

# RR Lyrae stars in the inner Large Magellanic Cloud: halo-like location with a disk-like distribution

A. Subramaniam

Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Koramangala II Block, Bangalore - 34, India  
e-mail: purni@iiap.res.in

Received 16 September 2005 / Accepted 24 November 2005

## ABSTRACT

**Aims.** The distribution of RR Lyrae stars (RRLS) in the inner Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), and the structure of the halo of the LMC delineated by these stars are studied here.

**Methods.** RRLS identified by the OGLE II survey are used to estimate their number density distribution in the bar region of the LMC. To find their location, I estimated the scale-height of their distribution in the LMC using extinction corrected average magnitudes of ab type stars.

**Results.** The density is found to vary differently along and across the bar of the LMC, and the difference is found to be statistically significant. The density distribution is found to be elongated like the LMC bar and the position angle (PA) of the elongation is estimated to be  $112.5 \pm 15.3$ . This value of PA is found to be same as the  $PA_{\text{maj}}$  of the bar, within the errors, estimated using red clump stars and giants. The ellipticity of their density distribution is estimated to be  $\sim 0.5$ , very similar to the ellipticity of the bar, estimated from giants. The above results show that majority of the population of RRLS in the central region of the LMC are found to have the signature of the bar. This result could mean that most of these stars are located in the disk, considering the bar as a disk feature. On the other hand, their scale-height was found to be  $3.0 \pm 0.9$  kpc. This indicates that RRLS are located in the halo and not in the disk.

**Conclusions.** Thus these stars in the inner LMC have halo-like location and a disk-like density distribution. I discuss some possible formation scenarios for this puzzling combination.


**Key words.** galaxies: Magellanic Clouds – galaxies: stellar content – galaxies: structure – stars: population II

## 1. Introduction

The Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) is known to be a disk galaxy with or without a halo. There have been many efforts to find evidence for the presence of a halo in the LMC. Such evidence is looked for in the old stellar population like the globular clusters (GCs) and the field RR Lyrae stars (RRLS). The oldest GCs appear to lie in a flat rotating disk whose velocity dispersion is  $24 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Kinman et al. 1991; Schommer et al. 1992). van den Bergh (2004) re-estimated the velocity dispersion of the GCs and concluded that they could still have formed in the halo. Since the number of GCs in the LMC is small (13 clusters), it is difficult to infer the signature of the halo from this sample. The other tracer is the RRLS, which are almost as old as the oldest GCs. Recent surveys of the LMC like the OGLE and MACHO have identified a large number of RRLS. Among the follow up studies, Minniti et al. (2003) found a kinematic evidence for the LMC halo, by estimating the velocity dispersion in the population of RRLS. Alves (2004) estimated the mass of the LMC based on their surface density and remarked that their exponential scale length is very similar to that of the young LMC disk. Freeman (1999) remarked that the similarity of their scale length with the scale length of the young stellar

disk suggested that the RRLS in the LMC were disk objects, like the old clusters, and supported the view that the LMC may not have a metal-poor halo.


In this study, I used the RRLS catalogue of Soszynski et al. (2003) in the LMC, consisting of 7400 stars (after correcting for multiple entries), to obtain their number density distribution. The aim of the present study is to identify any feature in the distribution, that could be described as the signature of a halo or a disk distribution. Indications for a distribution which is not circularly symmetric in the inner regions can be seen in Fig. 12 of Alcock et al. (1996), where a large fluctuation in the RRLS density is found in the inner 3 kpc. The above fluctuations could arise due to averaging in position angles (PAs), when there is a non-circular distribution. The density map of RRLS shown in Fig. 1 of Soszynski et al. (2003) indicated that the stars have a preferred distribution along the major axis of the bar, with a steep gradient across the bar. This is a strong indication that these stars may be located in an elongated bar like distribution. The RRLS as detected in the OGLE survey are projected on the bar, since the OGLE survey essentially traces the bar. If they belonged to the halo, then their distribution in the inner LMC should show a symmetric distribution. On the other hand, if their distribution showed differences along and



**Fig. 1.** Number density distribution of RR Lyrae stars in the LMC. The unit for the number density,  $d$  is stars/sq. degree. The colour code is explained in the figure, where magenta denotes locations of highest density and blue denotes locations of lowest density.

across the bar and also any other property of the bar as found in the younger population, then the RRLS population in the inner LMC may belong to the bar or disk, since the bar is considered as a disk feature. This point is further explored in this study by comparing the distribution of RRLS with the distribution of red clump (RC) stars and red giant stars, where RC stars and giants belong to a younger population and also trace the feature of the LMC bar.

