Two 3D supramolecular frameworks assembled from the dinuclear building block: A crystallographic evidence of carboxylate(O)... π interaction

SUDIP MOHAPATRA^a, SYAMANTAK ROY^a, DEBAJYOTI GHOSHAL^b and TAPAS KUMAR MAJI^{a,*}

^aMolecular Materials Laboratory, Chemistry and Physics of Materials Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Jakkur, Bangalore 560 064, India
 ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Jadavpur University, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032, India
 e-mail: tmaji@jncasr.ac.in

MS received 9 January 2014; revised 9 April 2014; accepted 19 April 2014

Abstract. Two new complexes of Mn(II) and Zn(II) have been synthesized using a mixed ligand system and characterized by IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis, single crystal x-ray diffraction and variable temperature magnetic study for one of the compounds. Dimeric coordination structures of $[Mn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(bpe)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (1) and $[Zn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(azpy)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (2) $[2,4-pyrdc = 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylate; bpe = 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane; azpy = 4, 4' azopyridine] are constructed by the bridging of bpe and azpy, respectively and both are extended to a 3D supramolecular structure by non-covalent interactions. In both the cases, unprecedented carboxylate(O)...<math>\pi$ interactions played a crucial role in the organization of the 3D supramolecular assembly. The carboxylate(O)... π interactions are controlled by the C-H... π interactions which were accomplished by the proper modulation of the organic linkers. The formation of these supramolecular frameworks revealed that control of weak interactions can be achieved by the interplay of both energetically strong (covalent) and weak forces (non-covalent).

Keywords. Mn(II) and Zn(II) complex; dinuclear complex; supramolecule; anion- π interactions; antiferromagnetic interaction.

1. Introduction

The design and synthesis of metal-organic frameworks have grown as fields that have been explored rapidly and extensively over the last two decades.^{1–3} A wide range of polynuclear metal complexes or coordination polymers or supramolecular architectures with different dimensionalities (1D/2D/3D) have been discovered in recent years with novel structural topologies and interesting physical and chemical properties.^{4–7} But in spite of the extensive growth of this field with a massive database, there are still some lacunae on the understanding of some supramolecular forces, which play a significant role in building extended architectures.^{8–11} The anion- π interactions are one such supramolecular forces.^{12–15}

The importance of $\pi \dots \pi$ interactions in biological systems,¹⁶ in particular its role in the stabilization of the DNA double helix structure has been well established.¹⁷ The cation- π interactions are also found in nature, where they significantly contribute to the

functionalities of biomolecules.^{18,19} There are several reports of supramolecular architectures of organic or inorganic-organic hybrid systems, where $\pi - \pi$ interactions and cation- π interactions play crucial roles in directing the solid-state structure.¹⁸⁻²³ On the contrary, the concept of an interactions $^{12,24-28}$ or the lonepair- π interaction^{12,29-31} is much less intuitive, since this type of interaction between an electron donor and a π -aromatic cloud is expected to be repulsive.^{32–36} This may be the probable reason for a small literature on anion- π interactions. B P Hay *et al.* showed in a recent perspective through an in-depth analysis that anion- π interaction is extremely rare with charge-neutral π systems.³⁶ Recently, enormous efforts have been paid to recognize anions,³⁷⁻⁴⁰ but suitable hosts which can recognize anions by π interaction are still rare.^{14,15}

Here we wish to present the synthesis and single crystal x-ray structure of two compounds $[Mn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(bpe)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (1) and $[Zn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(azpy)$ ($H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (2) [2,4-pyrdc = 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylate; bpe = 1,2 bis(4-pyridyl)ethane; azpy = 4, 4' azobipyridine] that are dinuclear molecular entities, extended to 3D by non-covalent interactions. In

^{*}For correspondence

the stabilization of these supramolecular frameworks, carboxylate(O)... π interactions, which can be treated as a combination of unusual anion- π interactions^{12,24–28} as well as the lone-pair- π interaction;^{12,29-31} might have played a crucial role. In the solid-state structure of 1, the carboxylate(O)... π interactions make 3D supramolecular architecture whereas in 2 that interaction can extend up to 2D. Moreover, this diversity in supramolecular structures is achieved by another weak force like C-H... π interaction.^{41–43} To the best of our knowledge, these are very rare examples where an interplay of carboxylate(O)... π interaction and C-H... π interactions are responsible for the organization of supramolecular structure. Furthermore, several (O-H...O and O-H...N) H-bonding interactions in 1 and 2 also helped in the stabilization of these supramolecular structures.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

All the reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as supplied without further purification. $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 1,2bis(4-pyridyl)ethane and 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylic acid were obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Co. 4, 4'azopyridine was synthesized according to the reported procedure.

