c. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), Vol. 99, No. 1, April 1989, pp. 49–73.
Printed in India.

sitive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical owth in the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$

ADIMURTHI
TIFR Centre, P.B. No. 1234, Bangalore 560 012, India

MS received 28 June 1988

Abstract. We prove the existence of a positive solution of the following problem

$$-\Delta u = f(r,u) \quad \text{in } D$$
$$u>0$$
$$u=0, \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

where D is the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and f is a superlinear function with critical growth.

Keywords. Sub-critical growth, critical growth, super critical growth; Laplacian; Palais-Smale condition; Semilinear Dirichlet problem; unit disc.

Introduction

D be the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We are looking for positive radial solutions of the owing problem: Find u in $C^2(D)\cap C^0(\bar{D})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(r,u) \quad \text{in } D \\ u &> 0 \\ u &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial D \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

ere f is superlinear, $f(r,0)=0$, $(\partial f/\partial t)(r,0)<\lambda_1$ with λ_1 being the first eigenvalue the Dirichlet problem. For $n\geqslant 3$ and f of critical growth, Brezis–Nirenberg [4] died the existence and non-existence of solutions of problem (1·1). For $n=2$, the ical growth is of exponential type whereas in the case of $n\geqslant 3$, it is of polynomial e and the method adopted for $n\geqslant 3$ fails in the case of $n=2$.

arleson–Chang [5] obtained a positive solution for $f(u)=\lambda u \exp(\lambda u^2)$ with $\lambda<\lambda_1$ via a variational method. For growths of type $f(u)=u^m \exp(bu^2)$, Atkinson–etier [3] used the shooting argument to obtain a solution of (1.1). They assumed t $\log f$ is strictly convex for large u.

n this paper we relax the conditions on f and use a variational method to obtain olution of (1.1). Since we are interested in radial solutions, (1.1) is equivalent to finding an u in $C^2(D)\cap C^0(\bar{D})$ with u radial and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 u \equiv -(ru')' &= f(r,u)r \quad \text{in } [0,1) \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{in } [0,1) \\ u'(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where $u' = \mathrm{d}u/\mathrm{d}r$.

The idea of the method is to approximate the energy functional by functionals satisfying Palais–Smale conditions. Then obtain the critical points of these approximate functionals by a constrained minimization problem similar to that of Zeev–Nehari [8] and then pass to the limit. The method of the proof is in the spirit of Brezis–Nirenberg [4]. Here, we also get a constant "a" which is strictly less than the best possible constant and thereby the existence of solutions of (1.2) is guaranteed.

In [1] we also prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of (1.1) when f is odd and of critical growth. Also in [2] we prove the existence of solutions of (1.1) if D is replaced by an arbitrary smooth domain.

2. Statements

Let $E = \{u \in C^1[0,1]; u(1) = 0\}$. For $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$ and u in E define

$$|u|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^1 u^2(r) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r$$

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^1 u'(r)^2 r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Let H_0^α be the completion of E with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. Define the operator L_α by

$$L_\alpha = -\frac{1}{r^\alpha}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(r^\alpha \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Let $(\lambda_\alpha, \phi_\alpha)$ be the first eigenvalue and the corresponding first eigenvector with $\phi_\alpha(0) = 1$ of the following eigenvalue problem.

$$\begin{aligned} &L_\alpha \phi = \lambda \phi \quad \text{in } [0,1] \\ &\phi'(0) = \phi(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

DEFINITION 2.1

Let $f:[0,1]\times[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ be a C^1-function. We say f is of class **A** if

(i) $f(r,0) = 0$.
(ii) There exists a $\delta_0 > 0$ and for $(r,t)\in Q_{\delta_0} \equiv [0,\delta_0]\times[0,\infty)(\partial f/\partial r)(r,t) \geqslant 0$.
(iii) There exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that $f(r,t) < \lambda_1 t$ for all $(r,t)\in[0,1]\times[0,t_0]$.
(iv) There exist constants $t_1 > 0$, $\beta > 2$ such that $\beta F(r,t) \leqslant f(r,t)t$ for all $(r,t)\in[0,1]\times[t_1,\infty)$ where $F(r,t) = \int_0^t f(r,s)\,\mathrm{d}s$.

Let

$$A' = \left\{ f \in A; \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} > \frac{f}{t} \text{ in } [0,1] \times (0,\infty) \right\}.$$

We consider the following three types of functions in our discussions.

Sub-critical: f in A is said to be sub-critical if there exists a $\delta > 0$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{(r,t) \in [0,\delta] \times [0,\infty)} f(r,t) \exp(-\varepsilon t^2) < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

Critical: f in A' is said to be critical if there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

(i) $f(r,t) = h(r,t) \exp(b(r) t^2) \quad \forall (r,t) \in Q_{\delta_1} \equiv [0, \delta_1] \times [0,\infty)$
(ii) $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_{(r,t) \in Q_{\delta_1}} h(r,t) \exp(-\varepsilon t^2) < \infty \tag{2.4}$$

(iii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $h(0,t) \exp(\varepsilon t^2) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Super critical: $f \in A'$ is said to be super critical if for every $c > 0$

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 = 1} \int_0^1 f(r, cw) wr \, \mathrm{d}r = \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

For $f \in A$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, let $\sum_\alpha$ be the set of C^2-solutions of the following problem

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha u &= f(r,u) \quad \text{in } [0,1] \\ u &> 0 \\ u'(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

DEFINITION 2.2

u in $H_0^1(D)$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.2) if

(i) $u > 0$ in $[0,1)$

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_0^1 f(r,u) ur \, \mathrm{d}r < \infty$ (2.7)

(iii) $\forall \phi \in C^2[0,1]$ with $\phi(1) = 0$

$$\int_0^1 u(L_1 \phi) r \, \mathrm{d}r = \int_0^1 f(r,u) \phi r \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Since we are interested in only positive solutions of (1.2) and hence extending f for $t \leq 0$ is irrelevent. Therefore we make the following conventions.

1) Whenever we say f is in A, then we extend f by $f(r,t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$ and $r \in [0,1]$.
2) Whenever we say f is in A', then we extend f by $f(r,t) = -f(r,-t)$ for $t \leq 0$. (2.8)

For u in H_0^α, define

$$\bar{I}_\alpha(u) = \tfrac{1}{2}\|u\|_\alpha^2 - \int_0^1 F(r,u) r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r. \tag{2.9}$$

$$l_\alpha = \inf_{\Sigma_\alpha} \bar{I}_\alpha.$$

Then we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be in A. Then there exists an $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that for every $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, Σ_α is non-empty and $\{l_\alpha\}$ is bounded. Let $l = \underline{\lim}_{\alpha \to 1} l_\alpha$. Suppose there exists $b > 0$, $M > 0$ such that*

(i) $f(r,t) \leqslant M \exp(bt^2)$ *for all* $(r,t) \in [0,\delta] \times [0,\infty)$
(ii) $bl < 1$. (2.10)

Then there exists a solution u of (1.2).

