamics of V₂Rpp in the context of phospho-site mutations. Here, a quantitative measure of V₂Rpp dynamics is obtained by computing the root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) per residue. We observed that the WT and mutated phosphopeptides

corresponding to the mutants described above exhibited an overall similar RMSF profile (fig. S8). Expectedly, we observed higher RMSF at the N-terminal (346 to 348) and the C-terminal ends (366 to 372) of the phosphopeptide, while two stretches in the middle that adopt an extended β strand and pack against the β strand I of β arr1 via backbone interactions displayed much lower RMSF profile (fig. S8).

We found that Thr³⁶⁰ is repeatedly the most stable position in all simulated systems (fig. S8). This indicates that Thr³⁶⁰ is an anchor point for the binding of phosphorylated receptor tail to β arrs and provides a potential mechanistic basis for a marked reduction in β arr recruitment. Thr³⁶⁰ is a part of the extended β strand in the middle of V₂Rpp, and it interacts with Lys²⁹⁴ in the lariat loop of β arr1 through a strong electrostatic interaction (Fig. 6A). Structurally, Thr³⁶⁰ is at the center of a three-way connection between the N-domain, the V₂Rpp, and the C-domain of β arr1 through the Thr³⁶⁰-Lys²⁹⁴ ionic lock (Fig. 6A). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the Thr³⁶⁰-Lys²⁹⁴ ionic lock may be a crucial determinant for the interdomain rotation between the N- and C-domain observed upon V₂Rpp binding and activation of β arr1.

To test this possibility, we first assessed the interdomain rotation angle of the β arr1 in complex with the V₂Rpp and observed an average rotation angle of 17°, which agrees well with experimental observation (*21*) and previous simulation experiments (*31*) (Fig. 6B). The average interdomain rotation angle changed to about 11° for V₂Rpp^{T360A} (Fig. 6C). In complex with V₂Rpp, β arr1 is able to sample a broad spectrum of conformations during activation where larger interdomain rotation has relatively lower probability. However, in the context of V₂Rpp^{T360A}, conformations with smaller interdomain rotation become markedly more populated (Fig. 6C). This marked alteration is quantitatively visible upon comparison of active-like populations (i.e., with an interdomain rotation angle >15°) between V₂Rpp and V₂Rpp^{T360A} analysis (Fig. 6, B and C).

We further computed the stability of the ionic lock (Thr³⁶⁰-Lys²⁹⁴) across all sampled activation states of the β arr1-V₂Rpp complex (Fig. 6D). We observed that the ionic lock stability directly correlates with the interdomain rotation angles (Fig. 6D). There is a marked reduction in the ionic lock formation in inactive-like β arr1 conformations with interdomain rotation angles <15°. This is in agreement with the difference in average interdomain rotation angles and conformational distribution between V₂Rpp (17°; ionic lock present) and V₂Rpp^{T360A} (11°; ionic lock absent) as mentioned above (Fig. 6, B and C). Together, these simulation data underscore the role of Thr³⁶⁰-Lys²⁹⁴ ionic lock as an important element in stabilizing the relative orientation of the N- and the C-domain in β arr1 upon activation, which may, in turn, fine-tune the functional responses.

DISCUSSION

GPCR phosphorylation is a key determinant of β arr interaction and imparting specific conformational signatures linked to distinct functional responses (19, 32). Previous studies have proposed a direct link between the receptor phosphorylation patterns and ensuing functional outcomes; however, integrating these findings in a structural framework still remains somewhat preliminary. Here, we find that even a single phosphorylation site in V₂R, i.e., Thr³⁶⁰ can have a decisive contribution in β arr recruitment by serving as an anchor point for stable interaction. Moreover, it can also critically influence



Fig. 5. T^{360} plays a decisive role in βarr recruitment, trafficking, and ERK1/2 activation. (A) Structural snapshot of V₂Rpp-βarr1 crystal structure depicting the interaction of T^{360} with K^{294} and R^{25} in βarr1. The bottom panel indicates C-terminal sequence of V₂R phosphorylation site mutants with mutated S/T residues highlighted in red. (**B** and **C**) V₂R^{350A} mutant exhibits near-complete loss of βarr recruitment as measured in HEK-293 cells, stimulated with 100 nM AVP, using the co-IP assay. Representative images from three independent experiments (four for βarr2) and densitometry-based quantification of data (mean ± SEM), normalized with respect to the signal for V₂R^{WT} at 30-min time point (treated as 100%), are shown. Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA (***P* < 0.01 and *****P* < 0.0001). (**D**) Tango assay corroborates a major reduction in agonist-induced βarr2 recruitment. Data (means ± SEM) from of seven independent experiments (eight for V₂R^{350A}), normalized with respect to the response at 1 µM concentration of AVP for the V₂R^{WT} (treated as 100%), are shown. (**E**) Mutation of T³⁶⁰ alone or in combination T³⁵⁹ (i.e., V₂R^{TT/AA}) confers a class A pattern of agonist-induced translocation of βarrs, and significant endosomal trafficking of βarrs is not observed even after prolonged agonist stimulation (100 nM AVP). Represent-ative images from three independent experiments on HEK-293 cells expressing the indicated receptor mutants and βarr-mYFP, stimulated with 100 nM AVP, are shown. Scale bars, 10 µm. (**F**) V₂R^{350A} mutant displays a significantly reduced level of agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation compared to V₂R^{WT} in HEK-293 cells stimulated with respect to the signal at 5 min after agonist stimulation for V₂R^{WT} (treated as 100%). Data are analyzed using two-way ANOVA (***P* < 0.01 and *****P* < 0.0001).

