Quantum Isometry Groups of Noncommutative Manifolds Obtained by Deformation Using Dual Unitary 2-Cocycles^{*}

Debashish GOSWAMI and Soumalya JOARDAR

Indian Statistical Institute, 203, B.T. Road, Kolkata 700108, India E-mail: goswamid@isical.ac.in, soumalya.j@gmail.com

Received January 29, 2014, in final form July 11, 2014; Published online July 17, 2014 http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2014.076

Abstract. It is proved that the (volume and orientation-preserving) quantum isometry group of a spectral triple obtained by deformation by some dual unitary 2-cocycle is isomorphic with a similar twist-deformation of the quantum isometry group of the original (undeformed) spectral triple. This result generalizes similar work by Bhowmick and Goswami for Rieffel-deformed spectral triples in [*Comm. Math. Phys.* **285** (2009), 421–444].

Key words: cocycle twist; quantum isometry group; Rieffel deformation; spectral triple

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58B34; 46L65; 81R50

Dedicated to Professor Marc A. Rieffel

1 Introduction

It is a very important and interesting problem in the theory of quantum groups and noncommutative geometry to study 'quantum symmetries' of various classical and quantum structures. Indeed, symmetries of physical systems (classical or quantum) were conventionally modeled by group actions, and after the advent of quantum groups, group symmetries were naturally generalized to symmetries given by quantum group actions. In this context, it is natural to think of quantum automorphism or the full quantum symmetry groups of various mathematical and physical structures. The underlying basic principle of defining a quantum automorphism group of a given mathematical structure consists of two steps: first, to identify (if possible) the group of automorphisms of the structure as a universal object in a suitable category, and then, try to look for the universal object in a similar but bigger category by replacing groups by quantum groups of appropriate type. Initiated by S. Wang who defined and studied quantum permutation groups of finite sets and quantum automorphism groups of finite-dimensional algebras, such questions were taken up by a number of mathematicians including Banica, Bichon (see, e.g., [1, 6, 27]), and more recently in the framework of Connes' noncommutative geometry [8] by Goswami, Bhowmick, Skalski, Soltan, Banica and others who have extensively studied the quantum group of isometries (or quantum isometry group) defined in [12] (see also [2, 3, 5] etc.).

Most of the examples of noncommutative manifolds are obtained by deforming classical spectral triples. It was shown in [4] that the quantum isometry group of a Rieffel-deformed noncommutative manifold can be obtained by a similar deformation (Rieffel–Wang, see [26]) of the quantum isometry group of the original (undeformed) noncommutative manifold.

In the present paper our goal is to generalize Bhowmick–Goswami's results about Rieffeldeformation to any cocycle twisted spectral triple. Combining this with the fact (proved in [9])

^{*}This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups in honor of Marc A. Rieffel. The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/Rieffel.html

that the quantum isometry group of a classical compact, connected, Riemannian manifold is the same as the classical isometry group of such manifold (i.e. there is no genuine quantum isometry for such manifold), we have been able to compute the quantum isometry group of non commutative manifolds obtained from classical manifolds using unitary 2-cocycles.

Let $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple of compact type, R a positive, invertible operator commuting with \mathcal{D} . Given a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ on a quantum subgroup (say \mathcal{Q}) of the quantum isometry group $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ (in the sense of [3]), we construct a spectral triple on the twisted algebra $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty})^{\sigma}$ on the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with the same Dirac operator \mathcal{D} . Moreover, we construct a canonical 'twisted' operator R^{σ} commuting with \mathcal{D} using the Haar state of \mathcal{Q} and the cocycle σ . The main result of this paper (Theorem 4.16) can now be stated:

Theorem. The quantum isometry group $\operatorname{QISO}_{R^{\sigma}}^+((\mathcal{A}^{\infty})^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is isomorphic with $\operatorname{QISO}_{R}^+(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})^{\sigma}$, i.e. the cocycle twist of the quantum isometry group of the original spectral triple.

In this paper we have also been able to relax the assumption of existence of a dense *-algebra for which the action is algebraic in case of Rieffel deformed manifolds.

Let us mention that after we wrote and uploaded to the internet a preliminary version of this article, Neshveyev and Tuset posted the article [19] in which they formulated a general theory of deformation of C^* -algebras by dual unitary 2-cocycles on locally compact quantum groups. Indeed, our set-up for von Neumann algebraic deformation is closely related to the restriction of their framework to the simpler case of compact quantum groups and is nothing but an alternative description of the von Neumann algebra generated by the C^* -algebraic deformation obtained in [19]. However, there are two important subtle differences between our set-up and that of [19]: first, we work with universal compact quantum groups rather than those in the reduced form as in [19], and second, we do not need C^* -algebraic action of the quantum group. Moreover, the main emphasis of our article is on deformations of spectral triples and their quantum isometry groups, not just the study of deformed algebras. We plan to re-cast some of our results in the framework of [19] and also study deformed spectral triples more deeply in a forthcoming paper.

Let us conclude this section with a brief note on the notations to be used in the paper. For a subset A of a vector space V, Sp A denotes the linear span of A. We use \oplus , \otimes_{alg} , and $\overline{\otimes}$ to denote algebraic direct sum, algebraic tensor product and von Neumann algebraic tensor product respectively (see [23] for the details on tensor product). For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the C^* -algebra of compact operators and the C^* -algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} respectively. \otimes stands for the exterior tensor product for two Hilbert bimodules (in particular a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q}) and tensor product of Hilbert spaces. Spatial tensor products of C^* -algebras will be denoted by $\hat{\otimes}$. We use the standard Kronecker δ_{ij} symbol to denote the function which is 1 if i = j and 0 if $i \neq j$. The left convolution of an element in a CQG with a linear functional f will be denoted by f * a (:= $(f \otimes id)\Delta(a)$) and the right convolution will be denoted by a * f (:= $(id \otimes f)\Delta(a)$) for $a \in \mathcal{Q}$, Δ is the coproduct of \mathcal{Q} . We use the standard abbreviations for the strong and weak operator topologies, i.e. SOT and WOT respectively.

2 Compact quantum groups

2.1 Definition and representation of compact quantum groups

A compact quantum group (CQG for short) is a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{Q} with a coassociative coproduct (see [16, 28]) Δ from \mathcal{Q} to $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ such that each of the linear spans of $\Delta(\mathcal{Q})(\mathcal{Q} \otimes 1)$ and that of $\Delta(\mathcal{Q})(1 \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ is norm-dense in $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. From this condition, one can obtain a canonical dense unital *-subalgebra \mathcal{Q}_0 of \mathcal{Q} on which linear maps κ and ϵ (called the antipode and the counit respectively) are defined making the above subalgebra a Hopf *-algebra. In fact, we shall always choose this dense Hopf *-algebra to be the algebra generated by the 'matrix coefficients' of the (finite-dimensional) irreducible non-degenerate representations (to be defined shortly) of the CQG. The antipode is an anti-homomorphism and also satisfies $\kappa(a^*) = (\kappa^{-1}(a))^*$ for $a \in Q_0$. A morphism from a CQG Q_1 to another CQG Q_2 is a unital *-homomorphism π such that $\Delta_2 \circ \pi = (\pi \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta_1$, where Δ_i denotes the coproduct of Q_i (i = 1, 2). If π is surjective, we say that Q_2 is a quantum subgroup of Q_1 and write $Q_2 < Q_1$. We shall use standard Swedler notation for Hopf algebras, i.e. we shall write $\Delta(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ and $(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)}$ for $a \in Q_0$.

It is known that there is a unique state h on a CQG \mathcal{Q} (called the Haar state) which is bi-invariant in the sense that $(id \otimes h) \circ \Delta(a) = (h \otimes id) \circ \Delta(a) = h(a)1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}$. The Haar state need not be faithful in general, though it is always faithful on \mathcal{Q}_0 at least. Given the Hopf *-algebra \mathcal{Q}_0 , there can be several CQG's which have this *-algebra as the Hopf *-algebra generated by the matrix elements of finite-dimensional representations. However there exists a largest such CQG \mathcal{Q}^u , called the universal CQG corresponding to \mathcal{Q}_0 . It is obtained as the universal enveloping C^* -algebra of \mathcal{Q}_0 . We also say that a CQG \mathcal{Q} is universal if $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^u$. For details the reader is referred to [13]. The C^* -completion \mathcal{Q}_r of \mathcal{Q}_0 in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(h))$ (GNS space associated to h) is a CQG and called the reduced quantum group corresponding to \mathcal{Q} . If his faithful then \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}_r coincide. In general there will be a surjective CQG morphism from \mathcal{Q} to \mathcal{Q}_r identifying the latter as a quantum subgroup of the former.

There is also a von Neumann algebraic framework of quantum groups suitable for development of the theory of locally compact quantum groups (see [14, 24] and references therein). In this theory, the von Neumann algebraic version of CQG is a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with a coassociative, normal, injective coproduct map Δ from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and a faithful, normal, bi-invariant state ψ on \mathcal{M} . Indeed, given a CQG \mathcal{Q} , the weak closure \mathcal{Q}''_r of the reduced quantum group in the GNS space of the Haar state is a von Neumann algebraic compact quantum group.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Consider the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ which can also be identified with $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q})$, the C^* -algebra of \mathcal{Q} linear, adjointable maps on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ (see [15]). This algebra has two natural embeddings into $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{Q})$. The first one is obtained by extending the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$. The second one is obtained by composing this map with the flip on the last two factors. We will write w^{12} and w^{13} for the images of an element $w \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ by these two maps respectively. Note that if \mathcal{H} is finite-dimensional then $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ (we don't need any topological completion).