If the distribution of RRLS mimics that of the bar/disk, then the obvious conclusion will be that the stars are located in the disk of the LMC and not in the halo. This conclusion needs to be verified by finding the actual locations RRLS. This can be done either by estimating the individual distances to the stars or by estimating their scale-height. The first option is quite tricky as it requires information on metallicity of all stars. The second option is doable with the existing data and the result obtained can discriminate their disk/halo degeneracy. Since the LMC is an almost face-on galaxy, it is a very tricky problem as the stars get projected on the disk even if they are distributed in a spheroid. In such cases, indirect methods are used to estimate the scale-height and this is such an attempt based on the magnitude dispersion of the  $ab$  type RRLS. The method was used by Clementini et al. (2003) to estimate the line of sight depth in their sample. I have attempted this method with a much larger sample, distributed over a larger area. The  $ab$  type RRLS from the above catalogue is used and their average magnitude and dispersion as a function of location over the surveyed region, after correcting for the interstellar extinction was estimated. The depth and the scale-height of their distribution can be estimated from the observed dispersion, after correcting for dispersion due to other factors. We also compared the estimated scale-height with that found for our Galaxy.




**Fig. 2.** The normalised number density distribution of RRLS along (filled circles) the  $PA = 120^\circ$  (along the bar, filled circle),  $30^\circ$  (across the bar, open square),  $75^\circ$  (asterisk) and  $165^\circ$  (open circle). The errors include statistical error and a 20% more due to any improper incompleteness correction.

The results obtained are discussed in the light of possible formation scenarios.

## 2. Estimation of number density distribution of RRLS

Soszynski et al. (2003) presented a catalogue of RRLS discovered in the 4.5 square degree area in the central parts of the LMC, consisting of 7400 objects. The catalogue includes all the sub classes and is more or less complete ( $\sim 95\%$ ). The center of the LMC was taken as  $\alpha = 05^{\text{h}}19^{\text{m}}38^{\text{s}}$ ;  $\delta = -69^\circ 27' 5''.2$  (de Vaucoulers & Freeman 1973). The  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  were converted to the projected  $X$  and  $Y$  coordinates. The data are binned in 0.1 degree in both the axes and the number of RRLS in each box are counted to obtain the number density in square degrees. A plot of their density is shown in Fig. 1, where the variation in the number density is also shown. The central regions are found to show higher density, which falls off rather steeply, approximately along the  $Y$ -axis. On the other hand, the density falls off very slowly towards the sides, which is the  $X$ -axis. The density distribution shows clumpiness as indicated by the red and magenta points, some of them denoting the location of old globular clusters, as indicated in Soszynski et al. (2003). On the whole, the smooth spatial variation in the density is found to have an elongated distribution. The direction of elongation is found to be similar to the elongation of the bar of the LMC. Further analysis showed that, the density distribution along the bar is very different from that across the bar. The normalised number density of RRLS along four values of PA, (along the bar  $PA_{\text{maj}} = 120^\circ$ , across the bar  $PA_{\text{min}} = 210^\circ$ , and two angles in between,  $PA = 75^\circ$  and  $165^\circ$ ) are shown in Fig. 2, as a function of radial distance. The data points used to estimate the



**Fig. 3.** The locations used to estimate the number density distribution of RRLS as shown in Fig. 2, where PA = 120° (along the bar, filled circle), 75° (asterix), 165° (open circle) and 210° (across the bar, open square). The small dots indicate spatial coverage of the OGLE II survey.


above are shown in Fig. 3. These profiles are used to identify and estimate the statistical significance of the elongated distribution. If the number density distributions along the two inclined axes are similar, then this reflects symmetry with respect to the major axis. Alves (2004) estimated that the OGLE II catalogue may be only 80% complete. This estimation was based on a relative scaling of MACHO to OGLE II data in the central regions and does not provide any positional dependence. The error in the density is estimated as the statistical error in the number ( $\sqrt{N}$ ). In order to account for the variation in the density caused by improper completeness estimation, 20% is added to the above estimated error and the total error is shown in the figure.