2.2 Synthesis of compounds

The light yellow (for 1) and deep red (for 2) blockshaped single crystals were synthesized in H₂O/MeOH media by the reaction of MnCl₂, bpe and 2,4-pyrdc and Zn(NO₃)₂, azpy and 2,4-pyrdc for 1 and 2, respectively.

2.2a Synthesis of $[Mn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(bpe)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2$ O (1): An aqueous solution (15 mL) of MnCl₂.4H₂O (1 mmol, 0.198 g) was added dropwise to an aqueous solution (5 mL) of disodium salt of 2,4-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (1 mmol, 0.229 g) with constant stirring. Then a methanolic solution (5 mL) of bpe (0.5 mmol; 0.092 g) was slowly poured into the above reaction mixture. Then the whole reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and a yellowish-white compound separated out. Then the reaction mixture was filtered and yellowish white precipitate was discarded and filtrate was kept for slow evaporation. Light yellowish square shaped crystals were formed after one week at ambient condition, which were separated and washed with H₂O. (Yield: 75%). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹); 3424 br, 3151 w, 3070 w, 1658 m, 1604 m, 1556 m, 1392 s, 1091 s, 890 s. Anal. calcd. for $C_{26}H_{34}Mn_2N_4O_{16}$: C, 40.60; H, 4.42; N, 7.28. Found: C, 40.79; H, 4.27; N, 7.45%.

2.2b Synthesis of $[Zn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(azpy)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2$ O (2): Compound **2** was synthesized by adopting the similar procedure as that of compound **1**. Zn (NO₃)₂·6H₂O and azpy were used instead of MnCl₂.4H₂O and bpe, respectively. Deep red square shaped crystals were obtained after two weeks at ambient condition, which were separated and washed with H₂O (Yield: 85%). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹); 3424 br, 3151 w, 3070 w, 1658 m, 1604 m, 1556 m, 1392 s, 1091 s, 890 s. Anal. calcd. for C₂₄H₃₀N₆O₁₆Zn₂: C, 36.48; H, 3.80; N, 10.64. Found: C, 36.56; H, 3.59; N, 10.51%.

2.3 Physical measurement

The elemental analyses were carried out using a Perkin Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer. IR spectra of both the compounds were recorded on a Bruker IFS 66v/S spectrophotometer using the KBr pellets in the region 4000-400 cm⁻¹. The magnetic measurement were carried out on a crystalline sample (\sim 15 mg) of **1** with a quantum design MPMS SQUID magnetometer (applied field 1T) working in the temperature range 2–300 K. Correction for the sample holder was made by previous calibration and diamagnetic corrections were made from Pascal's table.⁴⁴

2.4 X-ray crystallography

For both the compounds, a suitable single crystal was mounted on a glass fiber and coated with epoxy resin. X-ray data collection was carried out on a Rigaku Mercury diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å) and a CCD 2D detector. The size of the unit cell was calculated from the reflections collected on the setting angles of seven frames by changing of 0.5° for each frame. Three different settings were used and were changed by 0.5° per frame and intensity data were collected with a scan width of 0.5°. Empirical absorption correction by using REQABA⁴⁵ was performed for both complexes. The structures were solved by direct methods by using the SIR-92 program⁴⁶ and expanded by using Fourier techniques.⁴⁷ For 1, calculations were carried out using SHELXL 97,48 SHELXS 97,49 PLATON50 and WinGX system, Ver 1.70.01.⁵¹ Whereas for 2, it was performed using the teXsan crystallographic software package from Molecular Structure Corp.⁵² and PLATON.⁵⁰ In both

the cases hydrogen atoms could be located in the difference Fourier map and could be included in the final refinement. All the non-hydrogen atoms in both cases were refined anisotropically. Final refinement included atomic positions for all the atoms, anisotropic thermal parameters for all the non-hydrogen atoms, isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms. Crystallographic and structure refinements parameters for 1 and 2 are given in table 1. Selected bond lengths, angles, Hbonding parameters for both the compounds (1 and 2) are reported in tables 2–5.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structural description of 1