Definition 2.1. Let (\mathcal{Q}, Δ) be a CQG. A unitary representation U of \mathcal{Q} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a unitary element of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ such that $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)U = U^{12}U^{13}$.

Identifying $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$ with $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q})$, we can also view U as a \mathcal{Q} linear map on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. Clearly U is determined by its restriction on the subspace $\mathcal{H} \otimes 1$ and by a slight abuse of notation we shall denote the restriction also by U, which is a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\xi \mapsto U(\xi \otimes q) \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ for $\xi \in \mathcal{H}, q \in \mathcal{Q}$. This gives an equivalent picture of a unitary representation. This is easy to see that the restriction $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ satisfies

- 1) $\langle \langle U(\xi), U(\eta) \rangle \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle 1_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{ for } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H},$
- 2) $(U \otimes \mathrm{id})U = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)U$,
- 3) Sp{ $U(\xi)q: \xi \in \mathcal{H}, q \in \mathcal{Q}$ } is dense in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$.

Definition 2.2. A closed subspace \mathcal{H}_1 of \mathcal{H} is said to be invariant if $U(\mathcal{H}_1) \ (\equiv U(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}})) \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. Equivalently, the orthogonal projection p on \mathcal{H}_1 satisfies $U(p \otimes 1) = (p \otimes 1)U$. A unitary representation U of a CQG is said to be irreducible if there is no proper invariant subspace.

It is a well known fact that every irreducible unitary representation is finite-dimensional.

We denote by $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$ the set of inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of \mathcal{Q} . For $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, let d_{π} and $\{q_{jk}^{\pi} : j, k = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}\}$ be the dimension and matrix coefficients of the corresponding finite-dimensional representation, say U_{π} respectively. For each $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, we have a unique $d_{\pi} \times d_{\pi}$ complex matrix F_{π} such that

(i) F_{π} is positive and invertible with $\operatorname{Tr}(F_{\pi}) = \operatorname{Tr}(F_{\pi}^{-1}) = M_{\pi} > 0$ (say),

(ii)
$$h(q_{ij}^{\pi}q_{kl}^{\pi^*}) = \frac{1}{M_{\pi}}\delta_{ik}F_{\pi}(j,l)$$

Corresponding to $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, let ρ_{sm}^{π} be the linear functional on \mathcal{Q} given by $\rho_{sm}^{\pi}(x) = h(x_{sm}^{\pi}x)$, $s, m = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$ for $x \in \mathcal{Q}$, where $x_{sm}^{\pi} = (M_{\pi})q_{km}^{\pi*}(F_{\pi}(k,s))$. Also let $\rho^{\pi} = \sum_{s=1}^{d_{\pi}} \rho_{ss}^{\pi}$. Given a unitary representation V on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we get a decomposition of \mathcal{H} as

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q}), 1 < i < m_{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{i}^{\pi},$$

where m_{π} is the multiplicity of π in the representation V and $V|_{\mathcal{H}_i^{\pi}}$ is same as the representation U_{π} . The subspace $\mathcal{H}^{\pi} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{H}_i^{\pi}$ is called the spectral subspace of type π corresponding to the irreducible representation π . It is nothing but the image of the spectral projection given by $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho^{\pi})V$.

Recall from [21], the modular operator $\Phi = S^*S$, where S is the anti unitary acting on the $L^2(h)$ (where $L^2(h)$ is the GNS space of \mathcal{Q} corresponding to the Haar state on which \mathcal{Q} has left regular representation) given by $S(a.1) := a^*.1$ for $a \in \mathcal{Q}$. The one parameter modular automorphism group (see [21]) say Θ_t , corresponding to the state h is given by $\Theta_t(a) = \Phi^{it} a \Phi^{-it}$. Note that here we have used the symbol Φ for the modular operator as Δ has been used for the coproduct. From (ii), we see that

$$\Phi|_{L^2(h)^{\pi}} = F_{\pi} \quad \text{for all } \pi \text{ and } i.$$

$$(2.1)$$

In particular Φ maps $L^2(h)_i^{\pi}$ into $L^2(h)_i^{\pi}$ for all *i*.

2.2 Action on von Neumann algebras by conjugation of unitary representation

We now discuss an analogue of 'action' (as in [20]) in the context of von Neumann algebra implemented by a unitary representation of the CQG. Given a unitary representation V of a CQG Q on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , often we consider the * homomorphism ad_V on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ or on some suitable von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{M} of it. We say ad_V leaves \mathcal{M} invariant if (id \otimes $\phi)\mathrm{ad}_V(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ for every state ϕ of Q. Then taking ρ^{π} as above, we define $\mathcal{M}^{\pi} = P_{\pi}(\mathcal{M})$, where $P_{\pi} = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho^{\pi}) \circ \mathrm{ad}_V : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is the spectral projection corresponding to the representation π . Clearly each P_{π} is SOT continuous. We define $\mathcal{M}_0 := \mathrm{Sp}\{\mathcal{M}^{\pi}; \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(Q)\}$, which is called the spectral subalgebra. Then we have the following:

Proposition 2.3. \mathcal{M}_0 is dense in \mathcal{M} in any of the natural locally convex topologies of \mathcal{M} , *i.e.* $\mathcal{M}''_0 = \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. This result must be quite well-known and available in the literature but we could not find it written in this form, so we give a very brief sketch. The proof is basically almost verbatim adaptation of some arguments in [14] and [24]. First, observe that the spectral algebra \mathcal{M}_0 remains unchanged if we replace \mathcal{Q} by the reduced quantum group \mathcal{Q}_r which has the same irreducible representations and dense Hopf *-algebra \mathcal{Q}_0 . This means we can assume without loss of generality that the Haar state is faithful. The injective normal map $\beta := \mathrm{ad}_V$ restricted to \mathcal{M} can be thought of as an action of the quantum group (in the von Neumann algebra setting as in [14, 24]) Q''_r (where the double commutant is taken in the GNS space of the Haar state) and it follows from the results in [24] about the implementability of locally compact quantum group actions that there is a faithful normal state, say ϕ , on \mathcal{M} such that β is Q''_r -invariant, i.e. $(\phi \otimes id)(\beta(a)) = \phi(a)1 \forall a \in \mathcal{M}$. We can replace \mathcal{M} , originally imbedded in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, by its isomorphic image (to be denoted by \mathcal{M} again) in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\phi))$ and as the ultra-weak topology is intrinsic to a von Neumann algebra, it will suffice to argue the ultra-weak density of \mathcal{M}_0 in $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(\phi))$. To this end, note that Vaes has shown in [24] that $\beta : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{Q}''_r$ extends to a unitary representation on $L^2(\phi)$, which implies in particular that \mathcal{M}_0 is dense in the Hilbert space $L^2(\phi)$. From this the ultra-weak density follows by standard arguments very similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 1.5 of [14], applying Takesaki's theorem about existence of conditional expectation. For the sake of completeness let us sketch it briefly. Using the notations of [24] and noting that $\delta = 1$ for a CQG, we get from Proposition 2.4 of [24] that V_{ϕ} commutes with the positive self adjoint operator $\nabla_{\phi} \otimes Q$ where ∇_{ϕ} denotes the modular operator, i.e. generator of the modular automorphism group σ_t^{ϕ} of the normal state ϕ . Clearly, this implies that $\beta := ad_{V_{\phi}}$ satisfies the following:

$$\beta \circ \sigma_t^{\phi} = \sigma_t^{\phi} \otimes \tau_{-t},$$

where τ_t is the automorphism group generated by Q^{-1} . Next, as in Proposition 1.5 of [14], consider the ultra-strong * closure \mathcal{M}_l of the subspace spanned by the elements of the form $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(\beta(x)), x \in M, \omega$ is a bounded normal functional on \mathcal{Q}''_r . It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{M}_l = \mathcal{M}$, as that will prove the ultra-strong * density (now also the ultra-weak density) of \mathcal{M}_l in \mathcal{M} . This is clearly a von Neumann subalgebra as β is coassociative, and $\sigma_t^{\phi}(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(\beta(x)) =$ $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega \circ \tau_t)(\beta(\sigma_t^{\phi}(x)))$. Then by Takesaki's theorem [23, Theorem 10.1] there exists a unique normal faithful conditional expectation E from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M}_l satisfying E(x)P = PxP where Pis the orthogonal projection as in [14]. Clearly, the range of P contains elements of the form $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)(\beta(x))$. So in particular it contains \mathcal{M}_0 , which is dense in $L^2(\phi)$. Thus P = 1 and E(x) = x proving $\mathcal{M}_l = \mathcal{M}$.

From this, it is easy to conclude the following by verbatim adaptation of the arguments of [20] and [22].

Lemma 2.4.

- 1. $\operatorname{ad}_V|_{\mathcal{M}_0}$ is algebraic, i.e. $\operatorname{ad}_V(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes_{\operatorname{alg}} \mathcal{Q}_0$.
- 2. \mathcal{M}_0 is the maximal subspace over which ad_V is algebraic, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_0 = \{x \in \mathcal{M} \mid \operatorname{ad}_V(x) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\operatorname{alg}} \mathcal{Q}_0\}.$
- 3. If $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}$ is SOT dense *-subalgebra such that ad_V leaves \mathcal{M}_1 invariant, then $\operatorname{Sp}\{P_{\pi}(\mathcal{M}_1) \mid \pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})\}$ is SOT dense in \mathcal{M}_0 .