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fig. 6. MD simulation yields structural insights into βarr recruitment and conformation. (**A**) Structural snapshot of the V₂Rpp-βarr1 complex depicting the ionic lock between T^{360} in V₂Rpp and K^{294} in βarr1. (**B**) Distribution of the interdomain rotation angles adopted by βarr1 in complex with the V₂Rpp as measured by MD simulation. We observed a peak with an interdomain rotation of about 17° which agrees well with the experimental data and previous simulation studies. (**C**) Distribution of the interdomain rotation angles adopted by βarr1 upon V₂R^{T360A} mutation where the peak is shifted to about 11°. For V₂R^{T360A} mutation, the fraction of active conformers with larger interdomain rotation is also reduced compared to V₂Rpp. (**D**) The stability of the ionic lock between T^{360} and K^{294} as a function of the interdomain rotation angle of less than 11° display a lower frequency of ionic lock formation. Note that the frequencies do not reach more than 30% due to a large flexibility of the lariat loop, which gives the ionic lock a rather transient character.

the activation-dependent conformational changes such as interdomain rotation angle via the formation of an important ionic lock with Lys²⁹⁴ in β arr1. This observation underscores the importance of spatial positioning of key phosphorylation sites in the receptor as crucial parameter, in addition to previously determined phosphoclusters (27) and phospho-codes (18). The importance of spatial localization of the key phospho-sites is further corroborated by the observation that Thr³⁵⁹ positioned right next to Thr³⁶⁰ has no measurable effect on β arr recruitment or trafficking. The phosphate group on Thr³⁵⁹ points away from the Lys²⁹⁴ in V₂Rpp- β arr1 crystal structure, suggesting that its spatial positioning is unsuitable for interacting with the lariat loop, even in the context of Thr³⁶⁰ phosphosite mutation. As Lys²⁹⁴ is conserved in βarrs, it is possible that its interaction with suitably positioned receptor phosphates may contribute generally toward βarr activation, conformational change, and functional responses, although its mutation does not appear to significantly affect the overall interaction between the selected GPCRs and βarrs as reported in a recent study (*33*). Future studies designed to probe this in detail with a set of different GPCRs may shed further light on this interesting conjecture.

Although previous studies have reported a collective role of triple serine cluster, i.e., $\text{Ser}^{362/363/364}$ in βarr trafficking (26, 27, 34),

our study reveals a concerted contribution of individual phosphosites present in this cluster. While individual mutation of Ser³⁶² and Ser³⁶³ significantly reduces β arr binding but not the trafficking pattern, Ser³⁶⁴ is mostly dispensable. However, a combination of Ser³⁶² and Ser³⁶³ diminishes ßarr recruitment further and also changes the trafficking pattern from class B to class A. Although Ser³⁶⁴ by itself does not appear to have a major role, in conjunction with Ser^{362/}Ser³⁶³ mutation, it facilitates complete abrogation of ßarr recruitment. This observation implies that contribution of some phospho-sites present in a cluster may be evident only upon a combinatorial analysis. Moreover, as the G-protein coupling of the $V_2 R^{SSS/AAA}$ mutant remains primarily unaltered, it essentially imparts G-protein bias on V₂R. Thus, it may serve as a promising tool to further investigate structural and functional aspects of V₂R-effector coupling and signaling responses (35). An intriguing pattern that emerges from our study is that the extent of Barr recruitment and ERK1/2 phosphorylation do not necessarily correlate with each other. For example, V₂R^{S357A} and V₂R^{SS/AA} mutants have significantly reduced levels of βarr recruitment; however, their agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation patterns are mostly similar to $V_2 R^{WT}$. While the contribution of both G-proteins and βarrs in ERK1/2 activation downstream of GPCRs is well established, our data suggest that even a transient interaction or an overall lesser extent of βarr interaction is sufficient to drive robust ERK1/2 activation. This notion is also confirmed by previous studies on the β 1 adrenergic receptor system (29, 30).

A recent study using the rhodopsin-visual-arrestin system has proposed that phospho-sites can be categorized as the key sites, modulatory sites, and inhibitory sites and hypothesize that a similar pattern may exist for other GPCRs as well (36). While we do observe that Ser^{357} and Thr^{360} mutation significantly decreases βarr recruitment, we did not find an inhibitory role of any of the phosphosites in the V2R. Nonetheless, future studies with additional receptor systems may provide experimental evidence, or lack thereof, for this provocative hypothesis. Moreover, recent studies using intrabody sensors have suggested conformational diversity in GPCR-Barr complexes despite an overall similar recruitment profile and trafficking patterns (37-39). Therefore, it would be very interesting to analyze the conformational signatures of β arrs in complex with these V₂R mutants in further studies. It is also worth noting that although we observe that the mutation of some putative phosphorylation sites do not have a significant effect on ßarr recruitment and trafficking, we cannot discern whether these sites are phosphorylated, or not, in HEK-293 cells or if they are completely dispensable. This remains an open question for future investigation especially considering the emerging evidence for cell type- and tissue-specific GPCR phosphorylation and signaling mechanisms (40). Furthermore, a kinetic analysis of agonist-induced βarr recruitment for these receptor mutants may yield additional insights into the potential contribution of different phosphorylation sites in transient interactions between the receptor and Barrs. It is also worth noting that the crystal structure of βarr1 in complex with V₂Rpp was determined using rat Barr1, while the constructs used here for co-IP experiments are of bovine origin. Although the sequences of βarr1 are highly similar across different species, βarr2 displays slightly higher sequence divergence, and a minor effect of such sequence differences on Barr conformation and functional outcomes cannot be completely ruled out.