It can be seen that up to a radius of  $\sim 0.6$ , there is no difference between the profiles. Thus the distribution of RRLS is symmetric within this radius. Beyond this radial distance, the profile is found to fall very slowly along the bar. At a radial distance of  $\sim 0.9$ , the difference in density along the bar and PA = 165°, is more than 5 times the error. This difference continues up to the radial distance traced here. The profiles along the two inclined axes are found to be similar. The profile along the bar is found to have the maximum width whereas that across the bar has the minimum width, with the profiles along the inclined axes having intermediate values. The density curve along the major axis is compared with the profiles along the inclined axes and a reduced  $\chi^2$  value was obtained. The comparison could not be done with the minor axis as its profile has only 4 points. The reduced  $\chi^2$  value for profiles along the bar and PA = 165° is 52.1 (8 points), between major axis and PA = 75° is 44.6 (8 points) and between the PA = 165° and 75° is 9.8

(7 points). The large values of reduced  $\chi^2$  obtained for profiles along the major axis and the inclined axes indicate that the profile along the major axis is very different from those along the inclined axes. The elongation of the density profile along the major axis is thus statistically significant. The lower value of the reduced  $\chi^2$  for the profiles along the inclined axes indicates that the major axis of the elongation is close to the true major axis. Thus, RRLS exhibit an elongated distribution in the inner LMC, similar to that exhibited by the bar.

### 3. Comparison with RC and giant stars


The distribution of RRLS is compared with the distribution of RC stars and red giants, and the bar signature as revealed by these populations are compared. The density distribution of the RCs was studied by Subramaniam (2004) and found that it showed not only the bar feature of the LMC, but also indicated the presence of a secondary bar. In general, the RC stars can have a wide range of ages, between 2–10 Gyr. In the LMC, the bulk of the RCs are estimated to be a few Gyr old Girardi & Salaris (2001), and they represent the intermediate age population. The density distribution of the giant stars located in the upper part of the red giant branch and in the asymptotic giant branch was studied by van der Marel (2001) and he also estimated the parameters of the bar such as, PA and ellipticity. This stellar population has a broader age range than the age range of the RCs in the LMC. The data presented in their Fig. 2c was used for the present analysis. For all the three data sets, the maximum density points as a function of radial distance was estimated from the east to the west. The method used is described in Subramaniam (2004). The results for the RCs were already presented in their Fig. 1. A similar plot for the RRLS is shown here in Fig. 4. While estimating the above, care was taken to avoid points corresponding to the locations of GCs. The profile is found to have a peak and broad wings, with the peak shifted from the center. The distribution of RRLS is found to have a preferred PA and this indicates a (projected) non-circular distribution. The average value of the PA is estimated as PA =  $112.5^\circ \pm 15.3$ . The above value is very similar to the PA<sub>maj</sub> as estimated from red clump stars,  $114.4 \pm 22.5$ , (Subramaniam 2004) and also, the PA<sub>maj</sub> from the red giants  $122.5 \pm 8.3$  (van der Marel 2001). All the above three values are the same within the errors. The density distributions of all the three populations are normalised for comparison and are shown in Fig. 4. In the east, all the three distributions match very well. In the west, the three distributions differ slightly. Thus, the density distribution of RRLS is very similar to that of younger population along the major axis. This result indicates that the scale length of the RRLS along the major axis is similar to the scale length of the younger population. Alves (2004) estimated the scale length of the RRLS as  $1.47 \pm 0.08$  kpc, which is very similar to the scale length of LMC's blue light, 1.46 kpc (Bothum & Thompson 1998). We also find that the scale length of the RRLS is very similar to that of RC and red giant stars, which is about  $\sim 1.3$  kpc (1 degree = 0.89 kpc) as obtained from Fig. 4. The bar feature as shown by the RRLS can be compared to that shown by the younger population, also by using ellipticity. The ellipticity is estimated by van der Marel (2001),