Proof. (1) Recall the linear functionals ρ_{sm}^{π} from Section 2.1. Let $P_{sm}^{\pi} := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_{sm}^{\pi}) \circ \Delta :$ $\mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{Q}$ and $E_{sm}^{\pi} := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_{sm}^{\pi}) \mathrm{ad}_{V} : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ for $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$ and $s, m = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$. Then $P_{sm}^{\pi}(\mathcal{Q}) \subset \mathrm{Sp}\{q_{is}^{\pi} : i = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}\}$. It follows from the arguments of [20],

$$E_{sm}^{\pi}E_{ij}^{\pi'} = \delta_{mi}\delta_{\pi\pi'}E_{is}^{\pi} \tag{2.2}$$

for $\pi, \pi' \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\pi s} = \sum_{s=1}^{d_{\pi}} E_{ss}^{\pi}(\mathcal{M})$, we have by (2.2),

$$\mathcal{M}^{\pi} = \oplus_{s=1}^{d_{\pi}} \mathcal{M}_{\pi s}.$$
(2.3)

Let $\{e_{\pi i1}\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$ be a basis for $\mathcal{M}_{\pi 1}$ for $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, and $e_{\pi is} := E_{s1}^{\pi}(e_{\pi i1})$, $s = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$, $i\in\mathcal{J}$. Then by (2.2), $\{e_{\pi is}\}_{i\in\mathcal{J}}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{M}_{\pi s}$. Letting $\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\pi} = \operatorname{Sp}\{e_{\pi is} : s = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}\}$ and using (2.3), we get $\mathcal{M}^{\pi} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{M}_{i}^{\pi}$.

Now

Hence $\operatorname{ad}_V(e_{\pi is}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}} x_{(\pi)i(s)j} \otimes q_{js}^{\pi}$ for some $x_{(\pi)i(s)j} \in \mathcal{M}$. Now applying $(\operatorname{id} \otimes \rho_{ks}^{\pi})$ for d_{π}

 $k = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$ on both sides, we get $x_{(\pi)i(s)k} = e_{\pi ik}$, i.e. $\operatorname{ad}_V(e_{\pi is}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}} e_{\pi ij} \otimes q_{js}^{\pi}$ proving $\operatorname{ad}_V(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{M}_0$

 $\operatorname{ad}_V(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes_{\operatorname{alg}} \mathcal{Q}_0.$

(2) Here we reproduce the arguments of [22] for the sake of completeness. For any $b \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\operatorname{ad}_V(b) \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\operatorname{alg}} \mathcal{Q}_0$, we have

$$\operatorname{ad}_V(b) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_{\pi}} b_{ij}^{\pi} \otimes q_{ij}^{\pi},$$

where \mathcal{S} is a finite subset of $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. Observe that for each $\pi \in \mathcal{S}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$, $b_{ij}^{\pi} = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \rho_{ij}^{\pi}) \circ \operatorname{ad}_V(b) \in \mathcal{M}_0$. As $(\operatorname{ad}_V \otimes \operatorname{id}) \circ \operatorname{ad}_V(b) = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \operatorname{ad}_V(b)$, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_{\pi}} \operatorname{ad}_{V}(b_{ij}^{\pi}) \otimes q_{ij}^{\pi} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S} \subset \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} \sum_{i,j,s=1}^{d_{\pi}} b_{ij}^{\pi} \otimes q_{is}^{\pi} \otimes q_{sj}^{\pi}$$

Applying $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_{kl}^{\pi})$ on both sides, we get

$$\operatorname{ad}_{V}(b_{kl}^{\pi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\pi}} b_{il}^{\pi} \otimes q_{ik}^{\pi}.$$
 (2.4)

Now if we take $b' = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\pi}} b_{ii}^{\pi}$, we get by (2.4), $\operatorname{ad}_V(b') = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{k=1}^{d_{\pi}} b_{ki}^{\pi} \otimes q_{ki}^{\pi}$. So $\operatorname{ad}_V(b) = \operatorname{ad}_V(b')$ and as ad_V is one-one, $b = b' \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

(3) follows from (2) and the SOT continuity of each P_{π} .

For $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$, we shall use the natural analogue of Swedler's notation, i.e. write $\mathrm{ad}_V(x) = x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)}$.

2.3 Dual of a compact quantum group

Let (\mathcal{Q}, Δ) be a compact quantum group and \mathcal{Q}_0 be its canonical dense Hopf *-algebra as in Section 2.1. We define $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ to be the space of linear functionals on \mathcal{Q} defined by $q \to h(aq)$, for $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$, where h is the Haar state on \mathcal{Q} . Then $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ is a subspace of the dual \mathcal{Q}' . For $\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, let F_{π} be the positive invertible matrix as in Section 2.1. Recall also the linear functionals ρ_{sm}^{π} 's from Section 2.1. Then we have from Lemma 8.1 of [16], $\rho_{pr}^{\pi}(q_{pr}^{\pi}) = 1$ and ρ_{pr}^{π} is zero on all other matrix elements.

Note that (see [16]) $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ is a *-subalgebra of \mathcal{Q}' and as a *-algebra it is nothing but the algebraic direct sum of matrix algebras of the form $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} M_{d_{\pi}}$ where $M_{d_{\pi}}$ is the full matrix algebra of size $d_{\pi} \times d_{\pi}$ and the matrix unit m_{pq}^{π} identified with ρ_{pq}^{π} is given by $|e_p^{\pi}\rangle\langle e_q^{\pi}|$, where $\{e_1^{\pi}, \ldots, e_{d_{\pi}}^{\pi}\}$ is the standard orthonormal basis. Clearly we get a non-degenerate pairing between

 $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0 = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} M_{d_{\pi}}$ and \mathcal{Q}_0 given by $\langle q_{ij}^{\pi}, m_{ps}^{\pi'} \rangle = \delta_{ip} \delta_{js} \delta_{\pi\pi'}$. In fact it can be shown that $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ is a multiplier Hopf *-algebra in the sense of [25]. It has a unique C^* norm and by taking the completion we get a C^* -algebra. It is called the dual discrete quantum group of \mathcal{Q} denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$. It has a coassociative *-homomorphism $\hat{\Delta} : \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \to \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{Q}})$ called the dual coproduct satisfying $(\operatorname{id} \otimes \hat{\Delta})\hat{\Delta} = (\hat{\Delta} \otimes \operatorname{id})\hat{\Delta}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let U be a unitary representation of a CQG \mathcal{Q} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then $\Pi_U : \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $\Pi_U(\omega)(\xi) := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \omega)U(\xi)$ is a non degenerate *-homomorphism and hence extends as a *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Consider the spectral decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}, 1 \leq i \leq m_{\pi}} \mathcal{H}_{i}^{\pi}, \ U|_{\mathcal{H}_{i}^{\pi}}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m_{\pi}$ is equivalent to the irreducible representation of type π . Moreover fix orthonormal basis e_{ij}^{π} , $j = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m_{\pi}$ for \mathcal{H}_{i}^{π} such that $U(e_{ij}^{\pi}) = \sum_{k} e_{ik}^{\pi} \otimes q_{kj}^{\pi}$ for all $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. Now for a fixed $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q}), \ p, r = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$ observe that $\Pi_{U}(\rho_{pr}^{\pi})(\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i}^{\pi'}$ and for $\pi \neq \pi'$. Also $\Pi_{U}(\rho_{pr}^{\pi})(e_{ij}^{\pi}) = \delta_{jr} e_{ip}^{\pi}$, i.e. $\Pi_{U}(\rho_{pr}^{\pi})|_{\mathcal{H}_{i}^{\pi}}$ is nothing but the rank one operator $|e_{ip}^{\pi}\rangle\langle e_{ir}^{\pi}|$. This proves that $\Pi_{U}(\omega)$ is bounded for $\omega \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{0}$, and moreover identifying $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{0}$ with the direct sum of matrix algebras $\oplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} M_{d_{\pi}}$, we see that Π_{U} is nothing but the map which sends $X \in M_{d_{\pi}}$ to $X \otimes 1_{\mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi}}}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. This proves that Π_{U} extends to a non-degenerate *-homomorphism.

3 Quantum isometry groups

Before defining quantum isometry group, we recall the definition of a spectral triple of compact type.

Definition 3.1. A triple $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, where \mathcal{H} is Hilbert space, \mathcal{A}^{∞} is a unital *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and \mathcal{D} is an unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} is called a spectral triple of compact type if

- (i) $[\mathcal{D}, a] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\infty}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{D} has compact resolvents.

Now let us briefly sketch the formulation of quantum isometry groups of spectral triples given by Goswami and Bhowmick in [3, 12].

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple of compact type (a la Connes). Consider the category $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{D}) \equiv \mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ whose objects are the triples (\mathcal{Q}, Δ, U) where (\mathcal{Q}, Δ) is a CQG having a unitary representation U on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that U commutes with $(\mathcal{D} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}})$. A morphism from (\mathcal{Q}, Δ, U) to $(\mathcal{Q}', \Delta', U')$ is a CQG morphism $\psi : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{Q}'$ such that $U' = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \psi)U$.