Compound 1 crystallizes in triclinic $P\bar{1}$ space group (table 1) and structure determination reveals that a dimeric molecular complex of Mn^{II} comprised 2,4pyrdc, bpe and water molecules. Mn1 atom is connected to its symmetry related counterparts through the bpe linker forming a dinuclear molecular entity, [Mn₂(2,4pyrdc)₂(bpe)(H₂O)₆] (figure 1a). Each octahedral Mn^{II} is chelated to one 2,4-pyrdc through N1 and O4 atoms, coordinated to three water molecules (O1, O2 and O3) and one nitrogen (N2) atom from the bpe linker forming the MnN₂O₄ chromophore. Mn1-O and Mn1-N bond distances are in the range of 2.1487(15)-2.1921(16) and 2.2210(14)-2.2717(14) Å, respectively (table 2). Separation between two Mn^{II} centres through the bpe linker is about 13.495 Å. The non-coordinating pendant carboxylate groups of 2,4-pyrdc in the dinuclear entity play a crucial role in the formation of the supramolecular structure. Each dinuclear entity undergoes Hbonding (05...02, 04...03) along the *a*-axis forming a 1D chain structure (figure 2a, table 3). 1D chains are further connected by the O7...O1 H-bonding interactions forming a 2D sheet, lying on the face diagonal of the crystallographic *ab* plane (figure 3 (marked area)). The 2D sheet undergoes further H-bonding interactions mediated by the crystalline water molecule (O8, O1-O8-O7) resulting in a 3D supramolecular framework (figure 3, table 3). Mn... Mn separation along the 1D chain is 6.163 Å and in the 2D sheet is about 7.352 Å. Apart from H-bonding, the free carboxylate oxygen atoms (O6, O7) are involved in a mutual interaction with the π electrons of pyridine rings of adjacent dimers (table 6), which further stabilizes the supramolecular structure (figure 4). There is also C-H... π interaction between the H atom of bpe (H14) and the pyridine ring of 2,4-pyrdc (table 6), which facilitates the orientation of the dimeric fragment in such a way that it not

 Table 1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for 1 and 2.

Complex	1	2
Empirical formula	C ₂₆ H ₃₄ N ₄ O ₁₆ Mn ₂	C ₂₄ H ₃₀ N ₆ O ₁₆ Zn ₂
Molecular weight	768.45	789.29
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P\overline{1}$
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.1628(9)	6.047(3)
b (Å)	11.4705(18)	11.635(3)
<i>c</i> (Å)	12.3489(17)	11.7480(10)
α (°)	75.303(10)	74.01(10)
β (°)	71.520(9)	78.580(10)
γ (°)	76.816(11)	73.61(6)
V (Å ³)	790.4(2)	755.8(6)
Ζ	1	1
<i>T</i> (K)	293	293
$\lambda (Mo K_{\alpha})$	0.71069	0.71069
$D_{\text{calc}} (\text{g cm}^{-3})$	1.614	1.725
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.880	1.674
$\theta_{\max}(^{\circ})$	27.1	31.0
F(000)	396	400
Total data	2834	7035
Data $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	2564	2780
R ^a	0.0351	0.0425
$R_{ m w}^{ m b}$	0.0958	0.0563
GOF	1.05	0.85

 ${}^{a}R = \sum \|F_{o}| - |F_{c}\| / \sum |F_{o}|; {}^{b}R_{w} = \left[\sum \left\{w \left(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\} / \sum \left\{w \left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}\right]^{1/2}$

Mn1-O1	2.1698(15)	Mn1-O2	2.1921(16)
Mn1-O3	2.1487(15)	Mn1-O4	2.1888(14)
Mn1-N1	2.2717(14)	Mn1-N2	2.2210(14)
O1-Mn1-O2	172.56(7)	O1-Mn1-O3	88.69(6)
O1-Mn1-O4	94.12(6)	O1-Mn1-N1	88.99(5)
O1-Mn1 -N2	86.27(5)	O2-Mn1-O3	85.00(6)
O2-Mn1-O4	92.66(6)	O2-Mn1-N1	95.81(6)
O2-Mn1-N2	90.83(6)	O3-Mn1-O4	171.93(6)
O3-Mn1-N1	98.32(6)	O3-Mn1-N2	98.99(6)
O4-Mn1-N1	74.20(5)	O4-Mn1-N2	88.76(5)
N1-Mn1-N2	161.93(5)		

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1.