In conclusion, we find that even single phosphorylation sites on GPCRs may encode critical determinants for βarr interaction and

trafficking. Moreover, individual sites in a cluster may act in a concerted fashion to impart distinct β arr interaction and trafficking patterns. Our data also reveal that a single phospho-site may act as an anchor point for the stability of interaction and directing the degree of interdomain rotation during the activation process. This study provides a missing piece in the paradigm of GPCR- β arr interaction using V₂R as a model system, and it also offers a framework that may potentially have general applicability for other GPCRs as well.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General reagents, cell culture, and expression plasmids

Most of the general chemicals used here for molecular biology, biochemistry, and cell biology experiments were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Trypsin-EDTA, Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS), and penicillin-streptomycin solution were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The expression constructs for the wild-type human V_2R , bovine $\beta arr1$, and $\beta arr2$ have been described previously (39), and rat ßarr1/2-mYFP plasmids were obtained from Addgene (cat. nos. 36916 and 36917). The phosphorylation site mutants were generated using Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB) and sequence-verified (Macrogen). V₂R agonist AVP (arginine-vasopressin) was either purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or synthesized (GenScript). HEK-293 cells (American Type Culture Collection) were maintained and cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 µg/ml). Cells were cultured in 10-cm dishes (Corning) at 37°C under 5% CO2 and passaged at 70 to 80% confluency using 0.05% trypsin-EDTA for detachment.

DNA transfection and surface expression of V₂R mutants

For various assays described in the manuscript, HEK-293 cells at 60 to 70% confluency were transfected with the indicated constructs using polyethylenimine (PEI) as the transfection reagent at a typical DNA:PEI ratio of 1:3. Surface expression of V₂R constructs was measured using whole-cell surface ELISA as described previously (28). Briefly, 24 hours after transfection, 0.2 million transfected cells were seeded into each well of 24-well plates, precoated with 0.01% poly-D-lysine. After another 24 hours, cells were fixed with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (pH 6.9) on ice for 20 min and washed three times with 1× tris-buffered saline (TBS) buffer [150 mM NaCl and 50 mM tris-HCl (pH 7.4)]. Subsequently, nonspecific sites were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; prepared in $1 \times TBS$) for 90 min, followed by the incubation of cells with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled anti-Flag M2 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. A8592) at a dilution of 1:10,000, prepared in 1% BSA for 90 min. Cells were then washed three times with 1× TBS, and 200 µl of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) ELISA substrate (GenScript) was added to each well. Once the blue color appeared in the wells, the reaction was stopped by transferring 100 µl of the solution to a different 96-well plate already containing 100 µl of 1 M H₂SO₄. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a multimode plate reader (PerkinElmer, Victor X4). For normalization of signal across different wells, cell density was estimated using Janus Green staining. TMB solution was removed from the wells; cells were washed three times with 1× TBS followed by incubation with 0.2% (w/v) Janus Green for 20 min. Afterward, cells were washed three times with distilled water, 800 µl of 0.5 N HCl was added to each well, and 200 µl of this solution was used for measuring the absorbance at 595 nm. Normalized surface expression of V_2R constructs was calculated as the ratio of absorbance at 450 and 595 nm.

Chemical cross-linking and co-IP

For measuring agonist-induced V₂R-βarr interaction, HEK-293 cells expressing the corresponding proteins were starved using incomplete DMEM for 6 hours, followed by stimulation with AVP (100 nM) for indicated time points. Afterward, cells were collected, lysed by douncing in lysis buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 mM benzamidine, and 1× PhosStop], followed by the addition of freshly prepared 1 mM DSP (dithiobis succinimidyl-propionate) (Sigma-Aldrich; cat. no. D3669). After 40 min of DSP cross-linking with continuous tumbling, the reaction was quenched with 100 mM tris-HCl (pH 8.5), and then cellular lysate was solubilized with 1% (v/v) MNG (maltose neopentyl glycol) for 1 hour at room temperature. Subsequently, the solubilized proteins were separated by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 30 min, and pre-equilibrated anti-Flag M1 antibody sepharose beads were added. Samples were supplemented with 2 mM CaCl₂, and bead binding was allowed to occur for 2 hours at 4°C with gentle tumbling. The beads were washed three times each with low-salt buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.01% (v/v) MNG] and high-salt buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 350 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.01% (v/v) MNG], alternatively, to remove unbound and nonspecifically bound proteins. Last, the bound proteins were eluted using elution buffer [20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.01% MNG, and Flag peptide (250 µg/ml)]. A similar protocol was followed for the control co-IP experiment (presented in fig. S1B), except that anti-HA antibody agarose beads were used, instead of M1 antibody agarose. Receptor and βarrs in co-IP samples were detected by Western blotting by first using rabbit anti-βarr antibodies (1:5000; CST, cat. no. 4674), followed by reprobing the blots with HRPconjugated anti-Flag M2 antibody (1:5000; Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. A8592). Protein bands on the Western blots were visualized using a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad). For densitometrybased quantification of co-IP samples, the band intensities on the Western blots were measured using either the Image Lab software (Bio-Rad), or ImageJ, and plotted in GraphPad Prism. The anti-Flag M2 antibody blots detecting the immunoprecipitation of various V2R constructs typically exhibited two bands, and both bands were used for densitometry. These two bands presumably indicate mature (fully glycosylated) and immature (partially glycosylated) receptor populations.