COROLLARY 2.1

If f is sub-critical, then there exists a solution.

Proof. If f is sub-critical, we can take b as small as we want and satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem (2.1). Hence the solution exists.

Criterion to satisfy (2.10). Let f be in A satisfying (i) of Theorem (2.1). Suppose there exists an $m > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{1/2} F\left(r, \frac{m}{2}\right) r \, \mathrm{d}r \geqslant 2m^2.$$

$$2m^2 b < 1 \tag{2.11}$$

Then f satisfies (ii) of Theorem (2.1).

For f in A^1 and for $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, define

$$B_\alpha = \left\{ u \in H_0^\alpha \backslash \{0\}; \|u\|_\alpha^2 \leqslant \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

$$\partial B_\alpha = \left\{ u \in B_\alpha; u \geqslant 0; \|u\|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

$$B_1 = \left\{ u \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty \backslash \{0\}; \|u\|_1^2 \leqslant \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

$$B_1^* = \left\{ u \in H_0^1 \backslash \{0\}; u \text{ is non-increasing, } \|u\|_1^2 \leqslant \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

$$\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*) = \left\{ u \in B_1 \cup B_1^*; u \geqslant 0; \|u\|_1^2 = \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

$$B_{01} = \{ u \in B_1;\ u \text{ is constant in a nhd of zero} \}.$$

$$\partial B_{01} = \left\{ u \in B_{01}; u \geqslant 0, \|u\|_1^2 = \int_0^1 f(r,u) u r \, \mathrm{d}r \right\}$$

For $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1, f \in A'$, u in H_0^α, define

$$I_\alpha(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 f(r,u)ur^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 F(r,u)r^\alpha \, dr \tag{2.13}$$

since $f \in A'$; $f(r,t)t - 2F(r,t) \geqslant 0$ for all $(r,t) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$, hence $I_\alpha(u) \geqslant 0$. Define a_α by

$$\frac{a_\alpha^2}{2} = \inf_{\Sigma_\alpha} I_\alpha. \tag{2.14}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let f be in A'. Then there exists an $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that for $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, Σ_α is non-empty and $\{a_\alpha\}$ is bounded and satisfying*

$$\frac{a_\alpha^2}{2} = \inf_{B_\alpha} I_\alpha(u) = \inf_{\partial B_\alpha} I_\alpha(u). \tag{2.15}$$

Case 1. If f is super critical then $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} a_\alpha = 0$.

Case 2. If f is critical and suppose there exists a $t_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left.\begin{aligned} & t_2 h\left(0, \left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2} t_2\right) > 2\left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \exp(-t_2) < \delta_1 \quad [\text{see } (2.4)] \end{aligned}\right. \tag{2.16}$$

then $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} a_\alpha = a$ exists and is non-zero. Moreover there exists u satisfying (1.2) such that

$$I_1(u) = \frac{a^2}{2} = \inf_{B_1 \cup B_1^*} I_1 = \inf_{B_{01}} I_1 = \inf_{\partial B_{01}} I_1 \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 2.1. Suppose there exists a sequence $t_n \to \infty$ such that $h(0, t_n)t_n \to \infty$, then (2.16) is satisfied.

Examples

1. *Carleson–Chang.* Let $f_\lambda(t) = \lambda t \exp(\lambda t^2)$ for $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$. Then f_λ is in A' and satisfies (2.16). Hence (1.1) has a solution.

2. *Atkinson–Peletier.* $f(t) = t^m \exp(bt^2)$, $m > 1$, $b > 0$. Then f is in A' satisfying (2.16). Hence (1.1) has a solution.

3. $f(t) = \lambda t^m \exp(bt^2 + \sin t^2)$, $\quad b \geqslant 1$

   $m = 1, \quad 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1,$

   $m > 1, \quad \lambda > 0.$

Then f is in A' and satisfying (2.16). Hence (1.1) has a solution. Here $\log f$ is not convex for large t.

4. Let $b(r)$ be a C^1-function on $[0,1]$ such that $0 \leqslant b(r) \leqslant 1$, $b(r) \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of zero. Let $f(r,t) = t^m \exp(b(r)t^2 + (1 - b(r))\exp(t))$. Then f is in A' satisfying (2.16). Hence (1.1) has a solution.

3. Proofs of theorems (2.1) and (2.2)

Lemma 3.1. *For* $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, *we have*

(i) H_0^α *is compactly embedded in* $C[0,1]$.
(ii) $\lambda_\alpha < \lambda_1$ *and* $\lambda_\alpha \to \lambda_1$ *as* $\alpha \to 1$
(iii) u *in* H_0^1, $r_1 < r_2$,

$$|u(r_1) - u(r_2)|^2 \leqslant \|u\|_1^2 \log\frac{r_2}{r_1}.$$

Proof. Let $r_1 \leqslant r_2$ and u is in H_0^α. Then by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned}|u(r_2) - u(r_1)|^2 &= \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} u'(r)\,\mathrm{d}r\right)^2\\ &\leqslant \|u\|_\alpha^2 \int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{-\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &= \|u\|_\alpha^2 \frac{r_2^{1-\alpha} - r_1^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Hence (i) follows from (3.1) and Arzela–Ascoli's theorem. Let u is in H_0^1, then

$$\begin{aligned}|u(r_2) - u(r_1)|^2 &= \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} u'(r)\,\mathrm{d}r\right)^2\\ &\leqslant \|u\|_1^2 \left(\int_{r_1}^{r_2} r^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}r\right)\\ &= \|u\|_1^2 \log\frac{r_2}{r_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This proves (iii).

We have

$$-(r\phi_\alpha')' = \lambda_\alpha \phi_\alpha r - (1-\alpha)\phi_\alpha'$$
$$-(r\phi_1')' = \lambda_1 \phi_1 r.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 \int_0^1 \phi_1 \phi_\alpha r\,\mathrm{d}r &= -\int_0^1 (r\phi_1')'\phi_\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &= -\int_0^1 (r\phi_1')'\phi_\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &= \lambda_\alpha \int_0^1 \phi_\alpha \phi_1 r\,\mathrm{d}r - (1-\alpha)\int_0^1 \phi_\alpha' \phi_1\,\mathrm{d}r.\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_\alpha)\int_0^1 \phi_1 \phi_\alpha r\,\mathrm{d}r = -(1-\alpha)\int_0^1 \phi_\alpha' \phi_1\,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Since $\phi'_\alpha \leqslant 0$ and hence $\lambda_\alpha \leqslant \lambda_1$ and $\lambda_\alpha \to \lambda_1$ as $\alpha \to 1$. This proves (ii).