Definition 3.3. For a positive (possibly unbounded) operator R on \mathcal{H} which commutes with \mathcal{D} , consider the subcategory $\mathbf{Q}'_R(\mathcal{D}) \equiv \mathbf{Q}'_R(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ whose objects are triples (\mathcal{Q}, Δ, U) as before with the additional requirement that ad_U preserves the functional τ_R given by $\tau_R(x) =$ $\mathrm{Tr}(Rx)(x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}})$, in the sense that $(\tau_R \otimes \mathrm{id})\mathrm{ad}_U(x) = \tau_R(x).1_{\mathcal{Q}}$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the weakly dense *-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ spanned by the rank one operators of the form $|\xi\rangle\langle\eta|$ where ξ and η are eigenvectors of \mathcal{D} .

Remark 3.4. We refer the functional τ_R as the *R*-twisted volume and say that any object of $Q'_R(\mathcal{D})$ preserves the *R*-twisted volume.

Proposition 3.5 ([3, Theorem 2.14]). For a spectral triple of compact type, the universal object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{B}(\mathcal{D})$ exists and is denoted by $\widetilde{\text{QISO}}^{+}_{B}(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$.

Definition 3.6. The largest Woronowicz subalgebra of $QISO^+_B(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ on which ad_U is faithful (U is the corresponding unitary representation) is called the quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometry of the *R*-twisted spectral triple and denoted by $\text{QISO}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{A}^{\infty},$ $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ or simply by $\operatorname{QISO}_B^+(\mathcal{D})$.

Remark 3.7. $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{D})$ may not have a universal object in general, but if it exists we shall denote it by QISO⁺($\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$) and the corresponding largest Woronowicz subalgebra by QISO⁺($\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$) $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ or simply $QISO^+(\mathcal{D})$.

Let (\mathcal{Q}, V) be an object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{R}(\mathcal{D})$. We would like to give a necessary and sufficient condition on the unbounded operator R so that ad_V preserves the R-twisted volume. To this end decompose the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , on which \mathcal{D} acts, into finite-dimensional eigenspaces of the operator \mathcal{D} , i.e. let $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_k$ where each \mathcal{H}_k is a finite-dimensional eigenspace for \mathcal{D} . Since \mathcal{D} commutes with V, V preserves each of the \mathcal{H}_k 's and on each \mathcal{H}_k , V is a unitary representation of the compact quantum group \mathcal{Q} . Then we have the decomposition of each \mathcal{H}_k into the irreducibles, say $\mathcal{H}_k = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_k} \mathbb{C}^{d_\pi} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}}$, where $m_{\pi,k}$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible representation of type π on \mathcal{H}_k and \mathcal{I}_k is some finite subset of Rep (\mathcal{Q}) . Since R commutes with V, R preserves direct summands of \mathcal{H}_k . Then we have the following:

Theorem 3.8. ad_V preserves the R-twisted volume if and only if $R|_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_k} F_{\pi} \otimes T_{\pi,k}$, for some $T_{\pi,k} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}})$, where F_{π} 's are as in Section 2.1.

Proof. Only if part:

let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{d_{\pi}}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{m_{\pi,k}}$ be orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi}}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}}$ respectively. Also let $R(e_i \otimes f_j) = \sum_{s,t} R(s,t,i,j)e_s \otimes f_t$.

We have $V^{*}(e_i \otimes f_j \otimes 1_Q) = \sum_k e_k \otimes f_j \otimes q_{ik}^{*}$. We denote the restriction of the trace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}}$ again by Tr. Let $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}})$ and $\chi(a) := \operatorname{Tr}(a.R)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi \otimes h) \mathrm{ad}_{V}(a) &= \sum_{i,j} \langle V^{*}(e_{i} \otimes f_{j} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{Q}}), (a \otimes 1) V^{*} R(e_{i} \otimes f_{j}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,s,t,u} \langle e_{k} \otimes f_{j} \otimes q_{ik}^{*}, R(s,t,i,j) a(e_{u} \otimes f_{t}) \otimes q_{su}^{*} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,s,t,u} \frac{R(s,t,i,j)}{M_{\pi}} \langle e_{k} \otimes f_{j}, a(e_{u} \otimes f_{t}) \rangle \delta_{is} F_{\pi}(k,u) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,t,u} \frac{R(i,t,i,j)}{M_{\pi}} \langle e_{k} \otimes f_{j}, a(e_{u} \otimes f_{t}) \rangle F_{\pi}(k,u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\chi(a) = \operatorname{Tr}(a.R) = \sum_{i,j} \langle e_i \otimes f_j, aR(e_i \otimes f_j) \rangle = \sum_{k,j,u,t} R(u,t,k,j) \langle e_k \otimes f_j, a(e_u \otimes f_t) \rangle.$$

Thus $(\chi \otimes h) \operatorname{ad}_V(a) = \chi(a)$ implies:

$$\sum_{i,j,k,t,u} \frac{R(i,t,i,j)}{M_{\pi}} \langle e_k \otimes f_j, a(e_u \otimes f_t) \rangle F_{\pi}(k,u) = \sum_{k,j,u,t} R(u,t,k,j) \langle e_k \otimes f_j, a(e_u \otimes f_t) \rangle.$$
(3.1)

Now fix u_0 , t_0 and consider $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $a(e_{u_0} \otimes f_{t_0}) = e_p \otimes f_q$ and zero on the other basis elements. Then from (3.1), we get

$$\sum_{i,j,k} \frac{R(i,t_0,i,j)}{M_{\pi}} \langle e_k \otimes f_j, e_p \otimes f_q \rangle F_{\pi}(k,u_0) = \sum_{k,j} R(u_0,t_0,k,j) \langle e_k \otimes f_j, e_p \otimes f_q \rangle,$$

which gives $\sum_{i} \frac{R(i,t_0,t,q)}{M_{\pi}} F_{\pi}(p,u_0) = R(u_0,t_0,p,q).$

This proves that $R|_{\mathcal{H}_k} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_k} F_{\pi} \otimes T_{\pi,k}$ with some $T_{\pi,k} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}})$ given by $T_{\pi,k}(t_0,q) = \sum_i \frac{R(i,t_0,i,q)}{M_{\pi}}$.

The if part is straightforward and was essentially done in [11].

Let us note that in case of quantum group of orientation preserving Riemannian isometry, $\widetilde{\text{QISO}}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ really depends on the von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty})''$, not on the algebra \mathcal{A}^{∞} itself. More precisely, we have the following proposition, which follows from the definition of $\widetilde{\text{QISO}}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ and needs no proof:

Proposition 3.9. Let $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, R)$ be as in [3]. If we have a SOT dense subalgebra \mathcal{A}_0 of $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty})'' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $[\mathcal{D}, a] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$, then $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is again a spectral triple and $\operatorname{QISO}^+_R(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \operatorname{QISO}^+_R(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, and hence $\operatorname{QISO}^+_R(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \operatorname{QISO}^+_R(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$.

In [12], for a spectral triple satisfying some mild regularity conditions, a noncommutative analogue of the Hodge Laplacian was constructed which is denoted by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}$. We denote the category whose objects are triples $(\mathcal{S}, \Delta, \alpha)$ where (\mathcal{S}, Δ) is a CQG and α is an action of the CQG on the manifold commuting with the Laplacian (as in the sense of Definition 2.11 of [12]) by $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathcal{L}}$ and morphism between two such objects is a CQG morphism intertwining the actions (see [12]).

It is shown in [12] that under certain regularity conditions on the spectral triple, universal object exists in this category and we denote the universal object by $QISO^{\mathcal{L}}$ and call it the quantum isometry group of the spectral triple.

Remark 3.10. Note that both $\text{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ and $\text{QISO}^{\mathcal{L}}$ are universal CQG's in the sense of Section 2.1.

When the spectral triple is classical, i.e. $(C^{\infty}(M), \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ where M is a compact, connected, Riemannian spin manifold, it was shown in [9] that the quantum isometry group coincides with the classical isometry group. More precisely we state the following results from [9, Corollary 11.10]:

Proposition 3.11. For a classical spectral triple $(C^{\infty}(M), \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, $\operatorname{QISO}^{\mathcal{L}}(C^{\infty}(M), \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}) \cong C(\operatorname{ISO}(M))$ and $\operatorname{QISO}^{+}_{I}(C^{\infty}(M), \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}) \cong C(\operatorname{ISO}^{+}(M))$.

4 Cocycle twisting

In Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we recollect some well known facts about cocycle twist of CQG by dual unitary cocycles. There is nothing new in these two subsections and we mostly state the results with appropriate references. However, for convenience of the reader, we occasionally sketch a few proofs and give some details.

4.1 Cocycle twist of a compact quantum group

Let \mathcal{Q} be a compact quantum group. Recall from Section 2.1 the dense Hopf *-algebra \mathcal{Q}_0 spanned by the matrix coefficients of its inequivalent irreducible representations. Also recall the dual discrete quantum group $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ of \mathcal{Q} . With these notations we have the following

Definition 4.1. By a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ of a compact quantum group $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, we mean a unitary element of $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{Q}})$ satisfying

$$(1 \otimes \sigma)(\mathrm{id} \otimes \hat{\Delta})\sigma = (\sigma \otimes 1)(\hat{\Delta} \otimes \mathrm{id})\sigma.$$

A dual unitary 2-cocycle σ is said to be normalized if $(1 \otimes p_{\epsilon})\sigma = 1 \otimes p_{\epsilon}$ and $(p_{\epsilon} \otimes 1)\sigma = p_{\epsilon} \otimes 1$, where p_{π} 's are the minimal projections of $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} M_{d_{\pi}}$. Without loss of generality we can always assume a cocycle to be normalized and hence forth in our paper all dual unitary 2cocycles are normalized. This means in particular $\sigma(a, 1) = \sigma^{-1}(a, 1) = \epsilon(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

Recall from Section 2.3, the discrete quantum group $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ with the coproduct $\hat{\Delta}$ defined by $\hat{\Delta}(\omega)(a \otimes b) := \omega(ab), w \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. We can deform the discrete quantum group $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ using $\sigma \in M(\hat{\mathcal{Q}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{Q}})$. The *-algebraic structure do not change where the coproduct changes by

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\sigma}(\cdot) = \sigma \hat{\Delta}(\cdot) \sigma^{-1}$$

Using the cocycle condition of σ , it can be easily shown that $\hat{\Delta}_{\sigma}$ is again coassociative. It can be shown that $(\hat{Q}_{0\sigma}, \hat{\Delta}_{\sigma})$ is again a discrete quantum group. By Proposition 3.12 and discussions before Proposition 4.5 of [7], we see that we have a CQG dual to the discrete quantum group $(\hat{Q}_{0\sigma}, \hat{\Delta}_{\sigma})$.