GloSensor assay for measuring agonist-induced cAMP response

For measuring cAMP response for V_2R constructs, HEK-293 cells were cotransfected with the indicated receptor construct and 22F plasmid (Promega). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were detached from the plates, centrifuged, and resuspended in buffer [1× HBSS supplemented with 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4)] containing luciferin (0.5 mg/ml; GoldBio). Cells were seeded in white, glassbottom 96-well plates at a density of 80,000 to 100,000 cells per well in 100 µl volume per well. Afterward, the 96-well plate was kept at 37°C for 1.5 hours under 5% CO₂, followed by an additional incubation at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently, the basal luminescence readings were recorded using a plate reader (Victor X4, PerkinElmer), followed by the addition of indicated concentrations of agonist (AVP) and recording of luminescence for up to 1 hour. Data were corrected for baseline signal and normalized with respect to highest concentration (1 μ M) of AVP and plotted in GraphPad Prism. The GloSensor experiments were performed at an endogenous level of β arrs, i.e., without β arr overexpression, and only the indicated receptor constructs together with 22F plasmid were transfected for overexpression.

Agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation

Agonist-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation was measured as a readout of βarr signaling downstream of V2R mutants following the previously described protocol (41). Briefly, HEK-293 cells were transfected with 0.5 µg of indicated V2R constructs, and 24 hours after transfection, cells were seeded into six-well plates at a density of about 1 million cells per well. The next day, cells were serumstarved in DMEM for 6 hours followed by stimulation with 100 nM AVP for indicated time points, culture medium was aspirated, and cells were lysed in 100 µl of 2× SDS gel loading buffer. Cellular lysates were heated at 95°C for 15 min, followed by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 10 min, and 10 µl of samples was used for SDSpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Phosphorylated ERK1/2 signal was detected by Western blotting using anti-phospho-ERK1/2 antibody (1:5000; CST, cat. no. 9101) followed by reprobing of the blots with anti-total-ERK1/2 antibody (1:5000; CST, cat. no. 9102). Signal on the Western blots was detected using the ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad), and densitometry-based quantification was carried out using Image Lab software or ImageJ. ERK1/2 phosphorylation experiments were performed at an endogenous level of Barrs, i.e., without Barr overexpression, and only the indicated receptor constructs were transfected for overexpression.

Confocal microscopy

To visualize the agonist-induced trafficking of βarrs upon stimulation of V₂R mutants, HEK-293 cells were cotransfected with the indicated V2R construct and Barr1/2-mYFP. Twenty-four hours after transfection, 1 million cells were seeded in glass bottom confocal imaging plates, precoated with 0.01% poly-D-lysine. After another 24 hours, cells were serum-starved for 2 to 3 hours and then subjected to live cell imaging using Carl Zeiss LSM780NLO confocal microscope fitted with 32× array GaAsP descanned detector (Zeiss) under 63×/1.40 numerical aperture objective with oil immersion. First, the cytoplasmic distribution of βarrs was recorded under basal conditions, followed by stimulation of cells and recording of Barrs localization in indicated time frame. For the two-color confocal imaging to measure the colocalization of the $V_2 R^{S357A}$ and $\beta arr2$ (presented in Fig. 3F), transfected cells (24 hours after transfection) were seeded onto glass coverslips, precoated with 0.01% poly-D-lysine, and allowed to grow for another 24 hours. The next day, cells were serum-starved for 2 hours followed by stimulation with AVP (100 nM) for 0, 10, and 30 min. Subsequently, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde prepared in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), permeabilized with 0.01% Triton X-100 for 10 min. For staining the receptor, cells were incubated with DyLight 594 conjugated anti-Flag M1 antibody (at 1:100 dilution prepared in 1% BSA solution) for 1 hour at room temperature. Afterward, cells were washed several times with 1× PBS, and then the coverslips containing fixed cells were mounted onto glass slides using VectaShield H-1000 mounting medium (VectaShield). The slides were air-dried for 20 to 30 min before imaging by confocal

microscopy. Multiline argon laser source is used for green channel (mYFP), and for the red channel (DyLight 594), a diode pump solid state laser source was used. All the settings including laser intensity and pinhole settings were maintained in the same range for parallel set of experiments, and the filter excitation regions and bandwidths were adjusted for the channels to avoid any spectral overlap.

For the quantification of agonist-induced localization of βarrs for different V₂R mutants, confocal images from multiple fields in at least three independent experiments were manually scored. Confocal images captured during 1 to 8 and 9 to 60 min after agonist stimulation were grouped under early and late time frames, respectively. The localization of Barrs was scored as surface and internalized on the basis of YFP fluorescence in the plasma membrane and punctate structures in the cytoplasm, respectively. In other words, cells with Barr-YFP in the plasma membrane are scored under "surface" category, while the cells displaying Barr-YFP in punctate structures in the cytoplasm are counted under "internalized" category. All images in the field were used for counting, and the data are plotted as percentage of Barr localization pattern from more than hundred cells for each condition. In a scenario where $\beta arrs$ were present in both, the membrane and in punctate structures, cells with more than three punctae in the cytoplasm were scored under internalized category. To minimize any bias in scoring, the same set of images was analyzed by three different individuals and cross-checked. Data were plotted using GraphPad Prism software.