Lemma 3.2. Let f be in A, then there exists an $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that for $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$,

i) *$\bar{I}_\alpha$ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.*
ii) *Let $m > 0$ be such that*

$$\int_0^{1/2} F\left(r, \frac{m}{2}\right) r\,\mathrm{d}r \geqslant 2m^2 \tag{3.3}$$

[*Such a m exists because of the condition* (iv) *of definition* (2.1)].
Then there exists a u_α in $C^2[0,1]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha u_\alpha &= f(r, u_\alpha) \quad \text{in } [0,1) \\ u_\alpha &> 0 \\ u'_\alpha(0) &= u_\alpha(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\bar{I}_\alpha(u_\alpha) \leqslant 2m^2.$$

Proof. Proof of this lemma is standard (see [7]). For the sake of completeness we will prove it.

Step 1. Let u_n in H_0^α be a sequence such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\bar{I}_\alpha(u_n)| \leqslant M \\ &\bar{I}'_\alpha(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta \bar{I}_\alpha(u_n) - \langle \bar{I}'_\alpha(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\quad = \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^1 u'_n(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r - \int_0^1 [\beta F(r, u_n) - f(r, u_n) u_n] r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad \geqslant \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^1 u'_n(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r - \int_{|u_n| \leqslant t_1} [\beta F(r, u_n) - f(r, u_n) u_n] r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad \geqslant \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right) \int_0^1 u'_n(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r + C, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where C is a constant depending only on F. Since $\beta > 2$, (3.5) and (3.6) imply $\{\|u_n\|_\alpha\}$ is bounded. Let u_n converge to u weakly in H_0^α and strongly in $C[0,1]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{I}'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle &= \int_0^1 u'_n(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r - \int_0^1 u'_n(r) u'(r) r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 f(r, u_n)(u_n - u) r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

(3.5) and (3.7) imply

$$\int_0^1 u'_n(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \to \int_0^1 u'(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Hence u_n converges strongly to u and this proves (i).

Step 2. From (ii) of Lemma (3.1) and (iii) of Definition (2.1) there exists an $\alpha_0 < 1$ and a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$F(r,t) \leqslant \frac{\lambda t^2}{2} < \frac{\lambda_\alpha t^2}{2} \quad \text{for all } r \in [0,1], \quad 0 < |t| < t_0. \tag{3.8}$$

Let u in H_0^α be such that

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2 \leqslant \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2} t_0^2. \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.1) and (3.9) we have

$$|u(r)|^2 \leqslant t_0^2. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence (3.8) and (3.10) give

$$F(r,u(r)) \leqslant \frac{\lambda u(r)^2}{2} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_\alpha(u) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\|u\|_\alpha^2 - \int_0^1 F(r,u) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}\|u\|_\alpha^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_0^1 u(r)^2 r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}\left[\|u\|_\alpha^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_\alpha}\|u\|_\alpha^2\right] \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_\alpha}\right)\|u\|_\alpha^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence zero is a local minima.

Step 3. Define u_0 in H_0^0 by

$$u_0(r) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{m}{2} & 0 \leqslant r < \tfrac{1}{2} \\ m(1-r) & \tfrac{1}{2} \leqslant r \leqslant 1 \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_\alpha(u_0) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_{1/2}^1 m^2 r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r - \int_0^1 F(r,u_0) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant \frac{m^2}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}}\right) - \int_0^{1/2} F(r,u_0) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant \frac{m^2}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}}\right) - \int_0^{1/2} F\left(r,\frac{m}{2}\right) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant \frac{m^2}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}}\right) - 2m^2 < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$,

$$\bar{I}_\alpha(tu_0) \leqslant \frac{t^2}{2} \|u_0\|_\alpha^2$$
$$\leqslant \frac{m^2}{2(1+\alpha)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{1+\alpha}}\right) \leqslant 2m^2 \tag{3.15}$$

Hence $\bar{I}_\alpha$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Mountain pass theorem and hence there exists a critical point u_α of $\bar{I}_\alpha$ such that

$$\bar{I}_\alpha(u_\alpha) \leqslant \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \bar{I}_\alpha(tu_0).$$

Now from (3.15) it follows that

$$\bar{I}_\alpha(u_\alpha) \leqslant 2m^2$$

and u_α satisfies (3.4).

Lemma 3.3. Let f be in A', then there exists $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that for all $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, $\sum_\alpha$ is non-empty and an $u_\alpha \in \sum_\alpha$ satisfying

$$\frac{a_\alpha^2}{2} = I_\alpha(u_\alpha) = \inf_{u \in \partial B_\alpha} I_\alpha(u) = \inf_{u \in B_\alpha} I_\alpha(u) \tag{3.16}$$

and for all w in H_0^α, $\|w\|_\alpha = 1$,

$$\int_0^1 f(r, a_\alpha w) w r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r \leqslant a_\alpha. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Let u be in B_α. Define $\gamma \leqslant 1$ such that

$$\|u\|_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^1 f(r, \gamma u) u r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.18}$$

Such a γ exists because $f(r,t)/t$ is an increasing function and u is in B_α and $|f(r,t)| < \lambda_\alpha |t|$ for $|t| < t_0$; $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$.

Define $v = \gamma u$, then

$$\|v\|_\alpha^2 = \gamma^2 \|u\|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^1 f(r, \gamma u)(\gamma u) r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \int_0^1 f(r, v) v r^\alpha \, \mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.19}$$

Hence v is in ∂B_α and since $\gamma \leqslant 1$, and $f \in A'$, we have

$$I_\alpha(v) = I_\alpha(\gamma u) \leqslant I_\alpha(u).$$

this together with $\partial B_\alpha \subset B_\alpha$ imply that

$$d_\alpha = \inf_{\partial B_\alpha} I_\alpha = \inf_{B_\alpha} I_\alpha. \tag{3.20}$$

Let u_n in ∂B_α be a sequence such that $u_n \geqslant 0$ and $I_\alpha(u_n) \to d_\alpha$. Such a sequence exists because for u in ∂B_α implies $|u|$ is in ∂B_α and $I_\alpha(u) = I_\alpha(|u|)$.

We claim that $\{\|u_n\|_\alpha\}$ is bounded. Let N be such that for all $n \geqslant N$,

$$d_\alpha \leqslant I_\alpha(u_n) \leqslant d_\alpha + 1 \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha + 1 \geqslant I_\alpha(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 [f(r, u_n)u_n - 2F(r, u_n)] r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 [f(r, u_n)u_n - \beta F(r, u_n)] r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1\right)\int_0^1 F(r, u_n) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

From (iv) of Definition (2.1), there exists a constant C depending only on f such that for all v in H_0^α,

$$\int_0^1 [f(r, v)v - \beta F(r, v)] r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \geqslant C. \tag{3.23}$$

From (3.22) and (3.23) there exists a constant C_1 independent of n such that

$$\int_0^1 F(r, u_n) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant C_1. \tag{3.24}$$

From (3.21) and (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_\alpha^2 &= 2I_\alpha(u_n) + 2\int_0^1 F(r, u_n) r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant 2(d_\alpha + 1) + 2C_1 \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the claim.

Let $u_\alpha =$ weak limit of u_n and α_0 be as in Lemma (3.2). We claim that for $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, $u_\alpha \in \sum_\alpha$ satisfying (3.16).