Now σ viewed as a linear functional on $\mathcal{Q}_0 \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{Q}_0$ satisfies the cocycle condition (see [17, p. 64])

$$\sigma(b_{(1)},c_{(1)})\sigma(a,b_{(2)}c_{(2)}) = \sigma(a_{(1)},b_{(1)})\sigma(a_{(2)}b_{(2)},c),$$

for $a, b, c \in \mathcal{Q}_0$ (Swedler's notation). We can deform \mathcal{Q}_0 using σ to obtain a new Hopf *algebra \mathcal{Q}_0^{σ} . Then \mathcal{Q}_0^{σ} and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{0\sigma}$ again form a non degenerate pairing. The product, * and κ are changed by the following formulas whereas the coproduct remains unchanged. For $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}_0$,

$$a_{\sigma}b := \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)}, b_{(1)})a_{(2)}b_{(2)}\sigma(a_{(3)}, b_{(3)}),$$

$$a^{*\sigma} := \sum v^{-1}(a_{(1)})a^{*}_{(2)}v(a_{(3)}), \qquad \kappa_{\sigma}(a) := W(a_{(1)})\kappa(a_{(2)})W^{-1}(a_{(3)}), \qquad (4.1)$$

where

$$W(a) = \sigma(a_{(1)}, \kappa(a_{(2)})), \qquad v(a) = W^{-1}(a_{(1)})W(\kappa^{-1}(a_{(2)})).$$
(4.2)

We refer the reader to [17, p. 65] for a proof of co-associativity of the new product as well as other relations of Hopf *-algebra.

We note that the unit of the deformed Hopf *-algebra remains unchanged. For this note that

$$(a_{\cdot\sigma}1) = \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(1)}, 1)a_{(1)(2)}\sigma(a_{(2)}, 1).$$

As the cocycle is normalized,

$$\sigma(a,1) = \sigma^{-1}(a,1) = \epsilon(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. So $a_{\sigma} 1 = \epsilon(a_{(1)(1)})a_{(1)(2)}\epsilon(a_{(2)}) = a$. Similarly it can be shown that $1_{\sigma}a = a$ for all \mathcal{Q}_0 .

We note that Q_0^{σ} is a dense Hopf *-algebra of the compact quantum group which has the discrete quantum group $\hat{Q}_{0\sigma}$ as its dual, so we can consider the universal compact quantum group containing Q_0^{σ} as a dense Hopf *-algebra.

Definition 4.2. Call the universal CQG containing \mathcal{Q}_0^{σ} as a dense Hopf *-algebra to be the cocycle twist of the CQG by a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ and denote it by $(\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma}, \Delta)$.

Let us now discuss how one gets a dual unitary 2-cocycle on a CQG from such a dual unitary 2-cocycle on its quantum subgroup. Given two CQG's Q_1 , Q_2 and a surjective CQG morphism $\pi : Q_1 \to Q_2$ which identifies Q_2 as a quantum subgroup of Q_1 , i.e. $Q_2 \leq Q_1$, it can be shown that π maps the Hopf *-algebra $(Q_1)_0$ onto $(Q_2)_0$. By duality we get a map say $\hat{\pi}$ from $(\mathcal{Q}_2)'_0$ to $(\mathcal{Q}_1)'_0$ and it is easy to check that this indeed maps the dense multiplier Hopf *-algebra $(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2)_0 \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ to $(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1)_0$. Indeed $\hat{\pi}$ lifts to a non degenerate *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_2)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1)$. So given a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ on \mathcal{Q}_2 , we get a dual unitary 2-cocycle $\sigma' := (\hat{\pi} \otimes \hat{\pi})(\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1 \otimes \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_1)$. It is easy to check that σ' is again a dual unitary 2-cocycle on \mathcal{Q}_1 . We shall often use the same notation for both σ' and σ , i.e. denote σ' by σ under slight abuse of notation for convenience.

Lemma 4.3. \mathcal{Q}_2^{σ} is a quantum subgroup of $\mathcal{Q}_1^{\sigma'}$.

Proof. First we claim that $\pi : (\mathcal{Q}_1)_0^{\sigma'} \to (\mathcal{Q}_2)_0^{\sigma}$ is a surjective Hopf *-algebra morphism. Since the coproducts remain unchanged, we only need to check that π is again a *-algebra homomorphism. For that observe that for $a, b \in (\mathcal{Q}_1)_0^{\sigma'}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a_{\cdot\sigma'}b) &= \pi \left[\sigma'(a_{(1)}, b_{(1)}) a_{(2)} b_{(2)}(\sigma')^{-1}(a_{(3)}, b_{(3)}) \right] \\ &= \sigma'(a_{(1)}, b_{(1)}) \pi(a_{(2)}, b_{(2)})(\sigma')^{-1}(a_{(3)}, b_{(3)}) \\ &= \sigma(\pi(a_{(1)}), \pi(b_{(1)})) \pi(a_{(2)}) \pi(b_{(2)}) \sigma^{-1}(\pi(a_{(3)}), \pi(b_{(3)})) = \pi(a)_{\cdot\sigma} \pi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can show that $\pi(a^{*_{\sigma'}}) = (\pi(a))^{*_{\sigma}}$. Hence $\mathcal{Q}_1^{\sigma'}$ contains $(\mathcal{Q}_2)_0^{\sigma}$ as a Hopf *-algebra and hence by the universality of $\mathcal{Q}_1^{\sigma'}$ we conclude that there is a surjective CQG morphism from $\mathcal{Q}_1^{\sigma'}$ onto \mathcal{Q}_2^{σ} .

Lemma 4.4. For a universal CQG Q with a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ , $(Q^{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}} \cong Q$.

Proof. By the universality of \mathcal{Q} , it is enough to prove the Hopf *-algebra isomorphism $(\mathcal{Q}_0^{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}} \cong \mathcal{Q}_0$. Again for that it is enough to check the *-algebra structure. For that let $a, b \in \mathcal{Q}_0$.

$$\begin{split} a(.\sigma)_{\sigma^{-1}}b &= \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)}, b_{(1)})a_{(2)}.\sigma b_{(2)}\sigma(a_{(3)}, b_{(3)}) \\ &= \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(1)}, b_{(1)(1)})a_{(1)(2)}.\sigma b_{(1)(2)}\sigma(a_{(2)}, b_{(2)}) \\ &= \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(1)}, b_{(1)(1)})\sigma(a_{(1)(2)(1)(1)}, b_{(1)(2)(1)(1)})a_{(1)(2)(1)(2)}b_{(1)(2)(1)(2)}), \\ \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(2)}, b_{(1)(2)(2)})\sigma(a_{(2)}, b_{(2)}) &= \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(1)}, b_{(1)(1)})\sigma(a_{(1)(2)}, b_{(1)(2)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}), \\ \sigma^{-1}(a_{(2)(2)(1)}, b_{(2)(2)(1)})\sigma(a_{(2)(2)(2)}, b_{(2)(2)(2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(a_{(1)})\epsilon(b_{(1)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}\epsilon(a_{(2)(2)})\epsilon(b_{(2)(2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(a_{(1)})\epsilon(b_{(1)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}c(a_{(2)(2)})\epsilon(b_{(2)(2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(a_{(1)})\epsilon(b_{(1)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}c(a_{(2)(2)})\epsilon(b_{(2)(2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(a_{(1)})\epsilon(b_{(1)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}c(a_{(2)(2)})\epsilon(b_{(2)(2)}) \\ &= \epsilon(a_{(1)})\epsilon(b_{(1)})a_{(2)(1)}b_{(2)(1)}c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)(2)})c(a_{(2)(2)(2)})c(a_$$

Similarly we can show that the *-structure is preserved.

4.2 Unitary representations of a twisted compact quantum group

Let \mathcal{Q} be a universal compact quantum group (as in the sense of Section 2.1) with a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ . In this subsection we state (with brief sketches of proofs) a few well-known facts about the representation and the Haar state of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} .

Proposition 4.5.