Table 3. Hydrogen Bonding parameters $(Å, \circ)$ for **1**.

D-HA	D-H	HA	DA	<d-ha></d-ha>
01–H108 ⁱ	0.77(2)	2.06(2)	2.828(2)	174(3)
01–H207 ⁱⁱ	0.79(3)	1.89(3)	2.650(2)	164(3)
O2 – H3 O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.75(3)	2.19(3)	2.934(2)	170(3)
O2– H4O5 ^{iv}	0.79(4)	1.97(4)	2.733(2)	161(3)
O3– H5 O4 ^{iv}	0.91(3)	2.07(3)	2.951(2)	162(2)
O3– H6 O8	0.86(3)	1.81(3)	2.663(2)	178.0(12)
08– H16 07 ^v	0.82(4)	1.95(4)	2.767(3)	171(4)
O8– H17 O6 ⁱⁱ	0.88(3)	1.83(3)	2.667(2)	159(3)

Symmetry code: i = 1 - x, 2 - y, 2 - z; ii = 2 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z; iii = 2 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; iv = -1 + x, y, z; v = 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z; vi = -1 + x, 1 + y, z

Table 4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 2.

Zn1-O1	2.144(4)	Zn1-O2	2.079(4)
Zn1-O3	2.075(3)	Zn1-O4	2.113(3)
Zn1-N1	2.140(4)	Zn1-N2	2.117(4)
N1-Zn1-N2	166.97(18)	O1-Zn1-O3	86.03(16)
O1-Zn1-O2	176.31(16)	O1-Zn1-N1	89.73(17)
O1-Zn1-O4	90.43(15)	O2-Zn1-O3	90.29(16)
O1-Zn1-N2	91.75(17	O2-Zn1-N1	90.51(17)
O2-Zn1-O4	93.22(15)	O3-Zn1-O4	174.71(15)
O2-Zn1-N2	88.84(17)	O3-Zn1-N2	94.88(17)
O3-Zn1-N1	98.14(17)	O4-Zn1-N2	89.15(16)
O4-Zn1-N1	77.90(16)		

Table 5. Hydrogen bonding parameters $(Å, \circ)$ for **2**.

D-HA	D-H	HA	DA	<d-ha></d-ha>
O3– H12O8 ⁱ	0.9500	1.8900	2.679(5)	139.00
O3– H13 O4 ⁱⁱ	0.9500	1.9800	2.896(5	161.00
O2– H16 O8 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.9500	2.5500	3.421(5)	153.00
O2–H17 O8 ^{iv}	0.9500	2.4300	2.764(5)	100.00
O1–H18 O4 ^v	0.9500	2.5100	2.897(4)	105.00
O1–H18 N2 ^v	0.9500	2.3900	3.321(5)	168.00
O1– H19 O4 ⁱⁱ	0.9500	2.5300	3.377(5)	149.00

Symmetry code: i = -1 + x, y, -1 + z; ii = -1 + x, y, z; iii = x, y, -1 + z; iv = x, -y, 1 - z, v = -x, -y, 1 - z.



Figure 1. Dimeric fragment of (a) $[Mn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(bpe)(H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (1) and (b) $[Zn_2(2,4-pyrdc)_2(azpy) (H_2O)_6]\cdot 2H_2O$ (2) (color code: Mn; green, Zn; brick red, C; grey, N; blue, O; pink).



Figure 2. H-bonded 1D supramolecular array in (**a**) **1** and (**b**) **2** (color code: Mn; light green, Zn; cyan, C; white, N; blue, O; red, H; grey).



Figure 3. Supramolecular 3D continuum constructed by H-bonding in 1.