First we will show that u_α is non-zero. Suppose $u_\alpha \equiv 0$, then from Lemma (3.1). u_n converges to 0 in $C[0, 1]$. Let N be an integer such that

$$u_n(r) < t_0 \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant N,\ r \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.25}$$

Then from (iii) of Definition (2.1) and the choice of α_0,

$$f(r, u_n(r)) < \lambda_\alpha u_n(r). \tag{3.26}$$

Since $u_n \in \partial B_\alpha$, we have from (3.26)

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 &= \int_0^1 f(r, u_n) u_n r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \\ &< \lambda_\alpha \int_0^1 u_n(r)^2 r^\alpha \,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and hence $u_\alpha \not\equiv 0$ and

$$I_\alpha(u_\alpha) = \lim_{n\to\infty} I_\alpha(u_n) = d_\alpha$$

$$\|u_\alpha\|_\alpha^2 \leqslant \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|u_n\|_\alpha^2 = \int_0^1 f(r, u_\alpha)u_\alpha r^\alpha \, dr, \tag{3.27}$$

u_α is in ∂B_α. If not, then by (3.27) we can choose a $\gamma < 1$ such that

$$\|u_\alpha\|^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}\int_0^1 f(r, \gamma u_\alpha)u_\alpha r^\alpha \, dr.$$

Then γu_α is in ∂B_α and

$$d_\alpha \leqslant I(\gamma u_\alpha) < I(u_\alpha) = d_\alpha.$$

This proves that u_α is in ∂B_α. Since u_α is a minimizer and hence there exists a real number ρ such that for all ϕ in H_0^α,

$$\int_0^1 u_\alpha'(r)\phi'(r)r^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 f(r, u_\alpha)\phi r^\alpha \, dr$$
$$= \rho\left\{2\int_0^1 u_\alpha'(r)\phi'(r)r^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 f(r, u_\alpha)\phi r^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(r, u_\alpha)u_\alpha \phi r^\alpha \, dr\right\}. \tag{3.28}$$

Putting $\phi = u_\alpha$ in (3.28) and using the fact that $u_\alpha \in \partial B_\alpha$, we have

$$\rho\left\{2\int_0^1 u_\alpha'(r)^2 r^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 f(r, u_\alpha)u_\alpha r^\alpha \, dr - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(r, u_\alpha)u_\alpha(r)^2 r^\alpha \, dr\right\} = 0.$$

Since u_α is in ∂B_α, we have

$$\rho\int_0^1 \left[\frac{f(r, u_\alpha)}{u_\alpha} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(r, u_\alpha)\right]u_\alpha(r)^2 r^\alpha \, dr = 0.$$

Since f is in A', and u is not zero, it implies that $\rho = 0$. Hence from (3.28) and by regularity of elliptic operator, it follows that u_α is in $\sum_\alpha$ and $I_\alpha(u_\alpha) = d_\alpha$. Since $\sum_\alpha \subset \partial B_\alpha$, we have $a_\alpha^2/2 = \inf_{\sum_\alpha} I_\alpha = I_\alpha(u_\alpha) = d_\alpha$ and this proves (3.16). Let $\|w\|_\alpha = 1$. Choose $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$1 = \frac{1}{\gamma}\int_0^1 f(r, \gamma w)wr^\alpha \, dr. \tag{3.29}$$

Then γw is in ∂B_α. Hence

$$\frac{a_\alpha^2}{2} \leqslant I_\alpha(\gamma w) \leqslant \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\|w\|_\alpha^2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{2}$$

implies $a_\alpha \leqslant \gamma$. Since f is in A', we have

$$\frac{1}{a_\alpha}\int_0^1 f(r, a_\alpha w)wr^\alpha \,dr \leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma}\int_0^1 f(r, \gamma w)wr^\alpha \,dr = 1$$

i.e.

$$\int_0^1 f(r, a_\alpha w)wr^\alpha \,dr \leqslant a_\alpha$$

proving (3.17).

Lemma 3.4. Let f be in A' and α_0 is as in Lemma (3.3). *Then $\{a_\alpha\}$ is bounded on $[\alpha_0, 1)$. Let $a = \overline{\lim}_{\alpha\to 1} a_\alpha$. Then for all $w \in H_0^1$ with $\|w\|_1 = 1$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 f(r, aw)wr \,dr \leqslant a. \tag{3.30}$$

Proof. From Lemma (3.2) and (3.3) we have $l_\alpha = a_\alpha^2/2$ and $l_\alpha \leqslant 2m^2$. Hence $\{a_\alpha\}$ is bounded on $[\alpha_0, 1)$. Let α_n be a sequence such that $a_{\alpha_n} \to a$ as $\alpha_n \to 1$ and w be in E with $\|w\|_1 = 1$. Let $v_n = w/\|w\|_{\alpha_n}$. Then from (3.17) we have

$$\int_0^1 f(r, a_{\alpha_n} v_n)v_n r^\alpha \,dr \leqslant a_{\alpha_n}.$$

Letting $\alpha_n \to 1$, $v_n \to w$, $a_{\alpha_n} \to a$, we get

$$\int_0^1 f(r, aw)wr \,dr \leqslant a. \tag{3.31}$$

Since f is odd, and hence by Fatou's (3.31) holds for all w in H_0^1.

Lemma 3.5. Let f be in A, $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, $0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1$, and u in $\sum_\alpha$. Then we have

$$u(r) = \frac{1 - r^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\int_0^r f(t, u(t))t^\alpha \,dt + \int_r^1 t^\alpha\left(\frac{1 - t^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha}\right) f(t, u(t))\,dt \tag{3.32}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}u'(\varepsilon)^2 = (1+\alpha)\int_0^\varepsilon F(r, u)r^\alpha \,dr + \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r, u)r^{1+\alpha}\,dr$$

$$+ \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\int_0^\varepsilon u'(r)^2 r^\alpha \,dr - \varepsilon^{1+\alpha}F(\varepsilon, u(\varepsilon)). \tag{3.33}$$

Proof. If $v(r)$ is the right hand side of (3.32), then by differentiating twice, v satisfies

$$L_\alpha v = f(r, u)$$
$$v'(0) = v(1) = 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Hence by uniqueness, $v = u$. This proves (3.32). u is in $\sum_\alpha$, hence

$$(r^\alpha u')' = -f(r, u(r))r^\alpha. \tag{3.35}$$

multiply (3.35) by $ru'(r)$ and integrate from 0 to ε we get

$$\int_0^\varepsilon (r^\alpha u'(r))'u'(r)r\,\mathrm{d}r = -\int_0^\varepsilon f(r,u)u'r^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.36}$$

Since $(\mathrm{d}F/\mathrm{d}r)(r,u(r)) = (\partial F/\partial r)(r,u(r)) + f(r,u(r))u'(r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}u'(\varepsilon)^2 - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\int_0^\varepsilon u'(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r &= -\int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}r}r^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}r^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &= -F(\varepsilon,u(\varepsilon))\varepsilon^{1+\alpha} + (1+\alpha)\int_0^\varepsilon F(r,u)r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r\\ &\quad + \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}r^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}u'(\varepsilon)^2 = (1+\alpha)\int_0^\varepsilon F(r,u)r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}r^{1+\alpha}\,\mathrm{d}r + \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\int_0^\varepsilon u'(r)^2 r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r\\ - F(\varepsilon,u(\varepsilon))\varepsilon^{1+\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.33).