- (i) For $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$, there is an irreducible unitary representation π_{σ} of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} , which is the same as π as a linear map.
- (*ii*) $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma}) = \{\pi_{\sigma} | \pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})\}.$

Proof. (i) This follows since the C^* tensor categories of unitary representations of the quantum groups \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^{σ} are the same [7]. However we give a brief proof using the fact that both $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0$ and $(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_0)^{\sigma}$ are *-isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} M_{d_{\pi}}$. Moreover recall the notation m_{pq}^{π} and the non-degenerate pairing discussed in the Section 2.3. We have

$$\langle \kappa_{\sigma}(q_{ij}^{\pi})^{*\sigma}, m_{pq}^{\pi} \rangle = \overline{\langle q_{ij}^{\pi}, m_{pq}^{\pi*} \rangle} \stackrel{\text{since the *-structure}}{\stackrel{\text{does not change}}{=}} \overline{\langle q_{ij}^{\pi}, m_{qp}^{\pi} \rangle} = \delta_{iq} \delta_{jp}.$$

But we know $\langle q_{ji}^{\pi}, m_{pq}^{\pi} \rangle = \delta_{iqjp}$. Hence by non-degeneracy of the pairing $\kappa_{\sigma}(q_{ij}) = q_{ji}^{*\sigma}$, i.e. π_{σ} is again a unitary representation of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} .

Thus, given a unitary representation U (possibly infinite-dimensional) of \mathcal{Q} on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with the spectral decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q}), i} \mathcal{H}_i^{\pi}$, we get a unitary representation U_{σ} of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} on \mathcal{H} defined uniquely as $U_{\sigma}|_{\mathcal{H}^{\pi}_{i}} = \pi_{\sigma}$ for all *i*. It is clear that $U \to U_{\sigma}$ is a bijective correspondence between unitary representations of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^{σ} .

Proposition 4.6.

- (i) The Haar state for the deformed compact quantum group is the same as that of the undeformed compact quantum group.
- (ii) The operator F^{σ}_{π} corresponding to the twisted CQG Q^{σ} given by

$$\delta_{ik} F^{\sigma}_{\pi}(j,l) = M_{d_{\pi}} h \left(q^{\pi}_{ij} \cdot_{\sigma} q^{\pi*\sigma}_{kl} \right)$$

is related to F_{π} by the following $F_{\pi}^{\sigma} = c_{\pi} A_{\pi}^* F_{\pi} A_{\pi}$, where c_{π} is some positive constant and $A_{\pi}\xi := (\mathrm{id} \otimes v)\pi_{\sigma}\xi, v \text{ is defined by equation (4.2)}.$

Proof. (i) This is a straightforward consequence of the isomorphism of the coalgebra structures of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^{σ} . To be more precise, denote the Haar state of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^{σ} by h and h_{σ} respectively and observing from Proposition 4.5 that the matrix coefficients of π_{σ} ($\pi \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$) are $\{q_{ij}^{\pi}:$ $i, j = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}$, we have $h_{\sigma}(q_{ij}^{\pi}) = h(q_{ij}^{\pi}) = 0$ if π is non trivial and 1 for trivial representation.

(ii) By equation (2.1), we see that the modular operator $\Phi|_{L^2(h)_i^{\pi}} = F^{\pi}$ for all π and *i*. Let Φ^{σ} be the modular operator for the CQG \mathcal{Q}^{σ} and S^{σ} be the corresponding anti unitary operator. Then recalling the definition of the deformed * of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} (equation (4.1)), we see that $\Phi^{\sigma} = SC$, where C = AB = BA, A is the operator given by $A(a) = (id \otimes v)\Delta(a)$ and B is given by $B(a) = (v^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{Q}_0$. Then the modular operator $\Phi^{\sigma} = S^{\sigma*}S^{\sigma} = A^*B^*\Phi BA$. We know $\Phi^{\sigma}|_{L^{2}(h)^{\pi}} = F_{\pi}^{\sigma}$ for all π and *i*. As both *A* and A^{*} map $L^{2}(h)_{i}^{\pi}$ into itself for all π and *i*, $B^* \Phi B$ also does so. Fix some *i* and let P_i^{π} be the projection onto $\operatorname{Sp}\{q_{ij}^{\pi}: j = 1, \ldots, d_{\pi}\}$. It is clear from the definition of B that $B(q_{kl}^{\pi}) = \sum_{m} b_{km}^{\pi} q_{ml}^{\pi}$ and $B^*(q_{kl}^{\pi}) = \sum_{m} d_{km}^{\pi} q_{ml}^{\pi}$, for some

constants b_{km} and d_{km} 's. Thus

$$P_i^{\pi} \left(B^* \Phi B(q_{ij}^{\pi}) \right) = \left(\sum_k b_{ik} d_{ki} \right) \left(\sum_m F_{\pi}(j,m) q_{im}^{\pi} \right),$$

where $\Phi(q_{ij}^{\pi}) = \sum_{m} F_{\pi}(j,m) q_{im}^{\pi}$. If we denote $\sum_{k} b_{ik} d_{ki}$ by $c_{\pi,i}$, we have

$$B^* \Phi B|_{L^2(h)_i^{\pi}} = c_{\pi,i} \Phi|_{L^2(h)_i^{\pi}}.$$

In particular, taking $c_{\pi} = c_{\pi,1}$ and denoting the restrictions of A and A^* on $\operatorname{Sp}\{q_{1j}^{\pi}: 1 \leq j \leq d_{\pi}\}$ by A_{π} and A_{π}^* respectively, we write $F_{\pi}^{\sigma} = c_{\pi} A_{\pi}^* F_{\pi} A_{\pi}$ and as F_{π}^{σ} is positive invertible, c_{π} must be a positive constant.

4.3Deformation of a von Neumann algebra by dual unitary 2-cocycles

Let \mathcal{Q} be a CQG with a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ . Also assume that it has a unitary representation V on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and choose a dense subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{H}$ on which V is algebraic, i.e. $V(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_0$. Then the spectral subalgebra \mathcal{M}_0 is SOT dense in \mathcal{M} and $\mathrm{ad}_V(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \otimes \mathcal{Q}_0$ by Proposition 2.3. Now using the dual unitary 2-cocycle $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{Q})$, we can define a new representation of \mathcal{M}_0 on \mathcal{N} by

$$\rho_{\sigma}(b)(\xi) := b_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\sigma^{-1}(b_{(1)},\xi_{(1)}) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathcal{N},$$

where $\operatorname{ad}_V(b) = b_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}$ and $V(\xi) = \xi_{(0)} \otimes \xi_{(1)}$ with Swedler's notation.

Lemma 4.7.

- (1) $\rho_{\sigma}(b)$ extends to an element of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $b \in \mathcal{M}_0$.
- (2) $\rho_{\sigma}P_{\pi}$ is SOT continuous, where P_{π} is the spectral projection corresponding to $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{ad}_V(b) = \sum_{i=1}^k b_{(0)}^i \otimes b_{(1)}^i$ and $V(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^l \xi_{(0)}^j \otimes \xi_{(1)}^j$. Define $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}})$ by $\sigma_i(q_0) := \sigma^{-1}(b_{(1)}^i, q_0)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By Theorem 2.5, we have $\Pi_V(\sigma_i) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By definition of ρ_σ on \mathcal{N} ,

$$\rho_{\sigma}(b)\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{(0)}^{i} \Pi_{V}(\sigma_{i})(\xi).$$

Using the facts that $\Pi_V(\sigma_i)$ and $b^i_{(0)}$'s are bounded operators we can conclude that $\rho_{\sigma}(b) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $b \in \mathcal{M}_0$, which proves (1).

The proof of (2) is very similar and hence omitted.

Definition 4.8. We call $(\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0))''$ the deformation of \mathcal{M} by σ and denote it by \mathcal{M}^{σ} .

Remark 4.9.

- 1. If there is a weakly dense C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{M} such that the restriction of ad_V leaves \mathcal{C} invariant and also gives a C^* -action on it, then the C^* -algebraic deformation \mathcal{C}_{σ} in the sense of [19] can be defined. One can prove (which we plan to discuss in a forthcoming article) that $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma} \cong (\mathcal{C}_{\sigma})''$.
- 2. It is clear from (2) of Lemma 4.7 that $\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{B})'' = \rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0)''$ if $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ is a SOT dense *-subalgebra of \mathcal{M}_0 .

4.4 Deformation of a spectral triple by dual unitary 2-cocycles

Let $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple of compact type. Also let R be a positive unbounded operator on \mathcal{H} commuting with \mathcal{D} . Let σ be a dual unitary 2-cocycle on some CQG in the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Given such a dual unitary 2-cocycle we get corresponding induced dual unitary 2-cocycle on $\widetilde{\text{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D})$, which will again be denoted by σ with a slight abuse of notation. Let U be a unitary representation of $\widetilde{\text{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D})$ on \mathcal{H} . Then U commutes with \mathcal{D} . From now onwards we shall denote the von Neumann algebra $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty})''$ inside $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by \mathcal{M} . As in Section 3 (right after Remark 3.7), decompose \mathcal{H} into the eigenspaces \mathcal{H}_k , $k \geq 1$ and also decompose each \mathcal{H}_k into spectral subspaces, say:

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \oplus_{\pi \subset \mathcal{I}_k} \mathbb{C}^{d_\pi} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}},$$

 $\mathcal{I}_k \subset \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q}), d_{\pi}, \underline{m_{\pi k}}$ are as in Section 3. As $(\operatorname{id} \otimes \phi) \operatorname{ad}_U(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ for all bounded linear functionals ϕ on $\operatorname{QISO}^+_R(\mathcal{D})$, the corresponding spectral subalgebra is SOT dense. Also for any subalgebra \mathcal{A}_0 of \mathcal{M} , on which ad_U is algebraic, we can deform it by a dual unitary 2-cocycle as in Section 4.3 to get a new subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which we denote by \mathcal{A}_0^{σ} . Thus we have

Theorem 4.10. There is a SOT dense *-subalgebra \mathcal{A}_0 in \mathcal{M} such that

- (1) ad_U is algebraic over \mathcal{A}_0 ,
- (2) $[\mathcal{D}, a] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$,

- (3) $(\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma})'' = \mathcal{M}^{\sigma},$
- (4) $(\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is again a spectral triple.