**Fig. 4.** The normalised maximum number density of RRLS (filled circle), giant stars (crosses) and RC stars (open circle). The peak value of the distribution are 4300 (RRLS),  $1.5 \times 10^8$  (RC stars) and  $2.5 \times 10^4$  (red giants) stars/sq.degree.

as  $\epsilon = (1-b/a)$ . The value of semi-major and semi-minor axes are estimated from Fig. 2. The value of  $\epsilon$  was found to be very close to zero, up to a radial distance of  $\sim 0.6$ . Between the radial distance of  $1^\circ$ – $1.8^\circ$ , the value of  $\epsilon$  was found to increase from 0.45 to 0.57, with an average value of  $\epsilon \sim 0.5$ . van der Marel (2001) estimated that the red giants in the inner  $r = 3^\circ$ , showed ellipticity value in the range 0.43 to 0.67. Thus the distribution of both RRLS and red giants show similar values for ellipticity. In summary, the bar type elongation shown by the RRLS is similar to that delineated by RC stars and red giants. The density distribution of the RRLS is found to be located slightly away from the adopted optical center of the LMC. Their center was estimated by centering the density distribution along the major axis and was found to be  $\alpha = 05^{\text{h}}20^{\text{m}}4 \pm 0^{\text{m}}4$  and  $\delta = -69^\circ 48' \pm 5'$ . This is the same as estimated by Alves (2004) within the errors.

The result obtained above indicates that the distribution of RRLS, has an imprint of the bar feature. A simple interpretation of the above result is that these are located in the disk (assuming the bar to be a disk feature), similar to the red clump stars and red giants. On the other hand, RRLS are expected to belong to an older population ( $\geq 9$  Gyr) and hence the majority are expected to be located in the halo, though their location in the LMC is still uncertain. Since the distribution estimated here is the projected one, it does not straight away establish their location in the disk. For a disk location, one expects a very small depth in the line of sight (scale-height) in their distribution and thus their scale-height can be used to verify the above claim. In the following section, we estimate the average scale-height of their distribution, where the value of the scale-height is used as a pointer towards deciding the location of RRLS.



**Fig. 5.** The variation in the extinction corrected magnitude as a function of RA. Note that the distribution is symmetric with respect to a concentration near  $I_0 = 18.45$  mag, which can be considered as the disk of the LMC.


#### 4. Estimation of dispersion in the mean magnitude of ab type RRLS

The catalogue used here has 5077 ab type RRLS. These stars could be considered to belong to similar sub class and hence assumed to have similar properties. The mean magnitude of these stars in  $I$  passband, after correcting for the metallicity and extinction effects can be used for the estimation of distance. On the other hand, the observed dispersion in their mean magnitude is a measure of the depth in their distribution. Subramaniam (2005) presented a high-resolution reddening map of the region observed by the OGLE survey. This data is used to estimate the extinction to individual RRLS. Stars within each bin of the reddening map are assigned a single reddening and it is assumed that the reddening does not vary much within the bin. The contribution to the dispersion from the variable extinction is minimised, though there may still be a non-zero contribution to the estimated dispersion.

Since the extinction corrected mean magnitudes of 5077 ab type RRLS are available in the surveyed region, it is possible to study the variation of the dispersion as a function of location in the inner LMC. This data can be used to create a map of depth across the LMC, where the corrected magnitudes are assumed to be a measure of the distance. This may not be strictly correct, since the relation depends on the metallicity and age which is not accounted for. The picture, on the other hand, will be able to give a rough idea of the location of these stars, without giving any quantitative result. The extinction corrected magnitudes are plotted as a function of RA as shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that there is a range in the location of RRLS with respect to the disk of the LMC. Note that the distribution is symmetric with respect to a concentration near  $I_0 = 18.4$  mag, which can be considered as the disk of the LMC.