Lemma 3.6. Let f be in A, $\alpha_n \to 1$, u_n is in $\sum_{\alpha_n}$ and a constant M independent of n such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{(i)}\ \ \|u_n\|_{\alpha_n} \leqslant M\\ &\text{(ii)}\ \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n'(1) = \eta \neq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Then there exists a subsequence (still denoted by α_n) such that the weak limit u of u_n in H_0^1 is a weak solution of (1.2). Furthermore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r = \int_0^1 F(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.38}$$

Proof. $\|u_n\|_1 \leqslant \|u_n\|_{\alpha_n} \leqslant M$, hence by going to a subsequence the weak limit u of u_n in H_0^1 exists. From (iii) of Lemma (3.1), u_n converges to u uniformly on compact subsets of $(0,1]$. We claim u is not identically zero. For, if $u \equiv 0$, then, since u_n in $\sum_{\alpha_n}$, we have for $0 < r \leqslant 1$,

$$r^{\alpha_n}u_n'(r) = u_n'(1) + \int_r^1 f(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.39}$$

From (ii) of (3.37) and (3.39) and using $u_n \to 0$ on $[r,1]$ uniformly

$$r\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n'(r) = \eta. \tag{3.40}$$

Hence by Fatou's lemma, and (3.40)

$$\infty = \eta^2\int_0^1 \frac{r\,\mathrm{d}r}{r^2} < \int_0^1 \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n'(r)^2 r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant \underline{\lim}\,\|u_n\|_{\alpha_n}^2 \leqslant M$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $u \not\equiv 0$ and u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -(ru')' &= f(r,u)r \quad \text{in } (0,1] \\ u(1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Now by Fatous, we have

$$\int_0^1 f(r,u)ur\,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant \underline{\lim} \int_0^1 f(r,u_n)u_n r^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant M. \tag{3.42}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 f(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant \int_{u\leqslant 1} f(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_{u>1} f(r,u)ur\,\mathrm{d}r < \infty. \tag{3.43}$$

For any $0<r\leqslant 1$, integrating (3.41) from r to 1, we get

$$ru'(r) = u'(1) + \int_r^1 f(t,u)t\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.44}$$

(3.44) gives $ru'(r)$ is monotone and hence limit $r \to 0$ exists. We claim that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} ru'(r) = 0. \tag{3.45}$$

For, if $\lim_{r\to 0} ru'(r) = C < 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $-u'(r) \geqslant C/r$ for $0 < r \leqslant \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\infty = C^2 \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{r\,\mathrm{d}r}{r^2} \leqslant \int_0^\varepsilon ru'(r)^2\,\mathrm{d}r < \infty.$$

Hence (3.45) is true. Using (3.44) and (3.45) we get

$$u'(1) = -\int_0^1 f(t,u)t\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{3.46}$$

Let ϕ be in $C^2[0,1]$ with $\phi(1)=0$. Multiply ϕ' to (3.44) and integrate from 0 to 1, and using (3.46) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 u'(r)\phi'(r)r\,\mathrm{d}r &= u'(1)(\phi(1)-\phi(0)) + \int_0^1 \phi'(r)\int_r^1 f(t,u)t\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= u'(1)(\phi(1)-\phi(0)) + \int_0^1 f(t,u)\phi(t)t\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\quad - \phi(0)\int_0^1 f(t,u)t\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^1 f(t,u)\phi(t)t\,\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned}$$

and hence u is a weak solution of (1.2).

From (3.33) and (3.37) we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\{(1+\alpha_m)\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r+\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}r^{1+\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r\right\}=\tfrac{1}{2}\eta^2 \tag{3.47}$$

Now multiply $ru'(r)$ to (3.41) and integrate from r to 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\tfrac{1}{2}r^2u'(r)^2+\tfrac{1}{2}u'(1)^2&=-\int_r^1\frac{\mathrm{d}F}{\mathrm{d}t}t^2\,\mathrm{d}t+\int_{r_1}^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}t^2\,\mathrm{d}t\\&=F(r,u(r))r^2+2\int_r^1 F(t,u)t+\int_r^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}t^2\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Since $ru'(r)\to 0$, $\int_0^1 F(t,u)t\,\mathrm{d}t<\infty$, $\partial F/\partial r>0$ in $[0,\delta_0]$ and $\int_{\delta_0}^1(\partial F/\partial t)t^2\,\mathrm{d}t<\infty$, we conclude that $\lim_{r\to 0}F(r,u(r))r^2$ exists and claim that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}F(r,u(r))r^2=0. \tag{3.49}$$

If not, there exists a constant $C>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$F(r,u(r))r^2\geqslant C\quad\text{for all } 0<r<\varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\infty=\int_0^\varepsilon\frac{C}{r}\,\mathrm{d}r\leqslant\int_0^\varepsilon F(r,u(r))r\,\mathrm{d}r<\infty$$

which is a contradiction.

Now using (3.49), (3.48) becomes

$$\tfrac{1}{2}u'(1)^2=2\int_0^1 F(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r+\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u)r^2\,\mathrm{d}r. \tag{3.50}$$

Since $u'(1)=\lim_{n\to\infty}u_n'(1)$, and hence from (3.47) and (3.50) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_0^1 F(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r+\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u)r^2\,\mathrm{d}r\\&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\{(1+\alpha_n)\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r+\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u_n)r^{1+\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

By Fatou's and using (ii) of Definition (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&2\int_0^1 F(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r\leqslant\underline{\lim}\,(1+\alpha_n)\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r\\&\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u)r^2\,\mathrm{d}r\leqslant\underline{\lim}\int_0^1\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n+1}\,\mathrm{d}r.\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

By going to a subsequence, we conclude from (3.51) and (3.52) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+\alpha_n)\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r=2\int_0^1 F(r,u)r\,\mathrm{d}r$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n+1}\,dr=\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u)r^2\,dr.$$

Lemma 3.7. Let f in A' be critical. Then

$$\frac{2}{b(0)}=\sup\left\{c^2;\ \sup_{\|w\|_1\leqslant 1}\int_0^1 f(r,cw)wr\,dr<\infty\right\} \tag{3.53}$$

Proof. $f=h(r,t)\exp[b(r)t^2]$ for (r,t) in Q_{δ_1}.
Let

$$C_0^2=\sup\left\{c^2;\ \sup_{\|w\|_1\leqslant 1}\int_0^1 f(r,cw)wr\,dr<\infty\right\}$$