Tango assay for β arr2 recruitment

Tango assay was used to measure agonist-used Barr2 recruitment following a previously described protocol (42). Briefly, HTLA cells expressing a tTA-dependent luciferase reporter and Barr2-TEV fusion protein were transfected with indicated V2R constructs. The V₂R constructs for Tango assay compose of a receptor-coding region, followed by a TEV cleavage site and the tTA transcription factor coding sequence. Approximately 3 million HTLA cells were seeded onto a 10-cm cell culture plate, transfected with indicated receptor constructs, and 24 hours after transfection, cells were detached using trypsin-EDTA solution. Cells were resuspended in complete DMEM and seeded into 96-well white polystyrene plates at a density of about 50,000 cells per well. After another 24 hours, cells were stimulated with indicated concentrations of AVP for 7 to 8 hours. Subsequently, the growth medium was removed from the wells, and 100 µl of luciferin solution (0.5 mg/ml in 1× HBSS buffer) was added to each well. The luminescence signal was measured at 450 nm, and data were baseline-corrected, plotted, and analyzed using nonlinear regression in GraphPad Prism software.

MD simulation

System setup and simulation

To generate all simulated complexes, we used the structure of V_2 Rpp in complex with β arr1 [Protein Data Bank (PDB) code: 4JQI]. The cocrystallized Fab30 antibody was removed, and missing fragments in the β arr1 and V2Rpp structures were modeled using the loop modeler module available in the MOE package (www.chemcomp. com). The complexes were solvated (TIP3P water) and set to an ionic strength of 0.15 M sodium chloride. Simulation parameters were obtained from the Charmm36M force field (43). In the simulation protocol, we adhere to the guidelines of the GPCRmd consortium (44). Systems generated this way were simulated using the ACEMD software (45). To allow rearrangement of waters and side chains, we carried out a 25-ns equilibration phase in NPT conditions with restraints applied to backbone atoms. The time step was set at 2 fs, and the pressure was kept constant, using the Berendsen barostat. After NPT equilibration, systems were subjected to production runs (NVT ensemble) for 1 µs in four parallel runs. Simulation runs of the $V_2 R^{WT}$ and $V_2 R^{T360A}$ systems were extended to 2 µs, amassing a total of 8 µs per system. For each NVT run, we used a 4-fs time step. In all runs, temperature was kept at 300 K using the Langevin thermostat, and hydrogen bonds were restrained using the RATTLE algorithm. Nonbonded interactions were cut off at 9 Å with a smooth switching function applied at 7.5 Å.

Analysis

To evaluate C-terminal tail stability, we aligned the system using backbone atoms of arrestin. Afterward, RMSF values were calculated for the C α atoms of the C-terminal tail. The interdomain rotation angle was used as a metric to assess the activation state of β arr1. We computed the displacement of the C-domain relative to the N-domain between the inactive (PDB code: 1G4R) and active β arr1 crystal structures (PDB code: 4JQI) as previously described (*31*). The corresponding script was provided by N. Latorraca. Using obtained values of the rotational angles, we divided the simulation frames into groups with a bin width of 1. For each bin of rotation angle, we assessed the stability of the ionic lock between residue T360 of the peptide and K294 of the lariat loop. A salt bridge was defined as the distance between heavy polar atoms of those residues with less than 4 Å.

Statistical analysis and data presentation

Experiments were repeated at least three times, and data were plotted and analyzed using GraphPad Prism software. The details of data normalization, statistical analysis, and *P* values are included in the corresponding figure legends.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/ content/full/6/37/eabb8368/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from *Bio-protocol*.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- D. S. Kang, X. Tian, J. L. Benovic, Role of β-arrestins and arrestin domain-containing proteins in G protein-coupled receptor trafficking. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 27, 63–71 (2014).
- 2. R. J. Lefkowitz, S. K. Shenoy, Transduction of receptor signals by β -arrestins. *Science* **308**, 512–517 (2005).
- R. Ranjan, H. Dwivedi, M. Baidya, M. Kumar, A. K. Shukla, Novel structural insights into GPCR–β-arrestin interaction and signaling. *Trends Cell Biol.* 27, 851–862 (2017).
- A. R. B. Thomsen, B. Plouffe, T. J. Cahill III, A. K. Shukla, J. T. Tarrasch, A. M. Dosey,
 A. W. Kahsai, R. T. Strachan, B. Pani, J. P. Mahoney, L. Huang, B. Breton, F. M. Heydenreich,
 R. K. Sunahara, G. Skiniotis, M. Bouvier, R. J. Lefkowitz, GPCR-G protein-β-arrestin super-complex mediates sustained G protein signaling. *Cell* 166, 907–919 (2016).
- F. H. Marshall, Visualizing GPCR 'Megaplexes' which enable sustained intracellular signaling. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 41, 985–986 (2016).
- M. Grundmann, N. Merten, D. Malfacini, A. Inoue, P. Preis, K. Simon, N. Rüttiger, N. Ziegler, T. Benkel, N. K. Schmitt, S. Ishida, I. Müller, R. Reher, K. Kawakami, A. Inoue, U. Rick, T. Kühl, D. Imhof, J. Aoki, G. M. König, C. Hoffmann, J. Gomeza, J. Wess, E. Kostenis, Lack of beta-arrestin signaling in the absence of active G proteins. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 341 (2018).
- J. S. Gutkind, E. Kostenis, Arrestins as rheostats of GPCR signalling. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 19, 615–616 (2018).
- L. M. Luttrell, J. Wang, B. Plouffe, J. S. Smith, L. Yamani, S. Kaur, P.-Y. Jean-Charles, C. Gauthier, M.-H. Lee, B. Pani, J. Kim, S. Ahn, S. Rajagopal, E. Reiter, M. Bouvier, S. K. Shenoy, S. A. Laporte, H. A. Rockman, R. J. Lefkowitz, Manifold roles of β-arrestins in GPCR signaling elucidated with siRNA and CRISPR/Cas9. *Sci. Signal.* **11**, eaat7650 (2018).
- 9. V. V. Gurevich, E. V. Gurevich, Arrestin-mediated signaling: Is there a controversy? World J. Biol. Chem. 9, 25–35 (2018).