Though many stars are located closer to the disk, there is a significant number located away as well. Also, the plot shows that RRLS located to the front and back of the disk are detected. The plot definitely indicates that RRLS are located closer as well as far away from the disk indicating an elongated distribution. There are more RRLS in front of the disk and closer to us than those behind the disk. Does this indicate that the RRLS distribution is stretched towards our Galaxy? We shall discuss this in more detail in the following sections. To obtain a quantitative estimate of the scale-height, after correcting for the biases present in the above plot, we follow the procedure described below. The observed distribution of ab type stars in RA and Dec are binned such that there are more than 10 stars in most of the location and with a maximum of  $\geq 60$  stars in a some locations. We used a bin size of  $0.5^\circ$  in RA and  $0.2^\circ$  in Dec. We estimated the mean magnitude and dispersion for 163 locations. This value of the dispersion can be used to estimate the scale-height, but has contributions from (1) photometric errors, (2) range in the metallicity of stars, (3) intrinsic variation in the luminosity due to evolutionary effects within the sample and (4) the actual depth in the distribution of the stars (cf. Clementini et al. 2003). We need to remove the contribution from the first three terms ( $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ ) so that the value of the last term ( $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$ ) can be evaluated. Clementini et al. (2003) estimated the value of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$  as 0.1 mag for their sample. The second and third terms could be considered similar for any set of data, since it is intrinsic to RRLS, whereas the first term depends on the data set. Therefore, we need to get an independent estimate of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$  for the data sample used here. The catalogue used here also has RRLS detected in a few clusters in the bar region of the LMC. The RRLS located in a cluster can be assumed to be located at the same distance and will not have the contribution from the depth in the distribution. Also, the contribution from the second term in the above list can be considered to be minimal. If we estimate the average and the dispersion of the mean magnitudes of the ab type RRLS in a cluster, the estimated value of the dispersion will have contribution mainly from the first and third terms. The value of the dispersion estimated for NGC 1835 (based on 27 stars) is 0.14 mag, NGC 2019 (30 stars) is 0.15 mag and NGC 1898 (31 stars) is 0.17 mag. Clementini et al. (2003) estimated that the dispersion due to metallicity could be 0.06 mag. If we assume this value to be typical, then this term can be combined with the estimates from the cluster to give an estimate of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ . Thus we find the lower limit of the value to be 0.15 mag and the upper limit to be 0.18 mag. Thus the value of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$  for the present data set is slightly higher than that estimated by Clementini et al. (2003) for their data. The fact that the values are not very different indicates that the present estimate of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$  is likely to be closer to the actual value.


It turns out that the observed dispersion in many regions is less than the upper limit of the error as indicated above. Therefore, we used the value for the lower limit (0.15 mag) in our analysis. Also, as the contributions are added quadratically, the variation introduced in the estimated value of dispersion due to the above assumption is very small. The value of  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$  thus obtained directly indicates the depth in the distribution. A 2D plot of the observed dispersion is shown in Fig. 6. It can



**Fig. 6.** 2D plot of the estimated value of dispersion ( $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$ ) in the mean magnitude of the ab type RRLS. The colour code is indicated in the figure. The open circles indicate locations which have average mean magnitude less than 18.3 and crosses denote location which have values greater than 18.5 (see Fig. 7).

be seen from the figure that there is a significant variation in the value of  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$  across the observed region. The difference between the red and the blue points is greater than 0.2 mag. For this difference to be just due to an enhanced value of  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ , it has to be more than 0.35 mag. This is more than twice the value of the maximum dispersion as estimated from the cluster RRLS. The increased dispersion is unlikely to be due to the variation in the extinction, since the reddening (and thus extinction) was not found to show significant variation across the bar (Subramaniam 2005).

Since the surveyed region has more coverage along the RA, the dispersion thus obtained can be plotted a function of RA and can be used to understand the variation in scale-height across the bar. This will also correspond to the edge on view of the bar of the LMC. The value of dispersion is found to take a range. The average value of  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$  is found to be 0.24 mag, if we assume a value of 0.15 mag for  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ . In this case we considered 133 locations with more than 10 stars. The value becomes 0.22 mag, if we assume a value of 0.17 mag for  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ . It can also be seen that the upper limit for  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$  is 0.45 mag, as shown in the upper panel of Fig. 7. A Gaussian fit to the distribution was used to estimate the peak and the width of  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$ . Thus the average value of  $\sigma$  is  $0.25 \pm 0.07$  mag. If the whole sample of RRLS is considered, then the estimated value of  $\sigma$  is found to be 0.28 mag. In order to show that this is not a statistical effect, we have shown locations with more than 30 stars separately (encircled dots). These locations also show significant range in  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$ , proving that it is not due to poor number statistics within a given location. The average of the observed  $\sigma_{\text{dep}}$  for locations with more than 30 stars is 0.29 mag (76 locations). Thus all the above values are found to be within the errors. In the lower panel, we have shown the variation of the mean




**Fig. 7.** The variation of  $\sigma_{(\text{dep})}$  (*upper panel*) and scale-height (*lower panel*) as a function of RA. Locations with number of stars more than 10 are shown as dots and those with more than 30 stars are shown with circles around them.