Step 1. $C_0^2 \not> 2/b(0)$.
If not, then choose $\varepsilon>0$, $c>0$ and a $\delta<(\delta_1,\delta_0)$ such that

$$\frac{2}{b(0)}<c^2<(c+\varepsilon)^2<C_0^2. \tag{3.54}$$

For $r_0\in[0,\delta_1]$, define

$$W_{r_0}(r)=\frac{\log\dfrac{1}{r}}{\left(\log\dfrac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2}}\quad \text{for } r_0\leqslant r\leqslant 1$$

$$W_{r_0}(r)=\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2}\quad \text{for } 0\leqslant r\leqslant r_0. \tag{3.55}$$

Then $\|w_{r_0}\|_1=1$. Since $(\partial f/\partial r)(r,t)\geqslant 0$ in Q_{δ_0}, we have

$$h(0,t)\exp[b(0)t^2]\leqslant h(r,t)\exp[b(r)t^2]\quad\text{in } Q_{\delta_0}.$$

$(c+\varepsilon)^2<C_0^2$ implies that there exists an absolute constant M depending only) and f such that

$$\begin{aligned}
M&\geqslant\int_0^1 f(r,(c+\varepsilon)w_{r_0})w_{r_0}r\,dr\geqslant\int_0^\delta f(r,(c+\varepsilon)w_{r_0})w_{r_0}r\,dr\\
&\geqslant\int_0^{r_0} f\left(0,(c+\varepsilon)\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2}\right)\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2} r\,dr\\
&=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2} h\left(0,(c+\varepsilon)\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2}\right)\exp\left[b(0)(c+\varepsilon)^2\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right]r_0^2\\
&\geqslant\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2} h\left(0,(c+\varepsilon)\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)^{1/2}\right)\exp\left[\varepsilon^2\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)\right]}{r_0^{(c^2b(0)-2)}}\to\infty
\end{aligned}$$

as $r_0\to 0$.

Hence $C_0^2 \leqslant 2/b(0)$.

Step 2. $C_0^2 = 2/b(0)$.
Suppose not, then choose $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta \leqslant \min(\delta_1, \delta_0)$ and for all r in $[0, \delta]$,

$$C_0^2 < (C_0 + \varepsilon)^2 < \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{b(r)}.$$

Let $\| w \|_1 \leqslant 1$, then

$$\int_0^1 f(r, (C_0 + \varepsilon)w) wr \, dr = \int_0^\delta + \int_\delta^1. \tag{3.56}$$

Since $\| w \|_1 = 1$ implies from Lemma (3.1)

$$|w(r)| \leqslant \log \frac{1}{r},$$

hence there exists a constant M_1 such that

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 \leqslant 1} \int_\delta^1 f(r, (C_0 + \varepsilon)w) wr \, dr \leqslant M_1 \tag{3.57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\delta f(r, (C_0 + \varepsilon)w) wr \, dr &\leqslant \int_0^\delta h(r, (C_0 + \varepsilon)w) [\exp(C_0 + \varepsilon)^2 b(r) w^2] wr \, dr \\ &\leqslant \int_0^\delta h(r, (C_0 + \varepsilon)w) [\exp(2 - \varepsilon) w^2] wr \, dr \\ &\leqslant M_2 \int_0^\delta [\exp(2 - \varepsilon/2) w^2] r \, dr \\ &\leqslant M_2 \int_0^\delta r^{\varepsilon/2 - 1} \, dr \leqslant M_3 \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

where

$$M_2 = \sup_{(r,t) \in Q_\delta} h(r, t) t \exp -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} t^2.$$

This implies $C_0 > (C_0 + \varepsilon)$ which is a contradiction. Hence $C_0^2 = 2/b(0)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let f in A' be critical and suppose there exists a $t_0 > 0$ satisfying

$$\exp - t_0^2 < \delta_1$$

$$h\left(0, \left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2}\right) t_0 > 2 \left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2} \tag{3.59}$$

Let $a \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 \leqslant 1} \int_0^1 f(r, aw)wr\,dr \leqslant a \tag{3.60}$$

then $a^2 < 2/b(0)$.

Proof. From Lemma (3.7), $a^2 \leqslant 2/b(0)$. Suppose $a^2 = 2/b(0)$, then take $r_0 = \exp -t_0^2$, w_{r_0} as in (3.55) and from (3.60) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2} = a &\geqslant \int_0^{r_0} f(r, aw_{r_0})w_{r_0} r\,dr \\ &\geqslant \int_0^{r_0} f(0, aw_{r_0})w_{r_0}\,dr \\ &= f(0, at_0)t_0 \frac{r_0^2}{2} \\ &= t_0 h(0, at_0) \exp 2\left(\log\frac{1}{r_0}\right)\frac{r_0^2}{2} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} t_0 h\left(0, \left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2} t_0\right) > \left(\frac{2}{b(0)}\right)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence the result.

Lemma 3.9. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$,

$$\sup_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1} r^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1 - r^{1-\alpha}}{1 - \alpha}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.61}$$

Proof. Let $g(r) = r^{\varepsilon}(1 - r^{1-\alpha}/1 - \alpha)$. Then $g(0) = g(1) = 0$. Let $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that

$$g(r_0) = \sup_{0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1} g(r)$$

then

$$0 = g'(r_0) = \varepsilon r_0^{\varepsilon - 1}\left(\frac{1 - r_0^{1-\alpha}}{1 - \alpha}\right) - r_0^{\varepsilon - \alpha}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1 - r_0^{1-\alpha}}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{r_0^{1-\alpha}}{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore

$$g(r) \leqslant g(r_0) \leqslant \frac{r_0^{1-\alpha+\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Lemma 3.10. Let f in A′ be critical, then

$$\inf_{B_1 \cup B_1^*} I_1 = \inf_{\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*)} I_1 = \inf_{B_{01}} I_1 \tag{3.62}$$

Proof. u is in $B_1 \cup B_1^*$ implies $|u|$ also in $B_1 \cup B_1^*$ and $I_1(u) = I_1(|u|)$. Let $u \in B_1 \cup B_1^*$; choose a $\gamma < 1$ such that

$$\|u\|_1^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}\int_0^1 f(r, \gamma u)ur\,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Then γu is in $\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*)$ and $I_1(\gamma u) \leqslant I_1(u)$. Hence

$$\inf_{B_1 \cup B_1^*} I_1 = \inf_{\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*)} I_1.$$

Now let $u \geqslant 0$ is in $\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*)$. Since f is critical, we have for any $s > 1$

$$\int_0^1 f(r, su)ur\,\mathrm{d}r < \infty.$$

Let $v = su$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_1^2 = s^2\|u\|_1^2 &= s^2\int_0^1 f(r, u)ur\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= s\int_0^1 f\left(r, \frac{v}{s}\right)vr\,\mathrm{d}r < \int_0^1 f(r, v)vr\,\mathrm{d}r \end{aligned} \tag{3.63}$$

because $s > 1$ and $f(r, t)/t$ is increasing.