- V. V. Gurevich, E. V. Gurevich, The molecular acrobatics of arrestin activation. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 25, 105–111 (2004).
- A. K. Shukla, G. H. Westfield, K. Xiao, R. I. Reis, L.-Y. Huang, P. Tripathi-Shukla, J. Qian, S. Li, A. Blanc, A. N. Oleskie, A. M. Dosey, M. Su, C.-R. Liang, L.-L. Gu, J.-M. Shan, X. Chen, R. Hanna, M. Choi, X. J. Yao, B. U. Klink, A. W. Kahsai, S. S. Sidhu, S. Koide, P. A. Penczek, A. A. Kossiakoff, V. L. Woods Jr., B. K. Kobilka, G. Skiniotis, R. J. Lefkowitz, Visualization of arrestin recruitment by a G-protein-coupled receptor. *Nature* **512**, 218–222 (2014).
- T. J. Cahill III, A. R. B. Thomsen, J. T. Tarrasch, B. Plouffe, A. H. Nguyen, F. Yang, L.-Y. Huang, A. W. Kahsai, D. L. Bassoni, B. J. Gavino, J. E. Lamerdin, S. Triest, A. K. Shukla, B. Berger, I. V. John Little, A. Antar, A. Blanc, C.-X. Qu, X. Chen, K. Kawakami, A. Inoue, J. Aoki, J. Steyaert, J.-P. Sun, M. Bouvier, G. Skiniotis, R. J. Lefkowitz, Distinct conformations of GPCR-β-arrestin complexes mediate desensitization, signaling, and endocytosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 2562–2567 (2017).
- P. Kumari, A. Srivastava, R. Banerjee, E. Ghosh, P. Gupta, R. Ranjan, X. Chen, B. Gupta, C. Gupta, D. Jaiman, A. K. Shukla, Functional competence of a partially engaged GPCR–β-arrestin complex. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13416 (2016).
- 14. P. Kumari, A. Srivastava, E. Ghosh, R. Ranjan, S. Dogra, P. N. Yadav, A. K. Shukla, Core engagement with β -arrestin is dispensable for agonist-induced vasopressin receptor endocytosis and ERK activation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **28**, 1003–1010 (2017).
- R. H. Oakley, S. A. Laporte, J. A. Holt, M. G. Caron, L. S. Barak, Differential affinities of visual arrestin, βarrestin1, and βarrestin2 for G protein-coupled receptors delineate two major classes of receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 17201–17210 (2000).
- S. K. Shenoy, R. J. Lefkowitz, β-Arrestin-mediated receptor trafficking and signal transduction. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 32, 521–533 (2011).
- R. H. Oakley, S. A. Laporte, J. A. Holt, L. S. Barak, M. G. Caron, Molecular determinants underlying the formation of stable intracellular G protein-coupled receptor-β-arrestin complexes after receptor endocytosis. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 19452–19460 (2001).
- X. E. Zhou, Y. He, P. W. de Waal, X. Gao, Y. Kang, N. Van Eps, Y. Yin, K. Pal, D. Goswami, T. A. White, A. Barty, N. R. Latorraca, H. N. Chapman, W. L. Hubbell, R. O. Dror, R. C. Stevens, V. Cherezov, V. V. Gurevich, P. R. Griffin, O. P. Ernst, K. Melcher, H. E. Xu, Identification of phosphorylation codes for arrestin recruitment by G protein-coupled receptors. *Cell* **170**, 457–469.e13 (2017).
- K. N. Nobles, K. Xiao, S. Ahn, A. K. Shukla, C. M. Lam, S. Rajagopal, R. T. Strachan,
 T.-Y. Huang, E. A. Bressler, M. R. Hara, S. K. Shenoy, S. P. Gygi, R. J. Lefkowitz, Distinct phosphorylation sites on the β₂-adrenergic receptor establish a barcode that encodes differential functions of β-arrestin. *Sci. Signal.* 4, ra51 (2011).
- E. Reiter, R. J. Lefkowitz, GRKs and β-arrestins: Roles in receptor silencing, trafficking and signaling. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 17, 159–165 (2006).
- A. K. Shukla, A. Manglik, A. C. Kruse, K. Xiao, R. I. Reis, W.-C. Tseng, D. P. Staus, D. Hilger, S. Uysal, L.-Y. Huang, M. Paduch, P. Tripathi-Shukla, A. Koide, S. Koide, W. I. Weis, A. A. Kossiakoff, B. K. Kobilka, R. J. Lefkowitz, Structure of active β-arrestin-1 bound to a G-protein-coupled receptor phosphopeptide. *Nature* 497, 137–141 (2013).
- W. Huang, M. Masureel, Q. Qu, J. Janetzko, A. Inoue, H. E. Kato, M. J. Robertson, K. C. Nguyen, J. S. Glenn, G. Skiniotis, B. K. Kobilka, Structure of the neurotensin receptor 1 in complex with β-arrestin 1. *Nature* 579, 303–308 (2020).
- D. P. Staus, H. Hu, M. J. Robertson, A. L. W. Kleinhenz, L. M. Wingler, W. D. Capel, N. R. Latorraca, R. J. Lefkowitz, G. Skiniotis, Structure of the M2 muscarinic receptor–βarrestin complex in a lipid nanodisc. *Nature* 579, 297–302 (2020).
- T. Warne, R. Nehmé, S. Pandey, H. Dwivedi-Agnihotri, M. Chaturvedi, P. C. Edwards, J. García-Nafría, A. G. W. Leslie, A. K. Shukla, C. G. Tate, Molecular determinants of β-arrestin coupling to formoterol-bound β₁-adrenoceptor. *Nature* **583**, 862–866 (2020).
- W. Yin, Z. Li, M. Jin, Y.-L. Yin, P. W. de Waal, K. Pal, Y. Yin, X. Gao, Y. He, J. Gao, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, K. Melcher, Y. Jiang, Y. Cong, X. E. Zhou, X. Yu, H. E. Xu, A complex structure of arrestin-2 bound to a G protein-coupled receptor. *Cell Res.* 29, 971–983 (2019).
- G. Innamorati, H. M. Sadeghi, N. T. Tran, M. Birnbaumer, A serine cluster prevents recycling of the V2 vasopressin receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 2222–2226 (1998).
- R. H. Oakley, S. A. Laporte, J. A. Holt, L. S. Barak, M. G. Caron, Association of β-arrestin with G protein-coupled receptors during clathrin-mediated endocytosis dictates the profile of receptor resensitization. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 32248–32257 (1999).
- S. Pandey, D. Roy, A. K. Shukla, Measuring surface expression and endocytosis of GPCRs using whole-cell ELISA. *Methods Cell Biol.* 149, 131–140 (2019).
- 29. K. Eichel, D. Jullié, B. Barsi-Rhyne, N. R. Latorraca, M. Masureel, J.-B. Sibarita, R. O. Dror, M. von Zastrow, Catalytic activation of β -arrestin by GPCRs. *Nature* **557**, 381–386 (2018).
- K. Eichel, D. Jullie, M. von Zastrow, β-Arrestin drives MAP kinase signalling from clathrincoated structures after GPCR dissociation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 18, 303–310 (2016).
- N. R. Latorraca, J. K. Wang, B. Bauer, R. J. L. Townshend, S. A. Hollingsworth, J. E. Olivieri, H. E. Xu, M. E. Sommer, R. O. Dror, Molecular mechanism of GPCR-mediated arrestin activation. *Nature* 557, 452–456 (2018).
- Z. Yang, F. Yang, D. Zhang, Z. Liu, A. Lin, C. Liu, P. Xiao, X. Yu, J.-P. Sun, Phosphorylation of G protein-coupled receptors: From the barcode hypothesis to the flute model. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 92, 201–210 (2017).