Proof. We consider the SOT-dense unital * subalgebra $\mathcal{G} = \{a \in \mathcal{M} : [\mathcal{D}, a] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\}$ of \mathcal{M} . Let $b \in \mathcal{G}$. Then for a state ϕ of $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$, we have by definition $(\operatorname{id} \otimes \phi)\operatorname{ad}_U(b) \in \mathcal{M}$. It also follows by the commutativity of \mathcal{D} and U that

$$[\mathcal{D}, ((\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi)\mathrm{ad}_U(b))] = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi)\mathrm{ad}_U([\mathcal{D}, b]).$$

Hence $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi) \mathrm{ad}_U(b) \in \mathcal{G}$ for all bounded linear functionals ϕ of $\mathrm{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$, i.e. \mathcal{G} is ad_U invariant SOT-dense * subalgebra of \mathcal{M} . Now (1),(2) follow from part (3) of Lemma 2.4, taking \mathcal{A}_0 to be the span of the subspaces $P_{\pi}(\mathcal{G}), \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})$. We also get (3) by 2 of Remark 4.9. To prove (4), observe that $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}_0, \rho_{\sigma}(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by the proof of (1) of the Lemma 4.7. So we only need to check that $[\mathcal{D}, \rho_{\sigma}(a)] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$. With the notations used before, consider $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and ξ in the linear span of \mathcal{H}_k 's, writing $\mathrm{ad}_U(a) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{(0)}^i \otimes a_{(1)}^i$, we have

$$[\mathcal{D}, \rho_{\sigma}(a)](\xi) = \mathcal{D}\rho_{\sigma}(a)(\xi) - \rho_{\sigma}(a)\mathcal{D}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\mathcal{D}a^{i}_{(0)}\Pi_{U}(\sigma_{i})(\xi) - a^{i}_{(0)}\Pi_{U}(\sigma_{i})\mathcal{D}\right)(\xi)$$

$$\overset{\text{using the commutativity}}{\stackrel{\text{of } \mathcal{D} \text{ and } U}{=}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} [\mathcal{D}, a^{i}_{(0)}]\Pi_{U}(\sigma_{i})(\xi).$$

As $[\mathcal{D}, a_{(0)}^i]$ is bounded for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we conclude that $[\mathcal{D}, \rho_{\sigma}(a)]$ is bounded for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

Remark 4.11. We can re-cast the deformed spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ in the framework of Neshveyev–Tuset [19]. Consider the image \mathcal{K} of the representation V viewed as an isometric Hilbert space operator from \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(\mathcal{Q}, h)$ (where h is the Haar state of \mathcal{Q}). It can be shown that (we plan to discuss this in a forthcoming article) $V\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}V^* \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(\mathcal{Q}, h))$ is *-isomorphic with \mathcal{A}_0^{σ} and $(V\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}V^*, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_{L^2(\mathcal{Q}, h)}|_{\mathcal{K}})$ is the realisation of the deformed spectral triple in the Neshveyev–Tuset framework.

4.5 Quantum group of orientation preserving isometries for spectral triple deformed by a dual unitary 2-cocycle

Fix as in the previous section a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ of compact type and a positive unbounded operator R on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} commuting with the Dirac operator \mathcal{D} . Assume that there is a dual unitary 2-cocycle σ on some quantum subgroup \mathcal{Q} of $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$. Let U, Vbe the unitary representations of $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ and \mathcal{Q} respectively on \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{A}_0 be any SOT dense *-subalgebra of $(\mathcal{A}^{\infty})'' = \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the conditions of (1) of Theorem 4.10. Recall from Section 4.1, the induced dual unitary 2-cocycle σ' on $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$. Since ad_U is algebraic over \mathcal{A}_0 , so is ad_V and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma'} = \mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}$. Now the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathbf{R}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{A}_0^{\sigma}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ for some unbounded operator R^{σ} does not depend on the choice of the SOT dense subalgebra \mathcal{A}_0 . Let us abbreviate it as $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathbf{R}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})$. We have the following:

Lemma 4.12. $(\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma}, V_{\sigma})$ is an object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathbf{R}^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})$, where $R^{\sigma} = \Pi_{V}(v)^{*}R\Pi_{V}(v)$ and v is as in equation (4.2). **Proof.** Recall the decomposition (Section 3) of the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{k \ge 1, \pi \in \mathcal{I}_k \subset \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})} \mathbb{C}^{d_{\pi}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}}$$

where $m_{\pi,k}$ is the multiplicity of the irreducible representation π on \mathcal{H}_k and \mathcal{I}_k is some finite subset of Rep (\mathcal{Q}) . By Theorem 4.5, V_{σ} is again a unitary representation of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Also note that ad_V is algebraic over \mathcal{A}_0 . Let $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{N}$, where \mathcal{N} is the subspace of \mathcal{H} given by span of \mathcal{H}_k 's. Then we have

$$V_{\sigma}(\rho_{\sigma}(a)(\xi)) = V_{\sigma}(a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)},\xi_{(1)})) = a_{(0)(0)}\xi_{(0)(0)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)},\xi_{(1)}) \otimes a_{(0)(1)}\xi_{(0)(1)}$$

= $a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)(1)}\xi_{(1)(1)}.$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_{\sigma} \otimes [.]) a d_{V}(V_{\sigma}(\xi)) &= (\rho_{\sigma}(a_{(0)})\xi_{(0)}) \otimes a_{(1)} \cdot \sigma\xi_{(1)} \\ &= a_{(0)(0)}\xi_{(0)(0)} \sigma^{-1}(a_{(0)(1)},\xi_{(0)(1)}) \otimes \sigma(a_{(1)(1)(1)},\xi_{(1)(1)(1)})a_{(1)(1)(2)}\xi_{(1)(1)(2)}, \\ \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)}) \\ &= a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)} \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(1)(1)},\xi_{(1)(1)(1)})\sigma(a_{(1)(1)(2)},\xi_{(1)(1)(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)(2)(1)}\xi_{(1)(2)(1)}, \\ \sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)(2)}) &= a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\epsilon(a_{(1)(1)})\epsilon(\xi_{(1)(1)})a_{(1)(2)(1)}\xi_{(1)(2)(1)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)(2)}) \\ &= a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\epsilon(a_{(1)(1)(1)})\epsilon(\xi_{(1)(1)(1)}) \otimes a_{(1)(1)(2)}\xi_{(1)(1)(2)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)}) \\ &= a_{(0)}\xi_{(0)}\sigma^{-1}(a_{(1)(2)},\xi_{(1)(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)(1)}\xi_{(1)(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}(\rho_{\sigma}(a))(V_{\sigma}\xi) = V_{\sigma}(\rho_{\sigma}(a)\xi) = (\rho_{\sigma} \otimes [.])\operatorname{ad}_{V}(a)(V_{\sigma}(\xi))$. By density of \mathcal{N} in \mathcal{H} we conclude that

$$\operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}(\rho_{\sigma}(a)) = (\rho_{\sigma} \otimes [\cdot])\operatorname{ad}_{V}(a),$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Also for $\phi \in (\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma})^*$,

$$(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi) \mathrm{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}(\rho_{\sigma}(a)) = \rho_{\sigma}(a_{(0)}) \phi(a_{(1)}) \in \mathcal{A}_{0}^{\sigma}.$$

So in particular $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi) \mathrm{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}(\mathcal{A}_0) \subset (\mathcal{A}_0)''$.

 V_{σ} commutes with \mathcal{D} since as a linear map V_{σ} is same as V. By Theorem 3.8, R has the form

$$\oplus_{\pi\in\mathcal{I}_k,k\geq 1}F_{\pi}\otimes T_{\pi,k}$$

for some $T_{\pi,k} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{m_{\pi,k}})$ so that $\Pi_V(v)^* R \Pi_V(v)$ is of the form $\bigoplus_{\pi,k} A_\pi^* F_\pi A_\pi \otimes T_{\pi,k}$, for some $T_{\pi,k}$ where A_π , F_π 's are as in (ii) of Proposition 4.6. Now by (ii) of Proposition 4.6, we see that $F_\pi^\sigma = c_\pi A_\pi^* F_\pi A_\pi$ for some positive constant c_π . Then R^σ is of the form $\bigoplus_{\pi \in \mathcal{I}_k, k \ge 1} F_\pi^\sigma \otimes c_\pi^{-1} T_{\pi,k}$, which implies by the 'if part' of Theorem 3.8 that $\operatorname{ad}_{V_\sigma}$ preserves the R^σ -twisted volume.

Remark 4.13. By looking at the proof we can easily conclude that if (\mathcal{Q}, V) is an object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{D})$, then $(\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma}, V_{\sigma})$ is an object in the category $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})$.