Choose an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\|v\|_1^2 < \int_\varepsilon^1 f(r, v)vr\,\mathrm{d}r \leqslant \int_0^1 f(r, v)vr\,\mathrm{d}r \tag{3.64}$$

and define

$$v_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} v(\varepsilon) & \text{if } 0 \leqslant r \leqslant \varepsilon \\ v(r) & \text{if } \varepsilon \leqslant r \leqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.65}$$

Then from (3.64) v_ε is in B_{01}.

Now we claim that $I_1(v_\varepsilon) \to I_1(v)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Case 1. If v is in B_1, then $\|v_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leqslant \|v\|_\infty$ and hence by dominated convergence theorem $I_1(v_\varepsilon) \to I_1(v)$.

Case 2. If v is in B_1^*, then $v_\varepsilon \uparrow v$ and hence by Monotone convergence theorem, $I_1(v_\varepsilon) \to I_1(v)$. Hence

$$\inf_{B_{01}} I_1 \leqslant I_1(v_\varepsilon) \to I_1(v) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{3.66}$$

f is critical and is in A', we have for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant 2$

$$f(r, su)su - 2F(r, su) \leqslant 2f(r, 2u)u - 2F(r, 2u)$$

and is in L^1. Hence by dominated convergence theorem,

$$I_1(v) \to I_1(u) \quad \text{as } s \to 1. \tag{3.67}$$

Combining (3.66) and (3.67) we have

$$\inf_{B_{01}} I_1 \leqslant \inf_{\partial(B_1 \cup B_1^*)} I_1 \leqslant \inf_{B_{01}} I_1$$

and hence the result.

Proof of theorem (2.1). From Lemma (3.2), there exists $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that Σ_α is non-empty for $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$ and $\{l_\alpha\}$ is bounded by $2m^2$ where m is given by (3.3). Let $l = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} l_\alpha$.

Let f satisfies (2.10). Let $\eta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha_n \to 1$, u_n in Σ_{α_n} such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} \quad l_{\alpha_n} \to l \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1 \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad l_{\alpha_n} \leqslant \bar{I}_{\alpha_n}(u_n) < \left(l_{\alpha_n} + \frac{\eta}{2} \right). \\ &\text{(iii)} \quad (l_{\alpha_n} + \eta)b \leqslant \gamma < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{\alpha_n \to 1} u_n'(1) \neq 0. \tag{3.69}$$

If not, then $u_n'(1) \to 0$. Since $u_n \in \Sigma_{\alpha_n}$, we have

$$u_n'(1) = -\int_0^1 f(r, u_n) r^{\alpha_n} \, dr \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1.$$

Since for any $0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1$ we have

$$r^\alpha u_n'(r) = u_n'(1) + \int_r^1 f(t, u_n) t^{\alpha_n} \, dt$$

we have

$$\sup_{r \in [0,1]} |r^\alpha u_n'(r)| \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1.$$

This shows for any $0 < r_0 \leqslant 1$,

$$\sup_{r_0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1} |u_n'(r)| \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1. \tag{3.70}$$

This in turn implies

$$\sup_{r_0 \leqslant r \leqslant 1} |u_n(r)| \leqslant \int_{r_0}^1 |u_n'(t)| \, dt \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1. \tag{3.71}$$

From (ii) of definition (2.1) and (3.33) we have

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_0^2 u_n'(\delta_0)^2 = (1+\alpha_n)\int_0^{\delta_0} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r + \int_0^{\delta_0} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(r,u_n) r^{1+\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r$$

$$+\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\int_0^{\delta_0} u_n'(r)^2 r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r - \delta_0^{1-\alpha_n} F(\delta_0, u_n(\delta_0))$$

$$\geqslant (1+\alpha)\int_0^{\delta_0} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r - \delta_0^{1+\alpha_n} F(\delta_0, u_n(\delta_0)) \tag{3.72}$$

Hence by (3.70) and (3.72) we have

$$\int_0^{\delta_0} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1. \tag{3.73}$$

From (3.71) and by dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_{\delta_0}^{1} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1. \tag{3.74}$$

Combining (3.73) and (3.74) we have

$$\int_0^{1} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \to 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1. \tag{3.75}$$

Let N_0 be such that for all $n \geqslant N_0$,

$$\int_0^{1} F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r < \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{3.76}$$

From (ii) and (iii) of (3.68) and (3.76)

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\|u_n\|_{\alpha_n}^2 = \bar{I}_{\alpha_n}(u_n) + \int_0^1 F(r,u_n) r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r$$

$$< \left(l_{\alpha_n} + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) + \frac{\eta}{2} = (l_{\alpha_n} + \eta)$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\gamma}{b}.$$

Hence

$$|u_n(r)|^2 \leqslant \|u\|_1^2 \log\frac{1}{r}$$

$$< 2(l_{\alpha_n} + \eta)\log\frac{1}{r}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{2\gamma}{b}\log\frac{1}{r}. \tag{3.77}$$

From (3.32), (3.70) and (3.77) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(0) &= \int_0^1 t^{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right) f(t,u_n)\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^{\delta_1} t^{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right) f(t,u_n)\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{\delta_1}^1 t^{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right) f(t,u_n)\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &\leqslant M\int_0^{\delta_1} t^{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right)\exp(bu_n^2)\,\mathrm{d}t + M_1 \\ &\leqslant M\int_0^{\delta_1} t^{\alpha_n}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right)\exp\left(2\gamma\log\frac{1}{t}\right)\mathrm{d}t + M_1 \\ &\leqslant M\int_0^{\delta_1} t^{\alpha_n-2\gamma}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right)\mathrm{d}t + M_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_n > 2\gamma - 1 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \text{, large.}$$

Then from (3.61) and (3.78) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(0) &\leqslant M\int_0^{\delta_1} t^{\alpha_n-2\gamma-\varepsilon/2}t^{\varepsilon/2}\left(\frac{1-t^{1-\alpha_n}}{1-\alpha_n}\right)\mathrm{d}t + M_1 \\ &\leqslant \frac{2M}{\varepsilon}\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_n - 2\gamma + 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)} + M_2 \leqslant \frac{4M}{\varepsilon^2} + M_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Hence

$$\|u_n\|_\infty = u_n(0) \leqslant \frac{4M}{\varepsilon^2} + M_1.$$

Since u_n is in Σ_{α_n} and $\{\|u_n\|_\infty\}$ is bounded and hence u_n converges strongly in $C[0,1]$ and in H_0^1 to a function u. From (3.71) $u_n(r)\to 0$ as $\alpha_n\to\infty$ for every $r\neq 0$, we have $u\equiv 0$ and hence $u_n(0)\to 0$. Now choose N large such that $\|u_n\|_\infty \leqslant t_0$ for all $n \geqslant N$. From (iii) of Definition (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha_n}\int_0^1 u_n\phi_{\alpha_n}r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r &= -\int_0^1 (r^{\alpha_n}\phi'_{\alpha_n})u_n\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= -\int_0^1 (r^{\alpha_n}u'_n)'\phi_{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\alpha_n}\int_0^1 u_n\phi_{\alpha_n}r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r &= -\int_0^1 (r^{\alpha_n}u'_n)'\phi_{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_0^1 f(r,u_n)\phi_{\alpha_n}r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r \\ &< \lambda_{\alpha_n}\int_0^1 u_n\phi_{\alpha_n}r^{\alpha_n}\,\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned}$$