- S. A. Vishnivetskiy, F. Yang, D. Zhang, Z. Liu, A. Lin, C. Liu, P. Xiao, X. Yu, J.-P. Sun, Lysine in the lariat loop of arrestins does not serve as phosphate sensor. *J. Neurochem.* 10.1111/ jnc.15110, (2020).
- C. Le Gouill, G. Innamorati, M. Birnbaumer, An expanded V2 receptor retention signal. FEBS Lett. 532, 363–366 (2002).
- A. K. Shukla, G. Singh, E. Ghosh, Emerging structural insights into biased GPCR signaling. Trends Biochem. Sci. 39, 594–602 (2014).
- D. Mayer, F. F. Damberger, M. Samarasimhareddy, M. Feldmueller, Z. Vuckovic, T. Flock, B. Bauer, E. Mutt, F. Zosel, F. H. T. Allain, J. Standfuss, G. F. X. Schertler, X. Deupi, M. E. Sommer, M. Hurevich, A. Friedler, D. B. Veprintsev, Distinct G protein-coupled receptor phosphorylation motifs modulate arrestin affinity and activation and global conformation. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1261 (2019).
- M. Baidya, P. Kumari, H. Dwivedi-Agnihotri, S. Pandey, B. Sokrat, S. Sposini, M. Chaturvedi, A. Srivastava, D. Roy, A. C. Hanyaloglu, M. Bouvier, A. K. Shukla, Genetically encoded intrabody sensors report the interaction and trafficking of β-arrestin 1 upon activation of G-protein–coupled receptors. J. Biol. Chem., (2020).
- M. Baidya, P. Kumari, H. Dwivedi-Agnihotri, S. Pandey, M. Chaturvedi, T. M. Stepniewski, K. Kawakami, Y. Cao, S. A. Laporte, J. Selent, A. Inoue, A. K. Shukla, Key phosphorylation sites in GPCRs orchestrate the contribution of β-Arrestin 1 in ERK1/2 activation. *EMBO Rep.* 2020, e49886 (2020).
- E. Ghosh, H. Dwivedi, M. Baidya, A. Srivastava, P. Kumari, T. Stepniewski, H. R. Kim, M.-H. Lee, J. van Gastel, M. Chaturvedi, D. Roy, S. Pandey, J. Maharana, R. Guixà-González, L. M. Luttrell, K. Y. Chung, S. Dutta, J. Selent, A. K. Shukla, Conformational sensors and domain swapping reveal structural and functional differences between β-arrestin isoforms. *Cell Rep.* 28, 3287–3299.e6 (2019).
- A. B. Tobin, A. J. Butcher, K. C. Kong, Location, location, location...site-specific GPCR phosphorylation offers a mechanism for cell-type-specific signalling. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 29, 413–420 (2008).
- P. Kumari, H. Dwivedi, M. Baidya, A. K. Shukla, Measuring agonist-induced ERK MAP kinase phosphorylation for G-protein-coupled receptors. *Methods Cell Biol.* 149, 141–153 (2019).
- G. Barnea, W. Strapps, G. Herrada, Y. Berman, J. Ong, B. Kloss, R. Axel, K. J. Lee, The genetic design of signaling cascades to record receptor activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 64–69 (2008).
- J. Huang, S. Rauscher, G. Nawrocki, T. Ran, M. Feig, B. L. de Groot, H. Grubmüller, A. D. MacKerell Jr., CHARMM36m: An improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nat. Methods* 14, 71–73 (2017).
- I. Rodríguez-Espigares, M. Torrens-Fontanals, J. K. S. Tiemann, D. Aranda-García, J. M. Ramírez-Anguita, T. M. Stepniewski, N. Worp, A. Varela-Rial, A. Morales-Pastor, B. Medel-Lacruz, G. Pándy-Szekeres, E. Mayol, T. Giorgino, J. Carlsson, X. Deupi, S. Filipek, M. Filizola, J. C. Gómez-Tamayo, A. Gonzalez, H. Gutiérrez-de-Terán, M. Jiménez-Rosés, W. Jespers, J. Kapla, G. Khelashvili, P. Kolb, D. Latek, M. Marti-Solano, P. Matricon, M.-T. Matsoukas, P. Miszta, M. Olivella, L. Perez-Benito, D. Provasi, S. Ríos, I. R. Torrecillas, J. Sallander, A. Sztyler, S. Vasile, H. Weinstein, U. Zachariae, P. W. Hildebrand, G. De Fabritiis, F. Sanz, D. E. Gloriam, A. Cordomi, R. Guixà-González, J. Selent, GPCRmd uncovers the dynamics of the 3D-GPCRome. *Nat. Methods* 17, 777–787 (2020).
- M. J. Harvey, G. Giupponi, G. D. Fabritiis, ACEMD: Accelerating biomolecular dynamics in the microsecond time scale. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 5, 1632–1639 (2009).