	Y-X->R(i)	\perp distance of X–on R(<i>i</i>) [Å]	XR, [Å]	<y-xr, [°]<="" th=""><th>YR, [Å]</th></y-xr,>	YR, [Å]
1 ^a	$C(13)-H(14) \rightarrow R(1)^{i}$	2.78(5)	2.79(3)	159(3)	3.723(2)
	$C(5)-O(6) \rightarrow R(2)^{ii}$	3.414(5)	3.453(2)	126.18(13)	4.302(2)
	$C(5)-O(7) \rightarrow R(1)^{iii}$	3.506(5)	3.5924(18)	84.32(11)	3.6853(19)
2 ^b	$C(5)-O(6) \rightarrow R(2)^i$	3.330	3.4043	142	4.4314
	$C(5)-O(7) \rightarrow R(1)^{ii}$	3.424	3.5445	88	3.7249
	$O(1)-H(18) \rightarrow R(2)^{iii}$	2.39	2.64	141	3.4335

Table 6. C-H... π /Carboxylate- π (O... π) Interactions for 1 and 2.

^aSymmetry code: (i) x, 1 + y, z; (ii) 1 + x, -1 + y, z; (iii) 2 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z.

^bSymmetry code: (i) x, 1 + y, z; (ii) -x, 1 - y, -z; (iii) -x, -y, 1 - z.

* $\dot{Y} = C/\dot{O}$; X = H/O depending upon the type of interactions whereas R(i) denote the *i*-th rings of 2,4-pyrdc/bpe/azpy in 1 and 2: R(1) = N1/C1/C3/C4/C6/C7; R(2) = N2/C8/C9/C10/C11/C12.

only relates to the adjacent dimers to form a 2D sheet but is also extended into a 3D supramolecular entity. Figure 4 represents a part of the 2D sheets which shows the organization of carboxylate(O)... π interactions in 1. When this supramolecular assembly is viewed along the *a*-axis, a rectangular π -directed channel is observed, occupied by the lattice water molecules (figure 5). Therefore, the dinuclear fragment acts as a building unit for the higher dimensional structure through non-covalent interactions where carboxylate(O)... π interactions play a crucial role.

3.2 Structural description of 2

Compound **2** also crystallizes in triclinic $P\bar{1}$ space group (table 1) and structure determination reveals an almost similar structure as that of compound **1** (figure 1b), where dinuclear Zn^{II} complex is bridged by the azpy organic linker instead of bpe. Each octahedral Zn^{II} atom is connected to a one chelated 2,4-pyrdc (N1, O4), three water molecules (O1, O2 and O3) and one



Figure 4. Carboxylate(O)... π interaction and C-H... π interactions in 1 (water molecules has been removed for clarity; magenta and sky blue dotted lines indicate the intra-sheet and inter-sheet O... π interactions, respectively whereas the violet dotted line indicates C-H... π interactions).

nitrogen atom (N2) from the azpy linker forming the ZnN_2O_4 chromophore. Zn1 atom is bridged with its symmetry related counterparts through the azpy linker at a distance of 13.115 Å. In the dinuclear fragment, Zn1-O and Zn1-N bond distances are in the range of 2.075(3)–2.144(4) Å, and 2.117(4)–2.140(4) Å, respectively, which are slightly smaller than that of the Mn-O and Mn-N bond distances in complex 1 (table 4). The degree of distortion from the ideal octahedral geometry is reflected in the *cisoid* and *transoid* angles that are



Figure 5. The *a*-axis projection of the 3D supramolecular continuum in **1** constructed by, carboxylate(O)... π interaction and C-H... π interactions (coordinated water molecules have been removed for clarity whereas O atom of lattice water molecules are shown as yellow balls; magenta dotted lines indicate both O... π interactions and C-H... π interaction).