and hence a contradiction. This proves the claim. Hence by going to a subsequence, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\alpha_n \to 1} u_n'(1) \neq 0 \\ &l_{\alpha_n} \leqslant \bar{I}_{\alpha_n}(u_n) < 2l_{\alpha_n} \leqslant 4m^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 4m^2 &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 [f(r,u_n)u_n - 2F(r,u_n)]r^{\alpha_n}\,dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 [f(r,u_n)u_n - \beta F(r,u_n)]r^{\alpha_n}\,dr + \frac{\beta-2}{2}\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,dr \\ &\geqslant M_1 + \left(\frac{\beta-2}{2}\right)\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,dr \end{aligned}$$

where M_1 is constant independent of n. Hence $\exists M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,dr \leqslant M_2 \\ &\tfrac{1}{2}\|u_n\|_{\alpha_n}^2 = \bar{I}_{\alpha_n}(u_n) + \int_0^1 F(r,u_n)r^{\alpha_n}\,dr \leqslant 4m^2 + M_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

Hence $\{\|u_n\|_{\alpha_n}\}$ is uniformly bounded. Hence from (3.80) and Lemma (3.6), u_n converges weakly to a non-zero solution u of (1.2).

From condition (i) of Theorem (2.1), we have for every $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, $f(u) \in L^p(D)$ (see Moser [6]). Hence by regularity of elliptic operators, $u \in w^{2,p}(D)$ and hence by Sobolev imbedding u is in $C^1(\bar{D})'$ and hence in $C^2(\bar{D})$. This proves the result.

Remark 3.1. From the proof of Theorem (2.1) it follows that if $m > 0$ is satisfying (2.11), then from Lemma (3.2) $l_\alpha \leqslant 2m^2$ and hence $l \leqslant 2m^2$. Therefore if $2m^2 b < 1$ implies $lb < 1$. This proves the criterion (2.10).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. From Lemma (3.2) there exists $\alpha_0 < 1$ such that $\sum_\alpha$ is non-empty and $\{a_\alpha\}$ is bounded for $\alpha_0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$. Lemma (3.3) gives (2.15).

Case (1). Let f be super critical and $\overline{\lim}_{\alpha \to 1} a_\alpha = a \neq 0$. Then from Lemma (3.4) we have

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 \leqslant 1} \int_0^1 f(r, aw)wr\,dr \leqslant a.$$

contradicting the fact that f is super critical. Hence $a = 0$.

Case 2. If f is critical, let $a = \overline{\lim}_{\alpha \to 1} a_\alpha$. Then from (3.30) it follows that

$$\sup_{\|w\|_1 = 1} \int f(r, aw)wr\,dr \leqslant a$$

and from Lemma (3.8),

$$\frac{a^2}{2} b(0) < 1. \tag{3.82}$$

Now choose an ε and δ positive such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)}\ f(r,t) \leqslant M \exp[(b(0)+\varepsilon)t^2] \quad \text{for all } (r,t) \in Q_\delta. \\ &\text{(ii)}\ \frac{a^2}{2}(b(0)+\varepsilon) < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

Such a choice is possible because of (3.82) and the condition that f is critical.

Since $a_\alpha^2/2 = l_\alpha$, and hence f satisfies (2.10) of Theorem (2.1) with b replaced by $(b(0)+\varepsilon)$ and hence there exists a sequence u_n in $\sum_{\alpha_n}$ and a weak solution u of (1.2) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(iii)}\ I_{\alpha_n}(u_n) \to \frac{a^2}{2} \quad \text{as } \alpha_n \to 1 \\ &\text{(iv)}\ u_n \to u \quad \text{in } H_0^1. \\ &\text{(v)}\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 F(r,u_n) r^n \, dr = \int_0^1 F(r,u) r \, dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.84}$$

In fact (iii) follows from Lemma (3.6). From weak lower semicontinuity of the norm we have

$$\|u\|_1^2 \leqslant \varliminf_{\alpha_n \to 1} \|u_n\|_{\alpha_n}.$$

and hence from (iii) we have

$$I_1(u) \leqslant \varliminf_{\alpha_n \to 1} I_{\alpha_n}(u_n) = \frac{a^2}{2}. \tag{3.85}$$

Let w be in B_{01}. Choose γ_α such that

$$\|w\|_\alpha^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_\alpha} \int_0^1 f(r, \gamma_\alpha w) w r^\alpha \, dr.$$

Such a γ_α exists and $\lim_{\alpha \to 1} \gamma_\alpha = \gamma_1$ exists and is $\leqslant 1$ because w is in B_{01} and $\gamma_\alpha w$ is in B_α. Hence

$$\frac{a_\alpha^2}{2} \leqslant I(\gamma_\alpha w).$$

Taking the $\overline{\lim}$ as $\alpha \to 1$, we get

$$\frac{a^2}{2} \leqslant I_1(\gamma w) \leqslant I_1(w)$$

This implies

$$\frac{a^2}{2} \leqslant \inf_{B_{01}} I_1. \tag{3.86}$$

From Lemma (3.10), (3.85) and (3.86) and using the fact that u is in B_1^*, we get

$$I_1(u) = \frac{a^2}{2} = \inf_{B_{01}} I_1$$

and $a \neq 0$ because $u \not\equiv 0$. This proves Theorem (2.2).

Remark 3.2. Suppose $f(r,t) \leqslant 0$ for $r \in [0,1]$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_0$ and satisfying all other hypothesis on f, then also the Theorems (2.1) and (2.2) are valid.

Acknowledgements

I am extremely thankful to Dr P N Srikanth for having many helpful discussions and also providing me the useful references. I also thank Professor Mancini for many helpful discussions.

References

[1] Adimurthi, Infinite number of solutions of semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growths in the unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$ (in Preparation)

[2] Adimurthi, Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n-Laplacian (preprint)

[3] Atkinson F V and Peletier L A, Ground state and Dirichlet problems for $-\Delta u = f(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **96** (1986) 147–165

[4] Brezis H and Nirenberg L, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983) 437–477

[5] Carleson L and Chang A, On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J Moser, *Bull. Sc. Math. 2° Serie* **110** (1986) 113–127

[6] Moser J, A sharp form of an inequality by N Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20** (1971) 1077–1092

[7] Rabinowitz P H, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics* (AMS) Vol. 65

[8] Zeev-Nehari, On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations, *Trans. AMS* **95** (1960) 101–123