Acknowledgments: We thank E. Ghosh and P. Kumari for assistance and discussion in the early phase of the study. Funding: Research in A.K.S.'s laboratory is supported by the Intermediate Fellowship of the Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance (IA/I/14/1/501285) awarded to A.K.S., the Swarnajayanti Fellowship of the Department of Science and Technology (DST/ SJF/LSA-03/2017-18), Innovative Young Biotechnologist Award from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT) (BT/08/IYBA/2014-3), Science and Engineering Research Board (EMR/2017/003804), Young Scientist Award from the Lady TATA Memorial Trust, and the Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur. A.K.S. is an Intermediate Fellow of Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance (IA/I/14/1/501285), EMBO Young Investigator, and Joy Gill Chair Professor. M.B. is supported by the National Post-Doctoral Fellowship of SERB (PDF/2016/002930) and Institute Post-Doctoral Fellowship of IIT Kanpur. H.D.-A. is supported by National Post-Doctoral Fellowship of SERB (PDF/2016/2893) and BioCare grant from DBT (BT/PR31791/ BIC/101/1228/2019). M.C. is supported by a fellowship from CSIR [09/092(0976)/2017-EMR-I]. A.S. is supported by the Wellcome Trust/DBT India Alliance Early Career Fellowship (grant number IA/E/17/1/503687). J.S.'s laboratory acknowledges support from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III FEDER (PI15/00460 and PI18/00094) and the ERA-NET NEURON & Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (AC18/00030). T.M.S. acknowledges support from Nacional Center of Science, Poland grant 2017/27/N/NZ2/02571. A.C.H. and N.C. were supported by grants from Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC; BB/ N016947/1 and BB/S001565/1). Author contributions: H.D.-A. and M.C. carried out surface expression, co-IP, Tango, GloSensor, and ERK assays with help from S.P. in GloSensor and A.S.

in co-IP. M.B. carried out confocal microscopy together with M.C. J.M. carried out the structural analysis of V₂Rpp-βarr1 crystal structure and prepared the structural snapshots. T.M.S. carried out MD simulation experiments under the supervision of J.S. N.C. and A.C.H. assisted with βarr trafficking studies. A.K.S. supervised and coordinated the overall project. All authors contributed to writing and editing of the manuscript. **Competing interests**: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

Submitted 21 March 2020 Accepted 28 July 2020 Published 11 September 2020 10.1126/sciadv.abb8368

Citation: H. Dwivedi-Agnihotri, M. Chaturvedi, M. Baidya, T. M. Stepniewski, S. Pandey, J. Maharana, A. Srivastava, N. Caengprasath, A. C. Hanyaloglu, J. Selent, A. K. Shukla, Distinct phosphorylation sites in a prototypical GPCR differently orchestrate β -arrestin interaction, trafficking, and signaling. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabb8368 (2020).