in the range of 77.90(16)-98.14(17)° and 166.97(18)- $176.31(16)^{\circ}$, respectively (table 4). This is very common for an octahedral d¹⁰ system. The H-bonding patterns in 2 are almost similar to that of 1 (figure 2b, table 5). The dinuclear entities stack along the *a*-axis through H-bonding interactions to form 1D chains which are further linked through H-bonding to form an overall 3D supramolecular architecture (figure 2b and 6, table 5). Here in 2, one intra-molecular O-H... π interaction is present between the H atom of one of the coordinated water molecule and the nearest ring of azpy, which is not observed in case of 1 (table 6). Similar to that of $\mathbf{1}$, the free carboxylate oxygen atoms (O6, O7) made a mutual interaction with the π electrons of pyridine ring of adjacent dimers (table 6), and stabilized the supramolecular structure. But in 2 C-H... π , interaction is not observed due to the modification of -CH2- CH_2 - to -N=N- moiety in the ligand structure. The azpy is almost same in the length and geometry like bpe, but does not have the methyne hydrogen, which had taken part in the C-H... π interaction in the case of **1**. Due to a lack of this C-H... π interaction, the pyridine ring of 2,4-pyrdc are in a suitable orientation to interact with the adjacent dimers to form a 2D sheet only. Figure 7 represents a part of 2D sheets showing carboxylate(O).... π interactions. The non-existence of C-H... π interactions probably help the pyridine rings of 2,4-pyrdc to interact through the sheet, which is unavailable in 1 due to the offset orientation for C-H... π interactions.

3.3 Magnetic property of **1** and magneto-structural correlations

The temperature dependence (300–2 K) of χ_M , $1/\chi_M$ and $\chi_M T$ for complex **1** is given in figure 8 (χ_M is the magnetic susceptibility for two Mn^{II} ion). The χ_M value of **1** at 300 K is 0.03073 cm³ mol⁻¹ ($\chi_M T = 9.2276$ cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 300 K) and is in good agreement with the expected values for an isolated dimer of spin S = 5/2



Figure 7. Carboxylate(O)... π interaction and C-H... π interactions in **2** (water molecules have been removed for clarity; magenta dotted line indicates the intra-sheet O... π interactions).

 $(0.02913 \text{ cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1})$ system.^{44,53} With the decrease of temperature, the χ_M value shows a smooth increase up to 30 K (0.26567 $\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}$) and then exhibits a sharp and steep increase upon further cooling and at 2 K the value being 3.03936 cm³ mol⁻¹ (figure 8). The $\chi_M T$ vs T plot shows a gradual decrease in the value of $\chi_M T$ from 300-12 K and then a steep decrease in the value and finally at 2K it becomes $6.0889 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}$ (figure 8). The shape of these curves is characteristic of the occurrence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions between the Mn(II) centre connected by the long bridging ligand. There is no maxima in the χ_M vs T curve, indicating no long-range antiferromagnetic order, at least at T > 2 K.⁵⁴ The $1/\chi_{\rm M}$ vs T plot has good agreement with Currie-Weiss equation within the temperature range of 2 to 300 K. The fitting of the Currie-Weiss equation $(1/\chi_{\rm M} = (T - \theta)/C)$ provides the Curie's constant C = 9.00333 cm³ K mol⁻¹ (for dimeric unit) and $\theta = -2.94$ K (figure 8). The nature of the $\chi_{\rm M}$ vs T plot and $\chi_{\rm M}T$ vs T plot and the negative θ value are suggesting that there is an antiferromagnetic interaction between the Mn(II) centres connected by a long bridging ligand.⁴⁴



Figure 6. Supramolecular 3D continuum constructed by H-bonding in 2.



Figure 8. The plots of χ_M and $\chi_M T$ vs T for 1. Inset: The plot of $1/\chi_M$ vs T for 1. The red lines indicate the best fit obtained.

In spite of the high metal-metal distance through bpe (13.495 Å) in the dimeric fragment, it is reasonable to analyse the molar magnetic susceptibility data for the dinuclear cation assuming very weak electronic exchange between the Mn^{II} centers. Assuming the isotropic exchange, the exchange Hamiltonian is $H = -2JS_1.S_2$ with $S_1S_2 = 5/2$ and the magnetic susceptibility per cation is given by the equation (1)⁵⁵ where *N*, *g*, β , *k* are the Avogadro number, *g* factor, Bohr magneton and Boltzman constant, respectively. Here $x = \exp(-J/kT)$ and *J* is the exchange coupling constant for the dinuclear cation.

$$\chi_M = \frac{2Ng^2\beta^2}{kT} \left[\frac{55 + 30x^2 + 14x^{18} + 5x^{24} + x^{28}}{11 + 9x^{10} + 7x^{18} + 5x^{24} + 3x^{28} + x^{30}} \right]$$
(1)