I magnitude of the ab type RRLS as a function of RA. Since the mean magnitude indicates the distance to the LMC, the plot shows that there is no significant variation in distance along the bar. Most of the locations have magnitudes between 18.3 and 18.5 mag where the mean was found to be 18.40 mag. 12 locations were found to be located to the front and behind the above range as their magnitudes were found to be brighter and fainter respectively (see Fig. 6). On the other hand, a significant amount of scatter can be found even for a particular value of RA. One could argue that the variation seen in the the mean magnitude as well as the dispersion is due to significant differential extinction, more than what has been corrected for. This is unlikely if the RRLS are halo stars and high differential reddening is normally expected for young disk population. The reddening used here was derived from RC stars which belong to the disk population. Therefore, the reddening estimated using RC stars can still be valid for RRLS even if they belonged to the disk population. Though the estimated elongation is genuine, there may be a non-zero, but small contribution due to differential reddening. The other possibility is that these stars are not distributed uniformly, but in clumps. This also can give rise to a range in mean magnitudes and dispersion.

## 5. Estimation of scale-height

The value of  $\sigma_{(\text{dep})}$  estimated above can be converted to the scale-height. If we assume that the depth in the distribution in this almost face-on galaxy is symmetric with respect to the disk (that is, one half coming from behind the disk and the other half from the front), then  $\sigma_{(\text{dep})}/2.0$  will correspond to the scale-height in terms of magnitude. The value of  $\sigma_{(\text{dep})}$  can be converted to distance assuming the relation,  $0.1 \text{ mag} \sim 2.5 \text{ kpc}$ , for a distance modulus of 18.50 mag. Thus we define scale-height



**Fig. 8.** The distribution of the scale-height. The solid line is for locations with more than 10 stars and dotted line is for locations with more than 30 stars. Single Gaussian fit is indicated by dashed curve and double Gaussian fit is indicated by solid curve.

as  $(\sigma_{(\text{dep})}/2.0) \times 25.0 \text{ kpc}$ . The scale-height is shown in the right side of the  $y$ -axis in Fig. 7 (*upper panel*). In order to get a feel for the number distribution of the scale-height, we have plotted the histogram of the estimated scale-height in Fig. 8. The solid line shows the distribution for locations with more than 10 stars and dotted line shows the distribution for locations with more than 30 stars. It can be seen that the peak of the distribution is at 3.0 kpc for both the plots and most of the locations have scale-height in the range of 2.0–4.0 kpc. A single Gaussian fit to the distribution, as shown by dashed lines estimated a value of  $3.1 \pm 0.9 \text{ kpc}$  as the scale-height. It can be seen that the fit is poor (reduced  $\chi^2 = 5.7$ ) due to the presence of deviating points at the wings of the Gaussian. A double Gaussian fit was attempted and the fit thus obtained (reduced  $\chi^2 = 4.1$ ) is shown in the figure. The values of the peak, which is the scale-height was found to be similar (3.1 and 3.3 kpc), whereas the error in the scale height was found to be 0.6 and 3.0 kpc respectively. The estimated scale height has two components, most of the locations show a smaller spread, whereas a small number of locations show a larger spread. Let us see whether there is any preferred location for the points with higher scale-height. Of the 12 brighter locations, as shown in Fig. 6, 11 locations (92%) were found to have scale-height more than 3.75 kpc and 8 locations (66%) were found to have scale-height larger than 5 kpc. On the other hand, of the 12 fainter locations, 10 (83%) were found to have scale-height less than 3.75 kpc. Thus it appears that the locations in front of the disk have larger scale height when compared to those behind the disk. The above numbers (fractions) quantifies the indication of elongation of the RRLS system towards our Galaxy. The stretching of the halo of the LMC towards the Galaxy is expected due to tidal effects. Thus this may be the first evidence of such an effect on the LMC halo.