Now replacing \mathcal{Q} by $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ and using the dual unitary 2-cocycle on $\operatorname{QISO}_R^+(\mathcal{D})$ induced from σ on its quantum subgroup, we get:

Corollary 4.14.
$$\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D})^{\sigma} \leq \operatorname{QISO}_{R^{\sigma}}^{+}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}).$$

Thus we have the dual unitary 2-cocycle σ^{-1} on $\mathcal{Q}^{\sigma} \leq \widetilde{\text{QISO}}_{R^{\sigma}}^{+}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})$ and can deform \mathcal{D}_{σ} by it. We have the following:

Lemma 4.15. $(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})_{\sigma^{-1}} = \mathcal{D}, (R^{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}} = R \text{ and } \widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R^{\sigma}}^+(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}} \leq \widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R}^+(\mathcal{D}).$

Proof. Consider $\mathcal{M}_1 = \operatorname{Sp}\{P_{\pi_{\sigma}}((\mathcal{M}_0)'') : \pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{Q})\}$, where $P_{\pi_{\sigma}} = (\operatorname{id} \otimes \rho^{\pi_{\sigma}}) \operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}$ (recall $\rho^{\pi_{\sigma}}$ from Section 2.1). Clearly, $\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}$ is defined on \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_1 the maximal subspace on which $\operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}$ is algebraic. As $\operatorname{ad}_{U_{\sigma}}$ is again a von Neumann algebraic action of \mathcal{Q}^{σ} on $(\mathcal{A}_0)'' = \rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0)''$, we have a SOT dense subalgebra \mathcal{C}_0 of $\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0)''$ over which $\operatorname{ad}_{U_{\sigma}}$ is algebraic. Then $\operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}$ is also algebraic over \mathcal{C}_0 . Hence by maximality $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$. Again by SOT continuity of $\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}$ on the image of $P_{\pi_{\sigma}}$, we have $\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{C}_0)'' = \rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{M}_1)''$. On the other hand as $\operatorname{ad}_{V_{\sigma}}$ is algebraic over $\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0)$, we have

$$\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \; \Rightarrow \; \rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\rho_{\sigma}(\mathcal{M}_0)) \subset \rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{M}_1) \; \Rightarrow \; \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{M}_1).$$

By maximality of \mathcal{M}_0 , we conclude that

$$\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{C}_0)'' = \mathcal{M}_0'' = (\mathcal{A}^\infty)'',$$

which implies that $\mathbf{Q}'_{(\mathbf{R}^{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}}}((\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})_{\sigma^{-1}}) = \mathbf{Q}'_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathcal{D})$. Also observe that $\widetilde{\mathrm{QISO}}^+_{R^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}}$ preserves volume τ_R and

$$\operatorname{ad}_U(a) = \operatorname{ad}_{U_\sigma}(\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(a)),$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{C}_0$.

But by definition $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi) \mathrm{ad}_{U_{\sigma}}(\rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(a)) \subset \rho_{\sigma^{-1}}(\mathcal{C}_0)'' = (\mathcal{A}^{\infty})''.$

Combining the above results we are in a position to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.16.
$$\widetilde{\text{QISO}}^+_{R^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}) \cong (\widetilde{\text{QISO}}^+_{R}(\mathcal{D}))^{\sigma}$$
 and hence $\text{QISO}^+_{R^{\sigma}}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}) \cong (\text{QISO}^+_{R}(\mathcal{D}))^{\sigma}$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.15, we have $\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R^{\sigma}}^{+}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}} \leq \widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D})$. Then by Lemma 4.3, we have $(\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R^{\sigma}}^{+}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma})^{\sigma^{-1}})^{\sigma} \leq (\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D}))^{\sigma}$. Since quantum isometry groups are universal, by Lemma 4.4, we can conclude that $\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R^{\sigma}}^{+}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}) \leq (\widetilde{\operatorname{QISO}}_{R}^{+}(\mathcal{D}))^{\sigma}$. Combining this with the Corollary 4.14, we complete the proof of the main result.

Recall the category $\mathbf{Q}'(\mathcal{D})$ from Section 3. We know that in general we can not say anything about the existence of the universal object in this category. However by looking at the proofs in this section, with the notations used in this section we have the following

Corollary 4.17. If $\text{QISO}^+(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ and $\text{QISO}^+(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma}_0, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ both exist, then

 $\operatorname{QISO}^+(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})^\sigma \cong \operatorname{QISO}^+(\mathcal{A}^\sigma_0, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}).$

Remark 4.18. We can also obtain similar result for the Laplacian based approach, i.e. in the category $\mathbf{Q}'_{\mathcal{L}}$, whenever it makes sense. Combining with Proposition 3.11, we conclude that the quantum isometry groups (QISO⁺_I and QISO^{\mathcal{L}}) for the noncommutative manifolds obtained by Rieffel deformation of an arbitrary compact, connected Riemannian manifold are similar deformation of the classical isometry groups.

Remark 4.19. Viewing the Rieffel deformation as a special case of cocycle twist, the above theorem in fact improves the result [3, Theorem 5.13] obtained by Bhowmick and Goswami by removing the assumption about a nice dense subalgebra on which the adjoint action of the quantum isometry group is algebraic. In fact, techniques of this paper have enabled us to prove existence of such nice algebra in general.

Remark 4.20. It should be mentioned that our result does not cover the examples constructed by Neshveyev and Tuset in [18]. In that paper they considered the problem of deforming a spectral triple coming from classical compact Lie groups using a Drinfeld twist. It should be noted that although 2-cocycle is an example of a Drinfeld twist, our scheme fails for a general Drinfeld twist. The main problem lies in transporting a unitary Drinfeld twist to a compact quantum group from its quantum subgroup. We are grateful to Sergey Neshveyev for pointing out this difficulty which was overlooked mistakenly in the previous version of this paper. However, it seems that techniques developed in [10] can be adapted to get the main result of this paper for the spectral triples of [18]. We are working in that direction and hope to report it in another article.

Acknowledgements

Research of the first author is partially supported by Swarnajayanti Fellowship from D.S.T. (Govt. of India). He also acknowledges kind hospitality of the Fields Institute, Toronto (Canada) where he participated in a workshop and conference in honour of Marc Rieffel. The research of the second author is supported by CSIR, Govt. of India. We are grateful to Sergey Neshveyev for pointing out a crucial mistake in the earlier version of this paper and would like to thank him as well as Jyotishman Bhowmick for their overall comments in general.

References

- Banica T., Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, J. Funct. Anal. 224 (2005), 243–280, math.QA/0311402.
- [2] Banica T., Goswami D., Quantum isometries and noncommutative spheres, Comm. Math. Phys. 298 (2010), 343–356, arXiv:0905.3814.
- Bhowmick J., Goswami D., Quantum group of orientation-preserving Riemannian isometries, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 2530–2572, arXiv:0806.3687.
- Bhowmick J., Goswami D., Quantum isometry groups: examples and computations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 285 (2009), 421–444, arXiv:0707.2648.
- Bhowmick J., Goswami D., Skalski A., Quantum isometry groups of 0-dimensional manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (2011), 901–921, arXiv:0807.4288.
- Bichon J., Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 665–673, math.QA/9902029.
- [7] Bichon J., De Rijdt A., Vaes S., Ergodic coactions with large multiplicity and monoidal equivalence of quantum groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 262 (2006), 703–728, math.OA/0502018.
- [8] Connes A., Noncommutative geometry, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [9] Das B., Goswami D., Joardar S., Rigidity of action of compact quantum groups on compact, connected manifolds, arXiv:1309.1294.
- [10] Donin J., Shnider S., Deformation of certain quadratic algebras and the corresponding quantum semigroups, *Israel J. Math.* **104** (1998), 285–300.
- [11] Goswami D., Twisted entire cyclic cohomology, J-L-O cocycles and equivariant spectral triples, *Rev. Math. Phys.* 16 (2004), 583–602, math-ph/0204010.
- [12] Goswami D., Quantum group of isometries in classical and noncommutative geometry, Comm. Math. Phys. 285 (2009), 141–160, arXiv:0704.0041.
- [13] Kustermans J., Locally compact quantum groups in the universal setting, *Internat. J. Math.* 12 (2001), 289–338, math.OA/9902015.
- [14] Kustermans J., Vaes S., Locally compact quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting, Math. Scand. 92 (2003), 68–92, math.OA/0005219.
- [15] Lance E.C., Hilbert C*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

- [16] Maes A., Van Daele A., Notes on compact quantum groups, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (4) 16 (1998), 73–112, math.FA/9803122.
- [17] Majid S., Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [18] Neshveyev S., Tuset L., The Dirac operator on compact quantum groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 641 (2010), 1–20, math.OA/0703161.
- [19] Neshveyev S., Tuset L., Deformation of C^{*}-algebras by cocycles on locally compact quantum groups, Adv. Math. 254 (2014), 454–496, arXiv:1301.4897.
- [20] Podleś P., Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum SU(2) and SO(3) groups, Comm. Math. Phys. 170 (1995), 1–20, hep-th/9402069.
- [21] Rieffel M.A., van Daele A., A bounded operator approach to Tomita–Takesaki theory, *Pacific J. Math.* 69 (1977), 187–221.
- [22] Soltan P.M., On actions of compact quantum groups, Illinois J. Math. 55 (2011), 953–962, arXiv:1003.5526.
- [23] Takesaki M., Theory of operator algebras. I, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg, 1979.
- [24] Vaes S., The unitary implementation of a locally compact quantum group action, J. Funct. Anal. 180 (2001), 426–480, math/0005262.
- [25] Van Daele A., Multiplier Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), 917–932.
- [26] Wang S., Deformations of compact quantum groups via Rieffel's quantization, Comm. Math. Phys. 178 (1996), 747–764.
- [27] Wang S., Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. 195 (1998), 195–211, math.OA/9807091.
- [28] Woronowicz S.L., Compact quantum groups, in Symétries Quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, 845–884.