The best fit parameters are given by the superexchange parameter, $J = -0.078 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, g = 1.99 and the discrepancy factor $R = 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ which is defined as $\left\{\sum \left[(\chi_{\rm M})_{\rm obs} - (\chi_{\rm M})_{\rm calc}\right]^2 / \sum (\chi_{\rm M})_{\rm obs}^2\right\}$ (figure 8). This very small *J* value is due to the weakly coupled Mn^{II} centres in the complex **1** and which is comparable with those reported for divalent Mn(II) systems connected by a long bridging ligand.^{53,56–58}

4. Conclusion

The physical viability of forming anion- π interaction is less, as the order of stability in the interactions of two π systems is π -deficient- π -deficient> π -deficient- π -rich> π -rich> π -rich- π -rich.³⁴ But sometimes these interactions may be useful in directing the supramolecular structures along with other cooperative weak interactions. Here we showed the crystallographic evidence of existence of rare carboxylate(O) - π interactions, which acts to propagate the dimeric fragments into extended supramolecular architectures. Moreover, it is important to note that the C-H... π interactions here played a crucial role in controlling the carboxylate (O)- π interactions, which is achieved by a simple modulation of the structure of the organic linker. At the threshold of our understanding in supramolecular interactions, it is possible to speculate such an inter-relation between weakly driven forces, which are the driving force for developing supramolecular architectures. This can be achieved by modulating the coordination structure or simply by modifying a part of it.

Supplementary Information

CCDC 752075 and 752076 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for **1** and **2**, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

S M and S R thank UGC for fellowship. D G thanks JNCASR for visiting fellowship programme. T K M thanks DST, Government of India, for the financial support (fast track proposal).

References

- Batten S R and Robson R 1998 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 37 1461
- Moulton B and Zaworotko M J 2001 Chem. Rev. 101 1629

- 3. Janiak C 2003 Dalton Trans. 2781
- Yaghi O M, O'Keeffe M, Ockwig N W, Chae H K, Eddaoudi M and Kim J 2003 *Nature* 423 705
- 5. Kitagawa S, Kitaura R and Noro S-I 2004 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43 2334
- 6. AakerOy C, Champness N and Janiak C 2010 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 12 22
- 7. Férey G 2009 Dalton Trans. 4400
- 8. Desiraju G R 1996 Acc. Chem. Res. 29 441
- Garau C, Quiñonero D, Frontera A, Escudero D, Ballester P, Costa A and Deyà P M 2007 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 438 104
- 10. Mascal M 2006 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 2890
- 11. Ghoshal D, Ghosh A K, Ribas J, Mostafa G and Ray Chaudhuri N 2005 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 7 616
- 12. Biswas C, Drew M G B, Escudero D, Frontera A and Ghosh A 2009 *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2238
- 13. Vilar R 2003 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 42 1460
- Quiňonero D, Garau C, Rotger C, Frontera A, Ballester P, Costa A and Deyà P M 2002 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41 3389
- 15. de Hoog P, Gamez P, Mutikainen I, Turpeinen U and Reedijk J 2004 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **43** 5815
- 16. Meyer E A, Castellano R K and Diederich F 2003 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 42 1210
- 17. Egli M, Tereshko V, Mushudov G N, Sanishvili R, Liu X Y and Lewis F D 2003 J. Am. Chem. Soc. **125** 108422
- Gallivan J P and Dougherty D A 1999 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96 9459
- 19. Scrutton N S and Raine A R C 1996 Biochem. J. 319 1
- 20. Lehn J-M 1995 Supramolecular chemistry. Concepts and perspectives (Weinheim: VCH)
- 21. Meyer EA, Castellano R K and Diederich F 2003 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **42** 1210
- Ghoshal D, Maji T K, Mostafa G, Sain S, Lu T-H, Ribas J, Zangrando E and Ray Chaudhuri N 2004 *Dalton Trans.* 1687
- 23. Ghosh A K, Ghoshal D, Lu T-H, Mostafa G and Ray Chaudhuri N 2004 *Cryst. Growth Des.* **4** 851
- 24. Gamez P, Mooibroek T J, Teat S J and Reedijk J 2007 Acc. Chem. Res. 40 435
- Götz R J, Robertazzi A, Mutikainen I, Turpeinen U, Gamez P and Reedijk J 2008 Chem. Commun. 29 3384
- 26. Schottel B L, Chifotides H T and Dunbar K R 2008 Chem. Soc. Rev. 37 68
- 27. Hay B P and Bryantsev V S 2008 Chem. Commun. 29 2417
- Kar P, Biswas R, Drew G B M, Frontera and Ghosh A 2012 *Inorg. Chem.* 51 1837
- 29. Egli M and Sarkhel S 2007 Acc. Chem. Res. 40 197
- 30. Gao X-L, Lu L P and Zhu M-L 2009 Acta Cryst. C 65 123
- Mooibroek T J, Gamez P and Reedijk J 2008 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 10 1501
- 32. Maspoch D, Ruiz-Molina D and Veciana J 2004 J. Mater. Chem. 14 2713
- Hunter C A and Sanders J K M 1990 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 5525