Clementini et al. (2003) estimated a depth of 3.3–3.8 kpc which is same as a scale height of 1.6–1.9 kpc. It can be seen from Figs. 1 and 2 that the value of the scale height can vary depending on the location. Thus the present estimate is not in disagreement with the results of Clementini et al. (2003). In order to estimate the scale-height of the distribution, we assumed that the observed dispersion has equal contribution from the front and back of the LMC disk. This may not be strictly true. Since the disk is face on, there may be incomplete detection of RRLS located behind the disk. Thus instead of half of  $\sigma_{(\text{dep})}$  contributing to the scale-height, a higher fraction should be contributing to the scale-height. It is not possible to estimate the value of the fraction to be used, as it requires an estimate of incompleteness in the detection of RRLS located in the back, which is not available. The net result being, the above assumption leads to a lower value of the scale-height. Thus the value of scale-height estimated can be considered to be a lower limit. If we assume that all stars are located in front of the disk, then the scale-height is twice the value given above, which will be  $6.0 \pm 1.2$  kpc. In reality it is likely to be less than this, indicating these values are the upper limits.

The majority of RRLS indicate that the scale-height of their distribution is  $3.1 \pm 0.9$  kpc. This indicates that they are not located on the LMC disk, but in a halo, even though their distribution mimics that of the LMC disk. The value of their scale-length as estimated from Fig. 4 is found to be  $\sim 1.3$  kpc. This value is estimated from the number density distribution. If we assume that the scale-length and the scale-height estimated here can be directly compared, then RRLS are elongated more along the line of sight than along the major axis of the disk. The characteristics of this halo needs to be studied in detail to understand the early formation history of the LMC.

## 6. A flattened LMC halo?

We compared the value of scale-height with that estimated for our Galaxy. Layden (1995) estimated a value of  $2.7^{+10}_{-2.0}$  kpc for the scale-height of RRLS in our Galaxy. Thus we find that the average value of the scale-height estimated for the LMC closely resembles the value obtained for our Galaxy. Using the estimated scale-height, Layden (1995) calculated the probable space density of RRLS. He found that it is consistent with a flattened halo ( $c/a \sim 0.5$ ). Thus it is likely that the LMC, with a similar value for the scale-height, could also have a flattened halo. Kinman et al. (1996) estimated the velocity dispersion of 9 RRLS with  $Z \leq 4$  kpc in our Galaxy as  $40 \pm 9$  km s<sup>-1</sup> and remarked that this is expected if the population belongs to a flatter distribution. The observed velocity dispersion of  $53 \pm 10$  km s<sup>-1</sup> for RRLS in the inner LMC by Minniti et al. (2004) is similar to the value indicated above. Gratton et al. (2004) observed a velocity dispersion of  $30$  km s<sup>-1</sup> among 48 stars. Thus, the above values of dispersion are consistent with the argument that the inner LMC has a flattened halo. Thus it is very likely that the inner LMC has a halo very similar to that of our Galaxy, at least within  $Z \leq 5$  kpc. This is a very significant result with respect to the galaxy formation scenarios. Galaxy formation models by dissipational collapse (Eggen et al. 1962) predict the presence of old stellar halo for all galaxies,

irrespective of their size. The result presented here indicates that the LMC has at least a halo which is similar to the inner halo of our Galaxy. The outer halo of LMC, which is characterised by a spatially extended old population with large velocity dispersion ( $\sim 100$  km s<sup>-1</sup>), is yet to be detected.

## 7. Result and discussion

The analysis presented here showed that the number density distribution of the RRLS differs along and across the bar, indicating a preferred elongation along the major axis of the bar. The bar feature as shown by RRLS is very similar to that shown by the younger population, in terms of  $PA_{\text{maj}}$ , ellipticity and variation of density along the major axis. This indicates that the bar feature as seen in the disk is also found in the RRLS. One of the possible interpretation of this result is that these stars are located in the disk and not in the halo and hence they mimic the bar feature. This interpretation is against the general belief that the majority of RRLS belongs to the halo population. We estimated the scale-height of the ab type RRLS and was found to be  $3.1 \pm 0.9$  kpc, indicating that the majority are not located in the disk, but in the halo, which may be flattened. Therefore, the RRLS in the LMC are in fact located in the halo. Thus RRLS in the inner LMC have a strange combination of a disk-like density distribution and halo-like location. We also find marginal evidence for stretching of the LMC halo towards our Galaxy.