- 34. Janiak C 2000 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 3885
- 35. Hunter C A 1994 Chem. Soc. Rev. 23 101
- 36. Hay B P and Custelcean R 2009 Cryst. Growth Des. 9 2539
- 37. Mangani S and Ferraroni M 1997 Supramolecular chemistry of anions (New York: Wiley)
- 38. Beer P D and Gale P 2001 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 40 487
- 39. Ghosh A K, Jana A D, Ghoshal D, Mostafa G and Ray Chaudhuri N 2006 *Cryst. Growth Des.* **6** 701
- 40. Lakshminarayanan P S, Ravikumar I, Suresh E and Ghosh P 2007 *Inorg. Chem.* **46** 4769
- 41. Nishio M 2004 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 6 130
- Janiak C, Temizdemir S, Dechert S, Deck W, Girgsdies F, Heinze J, Kolm M J, Scharmann T G and Zipffel O M 2000 Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1229
- 43. Nishio M, Umezawa Y, Honda K, Tsuboyama S and Suezawa H 2009 Cryst. Eng. Comm. 11 1757
- 44. Khan O 1993 *Molecular magnetism* (New York: VCH)
- 45. Jacobson R A *REQABA Empirical absorption correction Ver. 1.1-0310191998*, Molecular Structure Corp., The Woodlands, TX, 1996–1998
- Altomare A, Burla M C, Camalli M, Cascarano G L, Giacovazzo C, Guagliardi A, Moliterni A G G, Polidori G and Spagna R J 1999 *Appl. Crystallogr.* 32 115
- 47. Beurskens P T, Admiraal G, Beurskens G, Bosman W P, deGelder R, Israel R and Smits J M M 1994 *The DIRDIF-94 Program system: Technical report of the crystallography laboratory* (Nijmegen, The Netherlands: University of Nijmegen)
- 48. Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXL 97, Program for the solution of crystal structure (Germany: University of Gottingen)
- 49. Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXS 97, Program for the refinement of crystal structure (Germany: University of Gottingen)
- 50. Spek A L 2003 PLATON, Molecular geometry program *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **36** 7
- Farrugia L J 1999 WinGX A windows program for crystal structure analysis J. Appl. Crystallogr. 32 837
- 52. *TeXsan crystal structure analysis package* 2000 (The Woodlands, TX: Molecular Structure Corp.)
- 53. Sain S, Maji T K, Mostafa G, Lu T-H and Ray Chaudhuri N 2003 *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **351** 12
- 54. Escuer A, Vicente R, Goher M A S and Mautner F A 1995 *Inorg. Chem.* **34** 5707
- 55. Hatfield W E 1976 *Theory and applications of molecular paramagnetism* E A Boudreaus and L N Mulay (eds.) (New York: Wiley-Interscience) p. 349
- Maji T K, Sain S, Mostafa G, Lu T-H, Ribas J, Monfort M and Ray Chaudhuri N 2003 *Inorg. Chem.* 42 709
- 57. Policar C, Lambert F, Cesario M and Morgenstern-Badarau I 1999 Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2201
- 58. Baldwin M J, Kampf J W, Kirk M L and Pecoraro V L 1995 *Inorg. Chem.* **34** 5252