The scenario described by RRLS in the inner LMC seems to show the signatures of both disk as well as halo. This result is quite puzzling. This is similar to a situation where a spheroidal system, mimics the feature of a flattened disk-like system. At this stage it is not very clear as to what could be the origin of such a system. Detailed study of RRLS including their kinematics and metallicity is required to solve this puzzle.

Here we discuss some possibilities for obtaining such systems, in general. If RRLS were formed in the halo, then they will most probably have a symmetric density distribution with a non-disk like luminosity function. After it is formed, it is not possible to create the above imprints of the disk on an already existing spheroidal distribution. Thus this scenario is unlikely. If we assume that RRLS were initially formed in the disk, and then the distribution is quite likely to have the disk properties as obtained here. Such a distribution can get dislocated into a puffed up distribution. This would relocate the stars into the halo (away from the disk) but still maintaining the disk properties in terms of density and luminosity. Thus this scenario can explain the observed properties. This kind of situation can arise in mergers, where the disk gets heated up and results in a puffed up distribution. Jog & Chitre (2002) found some galaxies which have exponential light profile (like the disk) and kinematics indicating pressure support rather than rotation (puffed up location). Bournaud et al. (2004) found that mergers of intermediate mass galaxies (4:1–10:1) results in similar such peculiar systems. These type of mergers are more common at high red shift as shown in the hierarchical merging models (Steinmetz & Navarro 2002) for galaxy formation. Combining all the above information, it is likely that the LMC went through mergers in the mass range as indicated above in its early formation. Recent interactions of the LMC with our

Galaxy can induce tidal effects on the LMC, which has been already noticed in the disk by van der Marel (2001). The halo of the LMC can also get stretched towards our Galaxy due to tidal effects. Thus interaction and merger events may have resulted in the present distribution of RRLS.

*Acknowledgements.* I thank the referee Dr. Girardi for constructive suggestions and Abhijit Saha, Chanda J. Jog and Tushar P. Prabhu for fruitful discussions.

## References

- Alcock, et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 1146  
Alves, D. R. 2004, ApJ, 601, L51  
Bothum, G. D., & Thompson, I. B. 1988, AJ, 96, 877  
Bournaud, F., Combes, F., & Jog, C. J. 2004, A&A, 418, L27  
Clementini, G., Gratton, R., Bragaglia, A., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1309  
de Vaucouleurs, G., & Freeman, K. C. 1973, Vistas Astron., 14, 163  
Eggen, O., Lynden-Bell, D., & Sandage, A. 1962, ApJ, 136, 748  
Freeman, K. C. 1999, IAU Symp. 192, ed. P. Whitelock, & R. Cannon, 383  
Girardi, L., & Salaris, M. 2001, MNRAS, 323, 109  
Gratton, R. G., et al. 2004, A&A, 937, 952  
Jog, C. J., & Chitre, A. 2002, A&A, 393, L89  
Kinman, T. D., Stryker, L. L., Hesser, J. E., et al. 1991, PASP, 103, 1279  
Layden, A. C. 1995, AJ, 110, 2288  
Minniti, D., Borissova, J., Rejkuba, M., et al. 2003, Science, 301, 1508  
Schommer, R. A., Olsewski, E. W., Suntzeff, N. B., & Harris, H. C. 1992, AJ, 103, 447  
Soszynski, I., Udalski, A., Szymanski, M., et al. 2003, Acta Astron., 53, 93  
Steinmetz, M., & Navarro, J. F. 2002, New Astron., 7, 155  
Subramaniam, A. 2004, ApJ, 604, 41  
Subramaniam, A. 2005, A&A, 430, 421  
van den Bergh, S. 2004, AJ, 127, 897  
van der Marel, R. P. 2001, AJ, 122, 1827